

# **Algebraic Number Theory**

HHH

December 10, 2025

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Ring Extensions</b>	<b>1</b>
§1	Integral Extensions . . . . .	1
§2	Discrete Valuation and Discrete Valuation Ring . . . . .	3
§3	Dedekind Domain . . . . .	4
§3.1	Fractional Ideals . . . . .	4
§3.2	Unique factorization of fractional ideals . . . . .	6
§3.3	Proof of factorization . . . . .	7
§4	Integral closures of Dedekind domains . . . . .	9
<b>II</b>		<b>11</b>
§1	Number Fields and Rings of Integers . . . . .	11
§2	Trace, Norm and Discriminant . . . . .	11
§2.1	Discriminant . . . . .	12
§3	Rings of Integers . . . . .	13
§4	Rings of integers in Number Fields . . . . .	15
<b>III</b>	<b>The Finiteness of the Class Number</b>	<b>16</b>
§1	. . . . .	16

# Chapter I

## Ring Extensions

### §1 Integral Extensions

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $S$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $R$  a subring of  $S$  containing  $1_S$ . Then  $S$  is said to be an **extension ring** of  $R$ .

1. An element  $s \in S$  is said to be **integral** over  $R$  if  $s$  is a root of a monic polynomial in  $R[x]$ .
2. If every element of  $S$  is integral over  $R$ ,  $S$  is said to be an **integral extension** of  $R$ .
3. The **integral closure** of  $R$  in  $S$  is the set of elements of  $S$  that are integral over  $R$ .
4. The ring  $R$  is said to be **integrally closed** in  $S$  if  $R$  is equal to its integral closure in  $S$ .

The integral closure of an integral domain  $R$  in its field of fractions is called the **normalization** of  $R$ . An integral domain is called integrally closed or normal if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions.

**Remark.** It follows from [corollary 1.3](#) that the integral closure of  $R$  in  $S$  is a subring of  $S$  containing  $R$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $S$  be an extension ring of  $R$  and  $s \in S$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1.  $s$  is integral over  $R$
2. Subring  $R[s]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module
3. There is a subring  $T$  that  $R[s] \subset T \subset S$ , which is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module;
4. There is a faithful  $R[s]$ -submodule  $M$  which is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $S$  be an extension ring of  $R$ . Then

1. If  $S$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module, then  $S$  is an integral extension of  $R$ .

2. If  $s_1, \dots, s_t \in S$  are integral over  $R$ , then  $R[s_1, \dots, s_t]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and an integral extension ring of  $R$ .
3. If  $T$  is an integral extension ring of  $S$  and  $S$  is an integral extension ring of  $R$ , then  $T$  is an integral extension ring of  $R$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** 1. Every unique factorization domain is integrally closed.

2. In particular, the polynomial ring  $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  ( $F$  a field) is integrally closed in its quotient field  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $S$  be a multiplicative subset of an integral domain  $R$  such that  $0 \notin S$ . If  $R$  is integrally closed, then  $S^{-1}R$  is an integrally closed integral domain.

*Proof.*  $S^{-1}R$  is an integral domain and  $R$  may be identified with a subring of  $S^{-1}R$  by  $??$ . Extending this identification, the quotient field  $Q(R)$  of  $R$  may be considered as a subfield of the quotient field  $Q(S^{-1}R)$  of  $S^{-1}R$ . Verify that  $Q(R) = Q(S^{-1}R)$ .

Let  $u \in Q(S^{-1}R)$  be integral over  $S^{-1}R$ ; then for some  $r_i \in R$  and  $s_i \in S$ ,

$$u^n + (r_{n-1}/s_{n-1})u^{n-1} + \dots + (r_1/s_1)u + (r_0/s_0) = 0.$$

Multiply through this equation by  $s^n$ , where  $s = s_0 s_1 \dots s_{n-1} \in S$ , and conclude that  $su$  is integral over  $R$ . Since  $su \in Q(S^{-1}R) = Q(R)$  and  $R$  is integrally closed,  $su \in R$ . Therefore,  $u = su/s \in S^{-1}R$ , whence  $S^{-1}R$  is integrally closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $S$  be an integral extension ring of  $R$ . Then the following statements hold.

