# Assignment 1

# SFWRENG 2CO3: Data Structures and Algorithms—Winter 2023

# Problem 1

**P1.1** Order the following functions of n on increasing growth rates and group functions with identical growth rates. Explain your answers.

$$ln(n^3)$$
  $n$   $n^2 \log_2 n$   $4^{\log_2 n}$   $\log_2 \sqrt{n}$   $2n \log_2 n$   $n + \log_2 n^4$   $2^{\log_2(16)}$   $n^{-1}$   $16$   $n^{\log_2 4}$   $\log_2 n^n$ 

Answer (Top = largest growth rate, bottom = smallest growth rate):

- $n^2 \log_2 n$
- $4^{\log_2 n} = n^{\log_2 4}$
- $2n\log_2 n = \log_2 n^n$
- $n + \log_2 n^4 = n$
- $ln(n^3) = \log_2 \sqrt{n}$
- $2^{\log_2(16)} = 16$
- n<sup>-1</sup>

### Reasoning

Going down the list, I took the limit of the top function divided by the bottom function and if it was  $\infty$  then the top function had a greater growth rate, else if it was a constant > 0, then the functions were equivalent.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n^2 \log_2 n}{4^{\log_2 n}} = \infty$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{4^{\log_2 n}}{n^{\log_2 4}} = 1$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n^{\log_2 4}}{2n\log_2 n} = \infty$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{2n\log_2 n}{\log_2 n^n} = 1$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log_2 n^n}{n + \log_2 n^4} = \infty$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n + \log_2 n^4}{n} = 1$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n}{\ln(n^3)}=\infty$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\ln(n^3)}{\log_2 \sqrt{n}} = 6\ln(2)$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log_2 \sqrt{n}}{2^{\log_2(16)}} = \infty$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{2^{\log_2(16)}}{16} = 1$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{16}{n^{-1}}=\infty$$

**P1.2** Assume n is an exact power of 2 ( $n = 2^j$  for some natural number j) and consider the recurrence

$$T(n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 5 & \text{if } n = 1; \\ 4T(\frac{n}{2}) + 7n & \text{if } n > 1. \end{array} \right\}$$
 (1)

#### Answer:

Use induction to prove that T(n) = f(n) with  $f(n) = 5n^2 + 7n(n-1)$ .

Induction hypothesis: T(n) = f(n) with  $f(n) = 5n^2 + 7n(n-1)$  for all n < i

```
Base Case: T(1) = f(1)

T(1) = 5

f(1) = 5(1^2) + 7(1)(1 - 1) = 5
```

Step: Prove T(i) = f(i), i > 1 Filling in i in T(i), gives  $T(i) = 4T(\frac{i}{2}) + 7i$  We have  $\frac{i}{2} < i$ . Hence induction hypothesis gives  $T(\frac{i}{2}) = 5(\frac{i}{2})^2 + 7\frac{i}{2}(\frac{i}{2} - 1)$ 

```
T(i) = 4T(\frac{i}{2}) + 7i = 4(5(\frac{i}{2})^2 + 7\frac{i}{2}(\frac{i}{2} - 1)) + 7i
= 4(5(\frac{i^2}{4}) + 7\frac{i}{2}(\frac{i}{2} - 1)) + 7i
= 5(i^2) + 28\frac{i}{2}(\frac{i}{2} - 1) + 7i
= 5(i^2) + 14i(\frac{i}{2} - 1) + 7i
= 5(i^2) + 7i(i - 2) + 7i
= 5(i^2) + 7i((i - 2) + 1)
= 5(i^2) + 7i((i - 1))
```

This proves that T(n) = f(n)

# Problem 2

Assume we have a list L of unknown length that provides a constant-cost operation Exists(L,i) that returns true if the list has an i-th element (if  $0 \le i < |L|$ , where |L| is the length of the list). For example, Exists(['AValue', 'OtherValue'], 2) returns false. The following algorithm computes the length of list L:

```
1 def ListLength(L):
2    len = 0
3    while Exists(L, len) do
4    len = len + 1
5    end while
6    return len
```

**P2.1.** Prove that ListLength is correct and terminates and provide the complexity of ListLength.

### **Answer (Correctness and Termination):**

```
def ListLength(L):
    len = 0

# Base case: len = 0 and L is an empty list implies inv. (|L| = len = 0)

# inv: |L| >= len >= 0

while Exists(L, len) do # bf: |L| - len

# Given: invariant and Exists(L, len) -> L[len] exists

len = len + 1

# Known: |L| >= len and |L| > len_old

end while

# Known: |L| >= len and ¬Exists(L, len), which means |L| must be = len

# Induction step: prove the invariant holds at each step

return len # |L| = len
```

Termination: bound function is |L| - len. When |L| - len = 0, then len is correct, anything past it will go into the negatives, which is not part of the natural numbers.

