

Lecture 18: Martingales Convergence Theorems

Parimal Parag

1 Submartingales, Supermartingales and the Martingale Convergence Theorem

Definition 1.1. A stochastic process $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$ having $E[|Z_n|] < \infty$ for all n is said to be a submartingale if

$$E[Z_{n+1}|Z_1 \dots Z_n] \geq Z_n \quad (1)$$

and is said to be a supermartingale if

$$E[Z_{n+1}|Z_1 \dots Z_n] \leq Z_n \quad (2)$$

From 1, for a submartingale

$$E[Z_{n+1}] \geq E[Z_n]$$

where the inequality is reversed for a supermartingale.

Theorem 1.2. If N is a stopping time for $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$ such that any one of the following sufficient conditions is satisfied:

1. \bar{Z}_n is uniformly bounded, or;
2. N is bounded, or;
3. $E[N] < \infty$, and there is an $M < \infty$ such that

$$E[|Z_{n+1} - Z_n||Z_1, \dots, Z_n] < M,$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} E[Z_N] &\geq E[Z_1] \text{ for a submartingale} \\ E[Z_N] &\leq E[Z_1] \text{ for a supermartingale.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We claim that

$$\bar{Z}_n = \bar{Z}_{n-1} + 1_{N \geq n}(Z_n - Z_{n-1})$$

The above equation can be directly verified by considering the two cases separately viz.

1. $N \geq n$: $\bar{Z}_n = Z_n$.
2. $N < n$: $\bar{Z}_{n-1} = \bar{Z}_n = Z_N$

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\bar{Z}_{n+1}|Z_1 \dots \bar{Z}_n] &= E[\bar{Z}_n + 1_{n \leq N}(Z_n - Z_{n-1})|Z_1 \dots \bar{Z}_n] \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \bar{Z}_n + 1_{n \leq N} E[(Z_n - Z_{n-1})|Z_1 \dots \bar{Z}_n] \\
&\geq \bar{Z}_n,
\end{aligned}$$

where in (a) we have used the fact that N is a random time. Also, we have $E[\bar{Z}_n] = E[Z_1]$, for all n . Now assume that N is a stopping time. It is immediate that

$$\bar{Z}_n \rightarrow Z_N \text{ w.p } 1.$$

But is it true that

$$E[\bar{Z}_n] \rightarrow E[Z_N] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

which gives that

$$E[Z_N] \geq E[Z_1].$$

□

Before we state and prove martingale convergence theorem, we state some results which will be used in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 1.3. *If Z_i , $i \geq 1$ is a submartingale and N is a stopping time such that $P(N \leq n) = 1$ then*

$$E[Z_1] \leq E[Z_N] \leq E[Z_n].$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that since N is bounded, $E[Z_N] \geq E[Z_1]$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
E[Z_n|Z_1, \dots, Z_N, N = k] &= E[Z_n|Z_1 \dots Z_k, N = k] \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} E[Z_n|Z_1 \dots Z_k] \\
&= Z_k \\
&= Z_N.
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from the fact that N is a stopping time. Result follows by taking expectation on both sides. □

Lemma 1.4. *If $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a martingale and f is a convex function, then $\{f(Z_n), n \geq 1\}$ is a submartingale.*

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of Jensen's inequality.

$$E[f(Z_n)|Z_1, \dots, Z_n] \geq f(E[Z_{n+1}|Z_1, \dots, Z_n]) = f(Z_n).$$

□

Theorem 1.5. (Kolmogorov's Inequality for Submartingales) *If $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a martingale, then*

$$Pr(\max\{Z_1, Z_2 \dots Z_n\} > a) \leq \frac{E[Z_n]}{a}, \text{ for } a > 0.$$

Proof. Let N be the smallest value of i , $i \leq n$, such that $Z_i > a$, and define it to equal n if $Z_i \leq a$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that $\max\{Z_1 \dots Z_n\} > a$ is equivalent to $Z_N > a$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(\max\{Z_1 \dots Z_n\} > a) &= Pr(Z_N > a) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \frac{E[Z_N]}{a} \\ &\leq \frac{E[Z_n]}{a}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 1.3 as $N \leq n$ and $(*)$ follows from Markov's inequality. \square

Corollary 1.6. *Let $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a martingale. Then, for $a > 0$:*

1. $Pr(\max\{|Z_1|, \dots, |Z_n|\} > a) \leq E[|Z_n|]/a$;
2. $Pr(\max\{|Z_1|, \dots, |Z_n|\} > a) \leq E[Z_n^2]/a^2$.

