CS6841: Approximation Algorithms

Assignment 1

Name:

Roll number:

Instructions

- **Deadline**: 20 Feb (in class during Midsem)
- References: Williamson and Shmoys (https://www.designofapproxalgs.com/book.pdf), Approximation Algorithms by Vijay Vazirani, CLRS
- 1. (5 points) k-suppliers Problem The input to the problem is a positive integer k, and a set of vertices V. Let d(u, v) represents the shortest path between any two vertices $u, v \in V$ and obey the same properties as in the Facility Location Problem. The vertices are partitioned into suppliers $F \subseteq V$ and customers $C = V \setminus F$.

The goal is to find k suppliers such that the maximum distance from a supplier to a customer is minimized. In other words, the problem is to find $S \subseteq F$, $|S| \le k$, that minimizes $\max_{j \in C} d(j, S)$.

- (a) (3 points) Give a 3-approximation algorithm for the k-suppliers problem.
- (b) (3 points) Prove that there is no α -approximation algorithm for $\alpha < 3$ unless P = NP.

Solution: Write your solution here

2. (5 points) **Job-Scheduling with Precedence** This problem is a variant of the job scheduling (in multiple processors) problem, that was discussed in class. Here, along with the n jobs and m processors, we also have precedence constraint between the jobs.

Given are n jobs $\mathcal{J} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n\}$, with processing times t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n and m processors P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n . The precedence constraints between jobs is given in the form of a partial order $(\mathcal{J}, <)$ on the jobs i.e. if j < j', then job j has to be completed before job j' has started. The problem is to find the shortest schedule that satisfies the precedence constraints.

- (a) (3 points) Prove that the naive job scheduling algorithm (first algorithm discussed in class) yields a 2-approximation algorithm for this problem.
- (b) (3 points) For any general value of n and m, give an instance to prove that 2.OPT is a tight bound. Assume $t_i = 1 \forall i \in [1, n]$.

Solution: Write your solution here

3. (5 points) **Vertex Cover** – **Highest Degree Selection** Consider Algorithm 1 as the solution for the vertex cover problem. Prove that the algorithm does NOT give a constant factor approximation for the vertex cover problem.

Algorithm 1: Algorithm for question 3

 $\label{eq:continuity} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Input:} \ \text{Graph} \ G = (V, E) \\ \textbf{Output:} \ \text{Vertex Cover} \ S \subseteq V \\ S \leftarrow \phi \\ \textbf{while} \ \exists e \in E(G) \ \textbf{do} \\ & | \ \text{Remove the vertex} \ v \ \text{with the highest degree} \\ & | \ \text{Add it to the vertex cover} \ S \\ \textbf{end} \end{array}$

Solution: Write your solution here

 ${\bf return}\ S$

- 4. (5 points) Show that the following algorithm is a 2-approximation for unweighted vertex cover: Perform a DFS and return all internal vertices. Is this true for BFS?
- 5. (5 points) Unlike the unweighted case, show that the maximal matching may not lead to a constant factor approximation for the weighted vertex cover problem.
- 6. (5 points) Show that the $\log n$ -approximation factor is tight for the greedy set cover algorithm by buildling a worst case example.