Deep Semi-supervised learning (SSL) for Remote Sensing Datasets

Itza Hernandez-Sequeira, Ruben Fernandez-Beltran, Yonghao Xu, Pedram Ghamisi & Filiberto Pla

About me

- Itzá Hernández
- PhD. Computer Science - Computer Vision
- University Jaume I, Castellón, Spain
- Internship Helmholtz Institute Freiberg for Resource Technology (HIF)
- @itzahs

Content of this presentation

01   **Introduction to DSSL**   **02**   **Data & Code**

03   **Results & Future Research**

01

Introduction

Machine Learning & Deep Learning

ML

Feature extraction   Classification   Class probability

DL

Feature extraction + Classification   Class probability

Supervised   **Semi-supervised**   Unsupervised

[1] Bhavsar, K. (2018, September 14). Understanding your Convolution Network with Visualizations. Towards Data Science. https://towardsdatascience.com/understanding-your-convolution-network-with-visualizations-a4883441533b

Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)

Original Image

Resnet-18   Resnet-50   **WideResNet-28-2**

[1] Bhavsar, K. (2018, September 14). Understanding your Convolution Network with Visualizations. Towards Data Science.
https://towardsdatascience.com/understanding-your-convolution-network-with-visualizations-a4883441533b

Taxonomy

[3] X. Yang, Z. Song, I. King and Z. Xu, "A Survey on Deep Semi-Supervised Learning," in IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2022.3220219.

Consistency regularization

(10) UDA

= Basic Neural Network Layer Aug = Augmentation Operator.
RandAugment and Back−translation for UDA.

A consistency regularization term is applied to the **final loss function**. e.g. **Cross Entropy for example H(F(x), Tx).**

A realistic perturbation in the training data should not change the output of the model.

e.g. Unsupervised Data Augmentation (**UDA**).

Pseudo-labelling

(3) Pseudo−label   = Basic Neural Network Layer

Pseudo-labeling methods rely on the **high-confidence of pseudo-labels**, which can be added to the training data set as labeled data.

Self-training: leverage model's own confident predictions to produce the pseudo-labels for **unlabeled data**.

Image data augmentation for DL

Synthetic data - CycleGANS - emotion classification

- **Data Warping**: transforms existing images such as the label is preserved.

- **Oversampling**: creates synthetic instances and add them to the training set.

[4] Sharma, A. (2019, June 12). Complete Guide to Data Augmentation for Computer Vision. Towards Data Science. https://towardsdatascience.com/complete-guide-to-data-augmentation-for-computer-vision-1abe4063ad07.

[5] Shorten, C., Khoshgoftaar, T.M. A survey on Image Data Augmentation for Deep Learning. *J Big Data* 6, 60 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0197-0

Hybrid: Fixmatch - Consistency Regularization and Pseudo Labeling

[6] Kihyuk Sohn, David Berthelot, Nicholas Carlini, Zizhao Zhang, Han Zhang, Colin A Raffel, Ekin Dogus Cubuk, Alexey Kurakin, and Chun-Liang Li. Fixmatch: Simplifying semi-supervised learning with consistency and confidence. NeurIPS, 33, 2020.

Hybrid: CoMatch - Consistency Regularization and Pseudo Labeling

[6] Kihyuk Sohn, David Berthelot, Nicholas Carlini, Zizhao Zhang, Han Zhang, Colin A Raffel, Ekin Dogus Cubuk, Alexey Kurakin, and Chun-Liang Li. Fixmatch: Simplifying semi-supervised learning with consistency and confidence. NeurIPS, 33, 2020.

CCSSL - Class-Aware Contrastive Semi-Supervised Learning

out-of-distribution data

labeled | unlabeled

(a) Real-World Data With In-Distribution and Out-of-Distribution Data

(b) Pseudo-Label-Based SSL

(c) Class-Aware Contrastive SSL (ours)

- Assumption that the classes and distribution of the unlabeled data is close to the labeled.

- **In-distribution** (known classes and balanced datasets) → **class-wise clustering**. **High-confidence** pseudo-label should be **puller closer with the same class**.

- **Out-of-distribution** (unknown classes or unlabeled distribution) → **image-wise contrasting**. **Low-confidence pseudo-labels**, triggers contrastive learning where only **augmentation of the same image are positive pairs**.

UNIVERSITAT JAUME I · HiF HELMHOLTZ INSTITUTE FREIBERG FOR RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY · ICIAP 2023

02

Data & Code

DL in Aerial Image Classification

Pixel-level   Object-level   **Scene-level**

[2] G. Cheng, X. Xie, J. Han, L. Guo and G. -S. Xia, "Remote Sensing Image Scene Classification Meets Deep Learning: Challenges, Methods, Benchmarks, and Opportunities," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 13, pp. 3735-3756, 2020, doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2020.3005403 .

Datasets

UCM Dataset [<u>download</u>]:

- 21 clases
- 100 images per class
- 256 x 256 pixels (0.3 m)

AID Dataset [download]:

- 30 clases
- 200 to 400 images per class
- 600 × 600 pixels

agricultural   airplane   baseball diamond

dense residential   forest   free-way

medium residential   mobile home park   overpass

[7] Yi Yang and Shawn Newsam, "Bag-Of-Visual-Words and Spatial Extensions for Land-Use Classification," ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (ACM GIS), 2010.