Proof. The proof the above statements follow from Lemma 1.4 and Kolmogorov's inequality for submartingales by considering the convex functions $f(x) = |x|$ and $f(x) = x^2$. \square

Theorem 1.7. *If $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a martingale such that for some $M < \infty$*

$$E[|Z_n|] \leq M, \text{ for all } n$$

then, with probability 1, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n$ exists and is finite.

Proof. Assume $E[Z_n^2] < \infty$ which is stronger than $E[|Z_n|] < \infty$ (as a consequence of Jensen's inequality). Observe that $\{Z_n^2\}$ is a submartingale (from Lemma 1.4). Thus $E[Z_n^2] < \infty$ and is non-decreasing in n . Thus, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $E[Z_n^2]$ converges and let $\mu < \infty$ be given by $\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[Z_n^2]$.

$$Pr(\cup_{k \leq n} \{|Z_{m+k} - Z_m| > \epsilon\}) \tag{3}$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} E[(Z_{m+n} - Z_m)^2]/\epsilon^2 = E[Z_{m+n}^2 - 2Z_m Z_{m+n} + Z_m^2]/\epsilon^2.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E[Z_{m+n} Z_m] &= E[E[Z_m Z_{m+n} | Z_m]] \\ &= E[Z_m E[Z_{m+n} | Z_m]] \\ &= E[Z_m^2]. \end{aligned}$$

From 3,

$$Pr(\cup_{k \leq n} \{|Z_{m+k} - Z_m| > \epsilon\}) \leq \frac{E[Z_{m+n}^2] - E[Z_m^2]}{\epsilon^2}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$Pr(\cup_{k \leq 1} \{|Z_{m+k} - Z_m| > \epsilon\}) \leq \frac{\mu - E[Z_m^2]}{\epsilon^2}.$$

Hence,

$$Pr(\cup_{k \leq n} \{|Z_{m+k} - Z_m| > \epsilon\}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus with probability 1, $\{Z_n\}$ will be a Cauchy sequence, and thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n$ will exist and be finite. \square

Corollary 1.8. *If $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a non-negative martingale, then, with probability 1, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n$ exists and is finite.*

Proof. Since Z_n is non-negative,

$$E[Z_n] = E[Z_0] = E[Z_1].$$

□

Theorem 1.9. The Strong Law of Large Numbers Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables having finite mean μ , and let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then

$$Pr(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n/n = \mu) = 1.$$

Proof. We will prove the theorem under the assumption that the moment generating function exists. Let $\psi(t) = E[e^{tX}]$. For a given $\epsilon > 0$, let $g(t)$ be defined by

$$g(t) = e^{t(\mu+\epsilon)}/\psi(t).$$

$$g(0) = 1,$$

$$g'(0) = \frac{\psi(0)(\mu+\epsilon) - \psi'(0)}{\psi(0)^2} = \epsilon > 0,$$

there exists a value $t_0 > 0$ such that $g(t_0) > 1$. We now show that S_n/n can be as large as $\mu + \epsilon$ only finitely often. For, note that

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \geq \mu + \epsilon \Rightarrow \left(\frac{e^{t_0 S_n}}{\psi^n(t_0)} \right) = (g(t_0))^n \quad (4)$$

But $\frac{e^{t_0 S_n}}{\psi^n(t_0)}$ is the product of independent, non negative random variables with unit mean and hence is a martingale. By Theorem 1.7

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{t_0 S_n}}{\psi^n(t_0)} \text{ exists and is finite.}$$

Since $g(t_0) > 1$, it follows from 4 that

$$Pr(S_n/n > \mu + \epsilon \text{ for an infinite number of } n) = 0.$$

Similarly, by defining the function $f(t) = e^{t(\mu-\epsilon)}/\psi(t)$ and noting that since $f(0) = 1$, $f'(0) = -\epsilon$, there exists a value $t_0 < 0$ such that $f(t_0) > 1$, we can prove in the same manner that

$$Pr(S_n/n \leq \mu - \epsilon \text{ for an infinite number of } n) = 0.$$

Hence

$$Pr(\mu - \epsilon < S_n/n < \mu + \epsilon \text{ for all but a finite number of } n) = 1,$$

or, since the above is true for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$Pr(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n/n = \mu) = 1.$$

□

Definition 1.10. The sequence of random variables X_n , $n \geq 1$, is said to be *uniformly integrable* if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a y_ϵ such that

$$\int_{|x| > y_\epsilon} |x| dF_n(x) < \epsilon \quad \forall n$$

where F_n is the distribution function of X_n .

