Lecture 23

Quanto Credit Hedging

Dr. Stefan Andreev

(Executive Director, Morgan Stanley)

Stefan Andreev

Stefan Andreev is the head strat for Fixed Income Emerging Markets in Europe and Americas, as well as the head strat for Fixed Income Structured Bonds.

Mr. Andreev joined the Firm in January 2006 as an Emerging Markets strat.

Prior to that, Mr. Andreev completed a Ph.D. in Chemical Physics at Harvard University. Mr. Andreev has a Bachelor's degree from Dartmouth College.

Mr. Andreev's comments today are his own, and do not necessarily represent the views of Morgan Stanley or its affiliates, and are not a product of Morgan Stanley Research.

Morgan Stanley

Big Picture

Pricing/Hedging

Stats/Predictions

- Topic: Pricing/Hedging
- Basic concepts
  - Main focus: FX
    - Interest Rates
    - Credit
- Mathematical Techniques
  - Risk-neutral pricing through expectations
  - Jump processes
- Financial applications
  - Sovereign defaults and currencies (PIIGS and EUR)
 - Quanto Credit

Morgan Stanley

FX – Foreign Exchange

- Spot FX (USD/EUR)– Current exchange rate in USD for 1 EUR
  - Alternatively, the price in USD of 1 EUR

- Denote spot FX rate by S. S is often modeled as a stochastic process, a Brownian motion with drift

EUR/USD
■ EURUSD=X

Sep 21, 2012

© Yahoo!

Nov 11   Jan 12   Mar 12   May 12   Jul 12   Sep 12

1.45
1.40
1.35
1.30
1.25
1.20

Morgan Stanley

FX forwards and interest rate parity

- Forward FX contract is an agreement to exchange X EUR for Y USD at time T in the future. Y/X is the Forward Rate $F_{tT} = S_t e^{(r^f - r^d)(T-t)}$

- The fair forward FX rate is determined by interest rates and spot FX

- Continuous interest rates:

$i_\$ = 3.4\%$

$\$5,000,000$ → Invest @ dollar deposit rate

$\$5,170,000 \approx (1+i_\$)$

$\$5,170,000 \approx S_{t+k}/S(1+i_€)$

Exchange for euros

$S_{\$/€} = 1.2730$

€3,927,730 → Invest @ euro deposit rate → €4,108,405

$i_€ = 4.6\%$

Exchange for dollars

$S_{t+k_{\$/€}} = 1.2584$

Interest Rates and compound interest

- Risk free instantaneous interest rate r
  - Useful modeling approximation, does not quite exist in reality
  - Investing money B at time 0 earns a risk free return so that at time T in the future you have B*exp(rT)
  - Each currency has its own interest rates

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \left(r^f - r^d\right)dt + \sigma dW_t$$

- Same as Black Scholes, solving the SDE we get

$$S_t = S_0 e^{\left(r^f - r^d - \sigma^2/2\right)dt + \sigma W_t}$$

$$E[S_t] = S_0 e^{\left(r^f - r^d\right)dt}$$

- In this model, we can price various FX derivatives, such as FX forwards or options

FX Betting Game

- Assumptions
  - USD/EUR Spot is 1
  - USD/EUR FX forward in 1 month is 1

- Bet B
  - If USD/EUR is more than 1 in 1 month, you **lose**
  - If USD/EUR is less than 1 in 1 month, you **win**

Pop Quiz
Which game is better?

A. Payoff A
B. Payoff B
C. Both are equal

Outcome	Payoff A	Payoff B
USD/EUR > 1	-100 USD	-100 EUR
USD/EUR < 1	+100 USD	+100 EUR

Developed for educational use at MIT and for publication through MIT OpenCourseware.
No investment decisions should be made in reliance on this material.

FX Betting Game – Scenario Analysis

- Run some scenarios and compare the payoff of each bet

Scenario (in 1M)	Bet A	Bet B	Bet A – Bet B
USD/EUR = 1.25	-100 USD	-100 EUR	+25 USD = +19 EUR
USD/EUR = 0.75	+100 USD	+100 EUR	+25 USD = +31 EUR

FX Betting Game – Scenario Analysis

- Run some scenarios and compare the payoff of each bet
  - **Bet A is better each time**, even though both payoffs are symmetric!

