

# mismatch between conversational flow and task state in CUIs produces predictable failures of agency

## Table of Contents

summary

Background

The Importance of Understanding User Intent

Cognitive Load and Information Management

The Role of Contextual Awareness

Mismatch Between Conversational Flow and Task State

Understanding Conversational Flow

Consequences of Mismatches

Strategies for Alignment

Predictable Failures of Agency

Expectation Mismatches

Cognitive Load and User Experience

Addressing Functionality Mismatch

Mitigation Strategies

Error Detection and Mitigation Frameworks

Contextual Awareness in Design

User-Driven Goal Formulation

Balancing Information and Engagement

Iterative Testing and Feedback Incorporation

Future Directions

Emphasizing a Worker-Centered Approach

Collaborative and Interdisciplinary Frameworks

Integration of Design Thinking

Addressing Cognitive Load

Development of New Design Principles

Check <https://storm.genie.stanford.edu/article/1515525> for more details

The generated report can make mistakes.

Please consider checking important information.

The generated content does not represent the developer's viewpoint.

## summary

The mismatch between conversational flow and task state in Conversational User Interfaces (CUIs) represents a significant challenge in the realm of human-computer interaction. CUIs enable users to communicate with technology through natural language, enhancing accessibility and simplifying user engagement. However, when the design of conversational flows does not align with the current state of the task or the system's capabilities, it can lead to predictable failures in user agency—defined as the user's ability to effectively control the interaction and achieve their objectives.[\[1\]](#)[\[2\]](#)[\[3\]](#). This misalignment often results in confusion, frustration, and diminished trust in the technology, ultimately hindering user satisfaction and engagement.

Understanding user intent is crucial for successful CUI design, as it relies on advanced Natural Language Processing (NLP) to accurately interpret requests and maintain a coherent dialogue. When CUIs fail to align responses with user expectations or contextual needs, the quality of interaction diminishes significantly, increasing cognitive load and the risk of disengagement. For instance, if a user receives irrelevant information while seeking specific assistance, it can create disjointed communication and a negative experience.[\[4\]](#)[\[5\]](#). This underscores the importance of iterative design processes that incorporate user feedback and adapt conversational flows to meet diverse needs.

Additionally, contextual awareness plays a vital role in enhancing CUI effectiveness. Accurately recognizing situational factors that influence user requests can improve response relevance and interaction quality. However, challenges persist in achieving this contextual understanding, necessitating user-driven approaches that allow for feedback and adjustments during interactions.[\[6\]](#)[\[7\]](#). As the landscape of technology evolves, addressing these mismatches between conversational flow and task state becomes essential for ensuring that CUIs fulfill their intended purpose and foster positive user experiences.

The consequences of these mismatches extend beyond user dissatisfaction; businesses may experience reduced market share as users gravitate toward competing products that offer smoother interactions. By focusing on effective design strategies that prioritize user agency, mitigate cognitive load, and enhance contextual awareness, developers can create more reliable conversational agents that improve overall user satisfaction and effectiveness in CUI interactions.[\[8\]](#)[\[9\]](#).

## Background

Conversational User Interfaces (CUIs) have emerged as a significant evolution in human-computer interaction, enabling users to communicate with technology using

natural language rather than traditional input methods like buttons and menus. This shift is particularly notable as it makes technology more accessible to individuals of varying abilities, simplifying interactions by allowing users to express requests through text or speech[1][2]. However, the effectiveness of CUIs can be hampered by a mismatch between conversational flow and the task state, leading to predictable failures of agency for users.

## The Importance of Understanding User Intent

At the core of a successful CUI is the understanding of user intent. Natural Language Processing (NLP) technologies are essential in accurately interpreting user requests, which is crucial for maintaining a seamless conversational flow[1][3]. When a CUI fails to align its responses with the user's intentions or the context of the conversation, it can lead to confusion and frustration, thereby diminishing user agency. This challenge highlights the importance of designing CUIs that can adapt to user needs in real-time, providing clear guidance and feedback throughout the interaction[3][2].

