

Next-Gen Model Risk Management

High-Fidelity Document Intelligence

Transforming PDF Analysis with AI-Powered Extraction

Alexander Tsoskounoglou

Associate, Model Risk Management — Interest Rate Derivatives (MRM IRD)
Morgan Stanley, Budapest

February 2026

Alexander Tsoskounoglou

- **BSc Computer Science** — solid foundation in software engineering & algorithms
- **MSc Computational Finance** (University of Amsterdam) — quantitative modelling, stochastic calculus & numerical methods
- **Quantitative Analyst** (1 year, hedge fund) — developed & deployed neural-network-based trading strategies in production
- **Quantitative Researcher** (1 year, University of Amsterdam) — led two research projects on Deep Reinforcement Learning for hedging & pricing exotic derivatives

The Current Friction: A Wall of PDFs

The MRM Document Landscape

- **Thousands** of model documents in the Model Context System
- Bermudian Swaptions, SABR Calibrations, CVA/XVA frameworks...
- Dense with:
 - Multi-line integral equations & SDEs
 - Stochastic calculus (Itô, martingales)
 - Nested tables of Greeks & sensitivities
 - **Figures, charts & diagrams** — calibration surfaces, payoff diagrams

Why “Copy-Paste into an LLM” Fails

- 1 **PDF \neq Text** — PDFs store glyphs, not semantics
- 2 **Math is destroyed** — integrals \rightarrow dashes, Greek \rightarrow ASCII
- 3 **Tables collapse** — columns merge into gibberish
- 4 **Figures vanish** — images are silently dropped
- 5 **LLM hallucination** — garbage in \Rightarrow *confident* garbage out

△ This is not a minor inconvenience.
It is a **model risk**.

The Technical Gap: “If the LLM Can’t Read the Math...”

Source: *Markov Functional Market Model* (Oxford, 2008) — HJM Drift Condition & Bond Pricing

✗ Standard Text Extraction

$P(t, T) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\exp \left(- \int_t^T r_s ds \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$

$- T$

$\alpha(t, T) = \sigma(t, T) \cdot \int_t^T \sigma(t, s) ds$

$0 \leq t \leq T$

$P(t, T) = \exp(A(t, T) - B(t, T)r_t)$

$\text{At } \beta + [1][= 0][,]$

$- 2 [\delta][() [t] []) [B] [2]$

- ✗ Integrals → dashes, measures → gone
- ✗ Greek letters → English words
- ✗ Square brackets are OCR artifacts
- ✗ Completely unusable for validation

✓ High-Fidelity Pipeline (Marker/Surya)

$$P(t, T) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\exp \left(- \int_t^T r_s ds \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

$$\alpha(t, T) = \sigma(t, T) \cdot \int_t^T \sigma(t, s) ds \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T$$

$$P(t, T) = \exp(A(t, T) - B(t, T)r_t)$$

- ✓ Integral notation & bounds preserved
- ✓ Measure \mathbb{Q} , filtration \mathcal{F}_t correct
- ✓ Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ intact
- ✓ Machine-readable & LLM-ready

Real-World Failure: Longstaff-Schwartz Continuation Value

Source: Same document — Longstaff-Schwartz algorithm (Eq. 4.1).

× Standard Extraction

$C(w; t_k) = \mathbb{E}_Q[\sum_{j=k+1}^N \exp(-\int_{t_k}^{t_j} r(s) ds) I(w, t_j; t_k, T) | \mathcal{F}_{t_k}]$

LLM Interpretation:

- × Summation sign \rightarrow “????”
- × Integral replaced by “- ??”
- × Conditional $\mathcal{F}_{t_k} \rightarrow$ “???Ftk”

An LLM will hallucinate the missing structure.

✓ High-Fidelity Output

Continuation value at exercise time t_k :

$$C(\omega; t_k) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\sum_{j=k+1}^K \exp \left(- \int_{t_k}^{t_j} r(s) ds \right) I(\omega, t_j; t_k, T) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right]$$

- ✓ Summation, integral, conditional correct
- ✓ Validator can cross-reference with code

The equation we use for Bermudan swaption validation.

