## Summary of results

We report the result of using the tool on the set of examples included. The examples are taken from [2], and described in [3, 4]. We have also adapted the Bounded Retransmission Protocol from [1]. All examples are supplied in the form of xml-files in the examples directory of this repository. The source is also supplied in the directory util as csv files together with the csv2xml script, allowing modification of the protocols in a simple manner. All experiments were performed on a 3.1 GHz Intel Core i5 with 4 GB of RAM, running OS X 10.7.5. In Table 1, the results of running the tool on the examples from [1, 2] are reported. In Table 2, we show the results of running our tool where we intentionally modified the programs to cause an error. Finally, Table 3 shows the results of trying to reach the state named "Completing" in the protocols as they are presented in the examples directory.

The tables should be interpreted as follows. The column **P/B** lists the protocol under analysis together with the name of the process in that protocol whose bad state we are trying to reach. S stands for Sender, R for Receiver, P for Participant and C for Coordinator. The column **Sem** lists the channel semantics used for the specific test, the column **SMT** lists the time the SMT solver required to analyze the formula, the column **Time** lists the total time of the analysis, the column **Assert** lists the number of assertions fed to the SMT solver, the column **Al** lists the bound on the number of phase alternations, **Aut** lists the number of states and the number of transitions of oour constructed automata, and finally **Res** lists the result of the analysis. The results are listed as U for Unsafe of S? for possibly safe.

## References

- [1] Parosh Aziz Abdulla, Aurore Collomb-Annichini, Ahmed Bouajjani, and Bengt Jonsson. Using forward reachability analysis for verification of lossy channel systems. *FMSD*, 25(1):39–65, 2004.
- [2] Abinoam P. Marques Jr., Anders Ravn, Jiri Srba, and Sallem Vighio. csv2uppaal. https://github.com/csv2uppaal.
- [3] Abinoam P. Marques, Anders Peter Ravn, Jiri Srba, and Saleem Vighio. *Tool Supported Analysis of Web Services Protocols*, pages 50–64. University of Oslo, 2011.
- [4] Anders P. Ravn, Jirí Srba, and Saleem Vighio. Modelling and verification of web services business activity protocol. In Parosh Aziz Abdulla and K. Rustan M. Leino, editors, TACAS, volume 6605 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 357–371. Springer, 2011.

Table 1: Verification results of examples from [2, 1]

P/B	Sem	SMT	Time	Assert	Al	Res
ABP/S	M-set	2.8	19.1	13266	80	S?
ABP/S	SLCS	6.5	22.0	61948	10	S?
ABP/S	LCS	6.4	21.9	61948	10	S?
ABP/R	M-set	0.03	0.13	1314	8	U
ABP/R	SLCS	21.2	23.2	19764	6	S?
ABP/R	LCS	20.5	22.5	19764	6	S?
BRP/R	M-set	1.2	3.3	5050	12	U
BRP/R	SLCS	12.0	57.9	217544	6	S?
BRP/R	LCS	11.7	57.0	217544	6	S?
STP/A	M-set	0.11	0.23	1195	12	U
STP/A	SLCS	20.5	22	12096	8	S?
STP/A	LCS	14.7	17.5	126096	8	S?
STP/B	M-set	2.25	22.8	5175	150	S?
STP/B	SLCS	10.5	16.8	248763	10	S?
STP/B	LCS	18.3	25.4	431342	12	S?
STP/C	M-set	2.1	21.0	14329	144	S?
STP/C	SLCS	10.4	16.7	248763	10	S?
STP/C	LCS	10.4	16.7	248763	10	S?
CCv2/Co	M-set	1.4	3.8	4237	6	U
CCv2/Co	SLCS	28	193	35721	2	S?
CCv2/Co	LCS	26	187	35721	2	S?
ccv2/P	M-set	10.5	18	8525	16	S?
CCv2/P	SLCS	4.5	168	35721	2	S?
ccv2/P	LCS	4.3	165	35721	2	S?
PCv2/Co	M-set	12.7	18.0	7362	18	S?
PCv2/Co	SLCS	16.5	79.5	22511	2	S?
PCv2/Co	LCS	20.4	84.5	22511	2	S?
PCv2/P	M-set	7.8	13.3	6540	16	S?
PCv2/P	SLCS	2.3	65.8	22511	2	S?
PCv2/P	LCS	2.3	63.6	22511	2	S?
cc/Co	M-set	0.35	1.16	2632	6	U
cc/Co	SLCS	12.9	85.5	23988	2	S?
CC/Co	LCS	11.3	81.2	23988	2	S?
cc/P	M-set	1.4	3.8	4237	6	U
CC/P	SLCS	2.7	74.5	23988	2	S?
CC/P	LCS	2.6	73.1	23988	2	S?
PC/Co	M-set	0.31	0.85	1985	6	U
PC/Co	SLCS	25.5	1.6	14186	2	S?
PC/Co	LCS	25.2	1.7	14186	2	S?
PC/P	M-set	0.29	0.78	1985	6	U
PC/P	SLCS	1.3	25.5	14186	2	S?
PC/P	LCS	1.3	2 24.7	14186	2	S?

Table 2: Buggy Examples

P/B	Sem	SMT	Time	Assert	Al	Res
Alternating Bit/R	SLCS	5.1	5.8	7104	4	U
Alternating Bit/R	LCS	4.7	5.7	7104	4	U
Sliding Window/R	SLCS	0.59	1.2	2701	2	U
Sliding Window/R	LCS	0.69	1.7	2701	2	U
Synchronous/R	SLCS	0.12	0.2	1506	6	U
Synchronous/R	LCS	0.14	0.22	1506	6	U

Table 3: Reachability results

Table 9. Reachability results						
P/B	Sem	SMT	Time	Assert	Al	Res
PC/C	SLCS	7.4	32.4	14186	2	Reachable
PC/C	LCS	6.5	30.8	14186	2	Reachable
PC/P	SLCS	12.8	38.5	14186	2	Reachable
PC/P	LCS	4.8	28.9	14186	2	Reachable
cc/C	SLCS	44.4	119	23988	2	Reachable
cc/C	LCS	23.9	98.5	23988	2	Reachable
cc/P	SLCS	26.8	135	23988	2	Reachable
cc/P	LCS	26.8	98.4	23988	2	Reachable