1. Assume that  $S$  is an integral domain. Then  $R$  is a field if and only if  $S$  is a field.
2. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $R$ . Then there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  in  $S$  with  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap R$ .  
Moreover,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal if and only if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is maximal.
3. (The Going-up Theorem) Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_n$  be a chain of prime ideals in  $R$  and suppose there are prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_m$  of  $S$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $m < n$ . Then the ascending chain of ideals can be completed: there are prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}_{m+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_n$  in  $S$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$  for all  $i$ .

**Theorem 1.7** (The Going-down Theorem). Assume that  $S$  is an integral domain and  $R$  is integrally closed in  $S$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_n$  be a chain of prime ideals in  $R$  and suppose there are prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_m$  of  $S$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $m < n$ . Then the descending chain of ideals can be completed: there are prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}_{m+1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_n$  in  $S$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$  for all  $i$ .

**Theorem 1.8.** Let  $S$  be an integral extension ring of  $R$  and let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime ideal in  $S$  which lies over a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}$  is maximal in  $S$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal in  $R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathfrak{q}$  is maximal in  $S$ , there is a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$  that contains  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is prime by ???. By ??? there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}'$  in  $S$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{q}'$  and  $\mathfrak{q}'$  lies over  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}'$  is prime,  $\mathfrak{q}' \neq S$ . The maximality of  $\mathfrak{q}$  implies that  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}'$ , whence  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{q}' \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal in  $R$ .

Conversely suppose  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal in  $R$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}$  is prime in  $S$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} \neq S$  and there is a maximal ideal  $N$  of  $S$  containing  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $N$  is prime, whence  $1_R = 1_S \notin N$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p} = R \cap \mathfrak{q} \subset R \cap N \subset R$ , we must have  $\mathfrak{p} = R \cap N$  by maximality. Thus  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $N$  both lie over  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \subset N$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{q} = N$  by 1.8.  $\square$

## §2 Discrete Valuation and Discrete Valuation Ring

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $K$  be a field. A **discrete valuation** on  $K$  is a nonzero group homomorphism  $v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $v(a+b) \geq \min(v(a), v(b))$ .

As  $v$  is not the zero homomorphism, its image is a nonzero subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and is therefore of the form  $m\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $m = 1$ , then  $v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is surjective, and  $v$  is said to be **normalized**; otherwise,  $x \mapsto m^{-1} \cdot v(x)$  will be a normalized discrete valuation.

We extend  $v$  to a map  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  by setting  $v(0) = +\infty$ , where  $\infty$  is a symbol  $\geq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Remark.** We have

1.  $v(\zeta) = 0$  for some  $\zeta \in K^\times$
2.  $v(-a) = v(a)$  for all  $a \in K$ ;
3.  $v(a+b) = \max\{v(a), v(b)\}$  if  $v(a) \neq v(b)$ .

We often use "ord" rather than "v" to denote a discrete valuation.

**Definition 2.2.** The following conditions on a principal ideal domain are equivalent:

1.  $A$  has exactly one nonzero prime ideal;
2. up to associates,  $A$  has exactly one prime element;
3.  $A$  is local and is not a field.

A ring satisfying these conditions is called a **discrete valuation ring**.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $A$  be a domain ring. The following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $A$  is a discrete valuation ring
2. There is a discrete valuation  $v$  on  $K = \text{Frac}(A)$  such that

$$A = \mathcal{O}_v := \{a \in K \mid v(a) \geq 0\}$$

with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = \{a \in K \mid v(a) > 0\}$ .

3. *there exists a element  $\pi \in A$  such that every nonzero ideal of  $A$  is of the form  $(\pi^n)$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .*
4.  *$A$  is a noetherian, integrally closed and has exactly one nonzero prime ideal.*

We can associate discrete valuations to prime ideals in Dedekind domains.

