Heuristic Analysis

Approach

In order to devise the *custom_score* functions logic, the following approach was used:

- 1. Using self-observation and intuition when playing the game of isolation, I wrote down a set of strategies I noticed myself applying during the game to evaluate the state of my player in the game at any point in time. The following, are those strategies:
 - Comparing the amount of my own moves vs the opponent's: the more moves I had over my opponent's, the better.
 - My ability to block the opponent on their next move: since the goal of the game is to isolate the opponent, blocking their future moves seemed like a natural strategy.
 - My ability to take over the center of the board on my next move: this was also pointed out during the class instructional videos.
 - A relative measure of how close my player was to the center as compared to the opponent: in general, if my player was closer to the center than the opponent, the better it seemed, as it creates the notion of "controling" the center.
 - A simple count of the number of possible moves for my player: this is also captured in the out-of-the-box open-move strategy.

There were other strategies I came up with during my brainstorming, but they were later discarded as irrelevant after further evaluation.

2. All of these strategies were later coded individually or in combination in the *custom_score* functions available in <code>game_agent.py</code>. When coded in combination, a similar approach to the one used when creating a Machine Learning model was utilized. I.e., a score function composed of the summation of the weighted features (strategies in this case) was applied. The general equation of the resulting function is:

$$score = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i * x_i$$
 , where $n = number of strategies$

- 3. Afterwards, their performance were evaluated by running tournament.py over sevaral runs. The evaluation included observing the following characteristics in the results:
 - noting the total win rate for each test player.
 - comparing all AB_Custom* players to each opponent's result (paying attention to the opponent's strategy).
 - comparing all AB_Custom** players results to the AB_Improved* test player's for each opponent.
- 4. In an iterative fashion, each $custom_score$ function was updated, by changing their param weights (ω_i) and adding or removing features to the equation (x) and further evaluated. This process allowed for the fine-tuning and optimization of the functions.

5. After several iterations, the final version of the *custom_score* functions was produced. As the last verification step, a *tournament* consisting of "NUM_MATCHES = 1000" was executed. The following screen shot summarizes the final results.

Match #	Opponent	nt AB_Improved AB_Custo		ustom	tom AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3		
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	1869	131	1862	138	1889	111	1862	138
2	MM_Open	1083	917	1117	883	1099	901	1022	978
3	MM_Center	1762	238	1798	202	1790	210	1733	267
4	MM_Improved	1027	973	1033	967	1035	965	961	1039
5	AB_Open	1044	956	1102	898	1060	940	1026	974
6	AB_Center	1707	293	1753	247	1747	253	1705	295
7	AB_Improved	1021	979	1078	922	1029	971	953	1047
	Win Rate:	68.0%		69.6%		68.9%		66.2%	

^{*}Results of tournament final version of the custom_score functions over 1000 matches*

The results show both AB_Custom and AB_Custom_2 perform slightly better than the $AB_Improved$ test agent. Also, it is interesting to note that the strategy implemented by AB_Custom (custom_score()) tends to perform really well against the out-of-the-box "center" strategy (as shown by the performance against MM_Center and AB_Center); however, not as well, yet still better, when compared against the "open-move" and the "improved" strategies.

This suggests that certain strategies work better than others under certain situations, depending on what the opponent's strategy is. In other words, there may not be a silver-bullet strategy that works well on all situations. A better, and more intuitive approach is to use an adaptive stragegy - one that can dynamically adapt to the opponent's behavior, much like a smart player would act.

Strategies utilized per custom_score function

The following are the strategies utilized for each *custom_score* function:

- custom score():
 - opponent block ability
 - relative mobility
- custom_score_2():
 - center_ability
 - opponent block ability
 - own mobility
 - relative center domination
 - relative mobility
- custom score 3():
 - opponent block ability
 - own_mobility

Name	Strategy				
center_ability	Ability to capture board center				
opponent_block_ability	Ability to block the opponent				
own_mobility	Player # of next moves				
relative_center_domination	Player's distance to center vs opponent's				
relative_mobility	Player's moves vs opponent's				

Strategy names as used in the *custom_score* functions