



# Concepts, Type Traits and Specialization

Italian C++ Conference, June 2021



# Ciao, sono Roi

- Roi Barkan - רועי ברקן
- I live in Tel Aviv, Israel
- C++ developer since 2000
- VP Technologies @ Istra Research
  - Finance, Low Latency, in Israel
  - [careers@istraresearch.com](mailto:careers@istraresearch.com)

# C++20 - Concepts are Here



<https://youtu.be/Bcu9ymklfM8>  
Early 2014

# C++20 - Concepts are Here



<https://youtu.be/0avh39CI> Is  
Early 2013

# Templates and Overload Resolution

- Templates let us write the same algorithm for multiple types (or parameters)

```
template <class T> constexpr const T &min(const T &a, const T &b)
{
    return (b < a) ? b : a;
}
```

- Metaprogramming lets us implement different overloaded algorithms (and more)

```
template< class T >
constexpr void swap( T& a, T& b ) noexcept;
template< class T2, std::size_t N >
constexpr void swap( T2 (&a) [N], T2 (&b) [N]) noexcept;
```

# Templates and Overload Resolution

- Sometimes multiple overloads are legitimate, but one is preferable

```
template <typename _Tp>
inline typename __enable_if<__is_byte<_Tp>::__value,
void>::__type
__fill_a(_Tp *__first, _Tp *__last, const _Tp &__c) {
    const _Tp __tmp = __c;
    if (const size_t __len = __last - __first)
        memset(__first, static_cast<unsigned char>(__tmp), __len);
}
```



# What is this Talk About ?

- Putting constraints on our templates
- C++20 Concepts - and their alternatives/ancestors
- Many opinions, some facts.
- Tips and ideas - when should we use various mechanisms
- Suggestions for changes to the language
  - Opinions - not facts
- Snippets from the STL
- Clips from YouTube

# What are Concepts



<https://youtu.be/0avh39CI> Is  
Early 2013



# What are Concepts Good For



<https://youtu.be/0avh39CI> Is  
Early 2013

# What do they Mean

## Concepts library (C++20)

The concepts library provides definitions of fundamental library concepts that can be used to perform compile-time validation of template arguments and perform function dispatch based on properties of types. These concepts provide a foundation for equational reasoning in programs.

Most concepts in the standard library impose both **syntactic and semantic requirements**. It is said that a standard concept is *satisfied* if its syntactic requirements are met, and is *modeled* if it is satisfied and its semantic requirements (if any) are also met.

In general, only the syntactic requirements can be checked by the compiler. If the validity or meaning of a program depends whether a sequenced of template arguments models a concept, and the concept is satisfied but not modeled, or **if a semantic requirement is not met at the point of use, the program is ill-formed**, no diagnostic required.



# Concepts Seem Simple

- A Bunch of Boolean Expressions
- Take the Overload that Meets the Largest Number of Predicates
- Syntactic and Semantic



# A Bunch of Boolean Expressions

- ```
template < class T >  
concept integral = std::is_integral_v<T>;
```
- ```
template < class T >  
concept signed_integral = std::integral<T> &&  
std::is_signed_v<T>;
```
- ```
template< class T >  
concept swappable = requires(T& a, T& b) {  
    ranges::swap(a, b);  
};
```

# Boolean Expressions aren't New

- Class templates (with '::value' member)

```
template <bool B>
using bool_constant = integral_constant<bool, B>;
typedef bool_constant<true> true_type;
```

- Variable templates

```
template<class R>
inline constexpr bool enable_borrowed_range = false;
```

- Function templates

```
template<class S, class M>
constexpr bool is_pointer_interconvertible_with_class (M S::* mp) noexcept;
```

# Full Expressiveness is Possible

- Boolean operators are allowed

```
template< class T >
struct is_scalar : integral_constant<bool,
    is_arithmetic<T>::value || is_enum<T>::value ||
    is_pointer<T>::value || is_member_pointer<T>::value ||
    is_null_pointer<T>::value> {};
```

- SFINAE, void\_t, the detection idiom instead of requires expressions

```
template <typename, typename = void>
struct has_meow : std::false_type { };
template <typename T>
struct has_meow<T, void_t<decltype(std::declval<T>().meow())>>
    : std::true_type { };
```