Scenario (in 1M)	Bet A	Bet B	Bet A – Bet B
USD/EUR = 1.25	-100 USD	-100 EUR	+25 USD = +19 EUR
USD/EUR = 0.75	+100 USD	+100 EUR	+25 USD = +31 EUR

FX Betting Game – Scenario Analysis

- Run some scenarios and compare the payoff of each bet
  - **Bet A is better each time**, even though both payoffs are symmetric!

Scenario (in 1M)	Bet A	Bet B	Bet A – Bet B
USD/EUR = 1.25	-100 USD	-100 EUR	+25 USD = +19 EUR
USD/EUR = 0.75	+100 USD	+100 EUR	+25 USD = +31 EUR

- Lesson – the currency of the payoff matters when winning the bet is correlated with FX – the game is not symmetric anymore.

Morgan Stanley

Reality Check: Italy Bonds

- Italy issues bonds in both EUR and USD (among others), total $1.3 trillion of bonds!
  - Cross-default: bonds of all currencies default together

- Potential reasons to issue in USD
  - Access to other, potentially bigger pool of investors
  - Italy is an exporter, much revenue is in USD

- Credit spread is the premium required for borrowing over the benchmark
  - Higher rates to borrow EUR than Germany
  - Higher rates to borrow USD than USA

- Questions
  - Which currency does Italy prefer to raise money?
  - Which currency investors prefer?

Morgan Stanley

Reality Check: Italy Bonds

- Italy issues bonds in both EUR and USD (among others), total $1.3 trillion of bonds!
  - Cross-default: bonds of all currencies default together

- Potential reasons to issue in USD
  - Access to other, potentially bigger pool of investors
  - Italy is an exporter, much revenue is in USD

- Credit spread is the premium required for borrowing over the benchmark
  - Higher rates to borrow EUR than Germany
  - Higher rates to borrow USD than USA

> **Pop Quiz**
> Italy pays higher credit spread premium in
>
> A. USD
> B. EUR
> C. Equal in both

Reality Check: Italy Bonds

- Italy credit spread and EUR/USD FX rates are **volatile**

- Pricing questions
  - How do you compare the value of EUR bonds vs. USD bonds?
  - How do you come up with a strategy to replicate USD bonds with EUR bonds?

- Similarities to the FX betting game
  - Is value of the payoff currency correlated with the payoff event?

- Theoretical pricing argument
  1. Analyze the payoff of both instruments
  2. Use math finance to **price** (replicating trading strategy) bonds
  3. Obtain intuitive understanding (rules of thumb) from the results

Italy Credit Spreads: EUR vs. USD (adj. for basis)


Morgan Stanley

Replication/Arbitrage strategy

- Bonds regimes: **performing** and **non-performing (default)**

- Complete market = replicating strategy exists

- Goal is to replicate one bond with another in both regimes

- Example:
  - Two zero-coupon bonds (**E** and **U**), same maturity, pay 100 at maturity T.
  - **U** pays 100 USD, **E** pays 100 EUR
  - Price of U=Pu; Price of E=Pe;
  - Spot FX=St; FX forward to T=Ft (USD/EUR)

- Trivial potential arbitrage strategy of 1000 E bond with U bonds (initial price= 1000*Pe)
  - Sell 1000*Ft U bonds with proceeds 1000*Ft*Pu
  - Buy 1000 E bonds at cost 1000*Pe
  - Enter into long USD FX forward (will be buying USD and selling EUR) for 100,000 EUR for maturity T at 0 cost

- Strategy Payoff:

You — 100,000 EUR — Market
EUR Bond

100,000 EUR
FX Forward
100,000*Ft USD

100,000*Ft USD
USD Bond

  – Net payoff: 0!

- Is the strategy an arbitrage if initial cost is not 0, i.e. if $Ft*Pu \neq S*Pe$?

Replication/Arbitrage strategy cont'd

- Arbitrage: Start with 0 money, make money with non-zero probability

- What happens if bond defaults?
  - Each bond pays the same % of notional, called recovery rate, typically much less than 100%
  - For sovereign issuers, expected recovery rate around 25%

- Strategy payoff in case of default with 25% recovery rate:
  - Receive 25,000 EUR from bonds E
  - Convert 25,000 EUR to 25,000*Ft USD using the FX forward (*)
  - Pay 25,000*Ft USD on short bond U position
  - Still left with 75,000 EUR FX forward!
  - If EUR weakens strongly on default, you win big! If it strengthens, you lose big!