UNIVERSITAT JAUME I   HiF HELMHOLTZ INSTITUTE FREIBERG FOR RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY   ICIAP 2023

Sampling strategy

Sampling strategy:

- 50 % training and 50% testing (Cheng, G. et al, 2020) [2].
- When using supervised 1,050 samples for UCM and 5,000 for AID.
- When using semi-supervised 84 samples or 4 labels per class (Sohn, K. et al, 2020) [6].

Dataset	Class	# Labels per class	# Training data	# Testing data	Train/Test split
UCM	21	4/25/40	84/525/840	1,050	50% / 50%
AID	30	4/25/40	120/750/1200	5,000	50% / 50%

- Labeled to unlabeled ratio: 1-7

[7] Yi Yang and Shawn Newsam, "Bag-Of-Visual-Words and Spatial Extensions for Land-Use Classification," ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (ACM GIS), 2010.

UNIVERSITAT JAUME I — HELMHOLTZ INSTITUTE FREIBERG FOR RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY — ICIAP 2023

Semi-supervised learning toolbox

Class-Aware Contrastive Semi-Supervised Learning

Publisher: IEEE Cite This 📄 PDF

Fan Yang ; Kai Wu ; Shuyi Zhang ; Guannan Jiang ; Yong Liu ; Feng Zheng ; Wei Zhang ; Chengjie Wang ; Long Zeng **All Authors**

5
Paper
Citations

142
Full
Text Views

Ⓡ ◁ © 📁 🔔

Abstract

Document Sections

1. Introduction

2. Related Work

3. Method

4. Experiments

5. Ablation

Show Full Outline ▾

Authors

Figures

References

Citations

Abstract:
Pseudo-label-based semi-supervised learning (SSL) has achieved great success on raw data utilization. However, its training procedure suffers from confirmation bias due to the noise contained in self-generated artificial labels. Moreover, the model's judgment becomes noisier in real-world applications with extensive out-of-distribution data. To address this issue, we propose a general method named Class-aware Contrastive Semi-Supervised Learning (CCSSL), which is a drop-in helper to improve the pseudo-label quality and enhance the model's robustness in the real-world setting. Rather than treating real-world data as a union set, our method separately handles reliable in-distribution data with class-wise clustering for blending into downstream tasks and noisy out-of-distribution data with image-wise contrastive for better generalization. Furthermore, by applying target reweighting, we successfully emphasize clean label learning and simultaneously reduce noisy label learning. Despite its simplicity, our proposed CCSSL has significant performance improvements over the state-of-the-art SSL methods on the standard datasets CIFAR100 [18] and STL10 [8]. On the real-world dataset Semi-iNat 2021 [27], we improve FixMatch [25] by 9.80% and CoMatch [19] by 3.18%. Code is available https://github.com/TencentYoutuResearch/Classification-SemiCLS.

Published in: 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)

Date of Conference: 18-24 June 2022

Date Added to IEEE *Xplore*: 27 September 2022

INSPEC Accession Number: 22095223

DOI: 10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.01402

[8] F. Yang et al., "Class-Aware Contrastive Semi-Supervised Learning," 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), New Orleans, LA, USA, 2022, pp. 14401-14410, doi: 10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.01402.

UNIVERSITAT JAUME I HiF HELMHOLTZ INSTITUTE FREIBERG FOR RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY ICIAP 2023

Results & Future Research

Classification accuracy

Dataset	UCM			AID		
# Labels per class	4	25	40	4	25	40
# Total samples	84	525	840	120	750	1200
Supervised	90.00	90.86	93.52	85.34	85.90	89.94
FixMatch	94.38	92.00	94.48	92.66	87.30	92.8
CoMatch	95.52	94.76	93.81	93.04	93.88	89.26
FixMatch+CCSSL	94.00	94.67	94.67	90.94	91.28	92.00
# Total samples	1, 050			5, 000		
Fully Supervised	93.71			90.2		

Classification accuracy

Dataset	UCM			AID		
# Labels per class	4	25	40	4	25	40
# Total samples	84	525	840	120	750	1200
Supervised	90.00	90.86	93.52	85.34	85.90	89.94
FixMatch	94.38	92.00	94.48	92.66	87.30	92.8
CoMatch	95.52	94.76	93.81	93.04	93.88	89.26
FixMatch+CCSSL	94.00	94.67	94.67	90.94	91.28	92.00
# Total samples	1,050			5,000		
Fully Supervised	93.71			90.2		

UNIVERSITAT JAUME I · HIF HELMHOLTZ INSTITUTE FREIBERG FOR RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY · ICIAP 2023 Udine

Classification accuracy UCM

CoMatch - 10 min inference

Easy dataset - indist

True: freeway
Predicted: runway

True: golfcourse
Predicted: tenniscourt

Classification accuracy AID

FixMatch+CCSSL, WRN-28-2, 224x224 - 30 min inference

Harder dataset but with in-distribution data

True: Playground
Predicted: Stadium

True: Playground
Predicted: Stadium

Computational cost analysis UCM

WRN-28-2

Image size: 224x224

Labeled to unlabeled ratio:

