Determinism, Randomness, and the Observer: Meaning Through Interpretation

itzhexen
June 16,2025
10:04 AM
Contact: jnhexen.dj@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper examines how determinism and randomness are not inherent properties of data or systems but arise through the process of interpretation by observers. Data structures—such as numerical arrays, binary sequences, or physical frames—are fundamentally neutral until meaning is assigned. Observation is not exclusive to humans or living beings; systems like computers also act as observers by contextualizing data. Naming and abstraction are key mechanisms by which neutral data gains deterministic roles within a system. While free will is often debated as a metaphysical issue, it is mentioned here only to highlight that belief in agency is itself an interpretation shaping human experience.

1. Introduction

Determinism and randomness are traditionally seen as opposing forces: determinism as predictable cause and effect, randomness as unpredictability or chance. This paper challenges that view by proposing both concepts emerge from how observers interpret neutral data.

Observation and interpretation are not limited to humans; systems capable of processing data—such as computers—also act as observers. Naming and abstraction transform neutral data into deterministic elements by imposing semantic structure.

Free will is only briefly considered to demonstrate how belief and interpretation shape experience.

2. Neutral Data and the Role of Interpretation

Data such as arrays of normalized floating-point values, binary sequences, or alphabetic characters do not inherently possess determinism or randomness. Without context or interpretation, they remain neutral and meaningless.

For instance, frames of the Moon’s orbit can be viewed as raw data points or random values until physical laws and observational context reveal deterministic patterns.

3. Observation Beyond Human Agents

Observation is not exclusive to living beings. Computers “observe” and interpret data through memory registers and processing units. These registers are merely physical spaces containing bits until they are named and assigned function, at which point they behave deterministically within computational systems.

Thus, an observer is any system capable of interpreting data through contextual frameworks.

4. Naming, Abstraction, and Deterministic Meaning

The act of naming—assigning labels and roles—is fundamental to transforming neutral data into deterministic components. Naming a memory location as a register imposes

expectations and functionality, turning raw bits into meaningful parts of computation.

This abstraction process generalizes to many systems where determinism is imposed through semantic framing.

5. Incomplete Information and Apparent Randomness
Apparent randomness often results from incomplete information. An individual walking to a store is predictable to observers aware of their location and intent but unpredictable to strangers lacking that context.

Similarly, selectively removing or missing data frames creates a perception of randomness, illustrating the epistemic nature of unpredictability.

6. A Note on Free Will and Belief
While not the focus here, it is worth noting that free will debates often reduce to questions of belief rather than objective fact. The belief in one’s own agency influences lived experience and decision-making more than metaphysical certainties.

7. Conclusion
Determinism and randomness emerge from interpretation applied to neutral data. Observation is a property of systems capable of contextualizing information, not exclusive to humans. Naming and abstraction impose deterministic meaning on data, while randomness often reflects limited knowledge.

Free will, when considered, serves as an example of how belief shapes experience rather than a metaphysical absolute.