

#### **COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Case No:77/LM/Dec10

In the matter between:

UNILEVER PLC Acquiring Firm

And

**ALBERTO-CULVER COMPANY** 

Target Firm

Panel : Norman Manoim (Presiding Member),

Yasmin Carrim (Tribunal Member)

Andreas Wessels (Tribunal Member)

Heard on : 23 March 2011 Order issued on : 23 March 2011 Reasons issued on : 12 April 2011

#### **Reasons for Decision**

# **Approval**

1] On 23 March 2011 the Competition Tribunal ("Tribunal") approved the large merger between Unilever Plc and Alberto-Culver Company. The reasons for approving the proposed transaction follow below.

### The Parties to the transaction

2] The primary acquiring firm is Unilever Group ("Unilever") which has two parent companies, namely, Unilever Plc and Unilever N.V. Both Unilever Plc and Unilever N.V are public companies listed on the London Stock Exchange and Euronext Amsterdam respectively. Both are not controlled

by any single entity. In South Africa, Unilever provides its activities through Unilever South Africa (Pty) Ltd, which is controlled by Unilever South Africa Holdings (Pty) Ltd, which in turn is controlled by Unilever Best Foods Holdings LLC and Unilever Holdings BV.

3] The target firm is Alberto-Culver Company, a company listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

#### The Rationale

4] Alberto-Culver submits that the proposed transaction will increase competition because it will enable it to become effective in the face of competition from larger companies with a more diversified offering and greater financial resources.

# The parties' activities

- 5] Unilever is a worldwide supplier of fast moving consumer goods, food, home care and personal care categories. In the food and beverages category, Unilever supplies products such as soups, spreads, beverages, sauces, oils and ice cream under the brands Lipton, Magnum, Bertolli and Becel. In the personal care category, it provides deodorants, bath and shower products, skin care products, oral care products and hair care products under the following brands, Dove, Sunsilk, Organics and Timonei. Of these only Organics and Sunsilk are currently marketed in South Africa.
- 6] In South Africa, Alberto's only activities involve hair care products under brands such as, TRESemmé, Nexxus, Soft and Beautiful, Motions, and Just for Me.

# The relevant market and the impact on competition

- 1] The Commission found that there is a distinction in hair care products based on the type of hair, that is, Caucasian and ethnic hair type. It defined the relevant product market to include eight categories, being ethnic shampoos, conditioners, styling and relaxers; and Caucasian shampoos, conditioners, styling and hairs sprays.
- 2] With respect to all the ethnic products, and the Caucasian styling and hairsprays, the Commission found that the proposed transaction is

unlikely to prevent or substantially lessen competition, due to either low combined market shares or low market share accretion. Unlike in the Caucasian hair market where big brands tend to dominate, these other markets as defined by the Commission seem to be populated by many suppliers.

- 3] The Commission paid a lot of attention to the Caucasian hair shampoo and conditioners market where there is high post merger combined market share.
- 4] The combined post merger market share of Unilever in the shampoos and conditioners market would be as follows:

**Table 1: Shampoos** 

Market share	Unilever	Alberto-Culver	Merged Entity
Shampoo 2009	13-15%	13-15%	26-30%
Shampoo 2010	13-15%	13-15%	26-30%
Caucasian shampoo 2009	12-14%	12-14%	24-28%
Caucasian shampoo 2010	13-15%	13-15%	26-30%

**Table 2: Conditioners and treatments** 

Market share	Unilever	Alberto-Culver	Merged entity
Conditioner 2009	14-16%	20-22%	34-38%
Conditioner 2010	13-15%	20-23%	33-38%
Caucasian conditioner 2009	13-15%	20-22%	33-37%
Caucasian conditioner 2010	13-15%	20-23%	33-38%

5] The merging parties' prominent brands in the Caucasian shampoo and

conditioners market are Sunsilk and Organics (Unilever) and TRESemmé (Alberto Culver). The Commission found that these brands were likely to be the closest competitors to each other. This was confirmed by views of customers.<sup>1</sup>

- 6] Despite the high post merger market shares, the Commission found that this did not raise concerns about unilateral or co-ordinated effects. This is because entry in this market is easy both at a brand level and at adjacent market level. It submitted that brands in the Caucasian shampoo and conditioner market could be segmented into four categories such as affordability, value for money, prestige, and premiums. However the Commission did not deem it necessary to define the market with respect to those particular segments because of the ease with which brands can be re-launched from one segment to another. An example of this was TRESemmé itself which had been re-launched from the salon segment into the retail market in the value for money segment. The Commission further submitted that there was potential entry, from competitors that operate in adjacent ethnic markets, into the Caucasian market. It argued that the market is a very dynamic and innovative one and that to remain relevant and dynamic, even big companies re-launch brands all the time.
- 7] It was further submitted that the market is very price sensitive and that market share increases and decreases were immediately discernable when prices moved up or down. Further, that although brand recognition is important, consumers are not particularly brand loyal, and that consumers would respond to increases in process by trading down. The merging parties confirmed this at the hearing and pointed to fluctuations in market shares of specific brands as evidence of the sensitivity of these products to changes in pricing.
- 8] The Commission further found that there is some level of countervailing power from large retailers because what they stock is determined by the consumers' preference. In the past retailers have simply taken product off the shelves where sales volumes were too low due to high pricing.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See Commission recommendation page 71

<sup>2</sup> See the views expressed by customers of the merging parties at Competition Commission's recommendation page  $81\mbox{-}82$ 

9] In light of the above, the Commission found that the transaction is unlikely to substantially prevent or lessen competition in the relevant markets.

10] In relation to the concerns raised in the United States with regard to

TRESemmé, VO5 and some of Unilever brands, it was submitted on

behalf of the merging parties that it would be hard to draw comparisons

because the market conditions and circumstances in the United States

are different from those in South Africa, due to the fact that Alberto Culver

has a much bigger presence in the United States than in South Africa.

Drawing meaningful comparisons was also made more difficult because

the Unilever brands sold in South Africa are different from those in the

United States, an example being Organics, which is an exclusively South

African product.

11] In light of the above, we find that the transaction would not substantially

prevent or lessen competition in the relevant markets.

CONCLUSION

12] In relation to the public interest issues, Unilever undertook that out of a

total of 25 employees, there will be no more than 15 retrenchments.

Further that apart from four jobs which are more blue collar type, the

remainder are white collar employees who would not have too many

difficulties in finding alternative employment.

13] There are no significant public interest issues and we accordingly approve

the transaction.

12 April 2011

**YASMIN CARRIM** 

DATE

N Manoim and A Wessels concurring.

Tribunal Researcher: Tebogo Hlafane

For the merging parties: Nortons Inc.

For the Commission: Mr Mfundo Ngobese

Mr Nicholas Ngepah