

Algebraic Number Theory

HHH

December 16, 2025

Contents

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| I | Ring Extensions | 1 |
| §1 | Integral Extensions | 1 |
| §2 | Discrete Valuation and Discrete Valuation Ring | 3 |
| §3 | Dedekind Domain | 4 |
| §3.1 | Fractional Ideals | 4 |
| §3.2 | Unique factorization of fractional ideals | 6 |
| §3.3 | Proof of factorization | 7 |
| II | | 10 |
| §1 | Number Fields and Rings of Integers | 10 |
| §2 | | 10 |
| §3 | Trace and Norm | 10 |
| §4 | Discriminant | 11 |
| §4.1 | | 11 |
| §4.2 | Discriminant | 12 |
| §5 | Ramification | 13 |
| III | Dirichlet Unit Theorem | 15 |

Chapter I

Ring Extensions

§1 Integral Extensions

Definition 1.1. Let S be a commutative ring with identity and R a subring of S containing 1_S . Then S is said to be an **extension ring** of R .

1. An element $s \in S$ is said to be **integral** over R if s is a root of a monic polynomial in $R[x]$.
2. If every element of S is integral over R , S is said to be an **integral extension** of R .
3. The **integral closure** of R in S is the set of elements of S that are integral over R .
4. The ring R is said to be **integrally closed** in S if R is equal to its integral closure in S .

The integral closure of an integral domain R in its field of fractions is called the **normalization** of R . An integral domain is called integrally closed or normal if it is integrally closed in its field of fractions.

Remark. It follows from [corollary 1.3](#) that the integral closure of R in S is a subring of S containing R .

Theorem 1.2. Let S be an extension ring of R and $s \in S$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. s is integral over R
2. Subring $R[s]$ is a finitely generated R -module
3. There is a subring T that $R[s] \subset T \subset S$, which is finitely generated as an R -module;
4. There is a faithful $R[s]$ -submodule M which is finitely generated as an R -module.

Corollary 1.3. Let S be an extension ring of R . Then

1. If S is finitely generated as an R -module, then S is an integral extension of R .

2. If $s_1, \dots, s_t \in S$ are integral over R , then $R[s_1, \dots, s_t]$ is a finitely generated R -module and an integral extension ring of R .
3. If T is an integral extension ring of S and S is an integral extension ring of R , then T is an integral extension ring of R .

Proposition 1.4. 1. Every unique factorization domain is integrally closed.

2. In particular, the polynomial ring $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (F a field) is integrally closed in its quotient field $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Theorem 1.5. Let S be a multiplicative subset of an integral domain R such that $0 \notin S$. If R is integrally closed, then $S^{-1}R$ is an integrally closed integral domain.

Proof. $S^{-1}R$ is an integral domain and R may be identified with a subring of $S^{-1}R$ by $??$. Extending this identification, the quotient field $Q(R)$ of R may be considered as a subfield of the quotient field $Q(S^{-1}R)$ of $S^{-1}R$. Verify that $Q(R) = Q(S^{-1}R)$.

Let $u \in Q(S^{-1}R)$ be integral over $S^{-1}R$; then for some $r_i \in R$ and $s_i \in S$,

$$u^n + (r_{n-1}/s_{n-1})u^{n-1} + \dots + (r_1/s_1)u + (r_0/s_0) = 0.$$

Multiply through this equation by s^n , where $s = s_0s_1 \cdots s_{n-1} \in S$, and conclude that su is integral over R . Since $su \in Q(S^{-1}R) = Q(R)$ and R is integrally closed, $su \in R$. Therefore, $u = su/s \in S^{-1}R$, whence $S^{-1}R$ is integrally closed. \square

Theorem 1.6. Let S be an integral extension ring of R . Then the following statements hold.

1. Assume that S is an integral domain. Then R is a field if and only if S is a field.
2. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in R . Then there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} in S with $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap R$.
Moreover, \mathfrak{p} is maximal if and only if \mathfrak{q} is maximal.
3. (The Going-up Theorem) Let $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_n$ be a chain of prime ideals in R and suppose there are prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_m$ of S with $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $m < n$. Then the ascending chain of ideals can be completed: there are prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}_{m+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_n$ in S such that $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$ for all i .

