

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER & SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF E&ME, NUST, RAWALPINDI



Operating Systems

Project Report

SUBMITTED TO:
Dr Mehwish Naseer

SUBMITTED BY:
Aleeza Rizwan
Reg# 456143
CE-45 Syndicate A

Ibrahim Abdullah
Reg# 454188
CE-45 Syndicate A

Shaheer Afzal
Reg# 481560
CE-45 Syndicate A

Submission Date: 6th May 2025.

Table of Contents:

Description.....	1
Project Overview.....	1
Key Features	1
Workflow.....	2
Solution	2
Code.....	2
Output.....	10
Conclusion.....	10

- 1. Develop a parallel application/Simulation using techniques and tools of the Operating Systems available in modern systems (such as Process Synchronization, Process Scheduling, Deadlock Management, etc.).**

Description of the Project:

Project Overview: This project is a console-based C++ application that simulates five different CPU scheduling algorithms, commonly taught in operating systems:

1. First Come First Serve (FCFS)
2. Shortest Job First (SJF) – Non-Preemptive
3. Shortest Job First (SJF) – Preemptive
4. Priority Scheduling – Non-Preemptive
5. Priority Scheduling – Preemptive

It allows users to input processes and visualize results through:

- A detailed execution table (showing completion, turnaround, and waiting times)
- A Gantt chart that shows execution sequence

Key Features:

1. Multiple Scheduling Algorithms

- Supports both preemptive and non-preemptive strategies.
- Dynamic selection via menu-based interface.

2. Detailed Output

- Prints per-process metrics: arrival time, burst time, completion time, turnaround time, and waiting.
- Displays a Gantt Chart showing execution timeline.

3. Object-Oriented Design

- Makes the program modular and extensible for adding more algorithms.
- Uses OOP principles:
 - Base class (SchedulerBase)
 - Derived classes like FCFS, SJF, etc

4. Randomized and User-Controlled Input

- Processes are created with random burst times and sequential arrival times.
- Priority input is taken only if needed based on algorithm.

Workflow:

1. User Input Phase

- User is asked to enter:
 - Number of processes
 - Scheduling algorithm to simulate
 - (Optionally) priorities for each process

2. Process Initialization

- Each process has:
 - Process ID
 - Random burst times
 - Sequential arrival time
 - User-input priority (if required)

3. Simulation Phase

- The selected algorithm is applied
- Processes are scheduled and executed.
- Each algorithm calculates:
 - Completion time
 - Turnaround time = Completion – Arrival
 - Waiting time = Turnaround - Burst

4. Result Display

- A Process Execution Table is printed.
- A Gantt Chart visually shows the scheduling order and timing.

Solution:

Code: The C++ code for a process scheduler is given below:

```
//program for pre-emptive priority scheduling algorithm
#include <iostream>
#include <iomanip>
#include <string>
```

```

#include <algorithm>
#include <vector>
#include <random>

using namespace std;

struct Process
{
    int processID, arrivalTime, burstTime, priority;
    int remainingTime, completionTime, waitingTime, turnaroundTime;
    bool isCompleted;

    Process(int pid = 0)
    {
        processID = pid;
        arrivalTime = burstTime = priority = remainingTime = 0;
        completionTime = waitingTime = turnaroundTime = 0;
        isCompleted = false;
    }
};

class SchedulerBase
{
protected:
    Process* processes;
    int n;
    vector<int> ganttStart, ganttPid;

public:
    SchedulerBase(Process* p, int count)
    {

```

```

    n = count;
    processes = new Process[n];
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) processes[i] = p[i];
}

virtual ~SchedulerBase()
{
    delete[] processes;
}

virtual void run() = 0;

void printTable()
{
    cout << "\nProcess Execution Table:\n";
    cout << left << setw(12) << "Process ID"
        << setw(15) << "Arrival"
        << setw(15) << "Burst"
        << setw(12) << "Priority"
        << setw(18) << "Completion"
        << setw(18) << "Turnaround"
        << setw(15) << "Waiting" << "\n";
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    {
        Process& p = processes[i];
        cout << left << setw(12) << ("P" + to_string(p.processID))
            << setw(15) << p.arrivalTime
            << setw(15) << p.burstTime
            << setw(12) << p.priority
            << setw(18) << p.completionTime
            << setw(18) << p.turnaroundTime

