

Bidirectional Type Checking and Inference (Draft)

Isitha Subasinghe

26th November 2021 (Draft)

Abstract

Type theory is infamously considered difficult to get started in. There is simply too much to learn and this information is scattered all over the place. This paper addresses this issue by providing the basics for building a state of the art type inference and checking algorithm based on bidirectional type inference as described by Dunfield and Krishnaswami.

1 Introduction

Type inference is at the core of modern languages, yet the knowledge to implement these algorithms are not easily accessible. Understanding type inference requires the reader to have a grasp of Type Theory, Set Theory, Lambda Calculus and First Order Logic, this paper aims to reduce the burden of learning type inference and provides a central location for a reader to understand enough of these concepts to get started on programming their own implementation of the previously mentioned type inference algorithm.

2 Related Work

Hindley-Milner type inference, discovered by Hindley and Milner independently have two known algorithms for type inference, Algorithm W and Algorithm J, both of which require a certain cognitive effort by the reader.

3 Setup

Let's define a module called "Eval" used to contain our algorithm. This is a simple but necessary step for any Haskell program.

```
module Eval where  
import qualified Data.Set as S  
import qualified Data.Map as M
```

In addition to the above code we need another module called "Main" to function as our entry point. We mostly don't have to worry about this Module, it is a simple interface to interact with the "Eval" module.

```
module Main where
```

4 Lambda Calculus

Knowledge of lambda calculus is required to understand some of the type theory needed to understand the paper referenced previously. Here we provide a quick, mediocre introduction to

lambda calculus. Lambda Calculus is simply a mathematical model of expressing computation based on function abstraction and application. It is as powerful as turing completeness for the task of describing computation as noted in the Church-Turing thesis. Interestingly, there seems to also be a relationship between lambda calculus and proof theory as we can observe through the ‘Curry-Howard correspondence’, even more surprisingly, this observation can be extended to Category Theory as ‘Curry-Howard-Lambek correspondence’.

4.1 α conversion

α conversion is.

4.2 β reductions

β reductions are

4.3 η reductions

η reductions

5 Set Theory

6 First Order Logic

7 Type Theory

8 Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

Simply Typed Lambda Calculus can be given by the following grammar presented in BNF form.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle t \rangle &::= \mathbf{x} \dots \mathbf{z} - \text{Variables} \\ &| \langle t \ t \rangle - \text{Application} \\ &| \langle \lambda \mathbf{x} . t \rangle - \text{Abstraction} \\ &| \text{true} \mid \text{false} \\ &| \text{if } t \text{ then } t \text{ else } t \\ &| t : \tau \\ \langle \tau \rangle &::= \langle \text{Bool} \rangle \\ &| \langle \tau \rightarrow \tau \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The data structures used for evaluating the presented lambda calculus is provided below. Note that the grammar has been slightly augmented to account for typing judgements, the constructor ‘TypeAnnotation’ is this augmentation.

```
data T
  = Var String
  | Application T T
  | TTrue  -- Avoid conflict with Haskell’s ‘True’
  | TFalse -- Avoid conflict with Haskell’s ‘False’
  | IfElse T T T  -- If [Expr] Then [T1] Else [T2] where T1 == T2
  | TypeAnnotation T Type  -- Type annotation to mark that ‘T’ is of type ‘Type’
deriving (Show, Eq)
data Type
```

```

    = TBool
    | TApp Type Type
deriving (Show, Eq)

```

Now we can finally start on type inference. First let's define our type signature for our inference function.

```
inferType :: M.Map String Type → T → Maybe Type
```

The above definition is quite simple, it defines a function that accepts a 'Context' ('M.Map String Type'), an expression ('T') and returns an algebraic data structure wrapping a type('Type'), this ADT is 'Maybe Type'. The usage of the 'Maybe' ADT is because the return value of the function could be nothing ('Nothing' is the function that constructs the Maybe ADT in this case) or something ('Just(x)' is the function that constructs the Maybe ADT in this case). This 'Context' corresponds directly to the Γ symbol seen in type theory literature.

Note It is important to note two symbols that are needed to understand literature on bidirectional type inference/checking.

- \Rightarrow This is used in the form of $x \Rightarrow ty$, it means "x is inferred to be of type ty".
- \Leftarrow This is used in the form of $x \Leftarrow ty$, it means "x type checks against type ty".

Variables Let's define the rule for synthesis of a type of a variable.

$$\frac{(x : \tau) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x \Rightarrow \tau}$$

This is quite simple to infer the type for, since the type annotation already exists in our context.

```
inferType ctx (Var s) = lookupTy s ctx
```

Booleans Another simple construct to type check are the boolean literals 'true' and 'false'. The rules for inference are given below.

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{true} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{false} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}}$$

The below code is all we need for dealing with boolean literals.

```
inferType ctx (TTrue) = Just TBool
inferType ctx (TFalse) = Just TBool

```

'lookupTy' is given by the below code:

```
lookupTy :: String → M.Map String Type → Maybe Type
lookupTy x ctx = M.lookup x ctx

```

Finally we can define type checking by the simple function below:

```
checkType :: M.Map String Type → T → Type → Maybe Type
checkType ctx t ty = case inferType ctx t of
    Just ty' → if ty' ≡ ty then
        Just ty'
    else Nothing
    Nothing → Nothing

```

Annotation Now we examine how we can verify type annotated expression. The grammar for inference/checking is shown below.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t \Leftarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash: \tau \Rightarrow}$$

$$\text{inferType } ctx \ (TypeAnnotation \ t \ ty) = \text{checkType } ctx \ t \ ty$$

IfElse Here we observe how ‘IfElse’ may be checked/infered.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \Leftarrow \mathbf{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 \Leftarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash t_3 \Leftarrow \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{if } t_1 \mathbf{ then } t_2 \mathbf{ else } t_3 \Leftarrow \tau}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{checkType } ctx \ (\text{IfElse } t1 \ t2 \ t3) \ ty = \\ \mathbf{case} \ (\text{checkType } ctx \ t1 \ TBool \\ , \text{checkType } ctx \ t2 \ ty \\ , \text{checkType } ctx \ t3 \ ty) \ \mathbf{of} \\ \quad (\text{Just } bty, \text{Just } ty2, \text{Just } ty3) \rightarrow \text{Just } ty \\ \quad _ \rightarrow \text{Nothing} \end{aligned}$$

A Appendix

A.1 Notation