## Cognitive Load and Information Management

Another critical factor in the usability of CUIs is the management of cognitive load. Effective CUIs streamline information presentation and minimize visual and cognitive clutter, allowing users to focus on their tasks without unnecessary distractions[4][5]. The "less is more" principle applies here, as requesting only essential information and eliminating extraneous details can significantly enhance user experience. When users encounter complex or overwhelming interfaces, they are more likely to disengage, thus increasing the likelihood of failures in task execution[4][5].

## The Role of Contextual Awareness

Contextual awareness plays a vital role in CUIs, as understanding the situation surrounding user requests can improve interaction quality[6]. However, accurately identifying relevant contextual factors remains a challenge. To address this, CUIs should empower users to provide feedback and guide the system's understanding of context, enhancing the relevance of responses[6][7]. This user-driven approach ensures that CUIs remain adaptable and responsive to individual needs, thus mitigating potential failures linked to mismatched expectations.

## Mismatch Between Conversational Flow and Task State

The mismatch between conversational flow and task state in Conversational User Interfaces (CUIs) can lead to predictable failures in user agency and overall effectiveness. This disconnect often occurs when the conversation flow designed for user interaction does not align with the current state of the task or system capabilities, resulting in confusion and frustration for users.

# Understanding Conversational Flow

Conversational flow refers to the journey users undergo during their interaction with chatbots or automated email sequences, starting from their initial contact and proceeding through various stages of the conversation.[\[8\]](#) Effective conversational flow design is crucial, as it shapes how users navigate interactions, influencing their overall experience and satisfaction. Flows should feel intuitive and anticipate users' actions while providing clear pathways for engagement.[\[9\]](#)

However, when the conversational flow does not accurately reflect the task state, users may find themselves in situations where the chatbot's responses or actions do not match their expectations. For example, a user may be seeking specific assistance while the chatbot is engaged in an unrelated dialogue, leading to disjointed and ineffective communication.[\[10\]](#)

## Consequences of Mismatches

The impact of mismatches between conversational flow and task state can be profound. Users may experience frustration and dissatisfaction when they perceive that the chatbot fails to understand their needs or provide relevant solutions. This frustration can lead to reduced trust in the system, as users become aware that the conversational interface is not meeting their expectations. In fact, studies indicate that a significant portion of users prefer interactions that resonate with their personality and values, highlighting the importance of aligning conversational tone and flow with user needs.[\[9\]](#)

Moreover, such mismatches can result in a loss of market share for businesses, as users may choose to switch to competing products that provide a more coherent and satisfying interaction.[\[11\]](#) As the technology landscape continues to evolve, addressing these mismatches becomes increasingly critical for ensuring that CUIs fulfill their intended purpose and foster positive user experiences.

## Strategies for Alignment

To mitigate the risks associated with conversational flow and task state mismatches, designers and developers must focus on creating adaptable frameworks that can accommodate various user needs and context-specific demands. This may involve employing an iterative approach to design, where continuous user feedback informs adjustments in conversational flows and interactions.[\[12\]](#) Furthermore, it is essential to implement systems that allow for seamless transitions between different stages of a task, ensuring that users feel guided and supported throughout their journey.

Implementing clear error detection and mitigation strategies can also play a crucial role in reducing the impact of mismatches. By understanding user expectations and designing CUIs that can swiftly correct course in the event of misalignment, developers can enhance the overall user experience and build trust in the technology.[\[2\]](#)

## Predictable Failures of Agency

The mismatch between conversational flow and task state in Conversational User Interfaces (CUIs) often leads to predictable failures of agency. These failures arise when users experience discrepancies between their expectations and the actual functionality of the conversational agent, resulting in frustration and dissatisfaction [11]. Users typically operate under a mental model of how they expect the interaction to unfold, which, when misaligned with the system's responses, can create confusion and lead to errors [13].

## Expectation Mismatches

A significant factor contributing to these failures is expectation mismatches. Many agents are designed based on an Ideal Customer/User Persona (ICP), which does not always align with the diverse realities of actual users [14]. When agents fail to account for the variability in user behavior and context, they can deliver responses that do not meet users' needs, undermining their sense of control over the interaction. This mismatch can diminish users' trust and loyalty, prompting them to seek alternatives that better satisfy their expectations [11].