```

```

        << setw(15) << p.waitingTime << "\n";
    }
}

void printGanttChart()
{
    cout << "\nGantt Chart:\n ";
    for (size_t i = 0; i < ganttPid.size(); ++i) cout << "-----";
    cout << "\n|";
    for (int pid : ganttPid) cout << "  P" << pid << "  |";
    cout << "\n ";
    for (size_t i = 0; i < ganttPid.size(); ++i) cout << "-----";

    cout << "\n" << setw(9) << ganttStart[0];
    for (size_t i = 0; i < ganttStart.size(); ++i) {
        int next = (i + 1 < ganttStart.size()) ? ganttStart[i + 1] : getMaxCompletion();
        cout << setw(9) << next;
    }
    cout << "\n";
}

int getMaxCompletion() const
{
    int maxCT = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        if (processes[i].completionTime > maxCT)
            maxCT = processes[i].completionTime;
    return maxCT;
}
};

```

```

class FCFS : public SchedulerBase
{
public:
    FCFS(Process* p, int n) : SchedulerBase(p, n) {}

    void run() override
    {
        sort(processes, processes + n, [](Process a, Process b)
        {
            return a.arrivalTime < b.arrivalTime;
        });

        int currentTime = 0;
        for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        {
            Process& p = processes[i];
            currentTime = max(currentTime, p.arrivalTime);
            ganttStart.push_back(currentTime);
            ganttPid.push_back(p.processID);

            currentTime += p.burstTime;
            p.completionTime = currentTime;
            p.turnaroundTime = p.completionTime - p.arrivalTime;
            p.waitingTime = p.turnaroundTime - p.burstTime;
        }
    }
};

class SJF : public SchedulerBase
{
public:

```

```

SJF(Process* p, int n) : SchedulerBase(p, n) {}

void run() override
{
    int currentTime = 0, completed = 0;
    while (completed < n)
    {
        int idx = -1, minBT = 9999;
        for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        {
            Process& p = processes[i];
            if (!p.isCompleted && p.arrivalTime <= currentTime && p.burstTime < minBT)
            {
                minBT = p.burstTime;
                idx = i;
            }
        }
        if (idx == -1)
        {
            currentTime++;
            continue;
        }

        Process& p = processes[idx];
        ganttStart.push_back(currentTime);
        ganttPid.push_back(p.processID);

        currentTime += p.burstTime;
        p.completionTime = currentTime;
        p.turnaroundTime = p.completionTime - p.arrivalTime;
        p.waitingTime = p.turnaroundTime - p.burstTime;
    }
}

```



```

        p.isCompleted = true;
        completed++;
    }
}
};

class PreemptiveSJF : public SchedulerBase
{
public:
    PreemptiveSJF(Process* p, int n) : SchedulerBase(p, n) {}

    void run() override
    {
        int currentTime = 0, completed = 0, lastPid = -1;
        for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) processes[i].remainingTime = processes[i].burstTime;

        while (completed < n)
        {
            int idx = -1, minRT = 9999;
            for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
            {
                Process& p = processes[i];
                if (!p.isCompleted && p.arrivalTime <= currentTime && p.remainingTime <
minRT && p.remainingTime > 0)
                {
                    minRT = p.remainingTime;
                    idx = i;
                }
            }
            if (idx == -1)
            {

```

```

        currentTime++; lastPid = -1;
        continue;
    }

    Process& p = processes[idx];
    if (lastPid != p.processID)
    {
        ganttStart.push_back(currentTime);
        ganttPid.push_back(p.processID);
        lastPid = p.processID;
    }

    p.remainingTime--;
    currentTime++;
    if (p.remainingTime == 0)
    {
        p.completionTime = currentTime;
        p.turnaroundTime = p.completionTime - p.arrivalTime;
        p.waitingTime = p.turnaroundTime - p.burstTime;
        p.isCompleted = true;
        completed++;
    }
}

};

class PriorityNonPreemptive : public SchedulerBase
{
public:
    PriorityNonPreemptive(Process* p, int n) : SchedulerBase(p, n) {}

