Mathematical Proofs: Portfolio (Latex Code) by Ted Yap

```
1 \documentclass[12pt]{article} % this is the type of document we are using
   \usepackage{amssymb, amsthm} % lots of good math symbols
3 \usepackage{graphics, graphicx} % graphics for .eps files, graphicx for .pdf, .jpg, .png,
   or .tif files
4 \usepackage[fleqn]{amsmath} % lots of good math stuff
5 \usepackage{mathrsfs} % enables RSFS fonts, including \mathscr
   \usepackage{verbatim} % this package enables us to create multiline comments
   \usepackage{color} % for font colors
   \usepackage{titling}
9 \usepackage{enumitem}
10 \usepackage{changepage, fullpage}
   \usepackage{nccmath, listings}
12
13 \let\euscr\mathscr \let\mathscr\relax
14 \usepackage[scr]{rsfso}
15 \newcommand{\powerset}{\raisebox{.15\baselineskip}{\Large\ensuremath{\wp}}}
17 \title{\textbf{Mathematical Proofs: Portfolio} \Large \\ \vspace{.5cm} Illinois Wesleyan
   University}
18 \author{Ted Yap}
19
20 \newtheorem{theorem}{Theorem}
   \newtheorem*{lemma*}{Lemma}
22 \newtheorem{proposition}{Proposition}
23 \newtheorem{definition}{Definition}
   \newtheorem{corollary}{Corollary}
^{24}
   \newtheorem{notation}{Notation}
26
   28
   \textwidth = 6.5 in \oddsidemargin = 0.0 in \evensidemargin = 0.0 in % setting up the
30
31
   \begin{document}
32
   \begin{titlepage}
     \clearpage\maketitle
^{34}
     \thispagestyle{empty}
35
36
37
      \newpage
     \thispagestyle{empty}
38
      \mathbf{mbox}
39
   \end{titlepage}
40
41
42
43
   \clearpage
44
45 \tableofcontents
46 \thispagestyle{empty}
47
48 \newpage
49 \clearpage
50 \pagenumbering{arabic}
51 \begin{flushleft}
```

```
52 \section{Introduction}
54
55 Currently taking Techniques of Mathematical Proofs at Illinois Wesleyan University, I put
   together a portfolio of basic mathematical proofs to demonstrate some of the best works
   that I have completed. To ensure that I have covered the breadth and depth of the subject
   matter, I selected proofs based on difficulty and method of proving. For each method of
   proof, I included a summary discussing about the method, and consequently, listed out a few
    proofs that I solved.
57
58
59 \newpage
   \section{Direct Proof}
62 A true mathematical statement whose truth is accepted without proof is referred
63 to as an \textbf{axiom}, while a true mathematical statement whose truth can be verified is
    referred to as a \textbf{theorem}. In nearly all theorems, we will encounter the
   implication $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$, where $P$ and $Q$ are \textbf{open sentences} with
   variable $x$ whose domain is $S$.
64 \bigskip
66 In a \text{textbf}(\text{direct proof}) of P(x) \cap Q(x) for all x \in S, we assume P(x)
   is true for some element x \in S and show that Q(x) is true for this element x.
   Theorem is proved below using direct proof. \\
68 \begin{theorem}
    If $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq C$ and $C \subseteq A$, then $A = B$ and $B = C$.
69
70 \end{theorem}
71
   \begin{proof}
     Assume $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq C$ and $C \subseteq A$. We consider two parts. \
74
75
      Part 1: Prove $A = B$. \bigskip
76
      \begin{adjustwidth}{1cm}{\To prove $A = B$, show $B \subseteq A$, given that $A \}
77
      subseteq B$. Since $B \subseteq C$, if $x \in B$, then $x \in C$. However, since $C \
      subseteq A$, then $x \in A$. Hence, $x \in B \Rightarrow x \in A$, and $B \subseteq A
      $. \bigskip
      \end{adjustwidth}
78
      Part 2: Prove $B = C$. \bigskip
79
      \begin{adjustwidth}{1cm}{}
80
81
     To prove $B = C$, show $C \subseteq B$, given that $B \subseteq C$. Since $C \subseteq
      A$, if $x \in C$, then $x \in A$. However, since $A \subseteq B$, then $x \in B$. Hence,
      $x \in C \Rightarrow x \in B$, and $C \subseteq B$. \bigskip
      \end{adjustwidth}
82
      Hence, from Part 1 and 2, $A = B$ and $B = C$. \bigskip
83
          \end{proof}
84
86 As one can see, to prove Theorem 1, it is assumed that $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq C$
    and $C \subseteq A$, and using the definition of subsets, we show $A = B$ and $B = C$.