Proposed Solution: End-to-End Document Intelligence



How It Works

- **Marker:** Converts PDF to Markdown (Surya OCR handles layout/math).
- **Multimodal LLM:** (e.g. Nemotron) reads charts/plots & embeds descriptions.
- **Vector DB:** Stores equation-aware chunks (never split mid-formula).

The End-State Vision

- **Conversational Querying:** Expert answers with equation references.
- **Multi-Doc Comparison:** Cross-reference model specs vs. validation reports.
- **Accelerated Workflows:** Clean context for testing & learning.
- **Code + Paper Reasoning:** Unified queries for code & docs.

Research Summary: Key Technologies

Tool	Role	Strength	Hardware	Key Detail
Marker (+ Surya)	PDF → Mark-down (core pipeline)	✓ Best-in-class: 95.7 score	GPU or CPU	25 pages/sec on GPU; Surya is the OCR engine.
Docling (IBM)	PDF → Mark-down (alternative)	✓ Strong table extraction; MIT license	CPU or GPU	Good fallback for table-heavy documents.
Nemotron (NVIDIA)	1. Figure/Image AI 2. Quant Risk Chat	✓ 1M context window ; SOTA open-model	Cost-effective GPUs (A10)	Process entire PVRMs in one pass. Ideal for both visual reasoning & risk analysis conversations.

Strategy

Marker for fast document conversion on-prem. **Nemotron** for deep reasoning and figure understanding.

Why This Matters: Business Value

Speed of Validation

- **Current:** reading 50–400 page PVRM, manually locating equations — *days/weeks*
- **Proposed:** natural language query in seconds.

Task	Current	Proposed
Locate equation	15–30 min	<1 min
Cross-ref docs	2–4 hrs	5–10 min
Review documentation	1–2 weeks	~3–5 days
Write new tests	Weeks	Days

Broader Impact

- **Reduce model risk:** automated consistency checks between spec and code
- **Replicate & extend tests:** brainstorm new validation tests from the math
- **Assist in Extending & Merging PVRMs:** Help analysts identify shared assumptions/gaps
- **Onboarding:** new analysts query models immediately
- **Audit trail:** logged queries for compliance

Implementation Phases

Phase 1: Proof of Concept

- ✓ Convert IR_Swaption_Bermudan PVRM (12 PDFs)
- ✓ Validate math fidelity
- ✓ LLM Context (Copilot)
- ✓ Collect **team feedback**
- ✓ Set accuracy & performance standards

≈ 4–8 weeks

Phase 2: Pilot Vector DB

- ▶ Build vector DB for pilot team
- ▶ Nemotron for Figure AI
- ▶ Iterate on infrastructure
- ▶ Measure productivity gains
- ▶ Infra support needed

≈ 3–5 months

Phase 3: MRM Rollout

- ▶ Deploy across MRM
- ▶ Multi-PVRM cross-ref
- ▶ Analyst Web Interface
- ▶ Training & Docs
- ▶ Model updates

≈ 6–12 months



Technical Architecture

1. Ingestion Pipeline

- **PDF Ingestion:** Process via **Marker (Surya)**
- **Raw Markdown:** High-fidelity math extraction
- **Multimodal Enhancement:**
 - Text & math preserved directly
 - Images processed by **Nemotron**
- **Result:** Complete Markdown (Text + Images)

2. Dual-Store Storage

- **Vector DB:** Equation-aware chunks for ranking
- **Doc Store:** Faithful Markdown for LLM context

3. Query & Retrieval Flow

- **Retriever:** Fetches ranked chunks + full context
- **LLM Engine:** Nemotron generates answers
- **Analyst Interface:** Secure user access

Security & Compliance

- **100% On-Premise:** No external API calls
- **Open Source Stack:** Fully auditable code
- **Access Control:** Respects team permissions
- **Audit Trails:** All queries are logged

Next Steps & Requirements

Phase 1 Actions

- 1 **Approval** to build local prototype
- 2 Convert **PVRM IR_Swaption_Bermudan** (12 PDFs)
- 3 Validate fidelity against original documents
- 4 Define math fidelity score & criteria
- 5 Pilot AI assistants & collect feedback

Resource Requirements

Resource	Specification
GPU	A10 or A100 (recommended)
CPU Fallback	Possible (slower)
Storage	~50 GB
Time	4–8 weeks (Phase 1)
Team	1 engineer

The Ask

Approval to allocate compute access and begin Phase 1 prototype on the Bermudan swaption PVRM.