## Answer (Complexity):

```
def ListLength(L):
    len = 0 # 1 operation
    while Exists(L, len) do # 1 check, 1 function call
    len = len + 1 # 1 operation, 1 assignment
    end while
    return len # 1 return
```

Every loop would be 4 operations, then +2 operations for the whole function. Therefore, complexity would be 4N + 2, which is  $\sim N$ , where N = |L|

**P2.2.** Sketch an algorithm that can determine the length of list L in  $\sim log_2(|L|)$  time. Include an argument as to why your algorithm is correct and terminates.

#### Answer:

```
1 def BetterListLength(L):
     if !Exists(L, 0) return 0;
                                    # 1 check, 1 return
      start = 0  # 1 operation
      end = 1
                 # 1 operation
      # loop 1
      while Exists(L, end):
                              # 1 test
          start = end # 1 assignment
                        # 1 operation, 1 assignment
          end = end * 2
      # loop 2
      while start+1 < end:</pre>
                             # 1 test, 1 operation
         middle = (start + end)//2 # 2 operations, 1 assignment
         if Exists(L, middle) # 1 test
              start = middle
                             # 1 assignment
14
              end = middle
                             # 1 assigment
16
     return start
                             # 1 return
```

To begin, for loop 1, its complexity is  $\sim \log_2 |L|$  since end doubles every time until it's larger than |L|

For loop 2, its complexity would also be  $\sim \log_2 |L|$ , since it is similar to binary search. The difference between Loop 2 and binary search is the start and end values, and the conditional. Based off loop 1 we know  $start = 2^{i-1}$  and  $end = 2^i$ . We also know  $2^{i-1} < |L| <= 2^i$ , where i >= 0 and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , so start < |L| <= end. And due to this, we can say end - start < |L| as long as |L| >= 0. Now, given a start and end, we check if the middle value exists in the list. If it does, then we get rid of the first half of the values we are checking (by setting start = middle), else we get rid of the second half of the values we are checking (by setting end = middle). In that case, we are always dividing the values we are checking in half, which is a  $\sim \log_2 |L|$  algorithm.

```
Given n = 2^i

Visually loop 2 will go like this:

Iteration 1: end - start = 2^i values

Iteration 2: end - start = 2^i - 1 values

...

Iteration i: end - start = 2^0 values

Terminates
```

The height of this would be  $\log_2 n = \log_2 2^i = i$ . And since we know  $|L| <= 2^i$ , we also know  $\log_2 |L| <= i$ 

Since loop 1 is  $\sim \log_2 |L|$  and loop 2 is  $\sim \log_2 |L|$  and they are consecutive, so the total complexity of the algorithm would be  $\sim 2\log_2 |L|$  and that is equal to  $\sim \log_2 |L|$  since we can drop the constants.

Also it's worth noting, for loop 1, there are 4 operations total per loop, and for loop 2, there are 7 operations per loop. There are also 4 extra operations, which would give us complexity of  $4\log_2|L| + 7\log_2|L| + 4$ . However, these numbers are negligible in the complexity calculation, so they were left out.

To double check, I implemented the code in Java. When implemented with Java code, when inputting an array of length 10,000 there are 28 loops that occur. Checking the boundaries of multiple numbers, I found that for:  $2^{n-1} < x <= 2^n$ , where n >= 0, there are 2n loops total in both while loops combined.

In terms of termination, for loop 1, the bound function is |L| - end, and we know end must at some point be bigger than |L|, thus L[end] won't exist, so it must terminate. For loop 2, we know at every loop, start either gets larger or end gets smaller until middle = (start + end)//2 = start or middle = end, which means start + 1 = end

# Problem 3

**P3.1.** Consider an initially-empty stack S and the sequence of operations

$$PUSH(S, 4), PUSH(S, 19), POP(S), PUSH(S, 2), POP(S), POP(S), PUSH(S, 9)$$

Illustrate the result of each operation (clearly indicate the content of the stack after the operation and, in case of a pop, the value returned by the operation)

### Answer:

Assume a stack is of the following form [..., ...] (Top).

For the following answer, the function call happens before it's respective stack.

