LOGIC FOR PHILOSOPHY

1 Chapter one

2 Chapter two

2.1 Disjunction and equivalence

(a) We need to show that for any wff φ and χ , and any PL-interpretation \mathscr{I} , $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi \vee \chi)=1$ iff either $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$. We must first notice that $(\varphi \vee \chi)$ is just shorthand for $(\sim \varphi \to \chi)$, so that what we need to show is that for any wff φ and χ , and any PL-interpretation \mathscr{I} , $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$ iff either $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$. This can be done by showing, first, that, if $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$ then $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$, and subsequently that, if $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ then $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$.

Let us start by proving that if $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$, then either $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$. We first assume that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \to \chi)=1$. Recall that we have defined the valuation function for \to as follows: $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi \to \psi)=1$ iff either $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=0$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\psi)=1$. Given our assumption, we can therefore say that either $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi)=0$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$. But then, given the definition for the valuation function for \sim , which is $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi)=1$ iff $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=0$, we can say that either $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$. This is what we wanted to show.

The second stage of the proof requires us to prove that if $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$ then $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim\varphi\to\chi)=1$. So, let us assume that either $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\varphi)=1$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$. By the valuation function for \sim , we see how our assumption implies that ei-

ther $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi)=0$ or $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$. And so, subsequently, by the valuation function for \rightarrow , we can demonstrate that our assumption implies that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim \varphi \rightarrow \chi)=1$. \square

(b) We need to show that for any wff φ and χ , and any PL-interpretation \mathscr{I} , $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi \mapsto \chi) = 1$ iff $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi) = V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi)$. We begin by noting that $\varphi \mapsto \psi$ is shorthand for $(\varphi \to \psi) \land (\psi \to \varphi)$, and that the latter in its turn is shorthand for $(\sim (\varphi \to \psi) \to \sim (\psi \to \varphi))$. This means that what we need to show is that, for any wff φ and χ , and any PL-interpretation \mathscr{I} , $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\sim ((\varphi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \varphi))) = 1$ iff $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi) = V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi)$. This can be done by showing, first that, if $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\sim ((\varphi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \varphi))) = 1$ then $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi) = V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi)$, and, subsequently, that if $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\varphi) = V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi)$, then $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\sim ((\varphi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \varphi))) = 1$.

Let us start by proving that if $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim ((\phi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \chi)))$ (ϕ))) = 1 then $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) = V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$. We proceed by reductio, and so start by assuming that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) \neq V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$. This allows us to assume that, say, $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\phi) = 1$ and that $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\chi) = 0$. Recall that we have defined the valuation function for \rightarrow as follows: $V_{\mathcal{J}}(\phi \to \psi) = 1$ iff either $V_{\mathcal{J}}(\phi) = 0$ or $V_{\mathcal{J}}(\psi) = 1$. The converse of this is that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi \to \psi) = 0$ iff $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) = 1$ and $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\psi) = 0$. We see that our assumptions about the valuation interpretation for ψ and χ imply that $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\phi \rightarrow$ χ) = 0. We now introduce the further assumption that $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\sim ((\phi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow \sim (\chi \rightarrow \phi))) = 1$, which is just the antecedent of the conditional we are trying to prove. the definition of the valuation function of \sim , we see that $V_{\mathscr{I}}((\phi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \phi)) = 0$. But if we again rely on our definition of the valuation function of \rightarrow , we can demonstrate that $V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\phi \to \chi) = 1$ (and that $V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\sim (\chi \to \phi)) = 0$). But we have already demonstrated that $V_{\mathscr{I}}(\phi \to \chi) = 0$, and so we have a contradiction. This allows us to reject the assumption that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) \neq V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$, and so we have shown that $V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\sim ((\phi \to \chi) \to \sim (\chi \to \phi))) = 1$ then $V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\phi) = V_{\mathscr{Q}}(\chi)$.

Now we still need to prove that if $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi) = V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$, then

 $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$. We assume that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)$, and so can assume that either $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=1$ and that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$, or that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=0$ and that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=0$. We proceed in two straightforward stages.

First, we demonstrate that if we assume $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=1$ and that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$, it follows that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$. We assume that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=1$ and that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=1$. Using our definitions for the valuation function of \to and \sim , we can say that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi\to\chi)=1$ and that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim(\chi\to\phi))=0$. But this implies that $V_{\mathscr{J}}((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi))=0$, which in turn implies that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$. This is the desired result.

Second, we demonstrate that if we assume $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi)=0$ and that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\chi)=0$, it equally follows that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$. Again, the valuation function of \to and \sim allow us to say that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi\to\chi)=1$ and that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim(\chi\to\phi))=0$. As shown, this implies that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)=0$, which in turn implies that $V_{\mathscr{J}}(\sim((\phi\to\chi)\to\sim(\chi\to\phi)))=1$. \square

3 Logical consequence

(a) We need to establish that $\models [P \land (Q \lor R)] \rightarrow [(P \land Q) \lor (P \land R)]$. We can prove this by reductio. So let \mathscr{J} be any PL-interpretation, and let us assume that $V_{\mathscr{J}}((P \land (Q \lor R)) \rightarrow ((P \land Q) \lor (P \land R))) = 0$. Given our definition of the valuation function \rightarrow , we can say that $(i) \ V_{\mathscr{J}}(P \land (Q \lor R)) = 1$, and that $(ii) \ V_{\mathscr{J}}((P \land Q) \lor (P \land R)) = 0$. Given our definitions of the valuation function \land and \lor , we can say that $(iii) \ \mathscr{J}(P) = 1$ (from (i)), and that $(iv) \ V_{\mathscr{J}}(P \land Q) = 0$ (from (ii)), and so that $(v) \ \mathscr{J}(P) = 0$ (from (ii)). We have now derived a contradiction from our assumption (iii & v), and so $V_{\mathscr{J}}((P \land Q) \lor (P \land R))) = 1$.

(b)

(c)