

# Advanced Partial Differential Equations Exams

Andrea Bonifacio

January 23, 2025

# 1 Exams 2021/22

## 1.1 June 2021

### Exercise 1

For  $a, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , consider the Cauchy problem for the wave equation

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - 4u_{xx} = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = e^{-x^2} + \gamma e^{-(x-a)^2} & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ u_t(x, 0) = 0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

Show that the mass  $M$  of the solution is constant, then find the couples  $(a, \gamma)$  such that:

- $M = 0$ .
- The solution  $u(x, 1)$  at  $t = 1$  consists of only two “bumps”.

To show that the mass  $M$  of the solution is constant we need to define such a mass, and then check its behavior over time.

The mass  $M$  of the solution is defined as  $M(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t) dx$ .

$$M''(t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{tt} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 4u_{xx} dx = 4 \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_x)_x dx}_{\text{div. form}=0} = 0$$

So  $M(t) = A + Bt$ . But  $M'(t) = B$ , and also  $M'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_t(x, t) dx$ . Since  $M'(t)$  is constant we take  $M'(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_t(x, 0) dx = 0 \Rightarrow B = 0$ .

Then we conclude that  $M(t) = A$  is constant too, and  $M(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2} + \gamma e^{-(x-a)^2} dx$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x^2} + \underbrace{\gamma e^{-(x-a)^2}}_{\substack{x-a=y \\ dx=dy}} dx = (1 + \gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-y^2} dy = \sqrt{\pi}(1 + \gamma)$$

After that we need to show that  $M = 0$ , so  $\sqrt{\pi}(1 + \gamma) = 0 \iff \gamma = -1$

Then we look for the values of  $a$  such that the solution consists of two “bumps”.

### Remark 1

For a hyperbolic equation, we know that the solution  $u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}(g(x + ct) + g(x - ct))$

In this case we have  $u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}(\overbrace{g(x + 2t) + g(x - 2t)}^{\substack{c \text{ is } 2 \\ bc \ 4u_{xx}}})$ , which becomes

$$u_{a,\gamma}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-(x+2t)^2} + \gamma e^{-(x-a+2t)^2} + e^{-(x-2t)^2} + \gamma e^{-(x-a-2t)^2} \right)$$

that, for  $\gamma = -1$  and  $t = 1$

$$u_{a,\gamma}(x, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-(x+2)^2} - e^{-(x-a+2)^2} + e^{-(x-2)^2} - e^{-(x-a-2)^2} \right)$$

We can see that this solution has four “bumps” in  $x = -2, x = 2, x = a - 2, x = a + 2$ . To obtain two bumps we manipulate  $a$  and see that

- $-2 = a - 2 \Rightarrow a = 0 \Rightarrow u_{0,\gamma}(x, 1) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{-(x+2)^2} - e^{-(x+2)^2} + e^{-(x-2)^2} - e^{-(x-2)^2}) = 0$

- $-2 = a + 2 \Rightarrow a = -4 \Rightarrow u_{-4,\gamma}(x, 1) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{-(x+2)^2} - e^{-(x+6)^2} + e^{-(x-2)^2} - e^{-(x+2)^2}) = \frac{1}{2}(-e^{-(x+6)^2} + e^{-(x-2)^2})$
- $2 = a - 2 \Rightarrow a = 4 \Rightarrow u_{-4,\gamma}(x, 1) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{-(x+2)^2} - e^{-(x-2)^2} + e^{-(x-2)^2} - e^{-(x-6)^2}) = \frac{1}{2}(-e^{-(x-6)^2} + e^{-(x+2)^2})$
- $-2 = a - 2 \Rightarrow a = 0 \Rightarrow u_{0,\gamma}(x, 1) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{-(x+2)^2} - e^{-(x+2)^2} + e^{-(x-2)^2} - e^{-(x-2)^2}) = 0$

We can see that the solution has only two “bumps” in the cases  $a = \pm 4$ , so we conclude that the desired couples of  $(a, \gamma)$  are

$$\begin{cases} \gamma = -1 \\ a = -4 \end{cases} \quad \vee \quad \begin{cases} \gamma = -1 \\ a = 4 \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 2**

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$  be a bounded smooth domain, let  $a$  be a measurable function in  $\Omega$ . Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = a(x)u^3 & \Omega \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (\text{P})$$

Under which assumptions on the space dimension  $n$  can we write a variational formulation of problem (P) in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ? For each of these dimensions find the most general assumptions on  $a$  that allow to write the variational formulation. Finally, write the variational formulation.

