



BITS Pilani presentation

BITS Pilani

Pilani Campus

K.Anantharaman
kanantharaman@wilp.bits-pilani.ac.in



Module-10 – Managing Quality and Risks in Agile Project

Key Differences between Agile and Traditional Quality Management




- Integration of Testing with Development
 - Concurrent vs Sequential
- Testing Approach
 - More reactive vs More Proactive
- Responsibility of Quality
 - Overall Team <> QA Team
- Regression testing
 - Frequent (Code Changes), At end after Code stabilizes

Agile Development and Testing Practices

- Agile Development Practices
 - Continuous Integration
 - Code Refactoring
 - TDD
 - Pair Programming
- Agile Testing Practices
 - Repeatable Test Automation, Acceptance Drive test development
 - Exploratory testing, Concurrent Testing, Value & Risk based testing

Agile Approach to Quality



Water fall Project




- Agile approach to building quality product
 - Early delivery & Testing, Sprint Review, Customer feedback
 - Customer collaboration
 - Good Technical practices
 - Whole team participation to Quality
 - Test Automation

Ref: <https://www.vivifyscrum.com/insights/qa-agile-project-management>

QA Role in Agile Project


Are We **Building** the **Correct** Product?




•Agile/Scrum: QA is involved in every aspects of Project/Product development cycle

QA has a unique mix of all these capabilities. QA brings the mindset of "**Are we building the correct product and, if so, are we building it correctly?**"

Testing within Sprint



- QA lagging behind in Testing
- Bugs Snowballing effect



- Collaboratively testing with Dev.
- Fully tested Software
- Minimal Hands-off.

Dealing with bugs:

- Critical, Non-Critical, Enhancements

Process Quality and Product Quality



Process quality: Metrics primarily focus on delivery speed or the effectiveness of feedback loops to make sure a team is responding appropriately to change.

- Examples: Velocity, Lead time, Cycle time, Team Happiness index

Product Quality: Metrics measure the excellence of a product and its features

- Examples: Bugs, Time to resolution, Uptime, Code coverage

Agile Practices:

- Sprint planning, Sprint Review, Sprint retrospective, Whole team
- Testing, TDD, Pair programming, Refactoring, 10-minutes build

QA good Practices



QA Best Practices

Hire good quality QA **ENGINEERS**.

QA and dev sit together.

QA is involved in analysis and design.

Test as you go.

Testing is part of your definition of done.

Limit your work in progress.

Everyone can help test.

Frequent, incremental releases for feedback.

Don't Accumulate defects

Set bug queue limits.

Quizes

- Q1,Q2,Q3



Risk Management in Agile

Risk management in Agile



- Risks are uncertain event(s)
 - May affect your project positively or negatively
 - Positive Risk: A technology currently being developed that will save you time if released.
 - Negative Risk: Unavailability of Skilled resources.
- Agile methods have a built-in risk mitigation component.
 - Identify, Assess, Prioritize, Mitigate, Communicate
 - Daily meeting, Sprint review, Story Grooming, Retrospective

Mitigation Strategies for positive risks or opportunities



Exploit:

- This strategy ensures that opportunity definitely happens. For example, assigning the most talented resource to your project to reduce the duration of the project.

Share:

- Allocating part of the ownership of opportunity to a third party to ensure that the opportunity definitely happens and risk is reduced. For example, going for a joint venture.

Enhance:

- This strategy increases the positive impact of the opportunity. For example, adding more buffer resources to an activity to finish it early.

Mitigation Strategies for Negative Risks (Threats)



- **Avoidance:**
 - Eliminating a specific threat by eliminating the cause.
 - Use different set of tools/Libraries
- **Transference:**
 - Contracting, insurance warranties, guarantees, outsourcing the work are the examples of risk transfer.
- **Mitigation:**
 - Reducing risk probability and impact
 - Insufficient server resource: Increase CPU/Memory to reduce server crash
- **Accept:**
 - Accept the risk. Do not do anything.
 - Taking a risky project with potential for future benefits.

