

Lecture 20: Queues As Random Walks

Parimal Parag

0.1 GI/GI/1 Queueing Model

Consider a $GI/GI/1$ queue. Customers arrive in accordance with a renewal process having an arbitrary interarrival distribution F , and the service distribution is G . Let the interarrival times be X_1, X_2, \dots and let the service times be Y_1, Y_2, \dots and let D_n denote the delay in queue of the n^{th} arrival. The following recursion for D_n is easy to verify:

$$D_{n+1} = \begin{cases} D_n + Y_n - X_{n+1} & \text{if } D_n + Y_n \geq X_{n+1} \\ 0 & \text{if } D_n + Y_n < X_{n+1} \end{cases}$$

Let $U_n \equiv Y_n - X_{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$,

$$D_{n+1} = \max\{0, D_n + U_n\}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Iterating the above relation yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n+1} &= \max\{0, D_n + U_n\} \\ &= \max\{0, U_n + \max\{0, D_{n-1} + U_{n-1}\}\} \\ &= \max\{0, U_n, U_n + U_{n-1} + D_{n-1}\} \\ &\vdots \\ &= \max\{0, U_n, U_n + U_{n-1}, \dots, U_n + U_{n-1} + \dots + U_1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that $D_1 = 0$. Hence, for $c > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(D_{n+1} \geq c) &= Pr(\max\{0, U_n, U_n + U_{n-1}, \dots, U_n + \dots + U_1\} \geq c) \\ &= Pr(\max\{0, U_1, U_2 + U_1, \dots, U_1 + \dots + U_n\} \geq c), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from duality. Thus the following proposition holds.

Proposition 0.1. *If D_n is the delay in the queue of the n^{th} customer in a $GI/GI/1$ queue with interarrival times X_i , $i \geq 1$, and service times Y_i , $i \geq 1$ then*

$$Pr(D_{n+1} \geq c) = Pr(\text{the random walk } S_j, j \geq 1, \text{ crosses } c \text{ by time } n), \quad (1)$$

where

$$S_j = \sum_{i=1}^j (Y_i - X_{i+1}).$$

From Proposition 0.1 that $Pr(D_{n+1} \geq c)$ is nondecreasing in n . Let

$$Pr(D_\infty \geq c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pr(D_n \geq c),$$

we have from 1

$$Pr(D_\infty \geq c) = Pr(\text{the random walk } S_j, j \geq 1, \text{ ever crosses } c). \quad (2)$$

If $E[U] = E[Y] - E[X]$ is positive, then by Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN) the random walk will converge to positive infinity with probability 1. Hence,

$$Pr(D_\infty \geq c) = 1, \forall c \text{ if } E[Y] > E[X].$$

The above will also be true when $E[Y] = E[X]$ and hence we get that $E[Y] < E[X]$ will imply the existence of a stationary distribution.

Let $M_n = \max\{0, S_1, S_2 \dots S_n\}$, $n \geq 1$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 0.2. Spitzer's Identity

$$E[M_n] = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} E[S_k^+].$$

Proof. We represent M_n as

$$M_n = 1_{\{S_n > 0\}} M_n + 1_{\{S_n \leq 0\}} M_n.$$

Consider first $1_{\{S_n > 0\}} M_n$.

$$1_{\{S_n > 0\}} M_n = 1_{\{S_n > 0\}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i = 1_{\{S_n > 0\}} (X_1 + \max\{0, X_2, \dots X_2 + \dots + X_n\})$$

Taking expectation,

$$E[1_{\{S_n > 0\}} M_n] = E[1_{\{S_n > 0\}} X_1] + E[1_{\{S_n > 0\}} \max\{0, X_2, \dots X_2 + \dots + X_n\}]. \quad (3)$$

The joint distribution of $X_1, \dots X_n$ and $X_n, X_1, \dots X_{n-1}$ are the same.

$$E[1_{\{S_n > 0\}} \max\{0, X_2, \dots X_2 + \dots + X_n\}] = E[1_{\{S_n > 0\}} M_{n-1}]. \quad (4)$$