**Definition 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in  $A$ . For any  $c \in K^\times$ , let  $v(c)$  be the exponent of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in the factorization of  $(c)$ . Then  $v$  is a normalized discrete valuation on  $K$ , called the **discrete valuation associated to  $\mathfrak{p}$** , denoted by  $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .*

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  be elements of a Dedekind domain  $A$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m$  be distinct prime ideals of  $A$ . For every integer  $n$ , there is an  $x \in A$  such that*

$$\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(x - x_i) > n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

## §3 Dedekind Domain

**Definition 3.1.** *A **Dedekind domain** is an integral domain  $A$  satisfying*

- (i)  *$A$  is noetherian;*
- (ii)  *$A$  is integrally closed;*
- (iii)  *$A$  has Krull dimension one, i.e., every nonzero prime ideal is maximal.*

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be an integral domain, and let  $S$  be a multiplicative subset of  $A$ .*

1. *If  $A$  is noetherian, then so also is  $S^{-1}A$ .*
2. *If  $A$  is integrally closed, then so also is  $S^{-1}A$ .*
3. *If  $A$  has Krull dimension one, then so also does  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ .*

**Remark.** *It follows that the localization  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of a Dedekind domain  $A$  is local thus DVR.*

### §3.1 Fractional Ideals

**Definition 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K = \text{Frac}(A)$ .*

1. *A **fractional ideal** of  $A$  is*
  - (i) *a nonzero  $A$ -submodule  $I$  of  $K$*
  - (ii) *there exists a nonzero  $d \in A$  such that  $dI \subset A$  i.e.,  $(A : I) \cap A \neq \emptyset$*
2. *A fractional ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is said to be **integral** if  $I \subset A$ .*
3. *A fractional ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is said to be **principal** if  $I = Ax$  for some nonzero  $x \in K$ .*

4. the **ideal quotient** of two fractional ideals  $I$  and  $J$  is defined as

$$(I : J) := \{x \in K \mid xJ \subset I\}.$$

5. the **inverse** of a fractional ideal  $I$  is defined as

$$I^{-1} := (A : I).$$

thus  $II^{-1} \subset A$ .

6. A fractional ideal  $I$  is called **invertible** if there is a fractional ideal  $J$  such that  $IJ = A$ .

**Remark.** Let  $I$  be a fractional ideal of  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal of  $A$  and  $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$ . Then the localization  $I_{\mathfrak{p}} := IA_{\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}I = \{x/s : x \in I, s \in S\}$  is a fractional ideal of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

We may assume that all rings and ideals are contained in  $K = \text{Frac}(A)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $A$  be a integral domain and fractional ideal  $I, J$ , then

- $I + J$
- $IJ$
- $I \cap J$
- $(I : J)$

are both ideal fractional ideal. And

1.  $IJ \subset I \cap J$
2.  $H + (I + J) = I + (H + J) := H + I + J$
3.  $IJ = JI$
4.  $H(IJ) = (HI)J := H I J$
5.  $H(I + J) = HI + HJ$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $A$  be an integral domain,  $K = \text{Frac}(A)$  and  $I$  a fractional ideal. Then the following statements hold:

1.  $II^{-1} \subseteq A$ .
2.  $I$  is invertible  $\Leftrightarrow II^{-1} = A$ .
3. Let  $J$  be an invertible ideal. Then  $(I : J) = IJ^{-1}$ .
4. If  $0 \neq i \in I$  such that  $i^{-1} \in I^{-1}$ , then  $I = (i)$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be an integral domain. The set  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  of invertible fractional ideals forms an abelian group with respect to multiplication, with  $A$  being the identity element, and the inverse of  $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$  being  $I^{-1}$ .*

**Definition 3.7.** *Let  $A$  be an integral domain. One calls  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  the group of invertible fractional ideal and  $\mathcal{P}(R)$  the subgroup of principal invertible fractional ideal. The quotient group  $\text{Pic}(R) := \mathcal{I}(R)/\mathcal{P}(R)$  is called the **Picard group** of  $A$ .*

*If  $K$  is a number field and  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  its ring of integers, one also writes  $\text{CL}(K) := \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$ , and calls it the **ideal class group** of  $K$ .*

**Remark.** *Then we have the exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$1 \rightarrow A^\times \rightarrow K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{prin}} \mathcal{I}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $f(x)$  is the principal fractional  $R$ -ideal  $xR$ .

Invertibility is a local property:

**Proposition 3.8.** *For a fractional ideal  $I$  in integral domain  $A$ , the following are equivalent:*

1.  $I$  is invertible;
2.  $I$  is finitely generated and, for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is invertible;
3.  $I$  is finitely generated and, for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is invertible.

### §3.2 Unique factorization of fractional ideals

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain. Every fractional ideal  $I$  of  $A$  can be written uniquely in the form*

$$I = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}} \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)}$$

where discrete valuation  $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)$ .