- Strategy is NOT an arbitrage, not effective in replicating cashflows in all scenarios

Argentinean Peso/USD Devaluation on Credit Default

Chart legend:
- Last Price 2.9088
- High on 06/25/02 3.8600
- Average 2.4886
- Low on 07/27/01 0.9980

Annotation: **Argentina Default December 30,2001**

Axis values: 4.0000, 3.5000, 3.0000, 2.9088, 2.5000, 2.0000, 1.5000, 1.0000

Years: 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005

USDARS Curncy (USD-ARS X-RATE) Daily 03OCT2000-01OCT2005   Copyright© 2012 Bloomberg Finance L.P.   30-Sep-2012 13:46:43

Applying mathematical finance

- Can we do better? Need a model that captures essential features of the market

- Mathematical model -> hedging/replication strategy

- Essential Model Features
  - Possibility of a credit event (default)
  - FX changes on default

- Complete market
  - Number of hedging instruments ≥ number of model stochastic variables / sources
  - Pricing gives a replicating strategy, means that the price is unique

- In practice, we try to model complete markets, even if some of the instruments do not actually trade

How do we use a model in trading?

1. Define the model with the desired dynamics
   - Check that the market in the model is complete
   - Check that it represents the salient features of the market

2. Price all the instruments
   - Generally, you need to solve a stochastic equation
     - Analytically
     - Numerically (PDE solvers, Monte Carlo simulations)

3. The sensitivity of the target instrument price to the prices of the replicating instruments gives you the hedging ratios (how much to buy or sell for the replicating portfolio)

$$\text{Hedge Ratio of instrument A} = \frac{\partial \text{Price(target)}}{\partial \text{Price(hedge A)}}$$

A Basic Credit Model

- Goal: Model the default event of an issuer of bonds

- Let's label the time of default τ, a random time

- Model τ as the arrival time of the first jump in a Poisson process

$$P_t(T) = \Pr(\tau > T \mid \tau > t) = e^{-h(T-t)}$$

- Make the assumption that the intensity h (hazard rate) is constant and $\tau > t$

- Probability density that default happens at time T>t

$$\phi(t,T) = \lim_{\Delta T \to 0} \frac{P_t(T) - P_t(T + \Delta T)}{\Delta T} = -\frac{\partial P_t(T)}{\partial T} = he^{-h(T-t)}$$

- Corollary: Current probability density of default between time t and t+dt

$$\phi(t,t) = h$$

Minimal FX jump-on-default model: Definition

- FX jumps by a fixed multiplier on default

$$S_{\tau+} = S_{\tau-}e^{J}, J \in (-\infty, \infty)$$
$$\ln(S_{\tau+}) = \ln(S_{\tau-}) + J$$

- Define jump-on-default Poisson process with intensity h

$$N_t = 1_{t>\tau}$$
$$P(\tau > t) = e^{-ht}$$

- Define FX dynamics

$$d\log(S_t) = \overset{\text{drift}}{\mu_t dt} + \overset{\text{jump}}{J dN_t}$$

Show that we need $\quad \mu_t = h(1 - e^J)1_{t\leq\tau}$, so that $F_{tT} = E[S_T] = S_t$

- Proof in accompanying notes and on the board

Overview

- So far…
  - Defined a dynamics for log(S) with jump on default
  - Derived the probability density of the FX rate in the future

- Next…
  - Derive the dynamics of S
  - Price EUR and USD bonds
  - Derive hedge ratios
  - Construct a replicating portfolio
  - Check that it is indeed replicating
  - Does the result give any intuition, rules of thumb for trading?

Developed for educational use at MIT and for publication through MIT OpenCourseware.
No investment decisions should be made in reliance on this material.

23

Minimal FX jump-on-default model: Derivation

- Log(S) dynamics

$$d \ln(S_t) = h(1 - e^J)\mathbb{1}_{t<\tau}\, dt + J\, dN_t$$

- Applying Ito's lemma, we get the dynamics of the FX rate S

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = h(1 - e^J)\mathbb{1}_{t<\tau}\, dt + (e^J - 1)\, dN_t$$

- Solve the SDE

$$S_T = S_t e^{[h\tau(1-e^J)+J]\mathbb{1}_{t\geq\tau}} e^{hT(1-e^J)\mathbb{1}_{t<\tau}}$$

Details of the derivation in the notes and on the board

Morgan Stanley

Back to Bonds: Pricing

- Two zero coupon, zero recovery bonds. One pays 1 USD, the other 1 EUR.