Lemma 1.11. If X_n , $n \geq 1$, is uniformly integrable then there exists $M < \infty$ such that $E[|X_n|] < M$ for all n .

Proof. Let y_1 be as in the definition of uniform integrability. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[|X_n|] &= \int_{|x| \leq y_1} |x| dF_n(x) + \int_{|x| > y_1} |x| dF_n(x) \\ &\leq y_1 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

1.1 Generalized Azuma Inequality

Proposition 1.12. Let $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a martingale with mean $Z_0 = 0$, for which

$$-\alpha \leq Z_n - Z_{n-1} \leq \beta \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

Then, for any positive values a and b

$$Pr(Z_n \geq a + bn \text{ for some } n) \leq \exp(-8ab/(\alpha + \beta)^2).$$

Proof. Let, for $n \geq 0$

$$W_n = \exp\{c(Z_n - a - bn)\}$$

Observe that

$$W_n = W_{n-1} e^{-cb} \exp\{c(Z_n - Z_{n-1})\}.$$

Using the fact that knowledge of W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n-1} is equivalent to that of Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{n-1} , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} E[W_n | W_1 \dots W_{n-1}] &= W_{n-1} e^{-cb} E[\exp\{c(Z_n - Z_{n-1})\} | Z_1 \dots Z_{n-1}] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} W_{n-1} e^{-cb} [\beta e^{-c\alpha} + \alpha e^{c\beta}] / (\alpha + \beta) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} W_{n-1} e^{-cb} e^{c^2(\alpha + \beta)^2/8} \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from Lemma 1.3 (Lecture 17) and (b) from Lemma 1.4 (Lecture 17) with $\theta = \alpha/(\alpha + \beta)$, $x = c(\alpha + \beta)$. Hence, fixing the value of c as $c = 8b/(\alpha + \beta)^2$ yields

$$E[W_n | W_1, \dots, W_{n-1}] \leq W_{n-1}, \quad (5)$$

and so $\{W_n, n \geq 0\}$ is a supermartingale. For a fixed positive integer k , define the bounded stopping time N by

$$N = \min\{n : \text{either } Z_n \geq a + bn \text{ or } n = k\}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr(Z_N \geq a + bn) &= P(W_N \geq 1) \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} E[W_N] \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} E[W_0]
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from Markov inequality and (b) follows from supermartingale stopping theorem. But the above inequality is equivalent to

$$Pr(Z_n \geq a + bn \text{ for some } n \leq k) \leq e^{-8ab/(\alpha+\beta)^2}.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ gives the result. \square

Theorem 1.13. *The generalized Azuma Inequality* Let $\{Z_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a martingale with mean $Z_0 = 0$. If $-\alpha \leq Z_n - Z_{n-1} \leq \beta$ for all $n \geq 1$ then, for any positive constant c and integer m :

1. $Pr(Z_n \geq nc \text{ for some } n \geq m) \leq e^{-2mc^2/(\alpha+\beta)^2}.$
2. $Pr(Z_n \leq -nc \text{ for some } n \geq m) \leq e^{-2mc^2/(\alpha+\beta)^2}.$

Proof. To begin, note that if there is an n such that $n \geq m$ and $Z_n \geq nc$ then, for that n , $Z_n \geq nc \geq mc/2 + nc/2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr(Z_n \geq nc \text{ for some } n \geq m) &\leq Pr(Z_n \geq mc/2 + (c/2)n \text{ for some } n) \\
&\leq \exp\{-8(mc/2)(c/2)/(\alpha + \beta)^2\}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Proposition 1.12. This proves part (i). Part (ii) follows from part (i) by considering the martingale $\{-Z_n, n \geq 0\}$. \square