Theorem 1.7 (The Going-down Theorem). Assume that S is an integral domain and R is integrally closed in S . Let $\mathfrak{p}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_n$ be a chain of prime ideals in R and suppose there are prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_m$ of S with $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $m < n$. Then the descending chain of ideals can be completed: there are prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}_{m+1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_n$ in S such that $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{q}_i \cap R$ for all i .

Theorem 1.8. Let S be an integral extension ring of R and let \mathfrak{q} be a prime ideal in S which lies over a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in R . Then \mathfrak{q} is maximal in S if and only if \mathfrak{p} is maximal in R .

Proof. Suppose \mathfrak{q} is maximal in S , there is a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R that contains \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{m} is prime by ???. By ??? there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{q}' in S such that $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{q}'$ and \mathfrak{q}' lies over \mathfrak{m} . Since \mathfrak{q}' is prime, $\mathfrak{q}' \neq S$. The maximality of \mathfrak{q} implies that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}'$, whence $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{q}' \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore, \mathfrak{p} is maximal in R .

Conversely suppose \mathfrak{p} is maximal in R . Since \mathfrak{q} is prime in S , $\mathfrak{q} \neq S$ and there is a maximal ideal N of S containing \mathfrak{q} and N is prime, whence $1_R = 1_S \notin N$. Since $\mathfrak{p} = R \cap \mathfrak{q} \subset R \cap N \subset R$, we must have $\mathfrak{p} = R \cap N$ by maximality. Thus \mathfrak{q} and N both lie over \mathfrak{p} and $\mathfrak{q} \subset N$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{q} = N$ by 1.8. \square

§2 Discrete Valuation and Discrete Valuation Ring

Definition 2.1. Let K be a field. A **discrete valuation** on K is a nonzero group homomorphism $v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $v(a+b) \geq \min(v(a), v(b))$.

As v is not the zero homomorphism, its image is a nonzero subgroup of \mathbb{Z} , and is therefore of the form $m\mathbb{Z}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $m = 1$, then $v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is surjective, and v is said to be **normalized**; otherwise, $x \mapsto m^{-1} \cdot v(x)$ will be a normalized discrete valuation.

We extend v to a map $K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ by setting $v(0) = +\infty$, where ∞ is a symbol $\geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. We have

1. $v(\zeta) = 0$ for some $\zeta \in K^\times$
2. $v(-a) = v(a)$ for all $a \in K$;
3. $v(a+b) = \max\{v(a), v(b)\}$ if $v(a) \neq v(b)$.

We often use "ord" rather than " v " to denote a discrete valuation.

Definition 2.2. The following conditions on a principal ideal domain are equivalent:

1. A has exactly one nonzero prime ideal;
2. up to associates, A has exactly one prime element;
3. A is local and is not a field.

A ring satisfying these conditions is called a **discrete valuation ring**.

Theorem 2.3. Let A be a domain ring. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. A is a discrete valuation ring
2. There is a discrete valuation v on $K = \text{Frac}(A)$ such that

$$A = \mathcal{O}_v := \{a \in K \mid v(a) \geq 0\}$$

with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \{a \in K \mid v(a) > 0\}$.

3. *there exists a element $\pi \in A$ such that every nonzero ideal of A is of the form (π^n) for some $n \geq 0$.*
4. *A is a noetherian, integrally closed and has exactly one nonzero prime ideal.*

We can associate discrete valuations to prime ideals in Dedekind domains.