- Using the model to hedge

  1. Price both EUR and USD bonds in USD currency with the model

  2. Ratio of prices gives the ratio of notionals in the hedge portfolio

- USD bond price is $P_t^{USD} = e^{-hT}$

- EUR bond price is $P_t^{USD} = e^{-hT}e^J$

- Construct portfolio at t=0

  – Sell 1 USD bond

  – Buy $\dfrac{e^{-hT\left(1-e^J\right)}}{S_0}$ EUR bonds

  – Portfolio value at t=0 is 0

Profit and Loss after ΔT

- Some time ΔT later we have

$$P_0^{\text{USD}} = e^{-h(T-\Delta T)} \;\; ; \;\; P_0^{\text{EUR}} = e^{-he^J(T-\Delta T)} \;\; ; \;\; S_{\Delta T} = S_0 e^{h\Delta T\left(1-e^J\right)}$$

- The value of the two positions is

Position	USD Bond	EUR Bond
Value	$-e^{-h(T-\Delta T)}$	Number of bonds • Price of each bond • FX rate S_T $\dfrac{e^{-hT(1-e^J)}}{S_0} e^{-he^J(T-\Delta T)} S_0 e^{h\Delta T\left(1-e^J\right)}$ $= e^{-h(T-\Delta T)}$

- Final portfolio is hedged both in default and non-default

- Hedging strategy

  - Dynamic (i.e. hedge is rebalanced continuously)

  - Depends on credit riskiness or default probability

  - Depends on the size of the jump on default

Morgan Stanley

What if R>0?

- If recovery R>0 , prices are

$$P_t{}^{USD} = R + e^{-hT}(1 - R)$$
$$P_t{}^{EUR} = R + e^{-hTe^J}(1 - R)$$

  – Exact replication is not possible

- See class notes for model and hedging strategy details

- Even in such simple model and simple instruments, hedging is not trivial!

- One step further
  - Add diffusive dynamics to the FX process to make it jump-diffusion model

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = h\left(1 - e^J\right)1_{t<\tau}\,dt + \left(e^J - 1\right)dN_t + \sigma dW_t$$

- Possible final paper topic
  - Price zero coupon/zero coupon bonds in USD and EUR in this jump-diffusion model
  - Determine the dynamic hedging strategy
    - Two sources of risk, so need at least 2 hedging instruments. FX forwards are a great candidate.
  - Bonus: Check results with Monte Carlo simulation
  - Follow the presentation in the Reference slide, plus references therein

Morgan Stanley

Real Life

- To apply in finance, need to introduce more sources of risk
  - Stochastic interest rates (term structure model)
  - Stochastic hazard rates (default probabilities), see next lecture
  - Stochastic FX
  - Correlation among the diffusive portions of interest rates, hazard rates, and FX
  - Uncertain and non-zero recovery values
  - Simultaneous modeling of multiple credits
- Analytic solutions are not possible, always use Monte Carlo
  - Default events are rare events, so not desirable for simulation
  - Need to be able to integrate the payoff over the default event distribution only, Monte-Carlo simulate hazard rates, interest rates, and FX

Other Applications

- Modeling stocks with jumps. Very often can give a better explanation of volatility skew at short expiries.

- Quanto credit default swap – same as insurance, but the insurance notional is in a different currency from the underlying bond.

- Same technique can be applied to jumps in interest rates on default

Morgan Stanley

References

- Jump Diffusion Models: More rigorous derivation of hedging in the context of stock prices. Could be a good source for your paper. http://www.john-crosby.co.uk/pdfs/JCrosby_OxfordJune2012_Levy.pdf

- Credit Models: A rigorous discussion of credit and explanation of the hazard model. See attached paper by Ruthkowski, as well as next lecture.