First, a quick reminder on Sobolev embedding, which will be very useful a.e. in this document

**Remark 2**

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open with  $\partial\Omega \in \text{Lip}$ ,  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$H^s(\Omega) \subset \begin{cases} L^p(\Omega) & \forall 2 \leq p \leq 2^* & \text{if } n > 2s \\ L^p(\Omega) & \forall 2 \leq p < \infty & \text{if } n = 2s \\ C^0(\bar{\Omega}) & & \text{if } n < 2s \end{cases}$$

Increasing  $s$  increases the regularity, while increasing  $n$  decreases it.

The exponent  $2^*$  is called critical exponent and is defined as  $2^* := \frac{2n}{n-2s}$ .

If  $\Omega$  is bounded, all these embeddings are compact except  $H^s(\Omega) \subset L^{2^*}$  when  $n > s$ .

Since we want to know the variational formulation in  $H_0^1$  we have  $s = 1$  and need to check  $n = 2, n \geq 3$ . Remember a variational formulation makes sense if  $\int_{\Omega} f v < \infty$ .

$n = 2$ . In this case we have  $u^3, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , so by Sobolev embedding we know  $u^3, v \in L^p(\Omega)$  for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ .

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} a(x) u^3 v \right| dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |a(x)| |u^3| |v| dx \stackrel{\text{Holder}}{\leq} \left( \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u^3|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

To use Holder inequality we need to find  $r, p, q$  such that  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . We see that,

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \iff a(x) \in L^r(\Omega) \quad \text{with } r > 1$$

$n \geq 3$ . In this case we have  $u^3, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , so by Sobolev embedding we know  $u^3, v \in L^p(\Omega)$  for  $2 \leq p \leq 2^*$ . We proceed as before, using Holder inequality, but decide to use  $p = \frac{2^*}{3}$  and  $q = \frac{1}{2^*}$ .

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} a(x) u^3 v \right| dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |a(x)| |u^3| |v| dx \stackrel{\text{Holder}}{\leq} \left( \int_{\Omega} |a(x)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u^3|^{\frac{2^*}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^{2^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} < \infty.$$

In this case Holder inequality gives us

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{3}{2^*} + \frac{1}{2^*} = 1 \iff \frac{1}{r} = 1 - \frac{4}{2^*} \iff r = \frac{2^* - 4}{2^*}$$

Substituting  $2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$  we get  $r = \frac{n}{-n+4}$ . Since  $r > 0$  we need  $n < 4$ . In this case we have  $a(x) \in L^3(\Omega)$  for  $n = 3$ , but also  $a(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  for  $n = 4$ .

At this point we can write the weak formulation of the problem. We multiply the equation by a test function  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} -\Delta uv \, dx = \int_{\Omega} a(x)u^3v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

We integrate by parts the left hand side and obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} a(x)u^3v \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

This is the weak formulation of the problem. This is well posed if

Dimension	Assumptions on $a(x)$
$n = 2$	$a \in L^r(\Omega), r > 1$
$n = 3$	$a \in L^3(\Omega)$
$n = 4$	$a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$
$n \geq 5$	No variational formulation

**Exercise 3**

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded open set of class  $C^1$ , and let  $u$  be a sufficiently regular solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = 0 & \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = \alpha(x) & x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

Study monotonicity/boundedness properties of the energy  $E_u(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ .

The energy functional is defined as  $E_u(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ . We want to study its behavior over time, so we need to compute its derivative with respect to time.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E_u(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} 2 \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t dx = \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} 2 \underbrace{u \cdot \nu}_{=0} u_t - \int_{\Omega} 2 \Delta u u_t dx = - \int_{\Omega} 2 (\Delta u)^2 dx \leq 0. \end{aligned} \Rightarrow E \text{ is non-increasing}$$

We see that the energy is non-increasing, since we obtain a positive quantity with a negative sign. Now we want to study the boundedness of the energy. We start by multiplying the equation by  $u$

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t u dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u u dx = 0$$

Integrating by parts the second term we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t u dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} u \nabla u \cdot \nu dS + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 dx = 0$$

Since  $u = 0$  on the boundary we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t u dx = - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 dx$$

We can rewrite the energy as

$$E_u(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = - \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^2)_t dx$$

Since the energy is non-increasing, we have that  $E_u(t) \leq E_u(0) \forall t \geq 0$ , so we have

$$E_u(t) = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u^2)_t dx \leq - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u(x, 0)^2)_t dx = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \alpha(x)^2 dx$$

Since  $\alpha(x)$  is bounded (is a function in  $H_0^1$ ) we have that the energy is bounded too.