Definition 2.4. *Let A be a Dedekind domain and let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in A . For any $c \in K^\times$, let $v(c)$ be the exponent of \mathfrak{p} in the factorization of (c) . Then v is a normalized discrete valuation on K , called the **discrete valuation associated to \mathfrak{p}** , denoted by $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let x_1, \dots, x_m be elements of a Dedekind domain A , and let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m$ be distinct prime ideals of A . For every integer n , there is an $x \in A$ such that*

$$\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(x - x_i) > n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

§3 Dedekind Domain

Definition 3.1. *A **Dedekind domain** is an integral domain A satisfying*

- (i) *A is noetherian;*
- (ii) *A is integrally closed;*
- (iii) *A has Krull dimension one, i.e., every nonzero prime ideal is maximal.*

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be an integral domain, and let S be a multiplicative subset of A .*

1. *If A is noetherian, then so also is $S^{-1}A$.*
2. *If A is integrally closed, then so also is $S^{-1}A$.*
3. *If A has Krull dimension one, then so also does $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} .*

Remark. *It follows that the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of a Dedekind domain A is local thus DVR.*

§3.1 Fractional Ideals

Definition 3.3. *Let A be an integral domain with quotient field $K = \text{Frac}(A)$.*

1. *A **fractional ideal** of A is*
 - (i) *a nonzero A -submodule I of K*
 - (ii) *there exists a nonzero $d \in A$ such that $dI \subset A$ i.e., $(A : I) \cap A \neq \emptyset$*
2. *A fractional ideal I of A is said to be **integral** if $I \subset A$.*
3. *A fractional ideal I of A is said to be **principal** if $I = Ax$ for some nonzero $x \in K$.*

4. the **ideal quotient** of two fractional ideals I and J is defined as

$$(I : J) := \{x \in K \mid xJ \subset I\}.$$

5. the **inverse** of a fractional ideal I is defined as

$$I^{-1} := (A : I).$$

thus $II^{-1} \subset A$.

6. A fractional ideal I is called **invertible** if there is a fractional ideal J such that $IJ = A$.

Remark. Let I be a fractional ideal of A , \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal of A and $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$. Then the localization $I_{\mathfrak{p}} := IA_{\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}I = \{x/s : x \in I, s \in S\}$ is a fractional ideal of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

We may assume that all rings and ideals are contained in $K = \text{Frac}(A)$.

Lemma 3.4. Let A be a integral domain and fractional ideal I, J , then

- $I + J$
- IJ
- $I \cap J$
- $(I : J)$

are both ideal fractional ideal. And

1. $IJ \subset I \cap J$
2. $H + (I + J) = I + (H + J) := H + I + J$
3. $IJ = JI$
4. $H(IJ) = (HI)J := HIJ$
5. $H(I + J) = HI + HJ$

Proposition 3.5. Let A be an integral domain, $K = \text{Frac}(A)$ and I a fractional ideal. Then the following statements hold:

1. $II^{-1} \subseteq A$.
2. I is invertible $\Leftrightarrow II^{-1} = A$.
3. Let J be an invertible ideal. Then $(I : J) = IJ^{-1}$.
4. If $0 \neq i \in I$ such that $i^{-1} \in I^{-1}$, then $I = (i)$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be an integral domain. The set $\mathcal{I}(A)$ of invertible fractional ideals forms an abelian group with respect to multiplication, with A being the identity element, and the inverse of $I \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ being I^{-1} .*

Definition 3.7. *Let A be an integral domain. One calls $\mathcal{I}(A)$ the group of invertible fractional ideal and $\mathcal{P}(R)$ the subgroup of principal invertible fractional ideal. The quotient group $\text{Pic}(R) := \mathcal{I}(R)/\mathcal{P}(R)$ is called the **Picard group** of A .*

*If K is a number field and \mathbb{Z}_K its ring of integers, one also writes $\text{CL}(K) := \text{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}_K)$, and calls it the **ideal class group** of K .*

Remark. *Then we have the exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$1 \rightarrow A^\times \rightarrow K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{prin}} \mathcal{I}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow 1,$$

where $f(x)$ is the principal fractional R -ideal xR .

Invertibility is a local property:

Proposition 3.8. *For a fractional ideal I in integral domain A , the following are equivalent:*

1. I is invertible;
2. I is finitely generated and, for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is invertible;
3. I is finitely generated and, for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is invertible.