   This proof might seem trivial, but one needs to understand the definitions in order to
   correctly prove the result.
89 \section{Proof by Cases}
```

```
91 When the variable $x$ in P(x)$ and Q(x)$ possesses more than one properties, such as if $
    x$ can be even or odd, it is useful to divide the proof into multiple cases with each case
    for each property of $x$. Such technique of proof is called \textbf{proof by cases}. \
    bigskip
93 \begin{theorem}
     Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $a$ is even or $b$ is even, then $ab$ is even.
    \end{theorem}
    \begin{proof}
96
     Consider three cases. \bigskip
97
98
          Case 1: $a$ and $b$ are both even. \bigskip
99
          \begin{adjustwidth}{1cm}{}
100
          Assume a and b are both even, then a, b = 2k, where k \sin \mathbb{Z}.
101
          Thus, ab=(2k)(2k) = 2(2k^2)$. Since 2k^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that ab$ is
          even. \bigskip
          \end{adjustwidth}
102
103
          Case 2: $a$ is even and $b$ is odd. \bigskip
          \begin{adjustwidth}{1cm}{}
104
          Assume a is even and b is odd, then a = 2k and b = 2k + 1, where k in
105
          \boldsymbol{Z}\. Thus, ab=(2k)(2k+1)=2[k(2k+1)]\. Since k(2k+1)\in \mathbb{Z}\, it
          follows that $ab$ is even. \bigskip
106
          \end{adjustwidth}
          Case 3: $a$ is odd and $b$ is even. \bigskip
107
108
          \begin{adjustwidth}{1cm}{}
          Then a = 2k + 1 and b = 2k, where k \sin mathbb{Z}. Thus, a = (2k+1)(2k)
109
          =2[k(2k+1)]$. Since k(2k+1)\in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $ab$ is even. \bigskip
110
          \end{adjustwidth} \bigskip
111
          Hence, in all cases, it has been shown that if $a$ is even or $b$ is even, then $ab$
112
           is even. \bigskip
113
          Note that in all three cases, subsets of the pair $(a, b)$ do not intersect. Hence,
114
          the cases are determined by a partition. \bigskip
115 \end{proof}
116
   Since the premise $a$ is even or $b$ is even is a disjunction, there are three cases for
    which the disjunction can be true. Therefore, it is a good idea to use proof by cases for
    this theorem.
118 \newpage
120 \section{Proof by Contrapositive}
122 The \text{textbf}\{\text{contrapositive}\}\  of the implication P(x) \  is the implication
    \sum Q(x) 
    implication is logically equivalent to the implication. Hence, in \textbf{proof by
    contrapositive}, we assume \sum Q(x) is true for some x \in S and show \lim P(x) is
    true for this element x. \mathbf{bigskip}
123
124 \begin{theorem}
     Let x \in \mathbb{Z}. (3x+1) is even if and only if 5x - 2 is odd. \
125
126
   \end{theorem}
127
128 \begin{proof}
     Assume, by way of contrapositive, (3x+1) is odd if and only if 5x - 2 is even. This
     contrapositive is a bi-conditional statement. Therefore, we need to prove two parts: \
```

```
bigskip
130
                     Part 1: Prove that if $(3x+1)$ is odd, then $5x - 2$ is even. \bigskip
131
132
                        \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{begin} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} 
133
                        \mathbb{Z}$. Thus,
                               \begin{equation}
134
                          \begin{split}
135
                               5x - 2 \&= 2x + (3x + 1) - 3 \setminus
                                           \&= 2x + 2k - 2 \setminus
137
                                                                    \&= 2(x + k - 1)
138
139
                          \end{split}
                      \end{equation}
140
                               Since (x + k - 1) \in \mathbb{Z}, then 5x - 2 is even because it can be written
                               in the form of $2p$ where p \in \mathbb{Z}\ .  

