## (Agile Testing)

Your Agile team is developing an online food delivery application. In Sprint 7, the team worked on a new "Live Order Tracking" feature. Although development was completed, the testing team received the build only two days before the sprint deadline. As a result, only the main tracking functions were tested. Important edge cases like GPS inaccuracy, offline status, and map loading failures were not tested. The Product Owner still wants to mark the feature as done and include it in the release because of a demo scheduled with a key investor.

Q1. (4 Marks)

Question:

Analyze the risks of releasing this feature without fully testing the edge cases. As part of the QA team, what would be your response to balance quality and business expectations?

Q2. (2 Marks)

Question:

Which Agile ceremony or practice could have helped identify and avoid this late testing situation?

## Answer to Q1 (4 Marks):

Releasing the feature without testing edge cases like GPS inaccuracy and map failures can result in real-time tracking issues, user complaints, and bad reviews. This can harm user trust and the company's reputation, especially in a live demo.

## As a QA team member:

- 1. I would raise this issue clearly in the **Sprint Review** meeting.
- 2. Recommend flagging or disabling the feature temporarily until it is fully tested.
- 3. Offer to complete testing in the next sprint with proper test coverage.
- 4. Log risks and test coverage gaps in the release notes.

## Answer to Q2 (2 Marks):

**Better Sprint Planning** or **Continuous Integration (CI)** would have allowed early access to builds, enabling the QA team to begin testing sooner and avoid last-minute testing pressure.