§3.2 Unique factorization of fractional ideals

Theorem 3.9. *Let A be a Dedekind domain. Every fractional ideal I of A can be written uniquely in the form*

$$I = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}} \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)}$$

where discrete valuation $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)$.

The set $\mathcal{I}(A)$ of fractional ideals is a group; in fact, it is the free abelian group on the set of nonzero prime ideals.

Proof. In order to show that $\mathcal{I}(A)$ is a group, it remains to show that inverses exist. Let \mathfrak{a} be a nonzero integral ideal, there is an ideal \mathfrak{a}^* and an $a \in A$ such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = (a)$. Clearly $\mathfrak{a} \cdot (a^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^*) = A$, and so $a^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^*$ is an inverse of \mathfrak{a} . If \mathfrak{a} is a fractional ideal, then $d\mathfrak{a}$ is an integral ideal for some d , and $d \cdot (d\mathfrak{a})^{-1}$ will be an inverse for \mathfrak{a} .

It remains to show that the group $\text{Id}(A)$ is freely generated by the prime ideals, i.e., that each fractional ideal can be expressed in a unique way as a product of powers of prime ideals. Let \mathfrak{a} be a fractional ideal. Then $d\mathfrak{a}$ is an integral ideal for some $d \in A$, and we can write

$$d\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m}, \quad (d) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m-s_m}$. The uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of the factorization for integral ideals. \square

§3.3 Proof of factorization

We prove the theorem in several steps. Assume that A is a commutative ring throughout.

Lemma 3.10. *Let A be a noetherian ring; then every ideal \mathfrak{a} in A contains a product of nonzero prime ideals.*

Proof. Suppose that the statement is false for A , and choose a maximal counterexample \mathfrak{a} by Noetherian property. Then \mathfrak{a} itself cannot be prime, and so there exist elements x and y of A such that $xy \in \mathfrak{a}$ but neither x nor $y \in \mathfrak{a}$.

The ideals $\mathfrak{a} + (x)$ and $\mathfrak{a} + (y)$ strictly contain \mathfrak{a} and contain a product of prime ideals respectively, but their product is contained in \mathfrak{a} . It follows that \mathfrak{a} contains a product of prime ideals. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let A be a ring, and let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be relatively prime ideals in A ;*

1. *for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathfrak{a}^m and \mathfrak{b}^n are relatively prime.*
2. $I \cap J = IJ$
3. $A/(IJ) \cong A/(I) \times A/(J)$
4. *if $IJ = H^n$ for some ideal H and some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exist ideals $I_1 := I + H$ and $J_1 := J + H$ such that $I = I_1^n$, $J = J_1^n$ and $I_1 J_1 = H$*

Proof. If \mathfrak{a}^m and \mathfrak{b}^n are not relatively prime, then they are both contained in some prime (even maximal) ideal \mathfrak{p} . Thus \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are both contained in \mathfrak{p} , which contradicts the hypothesis. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of an integral domain A , and let $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^e = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the ideal in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The map*

$$a + \mathfrak{p}^m \mapsto a + \mathfrak{q}^m : A/\mathfrak{p}^m \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{q}^m$$

is an isomorphism for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $S = A - \mathfrak{p}$. The map is clearly a homomorphism of rings, so we have to prove that it is bijective.

We first show that the map is injective. For this we have to show that $\mathfrak{q}^m \cap A = \mathfrak{p}^m$. But $\mathfrak{q}^m = S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^m$, and so we have to show that $\mathfrak{p}^m = (S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^m) \cap A$. An element of $(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}^m) \cap A$ can be written $a = b/s$ with $b \in \mathfrak{p}^m$, $s \in S$, and $a \in A$. Then $sa \in \mathfrak{p}^m$, and so $sa = 0$ in A/\mathfrak{p}^m . The only maximal ideal containing \mathfrak{p}^m is \mathfrak{p} (because $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{p}^m \Rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{p}$), and so the only maximal ideal in A/\mathfrak{p}^m is $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^m$; in particular, A/\mathfrak{p}^m is a local ring. As $s + \mathfrak{p}^m$ is not in $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^m$, it is a unit in A/\mathfrak{p}^m , and so $sa = 0$ in $A/\mathfrak{p}^m \Rightarrow a = 0$ in A/\mathfrak{p}^m , i.e., $a \in \mathfrak{p}^m$.

