Anthony Dunaway
Emmitt Johnson
Zach Sherman

GROUP ASSIGNMENT 2

ALGORITHM 1: ENUMERATION

```
ALGORITHM_ONE(n, m, t, M[1..m], T[1..t])
    leastOpenedLockers <- oo
    unopened <- 0
    keys[1] <- 0

    while k != 1
        unopened <- 0
        if keys[k] < m
            keys[k + 1] <- keys[k] + 1
            k++
        else
            keys[k-1]++
            k--

        if(T[1] < M[1])
            unopened += T[1] - 1
        if(T[t] > M[m])
            unopened += n - T[t]

        ballCounter <- 0
        while(T[ballCounter] < keys[1])
            ballCounter++

        z <- 1
        for z up to k-1
            bestE <- 0
            i <- keys[z] + 1
            for i up to keys[z+1] - 1
                j <- i
                if(i = T[ballCounter])
                    i++
                    ballCounter++
                    continue
                else
                    while(j+1 != T[ballCounter])
                        j++
                    if ((j-i)+1) > bestE
                        bestE <- ((j-i)+1)
                    i = ballCounter
            unopened += bestE
        if(total - unopened < leastOpenedLockers)
            leastOpenedLockers = total - unopened
    return leastOpenedLockers
```

The algorithm begins by creating a powerset of all the keys. This process takes $\Theta(2^m)$ time. Then, for each key in each combination, we check for the largest number of consecutive, empty lockers, and we subtract them from the lockers between the keys. This process is done in $\Theta(n)$ time, and it is done for each key combination, so we end up with $\Theta(n2^m)$ time.

ALGORITHM 2: RECURSIVE

```
ALGORITHM_TWO_RECURSIVE(n, m, t, M[1..m], T[1..t])
    part = d(n)
    if T[t] > K[k]
        return part + (T[t] - K[k])
    else
        return part

d(i)
    //BASE CASE
    if M[0] < T[0]:
        return 0
    else:
        return M[0] - T[0]

    return min{d(j) + LEAST_OPENED(M[i], M[j])} for all j < i

LEAST_OPENED(mi, mj)
    best <- 0
    for i in [mi..mj]
        j <- i
        if i in T
            continue
        else
            while (j+1) not in T
                j++
            if (j-i) + 1 > best
                best <- (j-i) + 1
    return (mj - mi) - best
```

We split this algorithm into helper functions, so d(i) is actually the 'recursive' algorithm in this function. That way we can handle the right-most key after we handle d(i).

The function itself will be calling d(n), which will recursively call itself $\Theta(2^m)$ times. The helper function LEAST_OPENED goes just finds the largest empty consecutive set of lockers, and returns the distance between the keys without that length, essentially counting the least lockers that need to be opened. Least opened is done in $\Theta(n)$ time, and it is done in every recursive call, so we get a total running time of $\Theta(n2^m)$, just like our enumeration one.

ALGORITHM 2: DYNAMIC

```
ALGORITHM_TWO_DYNAMIC(n, m, t, M, T):
    D <- []

    for i <- 0 up to m
        D[i] <- infinity

    if M[0] <= T[0]:
        D[0] =  0
    else:
        D[0] =  M[0] - T[0] + 1

    for i <- 1 up to m
        for j <- 0 up to i
            leastOpened = LEAST_OPENED(M[i], M[j])
            if D[j] + leastOpened < D[i]
                D[i] = D[j] + leastOpened

    #-----second key
    if T[t-1] >= M[m-1]:
        D[m-1] += (T[t-1] - M[m-1]) + 1

    return D[m-1]

LEAST_OPENED(mi, mj):
    bestUnopenedCount = 0
    if mi - mj == 1
        if mi in self._tennisBalls
            if mj in self._tennisBalls
                return 1
            else
                return 0
        else
            if mj in self._tennisBalls
                return 1
            else
                return 0
    else
        for i <- mj up to mi
            j <- i
            if i in T
                continue
            else
                while (j+1) not in T and j < mi-1:
                    j += 1
                if (j-i) + 1 > bestUnopenedCount
                    bestUnopenedCount = (j-i) + 1
        return (mi - (mj+1) + 1) - bestUnopenedCount
```

This algorithm reverses the recursion from the previous algorithm by building up a table
D, from the bottom up. The base case is the first key in the table. In the main function, we
are looping through all of the keys twice to try to find the minimum number of keys
needed to open D[i]. This is where the $\Theta(m^2)$ comes from. Inside of this, we have to

look through every locker between keys to find the best way to open the lockers. This takes $\Theta(n)$ time.

The runtime analysis of this problem is $\Theta(nm^2)$: $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i$