Quantum Thread Review

The analysis underscores a critical gap in **post-quantum cryptography** (PQC) integration across all studies reviewed. A significant majority of these studies continue to rely on **pre-quantum cryptographic** methods, such as ECDSA, BLS, and **pairing-based credentials**, all of which are vulnerable to attacks from quantum computers. This emphasizes the urgent need for the research community to adopt **NIST-standardized PQC solutions**, such as **SPHINCS+**, **CRYSTALS-Dilithium**, and **lattice-based ZKPs**, into **eKYC/SSI** frameworks.

To ensure long-term security of blockchain-based identity systems, future cryptographic designs must prioritize transitioning to post-quantum cryptography (PQC) to protect against quantum decryption threats.

The following studies were analyzed, grouped into their respective quantum vulnerability categories:

1. Traditional ZKP Systems Without Quantum Resistance

Several studies still rely on quantum-vulnerable cryptographic methods without addressing post-quantum alternatives:

- o Moya et al. (2023) implement Feige-Fiat-Shamir/Schnorr ZKPs, susceptible to quantum attacks.
- o Kapsoulis et al. (2020) use Quorum blockchain with classical digital signatures.
- Konkin et al. (2021) deploy ZKPs for corporate DFAs but fail to provide a PQC migration path.
- o Dieye et al. (2022) rely on a discrete logarithm-based MDSA scheme, also vulnerable to quantum decryption.

2. BLS Signatures Susceptible to Quantum Attacks

Several studies use **BLS aggregate signatures**, which are vulnerable to quantum attacks:

- o Li et al. (2022) implement BLS for verifiable credentials.
- Pauwels et al. (2021) use BLS in their zkKYC solution without integrating quantum safeguards.
- o Malik et al. (2020) apply BLS in the TradeChain supply chain framework, underscoring the reliance on quantum-vulnerable cryptographic methods.

3. Pairing-Based Credentials Vulnerable to Shor's Algorithm

Some studies continue to use **pairing-based credentials**, which are vulnerable to **Shor's algorithm**:

- Singh et al. (2020) use pairing-based self-blindable credentials.
- o Gilani et al. (2022) implement a pairing-dependent DLRep scheme.
- o Yang et al. (2022) deploy BLS signatures in minimal disclosure authentication.
- o **Dragan et al. (2020)** rely on the **ECDSA foundations** of **Bitcoin/Ethereum**, all of which are susceptible to quantum decryption.

4. Quantum-Risk Acknowledgement Without Mitigation

Some studies acknowledge the quantum threat but fail to provide adequate mitigation: **Takaragi et al. (2022)** explicitly acknowledge the **million-qubit quantum threat** to **ECDSA** but do not propose any **post-quantum cryptographic (PQC)** alternative. This lack of mitigation highlights a significant gap in addressing quantum risks in **eKYC/SSI systems**.