*The set  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  of fractional ideals is a group; in fact, it is the free abelian group on the set of nonzero prime ideals.*

*Proof.* In order to show that  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  is a group, it remains to show that inverses exist. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero integral ideal, there is an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  and an  $a \in A$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = (a)$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{a} \cdot (a^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^*) = A$ , and so  $a^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^*$  is an inverse of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a fractional ideal, then  $d\mathfrak{a}$  is an integral ideal for some  $d$ , and  $d \cdot (d\mathfrak{a})^{-1}$  will be an inverse for  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

It remains to show that the group  $\text{Id}(A)$  is freely generated by the prime ideals, i.e., that each fractional ideal can be expressed in a unique way as a product of powers of prime ideals. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a fractional ideal. Then  $d\mathfrak{a}$  is an integral ideal for some  $d \in A$ , and we can write

$$d\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m}, \quad (d) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}.$$



Thus  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m-s_m}$ . The uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of the factorization for integral ideals.  $\square$

### §3.3 Proof of factorization

We prove the theorem in several steps. Assume that  $A$  is a commutative ring throughout.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring; then every ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $A$  contains a product of nonzero prime ideals.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the statement is false for  $A$ , and choose a maximal counterexample  $\mathfrak{a}$  by Noetherian property. Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  itself cannot be prime, and so there exist elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $A$  such that  $xy \in \mathfrak{a}$  but neither  $x$  nor  $y \in \mathfrak{a}$ .

The ideals  $\mathfrak{a}+(x)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}+(y)$  strictly contain  $\mathfrak{a}$  and contain a product of prime ideals respectively, but their product is contained in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{a}$  contains a product of prime ideals.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $A$  be a ring, and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  be relatively prime ideals in  $A$ ;*

1. *for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}^m$  and  $\mathfrak{b}^n$  are relatively prime.*
2.  $I \cap J = IJ$
3.  $A/(IJ) \cong A/(I) \times A/(J)$
4. *if  $IJ = H^n$  for some ideal  $H$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exist ideals  $I_1 := I + H$  and  $J_1 := J + H$  such that  $I = I_1^n$ ,  $J = J_1^n$  and  $I_1 J_1 = H$*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{a}^m$  and  $\mathfrak{b}^n$  are not relatively prime, then they are both contained in some prime (even maximal) ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are both contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , which contradicts the hypothesis.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal ideal of an integral domain  $A$ , and let  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^e = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the ideal in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . The map*

$$a + \mathfrak{p}^m \mapsto a + \mathfrak{q}^m : A/\mathfrak{p}^m \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{q}^m$$

*is an isomorphism for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$ . The map is clearly a homomorphism of rings, so we have to prove that it is bijective.

We first show that the map is injective. For this we have to show that  $\mathfrak{q}^m \cap A = \mathfrak{p}^m$ . But  $\mathfrak{q}^m = S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^m$ , and so we have to show that  $\mathfrak{p}^m = (S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^m) \cap A$ . An element of  $(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^m) \cap A$  can be written  $a = b/s$  with  $b \in \mathfrak{p}^m$ ,  $s \in S$ , and  $a \in A$ . Then  $sa \in \mathfrak{p}^m$ , and so  $sa = 0$  in  $A/\mathfrak{p}^m$ . The only maximal ideal containing  $\mathfrak{p}^m$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$  (because  $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{p}^m \Rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{p}$ ), and so the only maximal ideal in  $A/\mathfrak{p}^m$  is  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^m$ ; in particular,  $A/\mathfrak{p}^m$  is a local ring. As  $s + \mathfrak{p}^m$  is not in  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^m$ , it is a unit in  $A/\mathfrak{p}^m$ , and so  $sa = 0$  in  $A/\mathfrak{p}^m \Rightarrow a = 0$  in  $A/\mathfrak{p}^m$ , i.e.,  $a \in \mathfrak{p}^m$ .