\bigskip
                               \end{adjustwidth}
143
                               Part 2: Prove that if $5x - 2$ is even, then $(3x+1)$ is odd. \bigskip
144
145
                                  146
                                 \mathbb{Z}. Thus,
147
                               \begin{equation}
148
                           \begin{split}
                               3x+1 &= (5x - 2) - 2x + 3 \\
149
                                      \&= 2(k - x + 1) + 1 \setminus 
150
                          \end{split}
                      \end{equation}
152
                               Since (k - x + 1) in \mathbb{Z}, then 3x+1 is odd because it can be written in
153
                               the form of $2p+1$ where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. \bigskip
                               \end{adjustwidth}
154
                               Now that the contrapositive is proven to be true, it must also be true that (3x+1)
156
                                 is even if and only if $5x - 2$ is odd.
157 \end{proof}
158
159 Here, the implication is (3x+1) is even if and only if 5x - 2 is odd. Since this is a
            bi-conditional statement, its contrapositive, (3x+1) is odd if and only if 5x - 2 is
            even, is also a bi-conditional statement. Therefore, both directions of implication must be
             proved.
160 \newpage
162 \section{Proof by Contradiction}
163 It can sometimes be useful to use \textbf{proof by contradiction} to show the implication $
           P(x) \setminus Rightarrow Q(x) for all x \in S is true. In such technique of proof, we first
            assume P(x) and the negated conclusion \sin Q(x). However, such assumptions lead to a
            contradiction. Therefore, Q(x) must be true. bigskip
164
           \begin{theorem}
               $A$ is any set and $\emptyset$ is the empty set, then $\emptyset \subseteq A$.
166
           \end{theorem}
168 \begin{proof}
169 Consider two cases. \bigskip
170
171 Case 1:
172
173 \begin{adjustwidth}{1cm}{}
                Let $A$ be an empty set. Then, A = $\emptyset$. Hence, by definition of equivalent sets,
```

```
$A \subseteq \emptyset$ and $\emptyset \subseteq A$. \bigskip
175 \end{adjustwidth}
176 Case 2:
177
178 \begin{adjustwidth}{1cm}{}
     Let $A$ be a nonempty set. By way of contradiction, assume that $\emptyset \subsetneq A
179
     $. Then, if $x \in \emptyset$, then $x \notin A$. Since there is no element in the empty
      set, it contradicts that there is an element in the empty set such that the element is
     not in $A$. Therefore, $\emptyset \subseteq A$, for any set $A$.
   \end{adjustwidth}
    \end{proof}
181
182
183 \begin{theorem}
     Let $R$ be an equivalence relation defined on a nonempty set $A$. Then the set
      \begin{center}
185
       P = \{[a] : a \in A\}
186
187
      \end{center}
    of equivalence classes resulting from $R$ is a partition of $A$.
188
    \end{theorem}
190
191 \begin{proof}
     A set $P$ of equivalence classes forms a partition of $A$ if and only if every element of
192
      $A$ belongs to exactly one subset of $P$. Assume, by contradiction, that some element $x
      \in A$ belongs to two distinct equivalence classes, say $[a]$ and $[b]$. Since $x \in [a
     ]$ and $x \in [b]$, it follows that $xRa$ and $xRb$. Because $R$ is symmetric, $aRx$. Thus
      , $aRx$ and $xRb$. Since $R$ is transitive, $aRb$. It follows that $[a] = [b]$, which
      contradicts the assumption that $[a]$ and $[b]$ are two distinct classes. Therefore, $x$
     belongs to a unique equivalence class and the set $P$ forms a partition of $A$. \bigskip
193 \end{proof}
194
   In almost all cases where uniqueness must be shown, we will always use proof by contraction
196
197
198 \newpage
200 \section{Proof by Induction}
202 \textbf{Proof by Induction} is used to prove that a statement $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in
     \mathbb{N}$. According to the Principle of Mathematical Induction, the base case proves
    that P(n) is true for n = 1, while the inductive step proves that if P(k) is true for
    all k \in \mathbb{N}, then P(k+1) is true. If both the base case and inductive step
    are true, then P(n) is true for all n \in \mathbb{N}. Other variants of the Principle
    of Mathematical Induction include the Generalized Principle of Mathematical Induction and
    Strong Principle of Mathematical Induction. \\
203
204 \begin{theorem}
     For every $n \geq 1$ positive real numbers $a_1, a_2,\dotsb, a_n$,
205
           \begin{center}
206
207
            (\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_n)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_n}) \leq n^2
           \end{center}
208
    \end{theorem}
209
210
211 \begin{proof}
     For n=1, (\sum_{i=1}^{1} a_i)(\sum_{i=1}^{1} f_i) = \frac{1}{a_i} = 1 
      geq 1$ Hence, the inequality if true for $n=1$.