# Predicates on Types - More Approaches

- Specialization (common for STL type traits)

```
template<class T> struct is_const          : std::false_type {};  
template<class T> struct is_const<const T> : std::true_type {};
```

- Opt-In/Out specialization

```
template<class R>  
inline constexpr bool enable_borrowed_range = false;
```

- Concepts CANNOT be specialized
  - But they can be composed of booleans that specialize

- Traits:

```
namespace std {  
    template<> struct numeric_limits<Temperature> {  
        static constexpr bool has_infinity = false;  
        // implement other traits  
    };  
}
```



# Concepts Seem Simple

- A Bunch of Boolean Expressions
- Take the Overload that Meets the Largest Number of Predicates
- Syntactic and Semantic





# Controlling Library-Application Interaction

- When Applications use **Libraries** there's risk of errors due incorrect expectations.
  - Different components developed by different people on separate occasions.
- Overload-resolution is a way to try and verify that expectations are matched.
- This can be an 'on/off' constraint to (dis)allow certain interaction, or more advanced mechanism to choose/tailor specifics of an interaction
- Some resolution mechanisms can be easily bypassed, while others are less negotiable.



# Overload Resolution with Concepts

- requires clause
  - Two more syntax alternatives for good measure
- The most specialized version wins (see standard for details)
- SFINAE friendly
- Clear error messages
- Faster compilation speed
- **Library** defines requirements - Application must conform.

# Alternatives to `requires` Clause



<https://youtu.be/lmLFILjSveM>  
September 2020

# More Library Guided Approaches

- `enable_if`
  - **Library** defines requirements.
  - Compiler doesn't rank - error on multiple matches.
- “Partial Specialization” - function more specialized than another

```
template< class Ptr >
constexpr auto to_address(const Ptr& p) noexcept;
template< class T >
constexpr T* to_address(T* p) noexcept;
```

  - **Library** defines requirements. Compiler ranks most-specialized.



# Tag Dispatch - The STL Classic

- ```
template <class _InputIter>
inline void advance(_InputIter &__i,
    typename iterator_traits<_InputIter>::difference_type __n)
{
    __advance(__i, __n, typename
        iterator_traits<_InputIter>::iterator_category());
}
```
- Iterators opt-in to their category/concept
- In STL this dispatch is hidden (implementation detail)
  - Technically libraries could allow call-site override

# Application Guided Approaches

- Policy-Based Design

```
template <class ForwardIt, class Compare = std::less<>>
constexpr ForwardIt max_element(ForwardIt first, ForwardIt last,
                                Compare comp = Compare{});
```

- This way callers can override at the call-site

- Customization Points (and CPOs, [tag\\_invoke](#))

- Algorithms that can be specialized
- Examples: `std::swap`, `ranges::ssize`, `ranges::empty`, ...
- CPOs are objects with `operator()` that deal with overload resolution intricacies
- Niebloids - similar mechanism for ADL avoidance

- Behavioral Properties (P1393, C++23 executors?, [Hollman & Niebler](#))

```
std::require(executor, execution::blocking.always);
```

- **Library** defines properties and Application can use them.



# Overload Resolution / Customizations

	On/Off	Choose from Few	User Code	Simplicity
requires	Library	Library	No	Yes
enable_if	Library	Library	No	No
“Specialization”	Library	Library	No	Yes
Tag Dispatch	Application	Application	No	Medium
Policy Based Design	N/A	No	Caller	Yes*
CPOs	Application	No	Application	Medium
std::require	No	Caller	No	Yes

# Advanced Overload Resolution Schemes

	On/Off	Choose from Few	User Code	How?
requires	Library	Library Application	No	Warrants
enable_if	Library	Library Application	No	Warrants
“Specialization”	Library	Library	No	
Tag Dispatch	Application	Application <b>Caller</b>	No Application	Expose/Add
Policy Based Design	N/A	No <b>Caller</b>	Caller	Policy Tags
CPOs	Application	No (runtime)	Application	
std::require	No	Caller	No	





# Concepts Seem Simple

- A Bunch of Boolean Expressions
- Take the Overload that Meets the Largest Number of Predicates
- Syntactic and Semantic

# Semantics are Tricky

- `std::is_trivially_copyable_v<std::pair<int,int>>`
- Complexity of `std::list::size()`

## Complexity

Constant or linear. (until C++11)

Constant. (since C++11)

3 years ago

+ Standard - Future

...