We now prove that the map is surjective. Let  $\frac{a}{s} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Because  $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal, we have that  $(s) + \mathfrak{p} = A$ , i.e.,  $(s)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  are relatively prime. Therefore  $(s)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^m$  are relatively prime

by lemma 3.11, and so there exist  $b \in A$  and  $q \in \mathfrak{p}^m$  such that  $bs + q = 1$ . Then  $b$  maps to  $s^{-1}$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{q}^m$  and so  $ba$  maps to  $\frac{a}{s}$ . Thus the map is surjective.  $\square$

*Proof of factorization.* We now prove that a nonzero ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of Dedekind domain  $A$  can be factored into a product of prime ideals. According to 3.10 applied to  $A$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  contains a product of nonzero prime ideals,

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m}$$

We may suppose that the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are distinct. Then

$$A/\mathfrak{b} \simeq A/\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A/\mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m} \simeq A_{\mathfrak{p}_1}/\mathfrak{q}_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\mathfrak{p}_m}/\mathfrak{q}_m^{r_m},$$

where  $\mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is the maximal ideal of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . Under this isomorphism,

$$A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{b} \simeq A_{\mathfrak{p}_1}/\mathfrak{q}_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\mathfrak{p}_m}/\mathfrak{q}_m^{r_m}$$

$\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$  in  $A/\mathfrak{b}$  corresponds to  $\mathfrak{q}_1^{s_1}/\mathfrak{q}_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{q}_m^{s_m}/\mathfrak{q}_m^{r_m}$  for some  $s_i \leq r_i$  (recall that the rings  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  are all discrete valuation rings). Since this ideal is also the image of  $\mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}$  under the isomorphism, we see that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m} \text{ in } A/\mathfrak{b}.$$

Both of these ideals contain  $\mathfrak{b}$ , and so this implies that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}$$

in  $A$ .

To complete the proof, we have to prove that the above factorization is unique. Suppose that we have two factorizations of the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$ . After adding factors with zero exponent, we may suppose that the same primes occur in each factorization, so that

$$\mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m} = \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{t_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{t_m}$$

In the course of the above proof, we showed that

$$\mathfrak{q}_i^{s_i} = \mathfrak{a} A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{q}_i^{t_i},$$

where  $\mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  the maximal ideal in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . Therefore  $s_i = t_i$  for all  $i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13.** Let  $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{b} \neq 0$  be two ideals in a Dedekind domain; then  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} + (a)$  for some  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}$  with  $r_i, s_j \geq 0$ . Because  $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $s_i \leq r_i$  for all

*i.* For  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , choose an  $x_i \in A$  such that  $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i^{s_i}, x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}_i^{s_i+1}$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there is an  $a \in A$  such that

$$a \equiv x_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i^{r_i}}, \text{ for all } i.$$

Now one sees that  $\mathfrak{b} + (a) = \mathfrak{a}$  by looking at the ideals they generate in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal in a Dedekind domain, and let  $a$  be any nonzero element of  $\mathfrak{a}$ ; then there exists  $b \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} = (a, b)$ .*

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a nonzero ideal in a Dedekind domain; then there exists a nonzero ideal  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  in  $A$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^*$  is principal. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  can be chosen to be relatively prime to any particular ideal  $\mathfrak{c}$ , and it can be chosen so that  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = (a)$  with  $a$  any particular element of  $\mathfrak{a}$  (but not both).*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathfrak{a}, a \neq 0$ ; then  $\mathfrak{a} \supset (a)$ , and so we have

$$(a) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m} \text{ and } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}, \quad s_i \leq r_i.$$

If  $\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m-s_m}$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = (a)$ .

We now show that  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  can be chosen to be prime to  $\mathfrak{c}$ . We have  $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}$ , and so (by 3.15) there exists an  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} + (a)$ . As  $\mathfrak{a} \supset (a)$ , we have  $(a) = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{a}^*$  for some ideal  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  (by the above argument); now,  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{a}$ , and so  $\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}^* = A$ . (Otherwise  $\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}^* \subset \mathfrak{p}$  some prime ideal, and  $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}^*) \subset \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{a}$ .)  $\square$

## §4 Integral closures of Dedekind domains

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions  $K$  and  $L/K$  be a finite separable extension, then the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$  is Dedekind domain.*

**Definition 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions  $K$ , and let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in a finite separable extension  $L$  of  $K$ . A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$  will factor in  $B$ ,*

$$\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_g^{e_g}$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}$  are distinct prime ideals in  $B$  and  $e_i \geq 1$ ,

1. If any of the numbers is  $> 1$ , then we say that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is **ramified** in  $B$  (or  $L$ ). The number  $e_i$  is called the **ramification index**.
2. We say  $\mathfrak{P}$  divides  $\mathfrak{p}$ , written  $\mathfrak{P} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ , if  $\mathfrak{P}$  occurs in the factorization of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $B$ .

