Response to comments

# Reviewer 1

## 1. Comment

The authors have addressed all my concerns from the previous round, and the paper can be accepted in its present form.

## Response

Dear reviewer, thank you for comments and your valuable time.

# Reviewer 3

## 1. Comment

Line 114 – The authors write: “Initialize the Ng particles”, shouldn't it be: " Initialize Nc particles" ?

## Response

Corrected.

## 2. Comment

2. Figures/algorithms should be placed on the same page as close to the reference  as possible (e.g. In section 2 (page 3, line 63) the authors refer to Algorithm 1. Why is Algorithm 1 listed in section 2.1 (page 4) instead of in section 2?

In Section 2.1 (page 3, line 81), the authors refer to Algorithm 3, which is found in Section 2.2 (page 6). Why is Algorithm 3 listed in section 2.2 instead of in section 2.1? e.t.c. …)

## Response

Corrected.

# Reviewer 4

## 1. Comment

The authors have improved the manuscript and it may be accepted.

## Response

Dear reviewer, thank you for comments and your valuable time.