

# Pagelist Data Structure Justification

## Introduction

In implementing the indexing program for Project 5: We the People, the Pagelist class stores up to four unique page numbers on which a specific word appears. Choosing the appropriate data structure for the Pagelist is crucial for ensuring efficient performance and meeting the project requirements. This write-up justifies using a fixed-size array for the Pagelist and discusses its advantages and disadvantages.

## Data Structure Choice

**Chosen Data Structure:** Fixed-size array of integers.

### Implementation Details:

**Array Size:** The array is initialized with a maximum capacity of 4, per the project requirement that each pagelist can hold up to four-page numbers.

**Unique Entries:** The pagelist ensures that duplicate page numbers are not added.

**Order Preservation:** Page numbers are stored in the order they are encountered, which aligns with the requirement to keep pages in the order they appear in the text.

### Invariants:

The pages array contains up to four unique page numbers.

The count variable accurately reflects the number of pages stored (ranging from 0 to 4).

The pages are stored in the order they were added.

## Advantages

### Simplicity:

**Ease of Implementation:** Arrays are straightforward to implement and understand, making them suitable for simple storage needs.

**Direct Access:** Accessing elements by index is efficient ( $O(1)$  time complexity), although this benefit is minimal for small arrays.

### Performance Efficiency:

Low Overhead: Arrays have minimal memory overhead compared to more complex data structures like linked lists or trees.

Predictable Memory Usage: The fixed size of the array (capacity of 4) ensures that memory allocation is known at compile time.

### **Meets Project Requirements:**

Fixed Capacity: The project specifies that each pagelist can hold a maximum of four-page numbers. A fixed-size array perfectly fits this requirement without the need for dynamic resizing.

Order Preservation: Since the pages need to be stored in the order they are encountered, an array naturally maintains insertion order.

### **No External Dependencies:**

Custom Implementation: By using an array, we avoid relying on Java's built-in collection classes, which is a project requirement (e.g., we must not use List, Vector, or other collection classes).

## **Disadvantages**

### **Lack of Flexibility:**

Fixed Size: If future requirements change to allow more than four pages per word, the array will not accommodate this without modification.

Inefficient for Larger Capacities: If the capacity were larger, arrays could become inadequate due to the need for resizing or increased memory consumption.

### **Manual Management Required:**

No Built-in Bounds Checking: We must manually check for array bounds and manage the count variable to prevent `IndexOutOfBoundsException`.

Duplicate Handling: Additional code is required to ensure that duplicate page numbers are not added.

### **No Built-in Methods:**

Lack of Utility Methods: Unlike Java's collection classes, arrays do not provide convenient methods for everyday operations (e.g., `contains`, `add` with automatic resizing), so these must be implemented manually.

## **Conclusion**

Given the project's specific requirements, a fixed-size array is the most appropriate data structure for the Pagelist class. It provides a simple and efficient way to store a small, fixed number of unique page numbers while maintaining the order in which pages are added. Although there are some disadvantages, such as a lack of flexibility and the need for manual management, these are mitigated by the constraints of the project and the small size of the pagelist.

By choosing a fixed-size array, we ensure that the Pagelist is optimized for the given task without unnecessary complexity or overhead.

## **References**

Project 5: We the People Assignment Description

Java Documentation: Oracle's Java SE Documentation for arrays and collections.