We then write  $e(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p})$  for the ramification index and  $f(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p})$  for the degree of the field extension  $[B/\mathfrak{P} : A/\mathfrak{p}]$  (called the **residue class degree**).

3.  $\mathfrak{p}$  is said to **split** (or **split completely**) in  $L$  if  $e_i = f_i = 1$  for all  $i$

4.  $\mathfrak{p}$  is said to be **inert** in  $L$  if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal in  $B$  (so  $g = 1 = e$ ).

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $m$  be the degree of  $L$  over  $K$ , and let  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_g$  be the prime ideals dividing  $\mathfrak{p}$ ; then

$$\sum_{i=1}^g e_i f_i = m$$

where  $e_i = e(\mathfrak{P}_i/\mathfrak{p})$  and  $f_i = f(\mathfrak{P}_i/\mathfrak{p})$ . If  $L$  is Galois over  $K$ , then all the ramification numbers are equal, and all the residue class degrees are equal, and so

$$efg = m.$$

# Chapter II

## §1 Number Fields and Rings of Integers

**Definition 1.1.** A **number field**  $K$  is a finite extension of the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Remark.** As  $\text{char } \mathbb{Q} = 0$ ,  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is separable, then  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  for some primitive element  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $K$  be a number field. The **ring of integers** of  $K$  is the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $K$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_K$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ ; its elements are called the **algebraic integers** in  $K$ .

## §2 Trace, Norm and Discriminant

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $B/A$  be a ring extension such that  $B$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ . Then every  $\beta \in B$  defines an  $A$ -linear map

$$T_\beta x \mapsto \beta x : B \rightarrow B,$$

and the trace and determinant of this map are well-defined. We call them the **trace**  $\text{Tr}_{B/A} \beta$  and **norm**  $\text{Nm}_{B/A} \beta$  of  $\beta$  in the extension  $B/A$ .

**Remark.** Especially,  $L/K$  is a finite extension of fields of degree  $n$ . In this case, let  $\chi_a$  be a characteristic polynomial of  $T_a$  of degree  $n$ , and  $m_a$  be the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $K$  of degree  $d = [K(a), K]$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $L/K$  be an extension of fields of degree  $n$  and  $a \in L$ . Then

$$\chi_a = m_a^e$$

where  $e = [L : K(a)] = n / \deg m_a$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $L/K$  be an separable extension of fields of degree  $n$ ,  $\overline{K}$  an algebraic closure of  $K$  containing  $L$ . Let

$$\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\} = \text{Hom}_K(L, \overline{K})$$

Then the following statements hold for any  $a \in L$ :

1.  $\chi_a = m_a^e$  where  $e = [L : K(a)] = n / \deg m_a$ .

2.  $\chi_a(X) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Hom}_K(L, \overline{K})} (X - \sigma(a)),$
3.  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(a) = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(a),$  and  $\text{Norm}_{L/K}(a) = \prod_{\sigma} \sigma(a).$

*Proof.* Let  $F = K(a)$ , then  $\overline{K}/F$  is Galois thus separable

$$m_a(X) := \prod_{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \in K'/F'} (X - \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}(a)).$$

Then by the preceding proposition and  $e = [L : F] = [F' : L']$

$$\prod_{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \in K'/F'} (X - \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}(a))^e = \prod_{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \in K'/F'} \prod_{\overline{\sigma}_{\beta} \in F'/L'} (X - \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \circ \overline{\sigma}_{\beta}(a)) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Hom}_K(L, \overline{K})} (X - \sigma(a)).$$

□

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $L/F/K$  be finite separable field extensions. Then*

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K} = \text{Tr}_{F/K} \circ \text{Tr}_{L/F} \text{ and } \text{Norm}_{L/K} = \text{Norm}_{F/K} \circ \text{Norm}_{L/F}$$