We now prove that the map is surjective. Let $\frac{a}{s} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Because $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and \mathfrak{p} is maximal, we have that $(s) + \mathfrak{p} = A$, i.e., (s) and \mathfrak{p} are relatively prime. Therefore (s) and \mathfrak{p}^m are relatively prime

by lemma 3.11, and so there exist $b \in A$ and $q \in \mathfrak{p}^m$ such that $bs + q = 1$. Then b maps to s^{-1} in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{q}^m$ and so ba maps to $\frac{a}{s}$. Thus the map is surjective. \square

Proof of factorization. We now prove that a nonzero ideal \mathfrak{a} of Dedekind domain A can be factored into a product of prime ideals. According to 3.10 applied to A , the ideal \mathfrak{a} contains a product of nonzero prime ideals,

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m}$$

We may suppose that the \mathfrak{p}_i are distinct. Then

$$A/\mathfrak{b} \simeq A/\mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A/\mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m} \simeq A_{\mathfrak{p}_1}/\mathfrak{q}_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\mathfrak{p}_m}/\mathfrak{q}_m^{r_m},$$

where $\mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is the maximal ideal of $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. Under this isomorphism,

$$A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{b} \simeq A_{\mathfrak{p}_1}/\mathfrak{q}_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\mathfrak{p}_m}/\mathfrak{q}_m^{r_m}$$

$\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b}$ in A/\mathfrak{b} corresponds to $\mathfrak{q}_1^{s_1}/\mathfrak{q}_1^{r_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{q}_m^{s_m}/\mathfrak{q}_m^{r_m}$ for some $s_i \leq r_i$ (recall that the rings $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ are all discrete valuation rings). Since this ideal is also the image of $\mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}$ under the isomorphism, we see that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m} \text{ in } A/\mathfrak{b}.$$

Both of these ideals contain \mathfrak{b} , and so this implies that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}$$

in A .

To complete the proof, we have to prove that the above factorization is unique. Suppose that we have two factorizations of the ideal \mathfrak{a} . After adding factors with zero exponent, we may suppose that the same primes occur in each factorization, so that

$$\mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m} = \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{t_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{t_m}$$

In the course of the above proof, we showed that

$$\mathfrak{q}_i^{s_i} = \mathfrak{a} A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{q}_i^{t_i},$$

where $\mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ the maximal ideal in $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. Therefore $s_i = t_i$ for all i . \square

Corollary 3.13. Let $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{b} \neq 0$ be two ideals in a Dedekind domain; then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} + (a)$ for some $a \in A$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m}$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}$ with $r_i, s_j \geq 0$. Because $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}$, $s_i \leq r_i$ for all

i. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, choose an $x_i \in A$ such that $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i^{s_i}, x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}_i^{s_i+1}$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there is an $a \in A$ such that

$$a \equiv x_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i^{r_i}}, \text{ for all } i.$$

Now one sees that $\mathfrak{b} + (a) = \mathfrak{a}$ by looking at the ideals they generate in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all \mathfrak{p} . \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in a Dedekind domain, and let a be any nonzero element of \mathfrak{a} ; then there exists $b \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = (a, b)$.*

Corollary 3.15. *Let \mathfrak{a} be a nonzero ideal in a Dedekind domain; then there exists a nonzero ideal \mathfrak{a}^* in A such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^*$ is principal. Moreover, \mathfrak{a}^* can be chosen to be relatively prime to any particular ideal \mathfrak{c} , and it can be chosen so that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = (a)$ with a any particular element of \mathfrak{a} (but not both).*

Proof. Let $a \in \mathfrak{a}, a \neq 0$; then $\mathfrak{a} \supset (a)$, and so we have

$$(a) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m} \text{ and } \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{s_m}, \quad s_i \leq r_i.$$

If $\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_1-s_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_m^{r_m-s_m}$, then $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = (a)$.

