Numerical Analysis for Partial Differential Equations

Andrea Bonifacio

February 28, 2023

1 Boundary Value Problems

Let's consider a problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ +\text{B.C.} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

- Ω: open bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with $d = 2, 3$
- $\partial\Omega$: boundary of Ω
- f: given
- B.C. accordingly to $\mathcal{L}$
- $\mathcal{L}$: 2^{nd} order operator, like:

  (1) $\mathcal{L}u = -\text{div}(\mu\nabla u) + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla u + \sigma u$ (non-conservative form)

  (2) $\mathcal{L}u = -\text{div}(\mu\nabla u) + \text{div}(\mathbf{b}u) + \sigma u$ (conservative form)

  - $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\quad \mu(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mu_0 > 0$ uniformly bounded from below
  - $\mathbf{b} \in (L^\infty(\Omega))^d$ transport term
  - $\sigma \in L^2(\Omega)$ reaction term
  - $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ can be less regular

General elliptic problems

Consider

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div}(\mu\nabla u) + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla u + \sigma u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D \\ \mu\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} = g & \text{on } \Gamma_N \end{cases} \qquad \begin{array}{l} g \in L^2(\Gamma_N) \\ \partial\Omega = \Gamma_D \cup \Gamma_N \\ {\Gamma_D}^\circ \cap {\Gamma_N}^\circ = \varnothing \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

Suppose that $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\mu, \sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Also suppose that $\exists\ \mu_0 > 0$ s.t. $\mu(\mathbf{x}) \geq \mu_0$, and $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ a.e. on Ω. Then, given a test function v, we multiply the equation by v, and integrate on the domain Ω

$$\int_\Omega [-\text{div}(\mu\nabla u) + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla u + \sigma u]\, v \ = \int_\Omega fv$$

By applying Green's formula

$$\underbrace{\int_\Omega \mu\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_\Omega \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla u v + \int_\Omega \sigma u v}_{=:a(u,v)} = \int_\Omega fv + \underbrace{\int_{\Gamma_D} \mu\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} v}_{=0 \text{ if } v|_{\Gamma_D}=0} + \int_{\Gamma_N} \underbrace{\mu\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}}_{=g}\, v$$

So the weak formulation of the problem is

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V & V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega), v|_{\Gamma_D} = 0\} =: H^1_{\Gamma_D}(\Omega) \\ a(u, v) = \langle F, v\rangle & \forall\ v \in V \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $a : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bilinear form and $F : V \to \mathbb{R}$ is a linear form s.t. $\langle F, v\rangle \equiv F(v) = \int_\Omega fv + \int_{\Gamma_N} gv$.

Theorem 1.1 (Lax-Milgram)
Assume that

- V Hilbert space with $\|\cdot\|$ and inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)$
- $F \in V^* : |F(v)| \leq \|F\|_{V^*}\|v\| \;\; \forall\, v \in V$
- a continuous: $\exists\, M > 0 : |a(u,v)| \leq M\|u\|\,\|v\| \;\; \forall\, u, v \in V$
- a coercive: $\exists\, \alpha > 0 : a(v,v) \geq \alpha\|v\|^2 \; \forall\, v \in V$

Then, there exists a unique solution u of 1.3

Moreover
$$\alpha\|u\|^2 \leq a(u,u) = F(u) \leq \|F\|_{V^*}\|u\|$$
where α is the coercivity costant. Hence
$$\|u\| \leq \frac{\|F\|_{V^*}}{\alpha} \to \text{stability/continuous dependence on data}$$

But what if some of the assumptions of Lax-Milgram (in particular coercivity) are not satisfied? We need a slightly more general problem to formulate Nečas theorem:
$$\begin{cases} \text{find } u \in V \\ a(u,w) = \langle F, w\rangle \quad \forall w \in W \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$
They belong to different spaces: W for the test function, V the solutions
Theorem 1.2 (Nečas)
Assume that $F \in W^*$. Consider the following conditions:

- a continuous: $\exists\, M > 0 : |a(u,w)| \leq M\|u\|_V\|w\|_W \; \forall\, u \in V, w \in W$
- $\inf-\sup$ condition: $\exists\, \alpha > 0 : \forall\, v \in V \quad \sup_{w\in W\setminus\{0\}} \frac{a(v,m)}{\|w\|_W} \geq \alpha\|v\|_V$
- $\forall\, w \in W, w \neq 0, \exists\, v \in V : a(v,w) \neq 0$

These conditions are necessary and sufficient for the existence and uniqueness of a solution of 1.4, for any $F \in W^*$. Moreover
$$\|u\|_V \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|F\|_{W^*}$$
When $W = V$ Lax-Milgram provides necessary and sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of solutions.
Going back to
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ +\text{B.C.} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$
What could be our choice of V? Given that
$$u \in V : a(u,v) = F(v) \quad \forall\, v \in V$$
and
$$a(u,v) = \int_\Omega \mu \underbrace{\nabla u \nabla v}_{\nabla u, \nabla v \in L^2} + \int_\Omega b \underbrace{\nabla u v}_{\in L^1} + \int_\Omega \sigma \underbrace{uv}_{\in L^1}$$

We want to choose v in order to have all of these integrable

$$\Rightarrow V = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega), \nabla u \in \left[L^2(\Omega)\right]^d, v|_{\Gamma_D} = 0 \right\} = V_{\Gamma_D}$$

.
Knowing that a Sobolev space

$$H^1 = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega), \nabla u \in \left[L^2(\Omega)\right]^d \right\}$$

we can say $V_{\Gamma_D} = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v|_{\Gamma_D} = 0\}$, and if $\Gamma_D = \partial\Omega$, then $V_{\Gamma_D} = H^1_0$

1.1 Approximation

Recall for a moment the weak formulation of a generic elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V \\ a(u, v) = \langle F, v \rangle \quad \forall\, v \in V \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

with V being an appropriate Hilbert space, subset of $H^1()$, $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ being a continuous and coercive bilinear forrm from $V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$, $F(\cdot)$ being a continuous linear functional from $V \to \mathbb{R}$.
Let $V_h \subset V$ be a family of spaces that depends on a parameter $h > 0$, such that $\dim V_h = N_h < \infty$. We can rewrite the weak formulation

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u_h \in V_h \\ a(u_h, v_h) = \langle F, v_h \rangle \quad \forall\, v_h \in V_h \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

and is called a **Galerkin problem**. Denoting with $\{\varphi_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N_h\}$ a basis of V_h, it is sufficient that the (1.6) is verified for each function of the basis.