Disclosures

The information herein has been prepared solely for informational purposes and is not an offer to buy or sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security or instrument or to participate in any trading strategy. Any such offer would be made only after a prospective participant had completed its own independent investigation of the securities, instruments or transactions and received all information it required to make its own investment decision, including, where applicable, a review of any offering circular or memorandum describing such security or instrument, which would contain material information not contained herein and to which prospective participants are referred. No representation or warranty can be given with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the information herein, or that any future offer of securities, instruments or transactions will conform to the terms hereof. Morgan Stanley and its affiliates disclaim any and all liability relating to this information. Morgan Stanley, its affiliates and others associated with it may have positions in, and may effect transactions in, securities and instruments of issuers mentioned herein and may also perform or seek to perform investment banking services for the issuers of such securities and instruments.

The information herein may contain general, summary discussions of certain tax, regulatory, accounting and/or legal issues relevant to the proposed transaction. Any such discussion is necessarily generic and may not be applicable to, or complete for, any particular recipient's specific facts and circumstances. Morgan Stanley is not offering and does not purport to offer tax, regulatory, accounting or legal advice and this information should not be relied upon as such. Prior to entering into any proposed transaction, recipients should determine, in consultation with their own legal, tax, regulatory and accounting advisors, the economic risks and merits, as well as the legal, tax, regulatory and accounting characteristics and consequences, of the transaction.

Notwithstanding any other express or implied agreement, arrangement, or understanding to the contrary, Morgan Stanley and each recipient hereof are deemed to agree that both Morgan Stanley and such recipient (and their respective employees, representatives, and other agents) may disclose to any and all persons, without limitation of any kind, the U.S. federal income tax treatment of the securities, instruments or transactions described herein and any fact relating to the structure of the securities, instruments or transactions that may be relevant to understanding such tax treatment, and all materials of any kind (including opinions or other tax analyses) that are provided to such person relating to such tax treatment and tax structure, except to the extent confidentiality is reasonably necessary to comply with securities laws (including, where applicable, confidentiality regarding the identity of an issuer of securities or its affiliates, agents and advisors).

The projections or other estimates in these materials (if any), including estimates of returns or performance, are forward-looking statements based upon certain assumptions and are preliminary in nature. Any assumptions used in any such projection or estimate that were provided by a recipient are noted herein. Actual results are difficult to predict and may depend upon events outside the issuer's or Morgan Stanley's control. Actual events may differ from those assumed and changes to any assumptions may have a material impact on any projections or estimates. Other events not taken into account may occur and may significantly affect the analysis. Certain assumptions may have been made for modeling purposes only to simplify the presentation and/or calculation of any projections or estimates, and Morgan Stanley does not represent that any such assumptions will reflect actual future events. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that estimated returns or projections will be realized or that actual returns or performance results will not be materially different than those estimated herein. Any such estimated returns and projections should be viewed as hypothetical. Recipients should conduct their own analysis, using such assumptions as they deem appropriate, and should fully consider other available information in making a decision regarding these securities, instruments or transactions. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results. Price and availability are subject to change without notice.

The offer or sale of securities, instruments or transactions may be restricted by law. Additionally, transfers of any such securities, instruments or transactions may be limited by law or the terms thereof. Unless specifically noted herein, neither Morgan Stanley nor any issuer of securities or instruments has taken or will take any action in any jurisdiction that would permit a public offering of securities or instruments, or possession or distribution of any offering material in relation thereto, in any country or jurisdiction where action for such purpose is required. Recipients are required to inform themselves of and comply with any legal or contractual restrictions on their purchase, holding, sale, exercise of rights or performance of obligations under any transaction. Morgan Stanley does not undertake or have any responsibility to notify you of any changes to the attached information.

With respect to any recipient in the U.K., the information herein has been issued by Morgan Stanley & Co. International Limited, regulated by the U.K. Financial Services Authority. THIS COMMUNICATION IS DIRECTED IN THE UK TO THOSE PERSONS WHO ARE MARKET COUNTERPARTIES OR INTERMEDIATE CUSTOMERS (AS DEFINED IN THE UK FINANCIAL SERVICES AUTHORITY'S RULES).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.

Morgan Stanley

Developed for educational use at MIT and for publication through MIT OpenCourseware. 32
No investment decisions should be made in reliance on this material.

MIT OpenCourseWare
http://ocw.mit.edu

18.S096 Topics in Mathematics with Applications in Finance
Fall 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.