Risk response and Risk Assessment matrix



- **Risk response**
 - Adding resources
 - Cross Training
 - Skill Development
- **Risk Assessment Matrix**
 - Row : Impact (Low (1-2),Medium(3-5), High(6-10))
 - Qualitative assesement
 - Column: Risks (Schedule , Cost ...)
 - For example: Schedule Variance(<2%,5% to 9%, =>10%)

Communicating - Risk Register – An Example





Risk Description	Probability of Occurrence	Impact	
		Loss Size (Days)	Risk Exposure (Days)
Insufficient QA time to validate on all browsers and OS types.	45%	6	2.7
Lack of verifiable sample data may affect the ability of the primary external stakeholder to validate end product.	35%	18	6.3
Inadequate staff available from external stakeholders until very late in cycle.	25%	7	1.8
Following end-user testing, more effort on the user guide may be necessary.	25%	18	4.5
Backup and restore requires 3rd-party solutions (not evaluated yet).	20%	12	2.4
Insufficient time for external stakeholders to submit feedback on layout and composition of reports.	10%	5	0.5
Total Risk Exposure			18.2

- Risk Impact : Measure the negative impact of the risk.
- Risk Impact Objectives: Cost, Time, Quality, Scope
- There could be many other columns in the risk register such as Date, Owner, Status, Priority etc..
- Risk Exposure: Probability * Impact

Source: <https://www.castsoftware.com/research-labs/software-development-risk-management-plan-with-examples>

Risk burndown chart



- We can draw risk burn-down chart (graph) which contains iterative cycle number vs risk exposure days. Risks are monitored by the use of information radiators, daily stand-up meetings, and iterative cycle reviews and retrospectives. Y-axis of the risk burn-down chart contains risk exposure days. The X-axis of the risk burn-down chart contains the iterative number.

Use Risk Management to Make Solid Commitments to executives



- Use Risk Multiplier in your estimation forecast
- Account for common risks - Turnover, Changing Requirements, Work disruption etc..

<u>Risk Multiplier</u>			
<u>Chance</u>	<u>Rigorous Process</u>	<u>Risky Process</u>	<u>Description</u>
10%	1	1	Ignore--almost impossible
50%	1.4	2	Stretch goal--50/50 chance
90%	1.8	4	Commitment--virtually certain

**these multipliers are estimates gleaned from DeMarco & Lister's RISKOLOGY simulator and Todd Little's detailed analysis of hundreds of projects. The most accurate approach is to calculate your own risk multipliers from past project history.*

Source: <https://www.jamesshore.com/v2/blog/2008/use-risk-management-to-make-solid-commitments>

Using risk multiplier in your estimation



- For example, if you are using a **rigorous approach**, your release is 12 iterations away, your velocity is 14 points, and your **risk exposure is one iteration**.

You would calculate the range of possibilities as:

- Iteration remaining = $12 - 1 = 11$
- Points remaining = $11 \times 14 = 154$ points
 - Risk Multiplier* = **1, 1.4, 1.8** for Rigorous Approach, Risky Approach = **1, 2, 4**
 - 10 % chance: $154 / 1 = 154$ points
 - 50 % chance: $154 / 1.4 = 110$ points
 - 90 % chance: $154 / 1.8 = 86$ points
 - In other words, when it is time to release, you are 90% likely to have finished 86 more points of work, 50% likely to have finished 110 more points, and only 10% likely to have finished 154 more points.