We now show that \mathfrak{a}^* can be chosen to be prime to \mathfrak{c} . We have $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}$, and so (by 3.15) there exists an $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} + (a)$. As $\mathfrak{a} \supset (a)$, we have $(a) = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{a}^*$ for some ideal \mathfrak{a}^* (by the above argument); now, $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{a}$, and so $\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}^* = A$. (Otherwise $\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}^* \subset \mathfrak{p}$ some prime ideal, and $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}^*) \subset \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{a}$.) \square

Chapter II

§1 Number Fields and Rings of Integers

Definition 1.1. A **number field** K is a finite extension of the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} .

Remark. As $\text{char } \mathbb{Q} = 0$, K/\mathbb{Q} is separable, then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some primitive element α .

Definition 1.2. Let K be a number field. The **ring of integers** of K is the integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in K , denoted by \mathcal{O}_K or \mathbb{Z}_K ; its elements are called the **algebraic integers** in K .

§2

Definition 2.1. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and M be a free A -module of rank n , the **index** of $N := \mathbb{Z}f_1 + \mathbb{Z}f_2 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}f_n$ in M is

$$(M : N) := |\det(a_{ij})|$$

where $(f_k) = (a_{ij})(e_k)$ for some A -basis $\{e_k\}$.

§3 Trace and Norm

Definition 3.1. Let B/A be a ring extension such that B is a free A -module of rank n . Then every $\beta \in B$ defines an A -linear map

$$T_\beta : B \rightarrow B, \quad x \mapsto \beta x$$

and the trace and determinant of this map are well-defined. We call them the **trace** $\text{Tr}_{B/A} \beta$ and **norm** $N_{B/A} \beta$ of β in the extension B/A .

Proposition 3.2. Let L/K be a separable extension of fields of degree n , \overline{K} an algebraic closure of K containing L . Let

$$\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\} = \text{Hom}_K(L, \overline{K})$$

Then the following statements hold for any $a \in L$:

1. $\chi_a = m_a^e$ where $e = [L : K(a)] = n / \deg m_a$.

$$2. \chi_a(X) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Hom}_K(L, \overline{K})} (X - \sigma(a)),$$

$$3. \text{Tr}_{L/K}(a) = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(a), \text{ and } \text{N}_{L/K}(a) = \prod_{\sigma} \sigma(a).$$

Proof. Let $F = K(a)$, then \overline{K}/F is Galois thus separable

$$m_a(X) := \prod_{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \in K'/F'} (X - \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}(a)).$$

Then by the preceding proposition and $e = [L : F] = [F' : L']$

$$\prod_{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \in K'/F'} (X - \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}(a))^e = \prod_{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \in K'/F'} \prod_{\overline{\sigma}_{\beta} \in F'/L'} (X - \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \circ \overline{\sigma}_{\beta}(a)) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Hom}_K(L, \overline{K})} (X - \sigma(a)).$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *Let $L/F/K$ be finite separable field extensions. Then*

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K} = \text{Tr}_{F/K} \circ \text{Tr}_{L/F} \text{ and } \text{Norm}_{L/K} = \text{Norm}_{F/K} \circ \text{Norm}_{L/F}$$

§4 Discriminant

§4.1

Let A be an integral domain with fraction field $K = \text{Frac}(A)$ and L/K be finite separable field extension. Let $B := A_L$ be the integral closure of A in L .

Proposition 4.1. *Then the following statements hold:*

1. Every $a \in L$ can be written as $a = \frac{s}{r}$ with $s \in B$ and $0 \neq r \in A$.
2. $L = \text{Frac}(B)$ and B is integrally closed.