## Cognitive Load and User Experience

The cognitive load experienced by users also plays a critical role in these failures. High cognitive load can result in decision fatigue and increased errors, particularly when users are bombarded with information or complex options [15][5]. When conversational interfaces fail to minimize cognitive load, they can overwhelm users, leading to disengagement and poor interaction outcomes. For instance, if the system presents too much information or lacks consistency in its design, users may struggle to complete tasks, ultimately abandoning the interface altogether [4].

## Addressing Functionality Mismatch

To mitigate the risks of functionality mismatch, it is crucial for designers and developers to prioritize user expectations and real-world use cases during the development process. Strategies such as persona testing and comprehensive error detection can help ensure that conversational agents are equipped to handle a broader range of user interactions and scenarios [14][12]. By fostering a better understanding of user needs and behaviors, organizations can create more reliable and effective conversational agents that enhance user agency and satisfaction.

## Mitigation Strategies

Mitigating the mismatch between conversational flow and task state in Conversational User Interfaces (CUIs) involves a multifaceted approach that incorporates various design frameworks and strategies to enhance user experience and minimize operational errors.

## Error Detection and Mitigation Frameworks

The implementation of frameworks such as the Expectation of Errors Matrix and the Error Detection & Mitigation Matrix is crucial for understanding user perceptions of errors and their operational impacts. The Expectation of Errors Matrix allows designers to gauge user expectations regarding the performance of CUIs. When users anticipate potential errors, the perceived severity diminishes; however, high expectations of accuracy can exacerbate the consequences of even minor mistakes [12]. By identifying where users stand on this spectrum, developers can prioritize error mitigation strategies accordingly.

Conversely, the Error Detection & Mitigation Matrix emphasizes the significance of detecting and addressing errors early in the design process. For instance, easily detectable errors might require low-effort mitigation strategies, while more complex issues could necessitate extensive resources and system overhauls [16]. This matrix not only assists in prioritizing mitigation efforts but also highlights the necessity of integrating user feedback to improve the overall design.

## Contextual Awareness in Design

Incorporating context-aware design principles can significantly reduce cognitive load for users interacting with CUIs. Contextualization involves understanding which aspects of user context are relevant to the interaction and should be considered in recommendation generation [17]. By allowing users to filter and prioritize contextual dimensions, developers can create more personalized and relevant interactions that enhance user satisfaction and minimize confusion.

## User-Driven Goal Formulation

A structured workflow that includes user-driven goal formulation is essential. This can be achieved through a three-stage process involving contextualization, goal formulation, and prompt articulation. In this workflow, users provide relevant information that allows the system to generate tailored recommendations based on their individual needs and contexts [6]. This iterative process not only enhances goal clarity but also empowers users to actively participate in shaping their interactions, thus reducing the likelihood of mismatches.

## Balancing Information and Engagement

Creating a well-structured dialog flow is vital for guiding users through the interaction, helping them provide accurate input, and achieving their goals without deadlocks [18]. Engaging users in meaningful dialogues while delivering valuable information fosters a positive user experience. Incorporating elements such as empathy and an appropriate tone can further enhance user engagement, making interactions more enjoyable and effective.

## Iterative Testing and Feedback Incorporation

Regular iteration and testing of CUI designs play a critical role in refining interaction flows. By continuously gathering user feedback and making necessary adjustments, developers can identify areas of improvement and adapt the design to better meet user needs. This ongoing process not only aids in mitigating mismatches but also enhances the overall reliability and effectiveness of CUIs<sup>[10][19]</sup>.

