

Visualizing Probability: A Monte Carlo Simulation of Expected Value and the Law of Large Numbers

A Study in Computational Statistics

October 22, 2025

Abstract

This document explores the fundamental statistical concepts of Expected Value (EV), variance, and the Law of Large Numbers (LLN) through a series of Monte Carlo simulations. By simulating a simple coin flip game with positive, negative, and neutral expected values across a growing number of trials, we can visually demonstrate how short-term randomness converges to long-term predictability. The simulations serve as a practical bridge between abstract probability theory and tangible outcomes.

Contents

1	Introduction and Mathematical Foundation	2
1.1	Expected Value (EV)	2
1.2	Variance and Standard Deviation	2
1.3	The Law of Large Numbers (LLN)	2
1.4	Variance of the Sample Mean	2
2	Case Study 1: The Positive EV Game	4
2.1	Game Rules and Calculation	4
2.2	Simulation Results	4
2.2.1	1 and 5 Flips	4
2.2.2	20 and 100 Flips	6
2.2.3	500 and 1,000 Flips	7
2.2.4	10,000 Flips	8
3	Case Study 2: The Negative EV Game	9
3.1	Game Rules and Calculation	9
3.2	Simulation Results	9
4	Case Study 3: The Neutral EV Game (A Random Walk)	14
4.1	Game Rules and Calculation	14
4.2	Simulation Results	14
5	Conclusion	19

1 Introduction and Mathematical Foundation

In the study of probability, predicting the outcome of a single random event is impossible. However, over a large number of repetitions, patterns emerge from the chaos. This phenomenon is the bedrock of the insurance industry, casino operations, and quantitative finance. Our experiment uses a Monte Carlo method—a computational technique that relies on repeated random sampling—to simulate a coin flip game and observe these principles in action.

1.1 Expected Value (EV)

The Expected Value (EV) is the long-run average value of a random variable. It represents the mean outcome if an experiment were repeated an infinite number of times. It is calculated by summing the products of each possible outcome and its probability.

The general formula is:

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i P(x_i)$$

Where x_i is the value of outcome i and $P(x_i)$ is its probability.

1.2 Variance and Standard Deviation

Variance (σ^2) measures the dispersion of a set of outcomes around their mean (the EV). A low variance indicates that the outcomes tend to be very close to the expected value, while a high variance indicates that the outcomes are spread out over a wider range.

The formula for variance is:

$$\sigma^2 = E[(X - \mu)^2] = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 P(x_i)$$

Where μ is the expected value $E[X]$.

1.3 The Law of Large Numbers (LLN)

The Law of Large Numbers (LLN) is a core theorem of probability theory which states that as the size of a sample taken from a population increases, the sample mean (\bar{X}_n) will converge to the theoretical expected value (μ).

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

This is the principle that ensures profitability in games of chance where a statistical edge exists. It does not state that results will be "evened out" by future outcomes, but rather that the impact of early-stage variance becomes diluted as the number of trials (n) grows.

1.4 Variance of the Sample Mean

The most crucial concept for understanding our simulations is how the variance of the **average outcome** behaves. If a single game has a variance of σ^2 , the variance of the average profit over n games is:

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

This formula is the mathematical engine behind the LLN. It shows that as n increases, the variance of the average outcome decreases, meaning the average becomes a more reliable estimate of the true EV. Our confidence in the outcome grows with the sample size.

2 Case Study 1: The Positive EV Game

In this scenario, the game is structured to be profitable for the player in the long run.

2.1 Game Rules and Calculation

- **Cost to Play:** \$1.00
- **Heads Payout:** \$3.00 (Net profit: \$2.00)
- **Tails Payout:** \$0.00 (Net loss: \$1.00)

The Expected Value per flip is calculated as:

$$EV = (0.5 \times \$2.00) + (0.5 \times -\$1.00) = \$1.00 - \$0.50 = +\$0.50$$

For every flip, we expect to make an average profit of 50 cents. The variance for a single game is $\sigma^2 = 2.25$.

2.2 Simulation Results

What follows is a series of simulations with an increasing number of flips. We expect the cumulative profit paths to be highly erratic at first but gradually converge toward the theoretical profit line (a slope of +0.50 per flip).

2.2.1 1 and 5 Flips

With a tiny sample size, the outcome is governed entirely by chance. The LLN has no power here. A player is very likely to lose money despite the positive EV, as shown by the wide spread of outcomes. The variance is at its highest.




Figure 1: Simulation with 1 flip (+EV). Outcome is binary: +\$2 or -\$1.




Figure 2: Simulation with 5 flips (+EV). High variance; final profit is unpredictable.