**Exercise 4**

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space, and let  $u \in C^1([0, T]; X)$ . Using the following abstract version of the *Fundamental Theorem of Calculus*:

$$\int_0^T u'(t) dt = u(T) - u(0)$$

prove that  $\Lambda_{u'} = (\Lambda_u)' \in \mathcal{D}(0, T; X)$  where

$$\Lambda_f(\varphi) = \int_0^T \varphi(t) f(t) dt \quad \forall f \in L^1(0, T; X)$$

By the definition of distributional derivative we have

$$(\Lambda_u(\varphi))' = -\Lambda_u(\varphi') \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$$

where

$$\Lambda_u(\varphi)' = - \int_0^T \varphi'(t) u(t) dt$$

We can integrate by parts the above expression

$$(\Lambda_u(\varphi))' = - \int_0^T \varphi'(t) u(t) dt = \underbrace{-\varphi(t) u(t) \Big|_0^T}_{=0} + \int_0^T \varphi(t) u'(t) dt = \int_0^T \varphi(t) u'(t) dt = \Lambda_{u'}(\varphi)$$

We have shown that  $\Lambda_{u'} = (\Lambda_u)'$  in  $\mathcal{D}(0, T; X)$ .

## 1.2 July 2021

### Exercise 1

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded open set of class  $C^\infty$ , let  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} 2\partial_x^2 u + 3\partial_y^2 u + 2\partial_{xy} u = f & \Omega \\ u = 0 & \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (\text{P})$$

- (1) Prove that (P) admits a unique solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .
- (2) What is the minimum  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $f \in H^m(\Omega)$  implies  $u \in H^5(\Omega)$ ?

We can rewrite the equation in the form  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)$  with  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let's check if  $A$  is the correct matrix.

$$\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) = \operatorname{div} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{pmatrix} \right) = \operatorname{div} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 2u_x + u_y \\ u_x + 3u_y \end{pmatrix} \right) = 2u_{xx} + 3u_{yy} + 2u_{xy}$$

Our Hilbert triplet is  $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \subset H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . We define  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $H = L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $V' = H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . We can now write the weak formulation of the problem. We multiply the equation by a test function  $v \in V$  and obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \forall v \in V$$

We integrate by parts the left-hand side and obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} A\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \forall v \in V$$

This is the weak formulation of the problem. Now we use Lax-Milgram theorem to prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution. We need to check the coercivity and boundedness of the bilinear form. We have that the bilinear form is

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} A\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$$

A bilinear form is continuous if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|a(u, v)| \leq C \|u\|_V \|v\|_V$$

### Remark 1

In  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  we have the norm  $\|u\|_V = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}$

We write the bilinear form explicitly and bound it

$$|a(u, v)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} A\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |A| |\nabla u| |\nabla v| \, dx \leq |A| \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} = |A| \|u\|_V \|v\|_V$$

We have that the bilinear form is continuous. We need to check the coercivity of the bilinear form. A bilinear form is coercive if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$a(u, u) \geq c \|u\|_V^2$$

We write the bilinear form explicitly

$$a(u, u) = \int_{\Omega} A\nabla u \cdot \nabla u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |A| |\nabla u|^2 \, dx = |A| \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 = \|u\|_V^2$$

We have that the bilinear form is coercive. By Lax-Milgram theorem we have that the problem admits a unique solution  $u \in V$ .

The second request is about the minimum  $m$  such that  $f \in H^m(\Omega)$  implies  $u \in H^5(\Omega)$ .



**Remark 2**

We know that if  $f \in H^m(\Omega)$  then  $u \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$ .

We have that  $f \in H^m(\Omega)$  implies  $u \in H^{m+2}(\Omega)$ , so we need  $m+2 \geq 5 \Rightarrow m \geq 3$ . The minimum  $m$  is 3.