

Article

QGenClass: a command line tool that produces classification rules in human readable form

Ioannis G. Tsoulos^{1,*}, Vasileios Charilogis²

¹ Department of Informatics and Telecommunications, University of Ioannina, Greece; itsoulos@uoi.gr

² Department of Informatics and Telecommunications, University of Ioannina, Greece; v.charilog@uoi.gr

* Correspondence: itsoulos@uoi.gr

Abstract

Data classification is a computational problem with important applications in many scientific fields and a series of machine learning models have been proposed for effective classification, however most of the time the process either gets trapped in local minima of the error function or produces results that are not interpretable. This paper presents a computational environment that can be used in such problems and which produces understandable classification rules in a high-level programming language. To produce these classification rules, the technique of Grammatical Evolution is utilized. The implemented software includes a series of innovative techniques, such as different initialization techniques for the chromosomes of Grammatical Evolution, incorporation of local optimization rules on the chromosomes, a variety of fitness calculation techniques for the chromosomes, training data improvement techniques for the case of imbalanced data as well as stochastic techniques for terminating the evolutionary process. The implemented software was applied to a wide range of classification problems and the experimental results were compared with other machine learning techniques and the results of these experiments are presented in detail in the present work.

Keywords: Grammatical Evolution; Machine learning; Evolutionary process; Genetic algorithms

Received:

Revised:

Accepted:

Published:

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.

Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the

Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.

1. Introduction

2. Materials and Methods

3. Results

4. Conclusions

Author Contributions: For research articles with several authors, a short paragraph specifying their individual contributions must be provided. The following statements should be used “Conceptualization, X.X. and Y.Y.; methodology, X.X.; software, X.X.; validation, X.X., Y.Y. and Z.Z.; formal analysis, X.X.; investigation, X.X.; resources, X.X.; data curation, X.X.; writing—original draft preparation, X.X.; writing—review and editing, X.X.; visualization, X.X.; supervision, X.X.; project administration, X.X.; funding acquisition, Y.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.”, please turn to the [CRediT taxonomy](#) for the term explanation. Authorship must be limited to those who have contributed substantially to the work reported.

Funding: Please add: “This research received no external funding” or “This research was funded by NAME OF FUNDER grant number XXX.” and “The APC was funded by XXX”. Check carefully that the details given are accurate and use the standard spelling of funding agency names at <https://search.crossref.org/funding>, any errors may affect your future funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: In this section, you should add the Institutional Review Board Statement and approval number, if relevant to your study. You might choose to exclude this statement if the study did not require ethical approval. Please note that the Editorial Office might ask you for further information. Please add “The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of NAME OF INSTITUTE (protocol code XXX and date of approval).” for studies involving humans. OR “The animal study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of NAME OF INSTITUTE (protocol code XXX and date of approval).” for studies involving animals. OR “Ethical review and approval were waived for this study due to REASON (please provide a detailed justification).” OR “Not applicable” for studies not involving humans or animals.

Informed Consent Statement: Any research article describing a study involving humans should contain this statement. Please add “Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.” OR “Patient consent was waived due to REASON (please provide a detailed justification).” OR “Not applicable” for studies not involving humans. You might also choose to exclude this statement if the study did not involve humans. Written informed consent for publication must be obtained from participating patients who can be identified (including by the patients themselves). Please state “Written informed consent has been obtained from the patient(s) to publish this paper” if applicable.

Data Availability Statement: We encourage all authors of articles published in MDPI journals to share their research data. In this section, please provide details regarding where data supporting reported results can be found, including links to publicly archived datasets analyzed or generated during the study. Where no new data were created, or where data is unavailable due to privacy or ethical re-strictions, a statement is still required. Suggested Data Availability Statements are available in section “MDPI Research Data Policies” at <https://www.mdpi.com/ethics>.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Au
S

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.