## §2.1 Discriminant

**Definition 2.5.** *Let  $B/A$  be a ring extension, and assume that  $B$  is free of rank  $n$  as an  $A$ -module. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be elements of  $B$ . We define their **discriminant** to be*

$$\text{disc}_{B/A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \det(\text{Tr}_{B/A}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}.$$

*The **trace pairing** on  $B/A$  is the bilinear pairing*

$$B \times B \rightarrow A, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{B/A}(xy)$$

*with Gram matrix  $(\text{Tr}_{B/A}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  with respect to the basis  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  of  $B$ .*

**Remark.** *Especially, if  $L/K$  is a finite separable field extension of degree  $n$ .*

**Definition 2.6.** *Let  $B/A$  be a ring extension, and assume that  $B$  is free of rank  $n$  as an  $A$ -module. If two basis  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  where  $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(A)$ , then*

$$\text{disc}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \det(a_{ij})^2 \text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

*Thus the discriminant of a basis of  $B$  is well-defined up to multiplication by the square of a unit in  $A$ . The ideal generated by the discriminant, or  $\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  itself regarded as an element of  $A/A^{\times 2}$ , is called the **discriminant** of  $B$  over  $A$ , denoted  $\text{disc}(B/A)$ .*

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $A \subset B$  be integral domains and assume that  $B$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $m$  and that  $\text{disc}(B/A) \neq 0$ . Then elements  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  form a basis for  $B$  as an  $A$ -module if and only*

if

$$(\text{disc}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)) = (\text{disc}(B/A)) \quad (\text{as ideals in } A).$$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite separable field extension of degree  $n$ ,  $\{\alpha_i\}$  a  $K$ -basis of  $L$  and  $\text{Hom}_K(L, \overline{K}) = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ . Then let matrix  $D = D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := (\sigma_i(\alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , the following statements hold:*

1. *Then  $D^{\text{tr}}D$  is the Gram matrix of the  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(- \cdot -)$  with respect to  $\{\alpha_i\}$ . That is,*

$$D^{\text{tr}}D = (\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

$$\text{Consequently, } \det(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = (\det D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))^2.$$

2. *Let  $L = K(a)$  for some primitive element  $a$ , then*

$$\text{disc}(1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}) = \det(\sigma_i(a)^{k-1})_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\sigma_j(a) - \sigma_i(a))^2 \neq 0.$$

3. *Therefore  $\text{disc}(L/K)$  is non-zero and the trace pairing on  $L/K$  is non-degenerate.*

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $A$  be an integral domain,  $K$  its field of fractions,  $L/K$  a separable finite field extension of degree  $n$  and  $B$  the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ . If  $B$  is free of rank  $n$  over  $A$ , then  $\text{disc}(B/A) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$  is a basis for  $B$  as an  $A$ -module, then it follows easily from that it is also a basis for  $L$  as a  $K$ -vector space. Hence  $\text{disc}(B/A)$  represents  $\text{disc}(L/K)$ .  $\square$

### §3 Rings of Integers

We now show that  $\mathbb{Z}_K(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, thus integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be an integral domain with fraction field  $K = \text{Frac}(A)$  and  $L/K$  be finite field extension. Let  $B := A_L$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ . Then the following statements hold:*

1. *Every  $a \in L$  can be written as  $a = \frac{s}{r}$  with  $s \in B$  and  $0 \neq r \in A$ .*
2.  *$L = \text{Frac}(B)$  and  $B$  is integrally closed.*

*If  $A$  is integrally*

3. *For any  $K$ -basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  of  $L$ , there is an element  $r \in A \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $r\alpha_i \in B$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Clearly,  $\{r\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset B$  is also a  $K$ -basis of  $L$ .*
4.  *$B \cap K = A$ .*

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be an integrally closed integral domain with field of fractions  $K$ , and let  $B$  the integral closure of  $A$  in a separable extension  $L$  of  $K$  of degree  $n$ .*

1. There exists free  $A$ -submodules  $M$  and  $M'$  of  $L$  such that

$$M \subset B \subset M'.$$

2. Therefore  $B$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module if  $A$  is noetherian,

3.  $B$  is free of rank  $n$  over  $A$  if  $A$  is a principal ideal domain.