2.2.2 20 and 100 Flips

As we increase the flips, a trend begins to emerge. While many individual simulations still deviate significantly, their collective behavior starts to drift upwards, closer to the theoretical EV line. The probability of being profitable increases.




Figure 3: Simulation with 20 flips (+EV).




Figure 4: Simulation with 100 flips (+EV). The upward trend is becoming clearer.

2.2.3 500 and 1,000 Flips

At this stage, the Law of Large Numbers is taking hold. The cumulative profit paths are less erratic and begin to cluster more tightly around the EV line. The histogram of final profits narrows, showing that outcomes are becoming more predictable.




Figure 5: Simulation with 500 flips (+EV).




Figure 6: Simulation with 1,000 flips (+EV). Individual paths now closely follow the EV.

2.2.4 10,000 Flips

With a vast number of flips, the outcome is almost a certainty. The variance of the average profit per flip is now incredibly small, as $\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \rightarrow 0$. All simulation paths adhere tightly to the theoretical expectation. The randomness has been effectively "averaged out," leaving only the underlying statistical edge.




Figure 7: Simulation with 10,000 flips (+EV). Convergence to EV is nearly perfect.

3 Case Study 2: The Negative EV Game

This game mirrors those found in casinos, where the rules create a long-term disadvantage for the player.

3.1 Game Rules and Calculation

- **Cost to Play:** \$2.00
- **Heads Payout:** \$3.00 (Net profit: \$1.00)
- **Tails Payout:** \$0.00 (Net loss: \$2.00)

The Expected Value per flip is:

$$EV = (0.5 \times \$1.00) + (0.5 \times -\$2.00) = \$0.50 - \$1.00 = -\$0.50$$

On average, a player is guaranteed to lose 50 cents per flip over the long run.

3.2 Simulation Results

The behavior is a mirror image of the positive EV case. Initial luck may lead to profits, but the mathematical disadvantage inevitably pulls the cumulative results downward as the number of flips increases.




Figure 8: Simulation with 1 flip (-EV). Outcome is +\$1 or -\$2.




Figure 9: Simulation with 5 flips (-EV).




Figure 10: Simulation with 20 flips (-EV).




Figure 11: Simulation with 100 flips (-EV).




Figure 12: Simulation with 500 flips (-EV).




Figure 13: Simulation with 1,000 flips (-EV).




Figure 14: Simulation with 10,000 flips (-EV). The downward trend is absolute.

4 Case Study 3: The Neutral EV Game (A Random Walk)

This final case represents a "fair game" where neither the player nor the house has an edge.

4.1 Game Rules and Calculation

- **Cost to Play:** \$1.50
- **Heads Payout:** \$3.00 (Net profit: \$1.50)
- **Tails Payout:** \$0.00 (Net loss: \$1.50)

The Expected Value per flip is:

$$EV = (0.5 \times \$1.50) + (0.5 \times -\$1.50) = \$0.75 - \$0.75 = \$0.00$$

With an EV of zero, there is no long-term upward or downward pressure on the outcome. This is known as a **Random Walk**.

4.2 Simulation Results

In a random walk, the "expected" final position is the starting point (zero profit). However, the variance of the final position grows with time. The paths do not converge; instead, they diffuse outwards. While the average of all simulations will be near zero, any single simulation is likely to wander far from it.




Figure 15: Simulation with 1 flip (0 EV). Outcome is +/- \$1.50.




Figure 16: Simulation with 5 flips (0 EV).




Figure 17: Simulation with 20 flips (0 EV).




Figure 18: Simulation with 100 flips (0 EV).




Figure 19: Simulation with 500 flips (0 EV).




Figure 20: Simulation with 1,000 flips (0 EV).




Figure 21: Simulation with 10,000 flips (0 EV). The paths have diffused widely.

5 Conclusion

This series of Monte Carlo simulations has provided a clear, visual confirmation of fundamental statistical laws.

1. **Expected Value dictates the trend.** A positive EV creates a gravitational pull towards profit, while a negative EV ensures long-term loss. A neutral EV results in an aimless random walk.
2. **The Law of Large Numbers ensures convergence.** For games with a non-zero EV, the LLN guarantees that the average outcome will approach this EV as the number of trials increases. This is because the variance of the average profit, σ^2/n , shrinks towards zero.
3. **Sample size is paramount.** In the short term, variance dominates and outcomes are unpredictable. In the long term, the underlying EV dominates and outcomes become highly predictable. This is the crucial transition from gambling (low n) to statistical certainty (high n).

Ultimately, these simulations prove that while individual events are random, the aggregate behavior of a large number of them is not. It is governed by the mathematical structure of the system, and with enough data, the random noise fades to reveal a deterministic trend.