       Assume it is true for every $k \geq 1$ positive real numbers $a_1, a_2,\dotsb, a_k$
213
```

```
that
214
                         \begin{center}
                             (\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_k)(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{a_k}) \ge k^2
215
216
                         \end{center}
                         Show the inequality is true for k+1. Observe that
217
                             \begin{center}
218
                             (\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{k+1})(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{a_{k+1}}) = (\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{a_{k+1}}) = (\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{a_{k+1}})
                              k = a_k (\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{a_k}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{a_k} + \frac{1}{
                             \{a_k\}\{a_{k+1}\}\} + 1 \geq k^2 + (\frac{a_k}{a_k} + \frac{a_k}{a_k} + \frac{a_k}{a_k}) + 1\}
                         \end{center}
220
             Since (\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} + \frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}}) \ge 2k, then
221
222
                    \begin{center}
                             k^2 + (\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} + \frac{a_k}{a_{k+1}}) + 1 \ge k^2 + 1 = (k+1)^2
223
224
225
                   Hence, by Principle of Mathematical Induction, the claim is true.
226
         \end{proof}
227
228
229
230 \begin{theorem}
            If a_1 = 1, a_2, a_3 = 3, and a_n = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-3} for a_n \ge 4, then a_n = 2a_{n-1}
             = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$ for every integer n \geq 3. \\
232 \end{theorem}
233
234 \begin{proof}
             We use strong induction. Let P(n) be if a_1 = 1, a_2, a_3 = 3, and a_n = 2a_{n-1}
             - a_{n-3} for n \geq 4, then a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} for every integer n \geq 3.
236
237 For P(3), a_3 = a_2 + a_1 \times a_3 = 3. Given that a_3 = 3, P(3) is true.
         medskip
238
239 Assume P(i) is true where 3 \leq i \leq k. We show P(k+1) is true, where a_{k+1} = a_k + i \leq k
           a_{k-1}. Observe that a_{k+1} = 2a_k - a_{k-2} = a_k + a_k - a_{k-2} = a_k + a_{k-1} + a_{k-1}
         _{k-2} - a_{k-2} = a_k + a_{k-1}. Hence, P(k+1) is true. \mbox{medskip}
240
241 By the Strong Principle of Mathematical Induction, if $a_1 = 1, a_2, =2, a_3 = 3$, and $a_n
            = 2a_{n-1} - a_{n-3} for n \neq 4, then a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} for every integer n \neq 2
          \geq 3$.
242 \end{proof}
243 \newpage
244
246
247 \section{Others}
248
249 \subsection*{Proof Involving Equivalence Relations}
250
251 \begin{theorem}
            The relation R on \mathcal{R} if a - b = k in \mathcal{R}, k \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{R}
             }$, is an equivalence relation. \
         \end{theorem}
253
254
255 \begin{proof}
             To show $R$ is an equivalence relation, we show $R$ is reflexive, symmetric, and
             transitive. \medskip
257
```

```
Assume a \in \mathbb{R}, then a - a = 0 \cdot \mathbf{cdot} is. It follows that a\mathbb{R}, and \mathbb{R} is
258
                         reflexive. \medskip
259
                         Assume a - b = |x|  for some k \in \mathbb{Z}. Then, b - a = -k 
260
                        Since -k \in \mathbb{Z}, it follows that $bRa$ whenever $aRb$, and $R$ is symmetric.
