

# A Practical Approach to Error Handling

- Errors can happen everywhere
- Want reliable program
- No time to write error handling

What do we do?

# Options for Error Handling

```
file f("file.txt");
```

# Options for Error Handling

```
file f("file.txt");
```

What happens if the file does not exist?

```
file f("file.txt");
```

What happens if the file does not exist?

- return value

```
file f;  
bool b0k=f.open("file.txt");  
if( !b0k ) {...}
```

- not for ctor

```
file f("file.txt");
```

What happens if the file does not exist?

- return value

```
file f;  
bool b0k=f.open("file.txt");  
if( !b0k ) {...}
```

- not for ctor

- out parameter

```
bool b0k;  
file f("text.txt",b0k);  
if( !b0k ) {...}
```

- clutter code with checks

- can forget check - `[[nodiscard]]` for return values

# Options for Error Handling (2)

- status: bad flag on first failure
  - single control path
  - good if checking at the very end is good enough
    - writing a file - ok
    - reading a file - maybe not
  - default for C++ iostreams

# Options for Error Handling (2)

- status: bad flag on first failure
  - single control path
  - good if checking at the very end is good enough
    - writing a file - ok
    - reading a file - maybe not
  - default for C++ iostreams
- monad
  - goal: same code path for success and error case
  - like `std::variant<result, error>` + utilities
  - P0323R7 `std::expected`



# Options for Error Handling: Exception

- exception

# Options for Error Handling: Exception

- exception
  - Catch exception objects always by reference
    - Slicing
    - Copying of exception may throw -> `std::terminate`

```
struct A {...};  
struct B : A {...};  
  
try {  
    throw B();  
} catch( A a ) { // B gets sliced and copied into a  
    ...  
    throw; // throws original B  
};
```

- exception
  - Catch exception objects always by reference
    - Slicing
    - Copying of exception may throw -> `std::terminate`

```
struct A {...};  
struct B : A {...};  
  
try {  
    throw B();  
} catch( A const& a ) { // B gets sliced and copied into a  
    ...  
    throw; // throws original B  
};
```

# Options for Error Handling: Exception (2)

- work like multi-level return/goto
- add invisible code paths
  - one reason some code bases do not allow exceptions

```
auto inc(int i)->int { // throw(char const*)
    if(3==i) throw "Hello";
    return i+1;
}

auto main()->int {
    try {
        int n=3;
        inc(n); // throw(char const*)
        n=42;
    } catch( char const* psz ) {
        std::cout << psz;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

# Options for Error Handling: Exception (2)

- work like multi-level return/goto
- add invisible code paths
  - one reason some code bases do not allow exceptions

```
auto inc(int i)->int { // throw(char const*)
    if(3==i) throw "Hello";
    return i+1;
}

auto main()->int {
    try {
        int n=3;
        inc(n); // throw(char const*)
        n=42;
    } catch( char const* psz ) {
        std::cout << psz;
    }
    return 0;
}
```

# Options for Error Handling: Exception (3)

```
auto inc(int i, char const* & pszException )->int {  
    {  
        if(3==i) {  
            pszException="Hello";  
            goto exception;  
        }  
        return i+1;  
    }  
exception:  
    return 0;  
}
```

# Options for Error Handling: Exception (4)

```
auto main()->int {  
    char const* pszException=nullptr;  
    {  
        int n=3;  
        inc(n,pszException);  
        if( pszException ) goto exception;  
        n=42;  
        return 0;  
    }  
exception:  
    {  
        std::cout << pszException;  
        return 0;  
    }  
}
```

# Options for Error Handling: Exception (4)