Thank You

Questions?

`alexander.tsoskounoglou@morganstanley.com`

MRM IRD — Budapest

“The quality of AI output is bounded by the quality of its input.”

Appendix: Under the Hood

Equation: Longstaff-Schwartz Continuation Value (Eq. 4.1)

1. Original PDF

$$C(\omega; t_k) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\sum_{j=k+1}^K \exp \left(- \int_{t_k}^{t_j} r(\omega, s) ds \right) I(\omega, t_j; t_k, T) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_k} \right]$$

2. Standard Text Extraction (pymupdf4llm)

```
C(w; tk) = EQ[???? j=k+1 N exp(- ?? rj) I(w, tj; tk, T)] ???Ftk
```

3. High-Fidelity Pipeline (Marker/Surya)

```
$$C(w; t_k) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \sum_{j=k+1}^K \exp \left( - \int_{t_k}^{t_j} r(w, s) ds \right) I(w, t_j; t_k, T) \right] ...$$
```

Appendix: Marker Benchmark Performance

Method	Time (sec)	Heuristic Score	LLM Score
Marker	2.84	95.67	4.24
Docling	3.70	86.71	3.70
Mathpix	6.36	86.43	4.16
LlamaParse	23.35	84.24	3.98

Benchmark data from Marker GitHub repository.

Throughput

- Single-page serial: 2.84 s
- Batch mode on H100: **25 pages/sec**
- Can run on **A10, V100, MPS, or CPU**

By Document Type (Heuristic)

Scientific paper	96.67
Financial document	95.37
Legal document	96.69

Financial documents score 95.4/100.

Appendix: Cost & Productivity Estimation

Cost per Query

Platform: Microsoft Azure (MS strategic partner)

Model	In/1M	Out/1M
Nemotron-3-Nano (open-source)	\$0.05	\$0.20
Gemini 3 Pro (closed, SOTA)	\$2.00	\$12.00

Typical query: ~4k input + ~1.5k output tokens

- ▶ Nemotron-3-Nano: **\$0.0005**/query
- ▶ Gemini 3 Pro: **\$0.026**/query

Monthly Cost Projection (per analyst)

Est. queries: 25–40/day × 22 days/month

Scenario	Q/day	Nano	Gemini 3
Conservative	25	\$0.28	\$14.30
Normal	35	\$0.39	\$20.02
Heavy use	50	\$0.55	\$28.60

Team of 10 (Gemini 3): **\$143–286/month**

Estimated Efficiency Gains per PVRM Revalidation

Task	Current	Conservative	Normal	Optimistic
Read & digest PVRM docs	~16–24 hrs	–10% (14–22 h)	–20% (13–19 h)	–30% (11–17 h)
Locate equations/sections	~2–4 hrs	–15% (1.7–3.4 h)	–25% (1.5–3 h)	–40% (1.2–2.4 h)
Cross-reference documents	~4–8 hrs	–15% (3.4–6.8 h)	–30% (2.8–5.6 h)	–45% (2.2–4.4 h)
Interpret figures & tables	~2–4 hrs	–10% (1.8–3.6 h)	–20% (1.6–3.2 h)	–35% (1.3–2.6 h)
Draft validation tests	~40–80 hrs	–10% (36–72 h)	–20% (32–64 h)	–30% (28–56 h)
Total per PVRM	~64–120 hrs	–12%	–22%	–35%

Pricing: Azure AI Foundry (Feb 2026). Assumes RAG-based retrieval. Speed-ups assume high-fidelity extraction pipeline is deployed.