## Future Directions

### Emphasizing a Worker-Centered Approach

As AI technology continues to evolve, future research should focus on adopting a worker-centered approach to innovation, particularly in the context of conversational user interfaces (CUIs). This involves not only ensuring that AI projects avoid harm but also create tangible value for stakeholders.<sup>[12]</sup> A critical need exists for actionable guidance and concrete processes to support early-stage AI development. By systematically examining risk factors and understanding the trade-offs between risks and benefits, innovation teams can better navigate the complexities associated with AI-related harm.<sup>[12]</sup>

### Collaborative and Interdisciplinary Frameworks

To enhance the innovation process, future directions should prioritize collaborative and interdisciplinary frameworks. Engaging diverse expertise in the assessment of potential risks and benefits is vital for fostering more effective conversational AI applications.<sup>[12]</sup> Researchers in human-computer interaction (HCI) and adjacent fields have highlighted the importance of making technical concepts accessible and facilitating open deliberation among stakeholders. Developing conversational resources that depict the riskiness of AI concepts through intuitive representations can support teams in identifying dimensions for risk refinement and concept enhancement.<sup>[12]</sup>

### Integration of Design Thinking

Incorporating design thinking from the outset of AI projects can significantly improve the alignment on use cases and overall user experience. This approach encourages creativity and ideation during the initial stages, which is often overlooked in traditional AI development cycles.<sup>[20]</sup> Future research should focus on methodologies that enable teams to explore a wider range of potential applications for conversational AI, fostering innovative solutions that meet user needs effectively while mitigating risks.<sup>[20]</sup>

### Addressing Cognitive Load

An important area for future investigation involves understanding the cognitive load imposed on users during interactions with conversational agents. Studies have indicated that minimizing cognitive load can enhance user attitudes and intentions

for continued use of conversational AI systems.[\[21\]](#) Future work should explore strategies for simplifying user interactions and clarifying the intent of conversational flows, which can lead to more satisfying user experiences and improved agency in CUIs.

## Development of New Design Principles

The evolution of conversational AI necessitates the establishment of new design principles and vocabularies that cater specifically to this emerging field. As the landscape of AI-driven communication evolves, designers will require updated guidelines and frameworks to address the unique challenges presented by conversational interfaces.[\[22\]](#) Establishing these principles can support the creation of more effective, user-centered conversational experiences that facilitate clear and intuitive interactions.[\[6\]](#)

By pursuing these future directions, researchers and practitioners can help bridge the gap between conversational flow and task state in CUIs, ultimately reducing predictable failures of agency and enhancing the overall effectiveness of AI interactions.

## References

- [1]: [Designing a Conversational User Interface \(CUI\): Best Practices ...](#)
- [2]: [Conversational User Interfaces: Best Practices and Tools](#)
- [3]: [\[PDF\] Let's Talk About CUIs: Putting Conversational User Interface Design ...](#)
- [4]: [Our Tips for Reducing Cognitive Overload in UX - Anchor Digital](#)
- [5]: [Cognitive Load and UX | Aguayo's Blog](#)
- [6]: [Agentic Workflows for Conversational Human-AI Interaction Design](#)
- [7]: [Mental Models and User Experience Design - NN/G](#)
- [8]: [Conversational Flow: Tips - SendPulse](#)
- [9]: [The Complete Guide to Chatbots for Marketing | Thrive Agency](#)
- [10]: [ChatFlow case study - Chatbot before LLMs](#)
- [11]: [Perceived User Experience and Avoiding Functionality Mismatch](#)
- [12]: [Identifying Potential Algorithmic Harms Before AI Development - arXiv](#)
- [13]: [Is Your AI a Mental Model Mismatch? - Thoughts in Design](#)
- [14]: [Agent Failures: Expectation Mismatches in Real-World Users](#)
- [15]: [Cognitive Load and User Experience: Designing for Reduced ...](#)
- [16]: [\[PDF\] Minimizing Cognitive Load - Pearsoncmg.com](#)
- [17]: [Conversational UI: 6 Best Practices in 2026 - AIMultiple research](#)
- [18]: [Enhancing conversational agents for successful operation: A multi ...](#)
- [19]: [Designing Conversational Flows for AI Chatbots - LinkedIn](#)
- [20]: [How to approach conversation design: The basics \(Part 1\)](#)
- [21]: [The Rise of Chatbots: Exploring How Cognitive Load Affects User ...](#)

[22]: [Best practices: conversation design | IBM watsonx Assistant - Medium](#)