Jade Sanchez

2376 ITAI

2/12/2025

Reflective Journal

Learning Insights:

The laboratory experience delivered complete knowledge about convolutional neural networks (CNNs) as well as their operational constraints in image classification problems. The identification of handwritten digits from the MNIST dataset served as the main focus of this laboratory activity through its implementation with a CNN. Feature extraction forms a central aspect of learning which I discovered during this project. The filters within convolutional layers scan images to identify edges and textures as well as shapes through the processing procedure. CNNs prove successful for image classification because their design enables them to discover hierarchical patterns naturally without the necessity of manual feature engineering.

MaxPooling2D establishes an essential function for CNN efficiency. The feature maps undergo downsampling through this layer which preserves key features while lowering the data dimension. The computational speed gets faster while overfitting prevention becomes achievable through robustness that results from data input distortions. Normalization preprocessing makes a significant difference in model performance because it enables effective training through fast convergence as well as prevents numerical instabilities when pixel values are scaled between 0 and 1.

Studying the lab provided more concrete understanding of CNN operation as well as their relation to fundamental neural network principles. While working with basic feedforward neural networks before I learned about the fundamental principles of neural network elements that include neurons and activation functions along with their layers. The distinct design aspects of CNNs became clear to me regarding their ability to succeed with image-based tasks. The combination of convolutional layers with pooling layers produces a complicated network design that permits the model to identify spatial relationships within the data.

The collaboration between CNNs and MNIST dataset resulted in rapid achievement of high accuracy which startled me. A few epochs were sufficient for the CNN model to attain over 98% accuracy even though it operated on the simple MNIST dataset with its basic network design. The experience demonstrated that CNNs excel at image classification work even when utilized with basic preprocessing and architectural setups.

Challenges and Growth:

Execution of the lab presented multiple difficulties to me specifically regarding the process of defining and modifying the CNN architecture. During the first stages I had difficulty determining the correct number of filters in convolutional layers as well as the number of such layers needed for the design. I struggled to determine whether deepening the model architecture would be beneficial or whether simple structure would prevent overfitting. The optimized model structure included two convolutional layers together with max-pooling layers to achieve a good performance balance.

I faced difficulties during training because I had to optimize both training batch size and the number of training cycles. The large batch size together with the few epochs caused the model to overfit because validation accuracy became notably lower than training accuracy. The model achieved better generalization through smaller batch testing along with rising epoch numbers.

Throughout my work I addressed learning obstacles by referring to TensorFlow documentation while also consulting available online tutorials. The available resources delivered both practical codes along with explanatory materials to explain concepts including dropout implementations and activation functions and optimizer selection processes. The process of testing assorted CNN structures along with adjusting hyperparameters allowed me to understand CNN mechanisms better. Every unsuccessful modification of the model design brought important knowledge about its operational characteristics.

Personal Development:

Through the laboratory activities I obtained deep knowledge about CNNs among deep learning techniques. I had fundamental knowledge about neural networks prior to this work despite lacking understanding about convolutional networks and their applications. The hands-on CNN development process for image recognition improved my expertise in creating networks and performance evaluation. My hands-on work led me to enhance my skill level in building deep learning models while gaining direct knowledge about constructing deep learning models.

The future exploration of CNNs for me focuses on developing better techniques for hyperparameter optimization. In this project I produced favorable results but understand that model output depends heavily on multiple adjustable parameters including filter numbers and both kernel sizes and learning rates. My objective now is to invest deeper study into using grid search and random search strategies to improve the precision of parameter optimization methods.

This laboratory experience revealed fresh perspectives about CNNs even though I already had some knowledge about them previously. The number along with the type of layers serve as critical architectural decisions which directly determine a model's ability to learn from the provided data. Deep learning models need proper pre-processing steps including normalization and one-hot encoding for effectively preparing the data and I became more aware of this fact during my work.

During this practical work I solidified my concepts about CNNs through direct technical implementation of these networks. My lab work problems forced me to develop solution techniques which enhanced my understanding of deep learning frameworks especially TensorFlow and Keras. My next research tasks will concentrate on advancing my understanding of CNNs to apply them in sophisticated datasets and complex computational operations.