                         medskip
261
                         Assume aRb and bRc, then a - b = k pi and b - c = k pi for some k \in \mathbb{N}
262
                         Z}$. Observe that a - c = (a - b) + (b - c) = k \neq i + k = (2k) pi$. Since $2k \in \
                        mathbb{Z}, it follows that aRc whenever aRb and aRc, and Rs is transitive. \
263
                        Hence, $R$ is an equivalence relation because it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive
264
             \end{proof}
265
266
             \subsection*{Proof Involving Sets and De Morgan's Laws}
267
268
269
             \begin{theorem}
                  If $A_1, A_2, \dotsb, A_n$ are any $n \geq 2$ sets, then
270
271
                         \begin{center}
                              \alpha_{-1} \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_n = \operatorname{A_1} \subset A_1 \subset A_1
272
                               \cup \dotsb \cup \overline{A_n}$. \\
273
                         \end{center}
            \end{theorem}
274
275
276 \begin{proof}
                   We proceed by induction. For $n = 2$, the result is De Morgan's law and is therefore true
277
                     . Assume that the result is true for any k sets, where k \geq 2; that is, assume that
                      if $B_1, B_2,\dotsb, B_k$ are any $k$ sets, then
278
                         \begin{center}
                         \ \overline{B_1} \subset B_2 \subset B_2 \subset B_k = \overline{B_1} \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \
279
                         cup \dotsb \cup \overline{B_k}$
280
                           \end{center}
                           We show that it is also true for $k+1$. Observe that
281
                            \begin{center}
282
                              \omega B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B_{k+1} = \omega B_1 \subset B_1 \subset B_1
283
                               _2} \cup \dotsb \cup \overline{B_{k+1}}$
                            \end{center}
284
285
                           Then, let S = B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B_k.
286
                            \begin{center}
                              \ \phi_B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B_{k+1} = \operatorname{B_1 \setminus B_{k+1}} = \phi_B_{k+1} = \phi_B_{k+1} = \phi_B_{k+1}
287
                              overline{S} \cap \overline{B_{k+1}}
288
                            \end{center}
                            Since \overrightarrow{S} = \overrightarrow{B_1} \subset B_2 \cdot \overrightarrow{B_k} = \overrightarrow{B_1} \subset B_1 \cdot \overrightarrow{B_1} \subset B_1
289
                            overline{B_2} \dotsb \overline{B_k} $, then
                            \begin{center}
290
                              \langle S \rangle = \langle B_{k+1} \rangle = \langle B_1 \rangle 
291
                              overline{B_k} \subset overline{B_{k+1}} $
292
                            \end{center}
                           Hence, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction, if $A_1, A_2, \dotsb, A_n$ are any $
293
                           n \geq 2$ sets, then
\displaystyle \operatorname{\cup} \operatorname{\cup} \operatorname{\cup} A_n}.
             \end{proof}
295
296
297 \subsection*{Proof Involving Divisibility of Integers}
```

```
298
    \begin{theorem}
     7 \right[3^{4n+1} - 5^{2n-1}] for every positive integer n.
300
301
    \end{theorem}
302
303 \begin{proof}
      We use induction. Let P(sns) be 7 \rightarrow [3^{4n+1} - 5^{2n-1}]s. For n = 1s, 3^{4(1)+1}
      -5^{2(1)-1} = 238 = 7(34). Thus, P(1) is true. Assume P($k$), which is $3^{4k+1} - 5^{2}
      k-1 = 7p$ where $p$ is any integer. We show P($k+1$) is true, which is 3^{4(k+1)+1} -
      5^{2(k+1)-1} = 7p$. Observe that \\
            \begin{equation}
305
306
         \begin{split}
           3^{4(k+1)+1} - 5^{2(k+1)-1} &= 3^4 \cdot 3^{4k+1} - 5^2 \cdot 5^{2k-1} \setminus
307
                               &= 3^4 \cdot (7p + 5^{2k-1}) - 5^2 \cdot (5^{2k-1}) 
308
                                           &= 7 \cdot 81p + 56 \cdot 5^{2k-1} \\
300
                                           \&= 7(81p + 8 \cdot cdot 5^{2k-1}) \setminus
310
         \end{split}
311
312
        \end{equation}
313
           Since p and k are both integers, p + 8 \cdot 5^{2k-1} is also an integer.
