## RANDOM VARIABLES - EXAMPLES & EXERCISES

Prepared By: Karthik

Aug. 24 2017

Assume  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space.

1. If  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a random variable defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is any constant, show that Y = aX is also a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Y = aX is a function defined as  $Y(\omega) = aX(\omega)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Since X is given to be a random variable, the following statements are equivalent to (21):

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (1)

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \ge y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (2)

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) < y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (3)

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) > y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (4)

In order to show that Y is a random variable, it suffices to show that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) \le x\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : aX(\omega) \le x\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (5)

(a) Case 1: Suppose a = 0. Then,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) \le x\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : aX(\omega) \le x\}$$

$$= \{\omega \in \Omega : 0 \le x\}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \phi, & x < 0 \\ \Omega, & x \ge 0. \end{cases}$$
(6)

From the above description, it is clear that  $\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) \leq x\} \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, Y = aX is a random variable when a = 0.

(b) Case 2: Suppose a > 0. Then, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) \le x\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : aX(\omega) \le x\}$$
$$= \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le \frac{x}{a}\} \in \mathcal{F}$$
(7)

since (1) holds with  $y = \frac{x}{a}$ . Thus, Y = aX is a random variable for any a > 0.

(c) Case 3: Suppose a < 0. Then, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) \le x\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : aX(\omega) \le x\}$$
$$= \left\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \ge \frac{x}{a}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$$
(8)

since (2) holds with  $y = \frac{x}{a}$ . Thus, Y = aX is a random variable for any a < 0.

2. If X and Y are two random variables defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , show that X + Y is also a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Since X and Y are given to be random variables, by definition,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) < y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (9)

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) < y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (10)

In order to show that X + Y is a random variable, it suffices to show that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) + Y(\omega) < x\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (11)

Fix an arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $X(\omega) + Y(\omega) < x$  implies that there exists a rational number  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $X(\omega) < q$  and  $Y(\omega) < x - q$ . Conversely, if there exists a rational number  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $X(\omega) < q$  and  $Y(\omega) < x - q$ , then this implies that  $X(\omega) + Y(\omega) < x$ . By translating the words "there exists" and "and" into union and intersection of sets respectively, we get that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) + Y(\omega) < x\} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \underbrace{\left\{\underbrace{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) < q}_{\in \mathcal{F} \text{ from (9) with } y = q} \cap \underbrace{\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) < x - q\}}_{\in \mathcal{F} \text{ from (10) with } y = x - q}\right\}}_{\in \mathcal{F} \text{ from (10) with } y = x - q}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  since the union over  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a countable union, and countable union of events in  $\mathcal{F}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  by the property that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Thus, X + Y is a random variable.

<u>Note 1</u>: In the above analysis, it is crucial that X and Y are both defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . In other words, if X is defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  and Y is defined with respect to a different  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ , then X+Y is not a meaningful definition.

<u>Note 2</u>: The above problem can also be solved using the fact that a continuous function of random variables is a random variable.

3. If X and Y are random variables defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , show that  $\max\{X,Y\}$  is also a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Since X and Y are given to be random variables, by definition,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (12)

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) \le y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (13)

We need to show that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \max\{X(\omega), Y(\omega)\} \le x\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (14)

Fix an arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $\max\{X(\omega), Y(\omega)\} \leq x$  implies that  $X(\omega) \leq x$  and  $Y(\omega) \leq x$ , and the converse is also true. Thus,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \max\{X(\omega), Y(\omega)\} \le x\} = \underbrace{\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le x\}}_{\in \mathcal{F} \text{ from (12) with } y = x} \cap \underbrace{\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) \le x\}}_{\in \mathcal{F} \text{ from (13) with } y = x}$$
(15)

belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  since intersection of two events in a  $\mathcal{F}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  by the property that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Hence  $\max\{X,Y\}$  is a random variable.

4. Show that if X is a random variable defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $X^2$  is also a random variable defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Since X is given to be a random variable, by definition,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \le y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (16)

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \ge y\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (17)

We need to show that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : (X(\omega))^2 \le x\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (18)

Clearly, since  $(X(\omega))^2$  is a non-negative real number,  $\{\omega \in \Omega : (X(\omega))^2 \le x\} = \phi$  for all x < 0. Fix an arbitrary  $x \ge 0$ . Then,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : (X(\omega))^{2} \leq x\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : |X(\omega)| \leq \sqrt{x}\}$$

$$= \{\omega \in \Omega : -\sqrt{x} \leq X(\omega) \leq \sqrt{x}\}$$

$$= \underbrace{\{\omega \in \Omega : -\sqrt{x} \leq X(\omega)\} \cap \underbrace{\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \leq \sqrt{x}\}}_{\in \mathcal{F} \text{ from (17) with } y = -\sqrt{x}} (19)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  since intersection of two events in  $\mathcal{F}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  by the property that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Hence  $X^2$  is a random variable.

5. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , then B is known as a *Borel set*. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, f is said to be a **Borel measurable function** if:

$$f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{B},$$
 (20)

i.e., if the inverse image (under f) of every Borel set is a Borel set.

6. If  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a random variable defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is Borel measurable, show that  $f(X) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is also a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Since X is a random variable, by definition,

$$X^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{B},$$
 (21)

and since f is Borel measurable,

$$f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{B}.$$
 (22)

In order to show that g = f(X) is a random variable, we need to show that

$$g^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{B}.$$
 (23)

Fix an arbitrary  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then,

$$g^{-1}(B) = (f(X))^{-1}(B)$$

$$= X^{-1}(f^{-1}(B))$$

$$= X^{-1}(A)$$

$$\in \mathcal{F},$$
(24)

where  $A = f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}$  from (22) since f is Borel measurable, and  $X^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{F}$  from (21) since X is a random variable.

**Remark:** Every continuous function is Borel measurable. Hence, if  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a random variable defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then  $f(X) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is also a random variable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus, for example, if X is a random variable, so are |X|,  $e^X$ ,  $X^2$ ,  $\sin(X)$ , aX + b (for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ), etc. On similar lines, if X and Y are random variables defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , then so are X + Y, X - Y,  $\log(|X + Y|)$ , etc.

## Miscellaneous exercises:

Assume  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a probability space, and all random variables defined below are functions on  $\Omega$ .

- 1. If X and Y are random variables defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , show that the following are also random variables defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  (**do not** use the fact that continuous functions of random variables are random variables):
  - (i) |X|, |Y|
  - (ii) X Y
  - (iii) XY
  - (iv)  $\min\{X,Y\}$
  - (v)  $X_{+} := \max\{X, 0\}, X_{-} := -\min\{X, 0\}$
  - (vi) |X Y|.
- 2. If X and Y are random variables defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , show that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = Y(\omega)\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

3. Let  $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  be a random variable defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then, show that  $\{\omega \in \Omega: |X(\omega)| = \infty\} \in \mathcal{F}$  (in this example, X is allowed to take the values  $-\infty$  and  $+\infty$ ).

- 4. Prove, by induction, that for any  $n \geq 1$ , if  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are random variables, all defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the following are also random variables with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ :

  - (a)  $\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$ (b)  $\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}}$ .
- 5. Let X be a random variable defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ , and suppose  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  is a sequence of random variables, all defined with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then, show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$