If A is integrally closed

3. For any K -basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of L , there is an element $r \in A \setminus \{0\}$ such that $r\alpha_i \in B$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Clearly, $\{r\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset B$ is also a K -basis of L .
4. $B \cap K = A$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a basis of L/K which is contained in B , of discriminant $d = d(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Then one has*

$$dB \subseteq A\alpha_1 + \dots + A\alpha_n$$

Proposition 4.3. ,

1. There exists free A -submodules M and M' of L such that

$$M \subset B \subset M'.$$

2. Therefore B is a finitely generated A -module if A is noetherian,
3. If A is a principal ideal domain, then every finitely generated B -submodule $M \neq 0$ of L is a free A -module of rank n . In particular, B admits an integral basis over A .

Remark. When A is a principal ideal domain, a basis for B as an A -module is called an **integral basis** of B over A (is also a K -basis of L).

Proof. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset B$ be a basis for L over K . Because the trace pairing is nondegenerate, there is a dual basis $\{\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n\}$ of L over K such that $\text{Tr}(\alpha_i \cdot \alpha'_j) = \delta_{ij}$. We shall show that

$$A\alpha_1 + A\alpha_2 + \dots + A\alpha_n \subset B \subset A\alpha'_1 + A\alpha'_2 + \dots + A\alpha'_n.$$

The first inclusion is clear because the α_i are in B .

To show the second inclusion, let $b \in B$ and b can be written uniquely as a linear combination $b = \sum k_j \alpha'_j$ of the α'_j with coefficients $k_j \in K$. As α_i and b are in B , so also is $b \cdot \alpha_i$, and so $\text{Tr}(b \cdot \alpha_i) \in A$. But

$$\text{Tr}(b \cdot \alpha_i) = \text{Tr}\left(\sum_j k_j \alpha'_j \cdot \alpha_i\right) = \sum_j k_j \text{Tr}(\alpha'_j \cdot \alpha_i) = \sum_j k_j \cdot \delta_{ij} = k_i.$$

Hence $k_i \in A \cap K = A$, proving the second inclusion. □

§4.2 Discriminant

Definition 4.4. Let B/A be a ring extension, and assume that B is free of rank n as an A -module.

1. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be A -basis of B . We define their **discriminant** to be

$$\text{disc}_{B/A}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := \det(\text{Tr}_{B/A}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

2. The **trace pairing** on B/A is the bilinear pairing

$$B \times B \rightarrow A, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{B/A}(xy)$$

with Gram matrix $(\text{Tr}_{B/A}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ with respect to the basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ of B .

3. If two basis $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ where $(a_{ij}) \in M_n(A)$, then

$$\text{disc}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \det(a_{ij})^2 \text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Thus the discriminant of a basis of B is well-defined up to multiplication by the square of a unit in A . The ideal generated by the discriminant, or $\text{disc}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ itself regarded as an element of $A/A^{\times 2}$, is called the **discriminant** of B over A , denoted $\text{disc}(B/A)$.

Remark. Then elements $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ form a basis for B as an A -module if and only if

$$(\text{disc}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)) = (\text{disc}(B/A)) \quad (\text{as ideals in } A).$$

Definition 4.5. By proposition, every finitely generated \mathcal{O}_K -submodule \mathfrak{a} of K admits a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. The **discriminant** of ideal \mathfrak{a} is defined as

$$d(\mathfrak{a}) := \text{disc}_{\mathfrak{a}/\mathbb{Z}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

is independent of the choice of a \mathbb{Z} -basis. ($\mathbb{Z}^{\times 2} = \{1\}$)

In the special case of an integral basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ of \mathcal{O}_K we obtain the discriminant of the algebraic number field K ,

$$d_K := d(\mathcal{O}_K) = d(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$$

Remark. Note that $d_K = \text{disc}_{\mathcal{O}_K/\mathbb{Z}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) = \text{disc}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$.