The assignment operators need to be trivial for the elements. Both pair and tuple are conditionally trivial when there is no ABI break.

```
template< class T >
concept sized_range = ranges::range<T> &&
    requires(T& t) {
        ranges::size(t);
    };

```

# Concepts with Escape Hatches (Warrants)

```
template< class T >
concept sized_range = ranges::range<T> &&
    requires(T& t) {
        ranges::size(t);
    };
(1)
```

```
template<class>
inline constexpr bool disable_sized_range = false;
(2)
```

```
template<class R>
concept borrowed_range =
    ranges::range<R> &&
    (std::is_lvalue_reference_v<R> || ranges::enable_borrowed_range<std::remove_cvref_t<R>>);
(1)
```

Defined in header `<ranges>`  
 Defined in header `<span>`  
 Defined in header `<string_view>`

```
template<class R>
inline constexpr bool enable_borrowed_range = false;
(2)
```



A poster for a Cppcon 2020 plenary session. The background is dark blue. In the top left, a red diagonal banner contains the word "plenary" in white. The Cppcon logo, featuring a stylized plus sign inside a circle, is on the left, with the text "Cppcon" and "The C++ Conference" to its right. In the top right, there is a large yellow plus sign. The main title, "Empirically Measuring, and Reducing, C++'s Accidental Complexity ('Simplifying C++' #7 of N)", is written in yellow. Below it, the speaker's name "Herb Sutter" is in white. In the bottom right, the year "2020" is displayed vertically next to a stylized mountain logo and the dates "September 13-18".

plenary

 **Cppcon**  
The C++ Conference

**Empirically Measuring, and  
Reducing, C++'s Accidental  
Complexity** ("Simplifying C++" #7 of N)

**Herb Sutter**

**2020** |   
September 13-18

<https://youtu.be/6lurOCdaj0Y>  
September 2020

# Special Concept Cases

## std::equivalence\_relation

Defined in header <concepts>

```
template < class R, class T, class U >  
concept equivalence_relation = std::relation<R, T, U>;
```

 (since C++20)

The concept `equivalence_relation<R, T, U>` specifies that the `relation` `R` imposes an equivalence relation on its arguments.

### Semantic requirements

A relation `r` is an equivalence relation if

- it is reflexive: for all `x`, `r(x, x)` is true;
- it is symmetric: for all `a` and `b`, `r(a, b)` is true if and only if `r(b, a)` is true;
- it is transitive: `r(a, b) && r(b, c)` implies `r(a, c)`.

### Notes

The distinction between `relation` and `equivalence_relation` is purely semantic.

# Semantic Sugar - Attaching Semantics

```
template <typename T> concept critical_code = /* ... */;
```

```
template <critical_code Operation>
```

```
void run_with_priviliges(const std::string &input, Operation operation) {
```

```
    operation(input);
```

```
}
```

```
int main() {
```

```
    run_with_priviliges("hello world", mark_critical [] (const auto &msg) {
```

```
        std::cout << msg << std::endl;
```

```
    }));
```

```
    return 0;
```

```
}
```



# Semantic Sugar

```
template <typename T> constexpr bool  
critical_code_v() { return false; };
```

```
struct critical_code_tag {};  
template <typename T> requires  
std::is_base_of_v<critical_code_tag, T>  
constexpr bool critical_code_v() {  
    return true;  
};
```

```
template <typename T> concept  
critical_code = critical_code_v<T>();
```

```
template <typename T>  
struct mark_critical : T,  
    critical_code_tag {  
    using T::operator();  
};  
// deduction guide  
template <typename T> mark_critical(T)  
-> mark_critical<T>;
```


<https://godbolt.org/z/4q7fxn>



# Points to Take Home

- Concepts are great
- `requires` doesn't require concepts
- Library writers - give your users power
  - Build escape hatches / warrants
  - Consider call-site customizations
- C++ Standard
  - Consider concept specialization
  - Consider type-trait specialization



A decorative graphic on the left side of the slide consisting of two overlapping parallelograms. The front parallelogram is blue and the back one is a light green color. Both are oriented diagonally, with their longer sides running from the top-left towards the bottom-right.

Thank You  
Questions are  
Welcome