Since X_i, S_n has the same joint distribution for all i ,

$$E[S_n 1_{\{S_n > 0\}}] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i 1_{\{S_n > 0\}}\right] = nE[X_1 1_{\{S_n > 0\}}].$$

Hence,

$$E[X_1 1_{\{S_n > 0\}}] = \frac{1}{n} = E[S_n 1_{\{S_n > 0\}}] = \frac{1}{n} E[S_n^+]. \quad (5)$$

From equations 3, 4, 5, we have that

$$E[1_{\{S_n > 0\}} M_n] = E[1_{\{S_n > 0\}} M_{n-1}] + \frac{1}{n} E[S_n^+].$$

Also, $S_n \leq 0$ implies that $M_n = M_{n-1}$, it follows that

$$1_{\{S_n \leq 0\}} M_n = 1_{\{S_n \leq 0\}} M_{n-1}.$$

Thus,

$$E[M_n] = E[M_{n-1}] + \frac{1}{n} E[S_n^+].$$

Upon recursion, we get

$$E[M_n] = \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k} E[S_k^+] + E[M_1].$$

□

Since, $M_1 = S_1^+$, the result follows. From Proposition 0.1, with $M_n = \max\{0, S_1, \dots, S_n\}$

$$Pr(D_{n+1} \geq c) = Pr(M_n \geq c).$$

Hence,

$$E[D_{n+1}] = E[M_n].$$

From Spitzer's identity we see that

$$E[D_{n+1}] = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} E[S_k^+].$$

0.2 Some Remarks Concerning Exchangeable Random Variables

Definition 0.3. X_1, \dots, X_n is exchangeable if X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_n} has the same joint distribution for all permutations (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) of $(1, \dots, n)$. The infinite sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots is said to be exchangeable if every finite subsequence X_1, \dots, X_n is exchangeable.

Example 0.4. Suppose balls are selected randomly, without replacement, from an urn consisting of n balls of which k are white. If we let

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i^{\text{th}} \text{ selection is white} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then X_1, \dots, X_n will be exchangeable but not independent.

Example 0.5. Let Λ denote a random variable having distribution G . Given that $\Lambda = \lambda$, X_1, X_2, \dots are *iid* with distribution F_λ . The random variables are exchangeable since

$$Pr(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) = \int \prod_{i=1}^n F_\lambda(x_i) dG(\lambda),$$

which is symmetric in (x_1, \dots, x_n) . They are not independent.

Theorem 0.6. (*De Finetti's Theorem*) To every infinite sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots taking values either 0 or 1, there corresponds a probability distribution G on $[0, 1]$ such that, for all $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$Pr(X_1 = X_2 = \dots = X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = \dots = X_n = 0) = \int_0^1 \lambda^k (1 - \lambda)^{n-k} dG(\lambda).$$

Proof. Let $m \geq n$.

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr(X_1 = X_2 = \dots = X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = \dots = X_n = 0) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m Pr(X_1 = \dots = X_k = 1, X_{k+1} = \dots = X_n = 0 | S_m = j) Pr(S_m = j) \\ &= \sum_j \frac{j(j-1)\dots(j-k+1)(m-j)(m-j-1)\dots(m-j-(n-k)+1)}{m(m-1)\dots(m-n+1)} Pr(S_m = j). \end{aligned}$$

The last equation follows by exchangeability as given $S_m = j$ each subset of size j of X_1, \dots, X_m is equally likely to be the one consisting of all 1's. Letting $S_m = mY_m$, the above equation for large m is roughly equal to $E[Y_m^k (1 - Y_m)^{n-k}]$,

and the theorem follows letting $m \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, from a result known as Helly's theorem it can be shown that for some subsequence m' converging to ∞ , the distribution of $Y'_{m'}$ will converge to a distribution G and we get

$$E[Y_{\infty}^k(1 - Y_{\infty})^{n-k}] = \int_0^1 \lambda^k(1 - \lambda)^{n-k} dG(\lambda).$$

□