



Truncating URLs and Integrals

When Less is More (Except When It's Not)

Radu Briciu, BSc

Abstract

In a world where even URLs suffer from verbosity, we ask the question: can the humble integral teach us something about cybersecurity? By comparing the symbolic tidying of integration by parts to the visual pruning of complex web addresses, this paper suggests that clarity—mathematical or digital—is not just aesthetic, but protective. When a URL resembles an equation with too many nested functions, even the most diligent user might fail to detect malicious intent.

From this analogy, we delve into a formal analysis of URL structures through the lens of truncated distributions. We propose a probabilistic model for URL length and complexity, examining its influence on user trust and threat detection. Our framework incorporates cognitive load theory, entropy measures in string structure, and standard practices in URL rewriting on static hosting platforms. We conclude by outlining principles for secure URL design that balance architectural transparency with surface-level simplicity—striving for URLs that not only function well, but look safe too.

1 Introduction

Modern web addresses can often appear confusing, long, and intimidating. While some of this complexity is justified by routing logic or analytics, it has unintentional consequences: phishing and spoofing attacks flourish in ambiguity. At the same time, web developers struggle with how much structural information to reveal.

We draw a metaphor between this dilemma and a foundational technique in calculus: integration by parts. In both cases, the goal is simplification—reducing a complex expression into more manageable parts. In this paper, we explore the mathematics behind this simplification process and how it parallels concerns in cybersecurity.

2 Integration by Parts: A Plain-Language Overview

Given two functions $u(x)$ and $v(x)$, the integration by parts formula is:

$$\int u \, dv = uv - \int v \, du$$

This is particularly useful when integrating the product of two functions, such as xe^x .

2.1 Worked Example: $\int xe^x \, dx$

Let $u = x$, so that $du = dx$. Let $dv = e^x \, dx$, so $v = e^x$.

Then:

$$\int xe^x \, dx = xe^x - \int e^x \, dx = xe^x - e^x + C$$



3 Recursive Integration by Parts

In some cases, integration by parts can be applied repeatedly. Consider $\int x^n e^x dx$. We can define this recursively:

3.1 Recursive Formula

Let:

$$I_n = \int x^n e^x dx$$

Using integration by parts:

$$I_n = x^n e^x - n \int x^{n-1} e^x dx = x^n e^x - n I_{n-1}$$

This leads to the recursive relationship:

$$I_n = x^n e^x - n I_{n-1}$$

Which continues until $I_0 = \int e^x dx = e^x$

4 URL Truncation and Truncated Distributions

We model the length L of a URL using a truncated normal distribution:

$$L \sim \text{TruncNormal}(\mu, \sigma^2, a, b)$$

Where a and b are the bounds (e.g., 10 to 100 characters). This prevents outliers from skewing the distribution and reflects practical constraints on display length in browsers.

4.1 Entropy and Suspicion

Let H be the entropy of a URL string, defined via character probabilities. High-entropy URLs (e.g., random query strings) are more likely to be flagged as suspicious by users or filters.

5 Cybersecurity Implications

- Educating users on URL anatomy may reduce phishing success.
- URL rewriting or shortening can improve both aesthetics and trust.
- Repeated, deep URL nesting should be minimized for clarity.



6 Conclusion

Much like symbolic expressions, URLs benefit from a touch of minimalism. A recursive simplification—whether of polynomials or paths—enhances clarity and reduces risk. As digital architects, we must strive for transparency without oversharing, security without obscurity.

References

- [1] R. Reitano, *Introduction to Quantitative Finance: A Math Tool Kit*, MIT Press, 2010.
- [2] B. Schneier, *Data and Goliath: The Hidden Battles to Collect Your Data and Control Your World*, W.W. Norton & Company, 2015.
- [3] T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, *RFC 3986: Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax*, IETF, 2005. Available online at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3986>.