**Remark.** Indeed,  $B$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module

*Proof.* Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset B$  be a basis for  $L$  over  $K$ . Because the trace pairing is nondegenerate, there is a dual basis  $\{\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n\}$  of  $L$  over  $K$  such that  $\text{Tr}(\alpha_i \cdot \alpha'_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . We shall show that

$$A\alpha_1 + A\alpha_2 + \dots + A\alpha_n \subset B \subset A\alpha'_1 + A\alpha'_2 + \dots + A\alpha'_n.$$

The first inclusion is clear because the  $\alpha_i$  are in  $B$ .

To show the second inclusion, let  $b \in B$  and  $b$  can be written uniquely as a linear combination  $b = \sum k_j \alpha'_j$  of the  $\alpha'_j$  with coefficients  $k_j \in K$ . As  $\alpha_i$  and  $b$  are in  $B$ , so also is  $b \cdot \alpha_i$ , and so  $\text{Tr}(b \cdot \alpha_i) \in A$ . But

$$\text{Tr}(b \cdot \alpha_i) = \text{Tr}\left(\sum_j k_j \alpha'_j \cdot \alpha_i\right) = \sum_j k_j \text{Tr}(\alpha'_j \cdot \alpha_i) = \sum_j k_j \cdot \delta_{ij} = k_i.$$

Hence  $k_i \in A \cap K = A$ , proving the second inclusion.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** When  $K$  is a number field ( $A = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $L = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $B = \mathcal{O}_K$ ), the basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  for  $\mathcal{O}_K$  as a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module (also a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $K$ ) is called an **integral basis**.

**Remark.** (a) Let  $C = \sum A\beta_i \subset B$ , with  $\beta_i$  a basis for  $L$  over  $K$ . Define

$$C^* = \{\beta \in L \mid \text{Tr}(\beta\gamma) \in A \text{ for all } \gamma \in C\}.$$

By linearity,

$$\beta \in C^* \iff \text{Tr}(\beta\beta_i) \in A \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and it follows that

$$C^* = \sum A\beta'_i.$$

Thus we have:

$$C = \sum A\beta_i \subset B \subset \sum A\beta'_i = C^*.$$

(b) Write  $L = \mathbb{Q}[\beta]$  with  $\beta \in B$ , and let  $f(X)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ . Let  $C = \mathbb{Z}[\beta] = \mathbb{Z}1 + \mathbb{Z}\beta + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\beta^{m-1}$ . We want to find  $C^*$ .



One can show that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\beta^i / f'(\beta)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m-2 \\ 1 & \text{if } i = m-1 \end{cases}$$

It follows from this that

$$\det(\mathrm{Tr}(\beta^i \cdot \beta^j / f'(\beta))) = (-1)^m$$

(the only term contributing to the determinant is the product of the elements on the other diagonal). If  $\beta'_0, \dots, \beta'_{m-1}$  is the dual basis to  $1, \beta, \dots, \beta^{m-1}$ , so that  $\mathrm{Tr}(\beta^i \cdot \beta'_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , then

$$\det(\mathrm{Tr}(\beta^i \cdot \beta'_j)) = 1.$$

On comparing these formulas, one sees that the matrix relating the family

$$\{1/f'(\beta), \dots, \beta^{m-1}/f'(\beta)\}$$

to the basis

$$\{\beta'_0, \dots, \beta'_{m-1}\}$$

has determinant  $\pm 1$ , and so it is invertible in  $M_n(A)$ . Thus we see that  $C^*$  is a free  $A$ -module with basis  $\{1/f'(\beta), \dots, \beta^{m-1}/f'(\beta)\}$  :

$$C = A[\beta] \subset B \subset f'(\beta)^{-1}A[\beta] = C^*.$$

## §4 Rings of integers in Number Fields

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $K$  be a number field. A subring  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  is called an **order** of  $K$  if  $\mathcal{O}$  has an integral basis of length  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** Any order in a number field  $K$  is a dedekind domain.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $K$  be a number field with ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  and  $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset K$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ -module. The **discriminant** of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is defined as  $\mathrm{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  for any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathfrak{a}$  (see Proposition 3.14). (By Proposition 2.8 (c), this definition does not depend on the choice of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis because the basis transformation matrix is invertible with integral entries and thus has determinant  $\pm 1$ .)

The discriminant of  $K$  is defined as  $\mathrm{disc}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$ .

## **Chapter III**

### **The Finiteness of the Class Number**

#### **§1**