### LOGIC FOR PHILOSOPHY

# 1 Chapter one

# 2 Chapter two

#### 2.1 Disjunction and equivalence

(a) We need to show that for any wff  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$ , and any PL-interpretation  $\mathscr{I}$ ,  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi \vee \chi)=1$  iff either  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ . We must first notice that  $(\varphi \vee \chi)$  is just shorthand for  $(\sim \varphi \to \chi)$ , so that what we need to show is that for any wff  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$ , and any PL-interpretation  $\mathscr{I}$ ,  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$  iff either  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ . This can be done by showing, first, that, if  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$  then  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ , and subsequently that, if  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$  then  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$ .

Let us start by proving that if  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$ , then either  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ . We first assume that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$ . Recall that we have defined the valuation function for  $\to$  as follows:  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi \to \psi)=1$  iff either  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=0$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\psi)=1$ . Given our assumption, we can therefore say that either  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi)=0$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ . But then, given the definition for the valuation function for  $\sim$ , which is  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \psi)=1$  iff  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=0$ , we can say that either  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ . This is what we wanted to show.

The second stage of the proof requires us to prove that if  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$  then  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim\varphi\to\chi)=1$ . So, let us assume that either  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ . By the valuation function for  $\sim$ , we see how our assumption implies that ei-

ther  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi)=0$  or  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ . And so, subsequently, by the valuation function for  $\rightarrow$ , we can demonstrate that our assumption implies that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \rightarrow \chi)=1$ .  $\square$ 

(b) We need to show that for any wff  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$ , and any PL-interpretation  $\mathscr{I}, V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi \mapsto \chi) = 1$  iff  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi) = V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi)$ . We begin by noting that  $\varphi \mapsto \psi$  is shorthand for  $(\varphi \to \psi) \land (\psi \to \varphi)$ , and that the latter in its turn is shorthand for  $(\sim (\varphi \to \psi) \to \sim (\psi \to \varphi))$ . This means that what we need to show is that, for any wff  $\varphi$  and  $\chi$ , and any PL-interpretation  $\mathscr{I}, V_{\mathscr{I}}(\sim ((\varphi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \varphi))) = 1$  iff  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi) = V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi)$ . This can be done by showing, first that, if  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\sim ((\varphi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \varphi))) = 1$  then  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi) = V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi)$ , and, subsequently, that if  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi) = V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi)$ , then  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\sim ((\varphi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \varphi))) = 1$ .

Let us start by proving that if  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim ((\phi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \chi)))$  $(\phi)$ )) = 1 then  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) = V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$ . We proceed by reductio, and so start by assuming that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) \neq V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$ . This allows us to assume that, say,  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\phi) = 1$  and that  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi) = 0$ . Recall that we have defined the valuation function for  $\rightarrow$  as follows:  $V_{\mathcal{J}}(\phi \to \psi) = 1$  iff either  $V_{\mathcal{J}}(\phi) = 0$  or  $V_{\mathcal{J}}(\psi) = 1$ . The converse of this is that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi \to \psi) = 0$  iff  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) = 1$ and  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\psi) = 0$ . We see that our assumptions about the valuation interpretation for  $\psi$  and  $\chi$  imply that  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\phi \rightarrow$  $\chi$ ) = 0. We now introduce the further assumption that  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\sim ((\phi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow \sim (\chi \rightarrow \phi))) = 1$ , which is just the antecedent of the conditional we are trying to prove. the definition of the valuation function of  $\sim$ , we see that  $V_{\mathscr{I}}((\phi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \phi)) = 0$ . But if we again rely on our definition of the valuation function of  $\rightarrow$ , we can demonstrate that  $V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\phi \to \chi) = 1$  (and that  $V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\sim (\chi \to \phi)) = 0$ ). But we have already demonstrated that  $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\phi \to \chi) = 0$ , and so we have a contradiction. This allows us to reject the assumption that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) \neq V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$ , and so we have shown that  $V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\sim ((\phi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \phi))) = 1$  then  $V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\phi) = V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\chi)$ .

Now we still need to prove that if  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) = V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$ , then

 $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$ . We assume that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$ , and so can assume that either  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=1$  and that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ , or that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=0$  and that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=0$ . We proceed in two straightforward stages.

First, we demonstrate that if we assume  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=1$  and that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ , it follows that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$ . We assume that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=1$  and that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ . Using our definitions for the valuation function of  $\to$  and  $\sim$ , we can say that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi\to\chi)=1$  and that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim(\chi\to\phi))=0$ . But this implies that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi))=0$ , which in turn implies that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$ . This is the desired result.

Second, we demonstrate that if we assume  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=0$  and that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=0$ , it equally follows that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$ . Again, the valuation function of  $\to$  and  $\sim$  allow us to say that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi\to\chi)=1$  and that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim(\chi\to\phi))=0$ . As shown, this implies that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)=0$ , which in turn implies that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$ .  $\square$ 

#### 2.2 Logical consequence

(a) We need to establish that  $\models [P \land (Q \lor R)] \rightarrow [(P \land Q) \lor (P \land R)]$ . We can prove this by reductio. So let  $\mathscr{J}$  be any PL-interpretation, and let us assume that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}((P \land (Q \lor R)) \rightarrow ((P \land Q) \lor (P \land R))) = 0$ . Given our definition of the valuation function  $\rightarrow$ , we can say that  $(i) \ V_{\mathscr{J}}(P \land (Q \lor R)) = 1$ , and that  $(ii) \ V_{\mathscr{J}}((P \land Q) \lor (P \land R)) = 0$ . Given our definitions of the valuation function  $\land$  and  $\lor$ , we can say that  $(iii) \ \mathscr{J}(P) = 1$  (from (i)), and that  $(iv) \ \mathscr{V}_{\mathscr{J}}(P \land Q) = 0$  (from (ii)), and so that  $(v) \ \mathscr{J}(P) = 0$  (from (ii)). We have now derived a contradiction from our assumption (iii & v), and so  $V_{\mathscr{J}}((P \land (Q \lor R)) \rightarrow ((P \land Q) \lor (P \land R))) = 1$ .  $\square$ 

(b) We need to establish that  $(P \leftrightarrow Q) \lor (R \leftrightarrow S) \not\models P \lor R$ . We can do this by finding an interpretation of P, Q, R, and S such that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(P \leftrightarrow Q) \lor (R \leftrightarrow S) = 1$  and  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(P \lor R) = 0$ . So, let  $\mathscr{J}$  be an interpretation such that  $\mathscr{J}(P) = 0$ ,  $\mathscr{J}(Q) = 0$ ,  $\mathscr{J}(R) = 0$ , and  $\mathscr{S}(P) = 0$ . Given our definition of the valuation function  $\leftrightarrow$ , we can say that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(P \leftrightarrow Q) = 1$ , and that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(R \leftrightarrow S) = 1$ . And given our definition of the valuation function  $\lor$  this implies that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(P \leftrightarrow Q) \lor (R \leftrightarrow S) = 1$ . But then, given our definition of the valuation function  $\lor$ , we can say that  $V_{\mathscr{J}}(P \lor R) = 0$ .  $\square$ 

**(c)**