           Therefore, 3^{4k+1} - 5^{2k-1} is a multiple of $7$ and P($k+1$) is true. Hence,
           by mathematical induction, 7 \rightilde{13} [3^{4n+1} - 5^{2n-1}] for every positive
           integer $n$.
314 \end{proof}
315
    \subsection*{Proofs Involving Cardinalities of Sets}
316
317 \begin{theorem}
     \mid\mid\mid = \mid \mid \ - \ 2 \\mid.
318
    \end{theorem}
319
320
321 \begin{proof}
     To prove that the cardinalities of \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z} are the same,
      we show that there exists a bijective function $f$ such that $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \
      mathbb{Z} - {2 }, $\mathbb{Z}$ is denumerable since there exists a bijective function
      $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$ Since $\mathbb{Z} - \{ 2 \} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}
      $, \mathbb{Z} - \{ 2 \} is denumerable. A bijective function f: \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}
      rightarrow \mathbb{Z} - \{ 2 \}$ exists such that
323
           \begin{center}
             \mathbf{hellipsis}, f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, f(2) = 3, f(3) = 4, \mathbf{hellipsis}
324
           \end{center}
325
           Hence, \mid_{mathbb{Z}}\mid = \mid_{mathbb{Z}} - \{ 2 \}\mid.
326
    \end{proof}
327
328
    \begin{theorem}
329
     The set of irrational numbers \mathbf{I} is uncountable.
330
331
    \end{theorem}
332
333 \begin{proof}
      Assume, by contradiction, that the set of irrational numbers $\mathbb{I}\$ is countable.
     This implies \mathcal{L} is denumerable. Let \mathcal{Q} be the set of rational numbers
      . It has been shown that \mathcal{Q} is denumerable. Observe that \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{Q}
      mathbb{I} = \mathbf{0}.  Then, \mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{I} is also denumerable. However,
       \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{R} 
      which is a contradiction. Hence, the set of irrational numbers is not denumerable and
      uncountable.
335 \end{proof}
336 \newpage
337 \subsection*{Proof Involving Well Ordering Principle}
```

```
338 \begin{theorem}
     If $A$ is a well ordered set and $B$ is a nonempty subset of $A$, then $B$ is well
      ordered.
340 \end{theorem}
341
342 \begin{proof}
     To prove that $B$ is well ordered, we show every nonempty subset of $B$ has a least
      element. Assume $A$ is a well ordered set and $B$ is a nonempty subset of $A$. By
      definition of subsets, every subset of $B$ is also a subset of $A$. Since $A$ is a well-
      ordered set, every non-empty subset of $A$ has a least element. Therefore, every subset
     of $B$ has a least element. Hence, $B$ is a well-ordered set.
344 \end{proof}
345
346 \subsection*{Existence Proof}
347 \begin{theorem}
     There is no positive integer x such that 2x < x^2 < 3x.
349 \end{theorem}
350
351 \begin{proof}
     Assume, by contradiction, 2x < x^2 < 3x and that x is a positive integer. Since x \in
352
       \mathbb{N}, $2x < x^2 < 3x \Rightarrow 2 < x < 3$. Clearly, $x$ is not an integer,
      which contradicts the assumption that $x$ is a positive integer. Therefore, by way of
      contradiction, there is no positive integer x such that 2x < x^2 < 3x.
353 \end{proof}
354
355 \newpage
356
357 \section{Conclusion}
358
359 Through this writing intensive course on mathematical proofs, I developed my own proof
    writing style and mastered various techniques of proof. Like writing any other essays,
    writing proofs require the author to understand the vocabulary and definitions, to identify
     the audience, to develop a clear and concise structure, and most importantly, to present a
     logically sound proof for a given statement. This portfolio serves as a reflection on my
    knowledge in mathematics and my skills in writing mathematical proofs. In the future, as I
    obtain more mathematical maturity, I will add some of my best works in this portfolio.
360 \end{flushleft}
```

362 \end{document}