```

```

void run() override
{
    int currentTime = 0, completed = 0;
    while (completed < n)
    {
        int idx = -1, highestPriority = 9999;
        for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        {
            Process& p = processes[i];
            if (!p.isCompleted && p.arrivalTime <= currentTime && p.priority <
highestPriority)
            {
                highestPriority = p.priority;
                idx = i;
            }
        }
        if (idx == -1)
        {
            currentTime++;
            continue;
        }

        Process& p = processes[idx];
        ganttStart.push_back(currentTime);
        ganttPid.push_back(p.processID);

        currentTime += p.burstTime;
        p.completionTime = currentTime;
        p.turnaroundTime = p.completionTime - p.arrivalTime;
        p.waitingTime = p.turnaroundTime - p.burstTime;
        p.isCompleted = true;
    }
}

```

```

        completed++;
    }
}
};

class PriorityPreemptive : public SchedulerBase
{
public:
    PriorityPreemptive(Process* p, int n) : SchedulerBase(p, n) {}

    void run() override
    {
        int currentTime = 0, completed = 0, lastPid = -1;
        for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) processes[i].remainingTime = processes[i].burstTime;

        while (completed < n)
        {
            int idx = -1, highestPriority = 9999;
            for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
            {
                Process& p = processes[i];
                if (!p.isCompleted && p.arrivalTime <= currentTime && p.remainingTime > 0
&& p.priority < highestPriority)
                {
                    highestPriority = p.priority;
                    idx = i;
                }
            }

            if (idx == -1)
            {

```

```

        currentTime++;
        lastPid = -1;
        continue;
    }

    Process& p = processes[idx];
    if (lastPid != p.processID)
    {
        ganttStart.push_back(currentTime);
        ganttPid.push_back(p.processID);
        lastPid = p.processID;
    }

    p.remainingTime--;
    currentTime++;

    if (p.remainingTime == 0)
    {
        p.completionTime = currentTime;
        p.turnaroundTime = p.completionTime - p.arrivalTime;
        p.waitingTime = p.turnaroundTime - p.burstTime;
        p.isCompleted = true;
        completed++;
    }
}

};

int main()
{
    int n, choice;

```

```

cout << "Enter number of processes: ";
cin >> n;

cout << "\nSelect Scheduling Algorithm:\n";
cout << "1. FCFS\n2. SJF (Non-Preemptive)\n3. SJF (Preemptive)\n";
cout << "4. Priority (Non-Preemptive)\n5. Priority (Preemptive)\n";
cout << "Enter choice: ";
cin >> choice;

//creating processes
Process* processes = new Process[n];
for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
    processes[i].processID = i + 1;
    processes[i].arrivalTime = i;
    processes[i].burstTime = rand() % 10 + 1;

    cout << "\nFor P" << processes[i].processID << ":\n";
    cout << "Arrival Time: " << processes[i].arrivalTime << endl;
    cout << "Burst Time: " << processes[i].burstTime << endl;

    //asking for priority only if needed
    if (choice == 4 || choice == 5)
    {
        cout << "Priority: ";
        cin >> processes[i].priority;
    }
    else
    {
        processes[i].priority = 0;
    }
}

```

```
//creating appropriate scheduler
SchedulerBase* scheduler = nullptr;
switch (choice)
{
    case 1: scheduler = new FCFS(processes, n); break;
    case 2: scheduler = new SJF(processes, n); break;
    case 3: scheduler = new PreemptiveSJF(processes, n); break;
    case 4: scheduler = new PriorityNonPreemptive(processes, n); break;
    case 5: scheduler = new PriorityPreemptive(processes, n); break;
    default: cout << "Invalid choice!\n"; delete[] processes; return 0;
}

scheduler->run();
scheduler->printTable();
scheduler->printGanttChart();

delete scheduler;
delete[] processes;

return 0;
}
```

Output: The output for this code is given below:

```
Enter number of processes: 3

Select Scheduling Algorithm:
1. FCFS
2. SJF (Non-Preemptive)
3. SJF (Preemptive)
4. Priority (Non-Preemptive)
5. Priority (Preemptive)
Enter choice: 1

For P1:
Arrival Time: 0
Burst Time: 2

For P2:
Arrival Time: 1
Burst Time: 8

For P3:
Arrival Time: 2
Burst Time: 5

Process Execution Table:


| Process ID | Arrival | Burst | Priority | Completion | Turnaround | Waiting |
|------------|---------|-------|----------|------------|------------|---------|
| P1         | 0       | 2     | 0        | 2          | 2          | 0       |
| P2         | 1       | 8     | 0        | 10         | 9          | 1       |
| P3         | 2       | 5     | 0        | 15         | 13         | 8       |



Gantt Chart:
-----
| P1 | P2 | P3 |
-----
0      2      10     15
```