```
auto main()->int {  
    char const* pszException=nullptr;  
    {  
        int n=3;  
        inc(n,pszException);  
        if( pszException ) goto exception;  
        n=42;  
        return 0;  
    }  
exception:  
    {  
        std::cout << pszException;  
        return 0;  
    }  
}
```

Stop whining! Of course must write exception-safe code!



# Exception Safety Guarantees

(not really exception-specific)

Part of function specification

- Never Fails

(not really exception-specific)

Part of function specification

- Never Fails
- Strong Exception Guarantee:
  - may fail (throw), but will restore program state to what it was before: transactional
    - possible and desirable in library functions
    - very hard in application code
      - usually too many state changes

(not really exception-specific)

Part of function specification

- Never Fails
- Strong Exception Guarantee:
  - may fail (throw), but will restore program state to what it was before: transactional
    - possible and desirable in library functions
    - very hard in application code
      - usually too many state changes
- Basic Exception Guarantee:
  - may fail (throw), but will restore program to some valid state

# Basic Exception Safety Guarantee

Customer: "Hello, is this Microsoft Word support? I was writing a book. Suddenly, Word deleted everything."

Microsoft: "Oh, that's ok. Word only provides a basic exception guarantee."

Customer: "Oh, alright then, thank you very much and have a good day!"

# The Challenge

- Error handling is a lot of effort
  - in development
    - must be paranoid
    - create a lot of extra code
  - in testing
    - many codepaths to test
    - if you don't test them, they won't work

# The Challenge

- Error handling is a lot of effort
  - in development
    - must be paranoid
    - create a lot of extra code
  - in testing
    - many codepaths to test
    - if you don't test them, they won't work
- Little customer gain

# The Challenge

- Error handling is a lot of effort
  - in development
    - must be paranoid
    - create a lot of extra code
  - in testing
    - many codepaths to test
    - if you don't test them, they won't work
- Little customer gain
- So what do we do?

# So what do we do?

- Check everything
  - check every API call
    - one wrapper per error reporting method
      - Windows: `GetLastError()`, `HRESULT`
      - Unix: `errno`
  - `assert` aggressively
    - asserts stay in Release
  - `noexcept` if caller does not handle exception
    - `std::terminate`, but unexpected exceptions will terminate anyway
    - install handler with `std::set_terminate` for checking



# So what do we do?

- Check everything
  - check every API call
    - one wrapper per error reporting method
      - Windows: `GetLastError()`, `HRESULT`
      - Unix: `errno`
    - `assert` aggressively
      - asserts stay in Release
    - `noexcept` if caller does not handle exception
      - `std::terminate`, but unexpected exceptions will terminate anyway
      - install handler with `std::set_terminate` for checking
- Assume everything works

# So what do we do?

- Check everything
  - check every API call
    - one wrapper per error reporting method
      - Windows: `GetLastError()`, `HRESULT`
      - Unix: `errno`
    - `assert` aggressively
      - asserts stay in Release
    - `noexcept` if caller does not handle exception
      - `std::terminate`, but unexpected exceptions will terminate anyway
      - install handler with `std::set_terminate` for checking
- Assume everything works
- Goal:
  - keep set of code paths small
  - keep set of program states small

# If checks fail

- prio 1: collect as much information as possible
  - client: send core dump home
  - server: halt thread and notify operator

- prio 1: collect as much information as possible
  - client: send core dump home
  - server: halt thread and notify operator
- prio 2: carry on somehow
  - if check was critical, program behavior now undefined: no further reports
  - never terminate!
    - asserts can be wrong, too!
  - if you need safety (nuclear powerplant, etc.), add at higher level
    - example: server stops processing request categories with too many pending requests

# Next: Homework

- Reproduce the error in the lab
- Add handling code only for errors that are reproducible
  - Otherwise you write
    - error handlers that are never used
    - error handlers that are never tested, do the wrong thing

5% of handlers handle 95% of errors

|   |        |   |
|---|--------|---|
| immediate crash likely?<br>ex: imminent<br><b>nullptr</b><br>access<br><br><b>no</b><br> <br> <br> <br> <br>v | yes--> | Level 6<br><br>Client:<br>- error dialog<br>( <b>false</b> alarm unlikely,<br>increase chance of getting more<br>info)<br><br>and                                 |
| program behavior<br>well-defined?<br>ex: assert failed<br><br><b>yes</b><br> <br> <br> <br> <br>v             | no---> | Level 5<br><br>Client:<br>- disable future reports<br>(future behavior is ill-defined)<br><br>Server:<br>- infinite loop<br>(wait <b>for</b> debugger)<br><br>and |

-----  
BORDER TO UNDEFINED BEHAVIOR LAND

vvv Programmer may have expectations of how program behaves vvv  
-----

situation has been  
tested?

no---> Level 4

ex: condition found  
that has never been  
reproduced

Client or Server:

– send report

yes

Debug Build:

– error **dialog** (repro found!)

|  
|  
|

v

and

user experience is good

no---> Level 3

ex: 3rd party  
bug we did  
not completely  
work around

Client or Server:

– **log** (explains behavior  
**if** we get complaints)

yes

|  
|  
|  
|

v

and

situation may be indication of broken program environment    yes--> Level 2

Client during remote support session:  
– error dialog  
  (get attention of support eng)

no

|  
|  
|  
v

and

situation occurs in every run/code path

no---> Level 1

Client during remote support session:  
– or –  
Debug:  
– **log** (analyze to learn about path to failure)

yes

|  
|  
|  
v

All good



- Reports with core dumps sent to server
  - automatically
  - if user opted out, user can send prepared email

- Reports with core dumps sent to server
  - automatically
  - if user opted out, user can send prepared email
- Error database
  - core dumps opened in debugger
  - errors automatically categorized by file/line
  - details and core dump accessible to devs

- Reports with core dumps sent to server
  - automatically
  - if user opted out, user can send prepared email
- Error database
  - core dumps opened in debugger
  - errors automatically categorized by file/line
  - details and core dump accessible to devs
- Devs can mark errors as fixed
  - trigger automatic update
  - or send automatic email - magic!

- new language feature
- `assert` on steroids
- declarative function pre- and postconditions

```
void push(int x, queue& q)
[[expects: !q.full()]]
[[ensures: !q.empty()]]
{
    ...
    [[assert: q.is_valid()]]
    ...
}
```

- When check contract?
  - debug
  - release
  - never
- What to do if contract violated?
  - terminate
  - carry on
  - report (what to whom?)

- When check contract?
  - debug
  - release
  - never
- What to do if contract violated?
  - terminate
  - carry on
  - report (what to whom?)
- removed from C++20 at last moment
- discussion will continue for C++23

# THANK YOU!

think-cell 

for attending.

And yes, we are recruiting:

[hr@think-cell.com](mailto:hr@think-cell.com)

# A Very Special Class of Errors

```
std::int32_t a=2 000 000 000;  
std::int32_t b=a+a;
```

What is **b**?



# A Very Special Class of Errors

```
std::int32_t a=2 000 000 000;  
std::int32_t b=a+a;
```

What is **b**?

Uuh, may overflow.

- Let's check for it!

```
if( b<a ) {  
... treat overflow ...  
}
```

Ok?

## Undefined Behavior (UB)

Example: int arithmetic overflow

# A Very Special Class of Errors

```
std::int32_t a=2 000 000 000;  
std::int32_t b=a+a;
```

What is **b**?

Uuh, may overflow.

- Let's check for it!

```
if( b<a ) {  
... treat overflow ...  
}
```

Ok?

## Undefined Behavior (UB)

Example: int arithmetic overflow