Proposition 4.6. If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}'$ are two nonzero finitely generated \mathcal{O}_K -submodules of K , then the index $(\mathfrak{a}' : \mathfrak{a})$ is finite and satisfies

$$d(\mathfrak{a}) = (\mathfrak{a}' : \mathfrak{a})^2 d(\mathfrak{a}').$$

Proposition 4.7. Let L/K be a finite separable field extension of degree n , $\{\alpha_i\}$ a K -basis of L and $\text{Hom}_K(L, \overline{K}) = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$. Then let matrix $D = D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := (\sigma_i(\alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, the following statements hold:

1. Then $D^{\text{tr}}D$ is the Gram matrix of the $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(- \cdot -)$ with respect to $\{\alpha_i\}$. That is,

$$D^{\text{tr}}D = (\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

Consequently, $\det(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = (\det D(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n))^2$.

2. Let $L = K(a)$ for some primitive element a , then

$$\text{disc}(1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}) = \det(\sigma_i(a)^{k-1})_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\sigma_j(a) - \sigma_i(a))^2 \neq 0.$$

3. Therefore $\text{disc}(L/K)$ is non-zero and the trace pairing on L/K is non-degenerate.

Corollary 4.8. $d_{\mathcal{O}_K} \neq 0$

§5 Ramification

In this section, let A be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions K , and let B be the integral closure of A in a finite separable extension L of K .

Theorem 5.1. *B is also a Dedekind domain.*

Definition 5.2. *A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A will factor in B,*

$$\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_g^{e_g}$$

where \mathfrak{P} are distinct prime ideals in B and $e_i \geq 1$,

1. We say \mathfrak{P} divides \mathfrak{p} , written $\mathfrak{P} \mid \mathfrak{p}$, if \mathfrak{P} occurs in the factorization of \mathfrak{p} in B. The number e_i is called the **ramification index** of \mathfrak{P}_i over \mathfrak{p} .
2. If any of the numbers is > 1 , then we say that \mathfrak{p} is **ramified**.

We then write $e(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p})$ for the ramification index and $f(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p})$ for the degree of the field extension $[B/\mathfrak{P} : A/\mathfrak{p}]$ (called the **residue class degree**).

3. \mathfrak{p} is said to **split** (or split completely) in L if $e_i = f_i = 1$ for all i
4. \mathfrak{p} is said to be **inert** in L if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in B (so $g = 1 = e$).

Theorem 5.3. *Let m be the degree of L over K, and let $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_g$ be the prime ideals dividing \mathfrak{p} ; then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^g e_i f_i = m$$

where $e_i = e(\mathfrak{P}_i/\mathfrak{p})$ and $f_i = f(\mathfrak{P}_i/\mathfrak{p})$. If L is Galois over K, then all the ramification numbers are equal, and all the residue class degrees are equal, and so

$$efg = m.$$

Again A is a Dedekind domain with field of fractions K, and B is the integral closure of A in a finite separable extension L of K.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that B is a free A-module. Then a prime \mathfrak{p} ramifies in L if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \mid \text{disc}(B/A)$. In particular, only finitely many prime ideals ramify.*

Theorem 5.5 (Dedekind-Kummer). *Suppose that $B = A[\alpha]$, and let $f(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of α over K. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in A and reducible $f(X) = \prod g_i(X)^{e_i}$ in $(A/\mathfrak{p})[X]$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{p}B = \prod (\mathfrak{p}, g_i(\alpha))^{e_i}$$

is the factorization of $\mathfrak{p}B$ into a product of powers of distinct prime ideals.

Moreover, the residue field $B/(\mathfrak{p}, g_i(\alpha)) \simeq (A/\mathfrak{p})[X]/(\bar{g}_i)$, and so the residue class degree f_i is equal to the degree of g_i .

Chapter III

Dirichlet Unit Theorem

Theorem 0.1 (Dirichlet). *Let K be a number field of degree $n = r_1 + 2r_2$. Then there is a group isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times \simeq \mu_K \times \mathbb{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1},$$

where μ_K is the torsion subgroup of \mathcal{O}_K^\times (the finite cyclic subgroup consisting of roots of unity)