Map of Denmark First-Year Project, Bachelor in Software Development, IT Univ. of Copenhagen

Group 12 Jakob Melnyk jmel@itu.dk Niklas Hansen nikl@itu.dk Emil Juul Jacobsen ejuu@itu.dk Jens Dahl Møllerhøj jdmo@itu.dk

Supervisors: Lars Birkedal Advisors: Jonas Brabrand Jensen and Filip Sieczkowski

May 25th, 2011

Contents

| 1 | Pre | face | 4 |
|----------|-----|----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | Bac | kground | 5 |
| | 2.1 | Problem area | 5 |
| | 2.2 | Requirements for the map | 5 |
| | | 2.2.1 Project requirements | 5 |
| | | 2.2.2 Our own requirements | 5 |
| | 2.3 | Data set | 5 |
| | | 2.3.1 UTM-coordinates | 5 |
| | | 2.3.2 Graph | 5 |
| | 2.4 | MVC structure | 5 |
| 3 | Use | r Interface analysis | 6 |
| | 3.1 | User interface as a whole | 6 |
| | 3.2 | Interesting implemented features | 6 |
| | | 3.2.1 Zoom | 6 |
| | | 3.2.2 Navigation | 7 |
| | | 3.2.3 Hotkeys | 7 |
| | | 3.2.4 Markers | 7 |
| | | 3.2.5 Bike/car | 7 |
| | 3.3 | Features not in | 7 |

CONTENTS CONTENTS

| | | 3.3.1 Choice of roads to be displayed | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 3.3.2 Smooth scrolling | | | | | | |
| | | 3.3.3 Dynamic route finding | | | | | | |
| 4 | Implementation 8 | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 | Dijkstra vs A-star | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 | Evaluator | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 | Quadtree | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 | Serialization | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 | UTM-convertion | | | | | | |
| | 4.6 | Mousezoom | | | | | | |
| | 4.7 | Floats | | | | | | |
| 5 | UM | z-diagrams | | | | | | |
| | 5.1 | MVC | | | | | | |
| | 5.2 | Simple Diagram | | | | | | |
| | 5.3 | Control flow | | | | | | |
| 6 | Tes | 10 | | | | | | |
| | 6.1 | WhiteBox: closestEdge | | | | | | |
| | 6.2 | JUnit | | | | | | |
| | 6.3 | System test | | | | | | |
| 7 | Ma | ual 13 | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 | Navigation | | | | | | |
| | | 7.1.1 GUI | | | | | | |
| | | 7.1.2 Keyboard | | | | | | |
| | 7.2 | Zoom | | | | | | |
| | | 7.2.1 GUI | | | | | | |
| | | 7.2.2 Keyboard | | | | | | |

| CONTENTS | CONTENTS |
|----------|----------|

| | 7.3 | Route find | 11 | | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 7.4 | $Bike/car\ \dots \dots$ | 11 | | | | | |
| | 7.5 | Resize | 11 | | | | | |
| | 7.6 | Road display | 11 | | | | | |
| 8 | Pro | duct conclusion | 12 | | | | | |
| 9 | Group norms | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 10 Diary | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 11 Worksheets | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 12 Process description and reflection | | | | | | | |

Preface

1

Background

- 2.1 Problem area
- 2.2 Requirements for the map
- 2.2.1 Project requirements
- 2.2.2 Our own requirements
- 2.3 Data set
- 2.3.1 UTM-coordinates
- 2.3.2 Graph
- 2.4 MVC structure

User Interface analysis

In this chapter we describe our decisions and present our analysis and arguments regarding some of the features that we find interesting.

3.1 User interface as a whole

3.2 Interesting implemented features

This section presents some of the interesting features we have implemented.

3.2.1 Zoom

We have several options for zooming in and out on the map. As described in section 2.2.1 on page 5, it was required that we made it possible to zoom by dragging a box around the part of the map the user wants to view.

In addition to the option of using the mouse to zoom, we have implemented a zoom-in and out function on the GUI and a hotkey for zooming out to the original view.

We felt that we really needed a zoom out function, so that a user did not need to close the program and start it again, when the user wants to view the map further zoomed out. A combination of the zoom in and out functions helps the user a lot when navigating the map.

3.3. FEATURES NOT IN CHAPTER 3. USER INTERFACE ANALYSIS

3.2.2 Navigation

We have made it possible for the user to navigate the map by scrolling

- 3.2.3 Hotkeys
- 3.2.4 Markers
- 3.2.5 Bike/car

3.3 Features not in

This section presents some of the features we have chosen not to implement. These features are not in the final program, because we did not feel there were compelling arguments for implementing them.

- 3.3.1 Choice of roads to be displayed
- 3.3.2 Smooth scrolling
- 3.3.3 Dynamic route finding

Implementation

- 4.1 Dijkstra vs A-star
- 4.2 Evaluator
- 4.3 Quadtree
- 4.4 Serialization
- 4.5 UTM-convertion
- 4.6 Mousezoom
- 4.7 Floats

UML-diagrams

- 5.1 MVC
- 5.2 Simple Diagram
- 5.3 Control flow

Tests

- 6.1 WhiteBox: closestEdge
- 6.2 JUnit
- 6.3 System test

..

Manual

- 7.1 Navigation
- 7.1.1 GUI
- 7.1.2 Keyboard
- **7.2** Zoom
- 7.2.1 GUI
- 7.2.2 Keyboard
- 7.3 Route find
- 7.4 Bike/car
- 7.5 Resize
- 7.6 Road display

Product conclusion

1

Group norms

Diary

3-4

Worksheets

4-5

Process description and reflection

1