



[Int J Appl Basic Med Res](#). 2016 Jul-Sep; 6(3): 178–181.

PMCID: PMC4979299

doi: [10.4103/2229-516X.186969](https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-516X.186969)

Students' feedback: An effective tool in teachers' evaluation system

[Musharraf Husain](#) and [Sabina Khan](#)¹

Department of Surgery, Adjunct Faculty MEU, Hamdard Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Jamia Hamdard, New Delhi, India

¹Department of Pathology, Adjunct Faculty MEU, Hamdard Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Jamia Hamdard, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Sabina Khan, Department of Pathology, Hamdard Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Jamia Hamdard, New Delhi, India. E-mail: drsabina1@gmail.com

Received 2016 Jan 29; Accepted 2016 Jun 13.

Copyright : © 2016 International Journal of Applied and Basic Medical Research

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Abstract

[Go to:](#)

Background:

Evaluation is an integral part of medical education. Although there are various methods of teachers' evaluation, student's feedback is considered as the most effective and reliable method albeit a controversial one. In this study, we have tried to evaluate the effectiveness of the students' feedback in teachers' evaluation in an upcoming medical college.

Aim:

The aim of this study was to improve the quality of teaching by introducing students' feedback as a teachers' evaluation system in a new medical college.

Materials and Methods:

A feedback of second professional teachers was obtained from the fourth-semester students. This feedback was obtained through a proforma which was validated through peer review. Based on the feedback, teachers were informed about their strengths and weaknesses in a confidential manner. A uniform opportunity was then provided to these teachers for 3 months to improve their teaching skills. At the end of the period, again a questionnaire was administered to both the students and the teachers to assess the effectiveness of the feedback system.

Results:

More than two-third of the students and teachers alike agreed that the student's feedback is an effective tool for the faculty development. Majority of the teachers were satisfied with the present format of the feedback system and agreed that it sensitized them toward the students need.

Conclusions:

Student's feedback is an effective tool for teachers' evaluation resulting in faculty development. However, other sources of feedback may also be utilized for the overall assessment of a teacher.

Keywords: Students feedback, teachers' evaluation, teaching and learning

INTRODUCTION

[Go to:](#)

Evaluation is an integral part of the medical education. Teachers' evaluation has come to be known worldwide as a useful input to improve the quality of the teaching. These systems for evaluating teaching and course quality in medical education have long been established in the Western world but not used very effectively in our country. Recently, there has been a growth of interest in this area from a range of different perspectives driven both internally by institutions themselves and externally by national quality initiatives.

While there are a large number of possible sources of feedback and evaluation data on teaching, the most common source of input for teaching evaluation is feedback from the students. In fact, student ratings is a necessary source of evidence of teaching effectiveness and obtaining student's feedback is a routine practice in most of the institutions.

This study was undertaken with the aim to improve the quality of teaching by introducing students' feedback as a teachers' evaluation system and also contributing in the overall faculty development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

[Go to:](#)

The study was carried out on the effectiveness of student feedback for teachers' evaluation in a recently established medical college using fourth-semester students. A feedback form for the teacher's evaluation [Table 1] was developed and validated through peer review. It was then given to the undergraduate students during their mid fourth semester after getting clearance from the Ethical Committee. Teachers were also sensitized about the importance of students' feedback through a workshop before starting the study. Only those students having a minimum of 75% attendance were allowed to fill the proforma anonymously. A code name was assigned to each teacher (e.g. A, B, C...) depending on the number of teachers in the department to maintain the confidentiality. The students were made aware of the codes so that they can identify the teachers before filling the proforma.




Table 1 Students' feedback proforma	
Name	_____
Designation	_____
Feedback	_____
Rating	_____
Comments	_____
Signature	_____
Date	_____

[Table 1](#)

Students' feedback proforma

The feedback was then analyzed by a two-member committee using the same codes without disclosing the identity of the teachers to them. After the analysis, the strength and weaknesses of the teachers were summarized and then the report was sealed within the envelope mentioning the code. This was handed over to the Medical Education Unit, which then gave the sealed envelope to the concerned teacher as per their code. This maintained the confidentiality and only the concerned teacher could know about his or her strengths and weaknesses. For 3 months, all the teachers were given equal opportunity to teach and interact with the students. Again after three months a questionnaire was given to both the students and faculty to assess any improvement in the teaching skills and its impact on the students.

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

[Go to:](#)

A total of 70 students having more than 75% attendance filled the feedback proforma. Most of the

teachers as mentioned by the students were regular, prepared their class well, used adequate audio-visual aids, and communicated effectively. Most of them were approachable and also encouraged students to participate in various academic and extracurricular activities.

However, many of them were lacking in providing the regular feedback about their performance in the particular subject, and there was hardly any counseling regarding career goals and future plans. Only few of the teachers were good as a role model.