Function call	Resulting Stack	Return value
Initially	[](Top)	none
PUSH(S, 4)	[4]	none
PUSH(S, 19)	[4, 19]	none
POP(S)	[4]	19
PUSH(S, 2)	[4, 2]	none
POP(S)	[4]	2
POP(S)	[]	4
PUSH(S, 9)	[9]	none

**P3.2.** Consider an initially-empty queue Q and the sequence of operations

$$ENQUEUE(Q,4)$$
,  $ENQUEUE(Q,19)$ ,  $DEQUEUE(Q)$ ,  $ENQUEUE(Q,2)$ ,  $DEQUEUE(Q)$ ,  $DEQUEUE(Q)$ ,  $ENQUEUE(Q,9)$ 

Illustrate the result of each operation (clearly indicate the content of the stack after the operation and, in case of a DEQUEUE, the value returned by the operation).

### Answer:

Assume a queue is of the following form (Bottom) [..., ...] (Top).

For the following answer, the function call happens before it's respective queue.

Function call	Resulting Queue	Return value
Initially	(Bottom) [] (Top)	none
ENQUEUE(Q, 4)	[4]	none
ENQUEUE(Q, 19)	[4, 19]	none
DEQUEUE(Q)	[19]	4
ENQUEUE(Q,2)	[19, 2]	none
DEQUEUE(Q)	[2]	19
DEQUEUE(Q)	[]	2
ENQUEUE(S,9)	[9]	none

**P3.3.** Consider bags of values  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . The SetUnion operation takes bags  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  and returns a bag holding all values originally in  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  (possibly destroying  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  in the process). Provide a data structure to represent  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  such that SetUnion can be implemented in constant time.

### Answer:

The bag can be implemented using a singly linked list, with both a first and last pointer. In order to define SetUnion, you would just need one assignment operation that assigns  $B_1.last.next$  to  $B_2.first$ , and one assignment to make  $B_2.last$  be  $B_1.last$  now. This would make it have a complexity of constant time as there are only 2 operations in the whole function. The function would look similar to:

### Assuming B1 and B2 won't be destroyed

### Assuming B1 and B2 may be destroyed

**Note:** I defined a new LinkedList as in the question, it mentions B1 or B2 can be destroyed, so setting it to a new B3 will ensure the return value will not be destroyed.

## **Problem 4**

The main difference between an array and a linked list is that arrays support random access efficiently: one can lookup the i-th value in an array in constant time. Many algorithms such as BinarySearch rely on random access.

**4.1.** Provide an algorithm Get(L, i) that returns the i-th value in linked list L. What is the complexity of this algorithm?

### Answer:

```
def Get(L, i):
    head = L.first
                              # 1 assignment
    counter = 0
                              # 1 assignment
    while (head != null):
                             # 1 check
       if (counter == i)
                             # 1 check
           return head.value # 1 return
       head = head.next
                              # 1 assignment
       counter++
                              # 1 operation, 1 assignment
    return "not found"
                              # 1 return
```

Every loop there is 2 checks, 2 assignments, and 1 operation. There is 1 return that will be hit in the algorithm and 2 extra assignments.

Therefore, there is 5 operations per loop and +3 extra operations.

Complexity is 5N + 3 which is  $\sim N$ 

NOTE: N = i where i is the i-th value passed in.

**4.2.** Consider the algorithm BinarySearch from the slides. What is the average complexity of this algorithm to search for a value  $v \in L$  when list L is represented by a linked lists (using the above Get algorithm to implement L[mid]). Feel free to assume that the length of the list is an exact power of two if that simplifies your argument.

### Answer:

```
1 def BinarySearch(L, start, end, v):
2    if start + 1 = end then
3        return start if L[start] = v
4    else
5        mid = (start + end)/2
6    if (L[mid] <= v) then
7        return BinarySearch(L, mid, end, v)
8    else
9    return BinarySearch(L, start, mid, v)</pre>
```

BinarySearch has a time complexity of  $\sim \log_2 N$ , where N = |L| (length of the list). In this case, we have nested an n (where n is the index you pass into the Get method) time complexity algorithm in it to get L[mid], so it would be  $\sim (mid) * \log_2 |L|$ , where mid is some constant times |L|.

```
Outer loop average case complexity = \sim \log_2 n
Inner loop (The Get(L,i) function) =
```

$$\sum_{i=0}^{|L|-1} \mathrm{p(i}$$
 is @ n-th value of array) \* (complexity of one Get(L,i) call) 
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{|L|-1} \frac{1}{|L|} * (5i+3)$$
 
$$= \frac{|L|(|L|-1)}{2|L|}$$
 
$$= \frac{|L|-1}{2}$$
  $\sim |L|$ 

Since the inner loop is nested within the outer loop, we multiply the two complexities to find the complexity of our algorithm, which will give us  $\frac{|L|-1}{2}*\log_2|L|\sim |L|\log|L|$ .