First Come First Serve

```
Enter number of processes: 3

Select Scheduling Algorithm:
1. FCFS
2. SJF (Non-Preemptive)
3. SJF (Preemptive)
4. Priority (Non-Preemptive)
5. Priority (Preemptive)
Enter choice: 2

For P1:
Arrival Time: 0
Burst Time: 2

For P2:
Arrival Time: 1
Burst Time: 8

For P3:
Arrival Time: 2
Burst Time: 5

Process Execution Table:


| Process ID | Arrival | Burst | Priority | Completion | Turnaround | Waiting |
|------------|---------|-------|----------|------------|------------|---------|
| P1         | 0       | 2     | 0        | 2          | 2          | 0       |
| P2         | 1       | 8     | 0        | 15         | 14         | 6       |
| P3         | 2       | 5     | 0        | 7          | 5          | 0       |



Gantt Chart:
-----
| P1 | P3 | P2 |
-----
0      2      7     15
```

Shortest Job First (Non-Preemptive)


```

Enter number of processes: 3

Select Scheduling Algorithm:
1. FCFS
2. SJF (Non-Preemptive)
3. SJF (Preemptive)
4. Priority (Non-Preemptive)
5. Priority (Preemptive)
Enter choice: 3

For P1:
Arrival Time: 0
Burst Time: 2

For P2:
Arrival Time: 1
Burst Time: 8

For P3:
Arrival Time: 2
Burst Time: 5

Process Execution Table:
Process ID  Arrival    Burst    Priority    Completion    Turnaround    Waiting
P1          0           2         0           2             2             0
P2          1           8         0          15            14             6
P3          2           5         0           7             5             0

Gantt Chart:
-----
|  P1  |  P3  |  P2  |
-----
0      2      7      15

```

Shortest Job First (Preemptive)

```

Enter number of processes: 3

Select Scheduling Algorithm:
1. FCFS
2. SJF (Non-Preemptive)
3. SJF (Preemptive)
4. Priority (Non-Preemptive)
5. Priority (Preemptive)
Enter choice: 4

For P1:
Arrival Time: 0
Burst Time: 2
Priority: 3

For P2:
Arrival Time: 1
Burst Time: 8
Priority: 1

For P3:
Arrival Time: 2
Burst Time: 5
Priority: 6

Process Execution Table:
Process ID  Arrival    Burst    Priority    Completion    Turnaround    Waiting
P1          0           2         3           2             2             0
P2          1           8         1          10            9             1
P3          2           5         6          15            13             8

Gantt Chart:
-----
|  P1  |  P2  |  P3  |
-----
0      2      10     15

```

Priority (Non-Preemptive)

```
Enter number of processes: 3

Select Scheduling Algorithm:
1. FCFS
2. SJF (Non-Preemptive)
3. SJF (Preemptive)
4. Priority (Non-Preemptive)
5. Priority (Preemptive)
Enter choice: 5

For P1:
Arrival Time: 0
Burst Time: 2
Priority: 2

For P2:
Arrival Time: 1
Burst Time: 8
Priority: 1

For P3:
Arrival Time: 2
Burst Time: 5
Priority: 3

Process Execution Table:
Process ID  Arrival    Burst    Priority  Completion  Turnaround  Waiting
P1          0           2         2         10           10           8
P2          1           8         1          9           8           0
P3          2           5         3         15          13           8

Gantt Chart:
-----
|  P1  |  P2  |  P1  |  P3  |
-----
0      1      9     10     15
```

Priority (Preemptive)

Conclusion:

This project effectively demonstrates how different CPU scheduling algorithms behave under various conditions. It provides both visual clarity and accurate metric computation, making it a useful tool for students learning operating systems.

By using object-oriented programming, it ensures:

- Clean separation between logic
- Easier debugging and expansion
- Reusability of components

The code is well-structured for enhancements like:

- Adding Round Robin algorithm
- Supporting I/O-bound processes
- GUI-based visualization (future scope)