Faculty questionnaire

The questionnaire was given to the 15 teachers after they have been told about their performance. Twelve of them returned the complete questionnaire with their suggestions [Table 2]

Table 2

Questionnaire regarding students feedback after knowing the feedback from the students on a 5-point Likert scale

Suggest any other method of teacher evaluation system Eight (75%) teachers suggested self-evaluation, while only three teachers suggested peer evaluation as an alternative method. All 12 teachers suggested that it should be multisource feedback.

Other factor as mentioned by the teachers which may affect the student's feedback Students residing in hostel may give different feedback from the day scholars (mentioned by 1 teacher).

Teachers looking after administrative posts tend to get a good feedback (mentioned by 2 teachers).

Recently taken lectures were usually given good feedback (mentioned by 3 teachers).

Number of lectures taken by each faculty is not equal especially when the feedback is taken mentioned by 2 teachers.

Student's questionnaire

All the seventy students who have filled the feedback proforma were given the questionnaire. Sixty-four students returned the questionnaire [Table 3].

Table 3

Questionnaire regarding students feedback as a faculty development tool on a 5-point Likert scale

Students mentioned the following points when asked for any other method of teacher evaluation system

- Evaluation by the administration. Mentioned by 3 students
- Feedback should not be taken. Mentioned by 7 students.

DISCUSSION

[Go to:](#)

Teacher evaluation processes concentrate on the core activity of teaching covering areas such as planning and preparation, the classroom environment and instruction of teaching. The primary purpose of such feedback is to help the faculty to identify the strengths and weaknesses of their teaching and evaluation methods.[1,2,3]

Different ways of assessing teachers' effectiveness include student achievement measures, on-the-job evaluation, performance measures, and use of portfolios.[4] The method of students' feedback as an evaluation tool for teachers can be considered as one method of the on-the-job evaluation. Other common sources of information may be peers, administrators, and teachers self-evaluation.[5] Here, we have tried to know the effectiveness of students' feedback as an evaluation tool.

Peer evaluation, self-evaluation, and administrator observation have questionable reliability due to small number of raters. This is probably one of the important reasons which has led to the institutions using student evaluation of teaching.[6]

In general, students' evaluations of teaching have been found to be reliable. Research has shown that certain teacher variables (such as gender, age, teaching experience, personality, and research productivity), student variables (including gender, age, level, grade average, personality), course variables (class size, time of day of class), and administrative variables (time of module during the term) generally do not impact upon the evaluations given by students on teaching quality.[7] In our study, most of the teachers mentioned designation as an important variable affecting the students response. They have also mentioned that the allotment of number of lectures, topic, and the timing of lectures plays an important role in students' response. Literature exploring the validity of student evaluations found that this tend to correlate highly with lecturers' self-ratings, with the ratings of lecturers' colleagues and with students' actual grades.[7]

One of the key issues in the student evaluation of teacher is the question of how competent students are to make judgments on teaching and course quality. It should be remembered that students are not competent enough to evaluate teaching roles such as those involving course design (objectives, content, methods, and assessment), or grading practice in assessment. However, in terms of “the quality of the delivery of lecture or instruction,” it is generally agreed that onlystudents are in a position to provide a good feedback.[8] Although all the teachers agreed that students feedback made them more aware of the students, need and helped them to improve their skills but still feedback make them uncomfortable.

The limitation of this study is that it was done only on a single batch to know the effectiveness and acceptability of students' feedback as a teacher evaluation system in a new medical college. This now can be implemented to all the incoming batches as it will help the faculty to further improve their skills and academic performance ultimately leading to overall faculty development.

CONCLUSIONS

[Go to:](#)

Students' feedback is an important and effective tool for the teacher's evaluation but other sources of feedback may also be utilized for the overall assessment of a teacher.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

[Go to:](#)

1. Lata H, Walia L, Gupta V. Student feedback on teaching and evaluation methodology in physiology. Southeast Asian J Med Educ. 2008;2:31–7.

2. Richardson BK. Feedback. Acad Emerg Med. 2004;11:1–5. [[PubMed](#)]
3. Biggs J. Teaching for Quality Learning at University. 2nd ed. Buckingham: SRHE/Open University Press; 2003.
4. Dunkin M. Assessing teachers effectiveness. Issues Educ Res. 1997;7:37–51.
5. Judis J. Cautions on the use of student evaluations of teaching. J Allied Health. 1982;11:43–8. [[PubMed](#)]
6. Al-Yousuf N. Clinical teacher's evaluation. J Bahrain Med Soc. 2007;19:154–9.
7. Cashin W. Student Ratings of Teaching: A Summary of the Research. Idea Paper No. 20. Manhattan: Kansas State University, Center for Faculty Evaluation and Development, Division of Continuing Education; 1988.
8. Cohen P, McKeachie W. The role of colleagues in the evaluation of teaching. Improv Coll Univ Teach. 1980;28:147–54.

Articles from International Journal of Applied and Basic Medical Research are provided here courtesy of
Wolters Kluwer -- Medknow Publications