1-7

Labeled batch 8

Unlabeled batch 56

Trainer	Label	B	GPU	Ttime
Fully Supervised	50%	8	<12	50:53
Supervised	4	8	<12	12:39
Supervised	40	8	<12	66:06
FixMatch	4	8	12−24	68:17
FixMatch	40	8	12−24	67:39
CoMatch	4	8	24−40	71:08
CoMatch	40	4	12−24	59:11
FM+CCSSL	4	8	24−40	70:40
FM+CCSSL	40	4	12−24	59:49

UNIVERSITAT JAUME I · HiF HELMHOLTZ INSTITUTE FREIBERG FOR RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY · ICIAP 2023

Computational cost analysis UCM

WRN-28-2

Image size: 224x224

Labeled to
unlabeled ratio:

1-7

Labeled batch 4

Unlabeled batch 28

Trainer	Label	B	GPU	Ttime
Fully Supervised	50%	8	<12	50:53
Supervised	4	8	<12	12:39
Supervised	40	8	<12	66:06
FixMatch	4	8	12–24	68:17
FixMatch	40	8	12–24	67:39
CoMatch	4	8	24–40	71:08
CoMatch	40	4	12–24	59:11
FM+CCSSL	4	8	24–40	70:40
FM+CCSSL	40	4	12–24	59:49

Computational cost analysis UCM

RN-18

3.5 GB

RN-50

7 GB

WRN-28-2

12 GB

- Image size: 60x60
- Batch size: 32
- CoMatch
- Labeled to unlabeled ratio: 1-7

Conclusions

- Implemented 3 Semi-Supervised models: FixMatch, CoMatch and Class Aware Contrastive Module (CCSSL).
- All SSL models outperformed the supervised benchmark showcasing the efficiency of leveraging unlabeled data with few labeled data.
- CoMatch obtained the best classification accuracy of 95.52% with 4 labeled samples on UCM and 93.88% with 25 labeled samples on AID.
- Focus on RN-18 as it is ⅓ computational cost as WRN-28-2.
- CCSSL module improved FixMatch → implement it on noisier datasets.

UNIVERSITAT JAUME I   HiF   HELMHOLTZ INSTITUTE FREIBERG FOR RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY   ICIAP 2023 Udine

Codes for reproducibility

@itzahs github

SSL-for-RS   Public

Configuration files for the ICIAP2023 paper "Semi-supervised classification
for remote sensing datasets"

● Jupyter Notebook

**Configuration files &
Logs of the
experimental results**

**Step-by-step
implementation in 4
notebooks:**

1. **Get data & software**
2. **Train model**
3. **Model evaluation**
4. **Model inference**

15ᵀᴴ EDITION
**GEOMUNDUS
CONFERENCE**

20th and 21st October
Castellón, Spain

Thanks!

Do you have any questions?

isequeir@uji.es

@itzahs

References

Bibliography:

1. Bhavsar, K. (2018, September 14). Understanding your Convolution Network with Visualizations. Towards Data Science. https://towardsdatascience.com/understanding-your-convolution-network-with-visualizations-a4883441533b
2. G. Cheng, X. Xie, J. Han, L. Guo and G. -S. Xia, "Remote Sensing Image Scene Classification Meets Deep Learning: Challenges, Methods, Benchmarks, and Opportunities," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, vol. 13, pp. 3735-3756, 2020, doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2020.3005403 .
3. X. Yang, Z. Song, I. King and Z. Xu, "A Survey on Deep Semi-Supervised Learning," in IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2022.3220219.
4. Sharma, A. (2019, June 12). Complete Guide to Data Augmentation for Computer Vision. Towards Data Science. https://towardsdatascience.com/complete-guide-to-data-augmentation-for-computer-vision-1abe4063ad07.
5. Shorten, C., Khoshgoftaar, T.M. A survey on Image Data Augmentation for Deep Learning. *J Big Data* 6, 60 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0197-0
6. Kihyuk Sohn, David Berthelot, Nicholas Carlini, Zizhao Zhang, Han Zhang, Colin A Raffel, Ekin Dogus Cubuk, Alexey Kurakin, and Chun-Liang Li. Fixmatch: Simplifying semi-supervised learning with consistency and confidence. NeurIPS, 33, 2020.
7. Yi Yang and Shawn Newsam, "Bag-Of-Visual-Words and Spatial Extensions for Land-Use Classification," ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems (ACM GIS), 2010.
8. F. Yang et al., "Class-Aware Contrastive Semi-Supervised Learning," 2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), New Orleans, LA, USA, 2022, pp. 14401-14410, doi: 10.1109/CVPR52688.2022.01402.
9. S. Zagoruyko and N. Komodakis, "Wide residual networks," in Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC), 2017, pp. 87.1-87.12, doi: 10.22024/UniKent/01.02.69550, arXiv:1605.07146.

Presentation credits: