

Knuth Algorithm For Balanced Codes Using Gray Code

Itay Nakash, Yuval Goldberg

March 7, 2022

Abstract

Our goal is to use Gray code in the Knuth algorithm for balanced codes, and create balanced code word with only $\log(2n+1)+1 \approx \log(n)+2$ redundancy bits. Using Gray code for the Knuth algorithm will help us create an almost-contiguous function for the code's hamming weight, and by that, a code as needed.

1 Introduction

1.1 Problem Definition

We want to be able to encode information without using many appearances of the same symbol, to address engineering problems such as dealing with charge leakage, as we saw in the lectures.

In this example, charge is being depleting over time, and we want to be able to read the encoded information after the charge depleting. Using codes with predefined ratio between high entries and low entries will allow us to set the charge threshold dynamically and have access to the data even when charge has been lost.

Therefore, given a vector $v \in \{0,1\}^n$, we want to encode v into $u \in \{0,1\}^m$ such that $m > n$, and $\#_1(u) = \#_0(u)$.

We will define u as a balanced code word if $\#_1(u) = \#_0(u)$, and we will define encoder C as a balanced encoder if for each $v \in \{0,1\}^n$, $C(v) = u$ when u is a balanced code word.

1.2 Known Solution

We based our solution on Knuth's algorithm for balanced codes suggested in [1] for binary alphabet. The algorithm suggested goes as follows, given $v \in \{0,1\}^n$:

1. Initialize $i = 0$
2. Count the number of 1s in v , if $\#_1(v) = \#_0(v)$, go to 5
3. $i \leftarrow i + 1$, and assign $\vec{v}_i = \overline{\vec{v}_i}$
4. Repeat 2
5. encode i in additional $\log(n+1)$ bits in the end of \vec{v} and return the new vector \vec{v}

We saw a proof to the correctness of this algorithm in the lectures.

The original algorithm is focusing about balancing the original word, without addressing the additional bits of metadata. The solution we saw in the lectures to this problem is to recursively use this algorithm on the index bits. This solution will add additional $\log(|index|)$ for each iteration, and can add a significant number of redundancy bits.

1.3 Issues we addressed

The main issue we addressed was the need for fine tuning the meta-data bits (the bits using to decode the index of the last flipped bit).

In the current Knuth algorithm, balancing those bits can add additional redundancy to the code.

We decided to balance the word including its metadata (the index), using gray code, and by that to get a fully balanced word, using only $\log(n+1)$ redundancy bits.

In addition, using analytical and numerical calculations, we added a decode function the can decode a word without the length of the original encoded word.

2 Initial attempt

Initially we decided to implement Knuth algorithm using regular gray code, and balancing the entire word (including the additional $\log n + 1$ bits of metadata).

In each step of this algorithm, we flip a single bit in the original data, and because of Gray code's property of flipping only a single bit when increasing the value by 1, in each step the Hamming weight of the whole word would change by 0 or 2, which is almost continuous, and resembles the original Knuth algorithm.

In order to deal with the jumps by 2, we added an extra balancing bit to the end of the word, using to fine-tune the weight. By that, we hoped we will save the additional balancing of the word, and encode each word with only $\log n + 1$ redundancy bits. Although brute-force and stress tests checking will show that for almost all words this attempt will work, we identified that it can't promise a balanced encoded word for all data vectors.

Explicitly, our first algorithm attempt was:

1. Initialize $i = 0$.
2. Count the number of 1s in v , If $\#_1(v) = \#_0(v)$, go to 5.
3. Increase $i \leftarrow i + 1$, and assign $\vec{v}_i = \overline{\vec{v}_i}$.
4. Repeat 2.
5. encode i in additional $\log(n+1)$ bits (using Gray code) in the end of \vec{v} and return the new vector.

2.1 Empirical testing

At first, in order to check the feasibility of the algorithm, we created a basic Python script that's working on small vectors. The script runs over all of the 20 bits vectors, and balancing each one of them using the algorithm. After balancing successfully all those vectors, we were optimistic and started working on the algorithm's correctness proof.

2.2 Proof attempt

Denote $k = \#_1 - \#_0$

As we saw in the regular Knuth proof from the lectures, in order to prove that the algorithm will find a balanced word during its encoding, we need to prove two things:

1. The weight difference function is "almost-continuous" (continuous up to two-difference).
2. The weight difference, k , is starting when $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and finishing when $k' \geq \frac{n}{2}$ or vice versa.

We were able to show, as mentioned before, that the function is indeed continuous up to two values difference ("almost continuous"). However, we encountered some issues proving the second lemma.

After adding the index (using Gray code), we added additional $\log(n+1)$ bits that change the weight balance of the encoded word.

We first noticed that when the encoded word v has $|\#_1(v) - \#_0(v)| \geq \log(n+1) + 1$ it easy to prove that after flipping all of the bits in the original word and adding the Gray-encoded index, we will get

a vector as wanted for the second condition. Let's assume $\#_1(v) - \#_0(v) \geq \log(n+1) + 1$. We will get $\#_0(\bar{v}) - \#_1(\bar{v}) \geq \log(n+1) + 1$, so after adding an arbitrary $\log(n+1) + 1$ bits word, that we denote as u :

$$\begin{aligned}\#_1(vu) - \#_0(vu) &= (\#_1(v) - \#_0(v)) + (\#_1(u) - \#_0(u)) \geq (\log(n+1) + 1) - (\log(n+1) + 1) = 0 \\ \#_0(\bar{v}u) - \#_1(\bar{v}u) &= (\#_0(\bar{v}) - \#_1(\bar{v})) + (\#_0(u) - \#_1(u)) \geq (\log(n+1) + 1) - (\log(n+1) + 1) = 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Hamming weight changes gradually from above half of the length of the word, to below it. So the second lemma applies here, and we could prove the correctness of the whole algorithm for this case.

2.3 Edge cases

After a few disproof attempts, we encountered the following word:

$$v = 1111111100000000$$

Let's see all of the algorithm steps for this word, and their Hamming weight:

	<i>Flipped word</i>	<i>Gray</i>
0.	1111111100000000	0000 <i>weight</i> = 8
1.	0111111100000000	0001 <i>weight</i> = 8
2.	0011111100000000	0011 <i>weight</i> = 8
3.	0001111100000000	0010 <i>weight</i> = 6
4.	0000111100000000	0110 <i>weight</i> = 6
5.	0000011100000000	0111 <i>weight</i> = 6
6.	0000001100000000	0101 <i>weight</i> = 4
7.	0000000100000000	0100 <i>weight</i> = 2
8.	0000000000000000	1100 <i>weight</i> = 2
9.	0000000010000000	1101 <i>weight</i> = 4
10.	0000000011000000	1111 <i>weight</i> = 6
11.	0000000011100000	1110 <i>weight</i> = 6
12.	0000000011110000	1010 <i>weight</i> = 6
13.	0000000011111000	1011 <i>weight</i> = 8
14.	0000000011111100	1001 <i>weight</i> = 8
15.	0000000011111111	1000 <i>weight</i> = 8

While the word length is 19, so a balanced code-word will have the weight of 9 or 10.

But even adding an additional fine-tuning bit, will extend the length of this word to 20, and it's not possible to balance it using this method.

In a similar way, using brute-force, we were able to find counterexamples for different kinds of unusual Gray codes (such as monotonic Gray code, that could promise an almost-increasing Gray code by Hamming weight) that disprove this algorithm for each Gray code.

3 Improved algorithm

After the failure, we encountered a similar solution, that is a special case of an algorithm shown in [2]. In contrast to our first assumption, and as shown above, to implement this algorithm we will need $\log(2n+1) + 1 \approx \log(n) + 2$ redundancy bits. The mentioned article proves that after finishing to flip increasing prefixes of the original word, we start to flip decreasing suffixes of the original word, and encode the index of the flip using Gray code, it will guarantee that a balanced word would be found along the algorithm.

The improved algorithm goes as follows:

1. Initialize $i = 0$. Denote u as the Gray code of i .
2. Count the number of 1s in vu , If $\#_1(vu) = \#_0(vu)$, return vu .
3. If $i > 0$, flip $\vec{v}_{(i-1)(\bmod n)}$
4. Increase $i \leftarrow i + 1$.
5. Repeat 2.

3.1 Finding the word length

We decided to add additional feature to our project, and to able users to decode a word without knowing its initial size (the user will use only the encoded full vector, without knowing how many redundancy bits it actually has). Since we know the encoding algorithm, we can conclude this equation for the original word size:

$$m = n + \log(2n + 1) + 1$$

Initially we tried solving this equation analytically, and used Lambert W function to calculate the original size. However, due to numerical errors, we saw that this calculation isn't accurate enough, and doesn't work for large words (1000 and above).

Therefore, we decided to solve this using binary search to find the exact value that will solve the equation, relying on the fact the the length of the encoded word is increasing as a function of n .


4 Implementation

We used git as our version-control framework during the development phase, and we uploaded our code as open source on GitHub: [GitHub repository](#)

In the GitHub repository you can find all the implementation under "*GrayKnuth*" package, with detailed Read-me file. We used Python, and sympy package that implements Gray code functionality. We also implemented some tests using "*pytest*" framework, which appear in `test_gray_knuth.py`.

5 Results

After applying all the above improvements to the classic Knuth algorithm, our algorithm was able to encode balanced word much more efficiently, and with less redundancy. The following graph describes the redundancy of our algorithm in compare to the optimized current implementation of the algorithm:



This graph compares the redundancy between our new algorithm implementation and the recursive optimized existing implementation of the algorithm. As our analytical analysis predict, the original algorithm require more redundancy bits than our improved algorithm. In addition, we can see that the different is greater when the length of the vector is greater. Thus, when using longer vectors it is much better to use our implementation compared to the original.

References

- [1] DONALDE Knuth. Efficient balanced codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 32(1):51–53, 1986.
- [2] Elie N Mambou and Theo G Swart. A construction for balancing non-binary sequences based on gray code prefixes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(8):5961–5969, 2017.