An Example



Suppose, estimated number of sprints is 10 for a release
Product Backlog at end of Sprint 5 - F1,F2,F3,F4,F5,F6

Assume each feature size = 20, Velocity = 20

Total size of the remaining features = $6 \times 20 = 120$ points

Sprint Remaining = 5, Risk Multiplier = 1,1,4,1.8

6th Sprint Commitments:

10% Chance : Sprint remaining * Velocity - $5 \times 20 / 1 = 100$ points

- 10% chance of delivering F1,F2,F3,F4,F5 (100 points)

50% Chance : $5 \times 20 / 1.4 = 71.4$ points

- 50% chance of delivering F1,F2,F3 (60 points), Stretch = F4

90% Chance : $5 \times 20 / 1.8 = 55.5$ points

- 90% chance of delivering F1,F2 (40 points), Stretch = F3

- Repeat this process after completing Sprint6

Summary



- Agile Quality Management
 - Adaptive planning, Frequent reviews
 - Concurrent Regression testing
 - Test Automation
 - Proactive testing, Defect handling
 - QA Ownership, QA role is much larger compared waterfall method
- Agile Risk Management
 - Continuous risk assessment: Through daily standup meetings, Scrum planning meeting, release planning meeting, etc.
 - Agile projects have its own inbuilt risk handling mechanism, well aligned with quick risk identification, Ownership, and controlling mechanism.
 - The iterative nature of Agile projects identifies risks earlier in the project execution and also the risk process repeats for each and every iteration, thereby managing it in a better way.

You are faced with three investment options, each requiring an upfront cost of \$20,000. The success probabilities and potential returns for each investment are as follows:

Option X: There is a 70% chance of success, which would result in a return of \$50,000.

Option Y: There is an 85% chance of success, with a return of \$30,000.

Option Z: There is a 60% chance of success, leading to a return of \$70,000.

To assess these investment options while considering the impact of uncertainty, calculate the Expected Monetary Value (EMV) for each option. EMV is calculated as the probability of success multiplied by the potential return. Based on your EMV calculations, which investment option appears to be the most economically favorable, taking into account both the likelihood of success and potential returns?



To determine which investment option is better, you can calculate the Expected Monetary Value (EMV) for each option. EMV considers both the probability of success and the potential returns.

Using the information provided:

Option X:

- Probability of success (P) = 70% or 0.70
- Potential return (R) = \$50,000

EMV for Option X = $P \times R = 0.70 \times \$50,000 = \$35,000$

Option Y:

- Probability of success (P) = 85% or 0.85
- Potential return (R) = \$30,000

EMV for Option Y = $P \times R = 0.85 \times \$30,000 = \$25,500$

Option Z:

- Probability of success (P) = 60% or 0.60
- Potential return (R) = \$70,000

EMV for Option Z = $P \times R = 0.60 \times \$70,000 = \$42,000$

Comparing the EMVs:

- Option Z has the highest EMV of \$42,000.
- Option X follows with an EMV of \$35,000.
- Option Y has the lowest EMV of \$25,500.

Quizes

- Q4,Q5,Q6,Q7,Q8



Additional notes – Quality & Risk management


Issues with Traditional Approaches to Quality Management



Traditional Approach to QA

1. QA Audit for compliance Review

2. Most QA Testing happens at the end



2. Transfer of responsibility from developer to tester and vice versa



- In traditional sequential, All of this 'back and forth' activity can easily create division within software development and QA teams if not managed correctly.

Ref: <https://www.vivifyscrum.com/insights/qa-agile-project-management>

Agile Approach to Quality Management



- Agile Manifesto & Agile Principles – Focus on **Building Quality In**
 - **Early delivery & Testing** of working software to customers as quickly as possible.
 - **Customers can also provide early feedback** on features, elements in the product which they like/dislike, and aspects of the solution that they wish to remove or modify.
 - **Agile values promotes collaboration with customer**, Team works with business team on daily basis, Simplicity, Technical excellence, Daily meetings, iteration feedback
 - **Good Technical practices** improves Quality: (Not specific to Agile)
 - TDD, CI, Collective code ownership, Pair programming, Refactoring, exploratory testing, reviews.
 - **Whole team approach** to Quality
 - In this way, Agile development can improve customer satisfaction and produce solutions that more closely meet customer needs.

Agile Approach to Quality Management



- The hand-offs between programmers and testers (if they exist at all) will be so small as not to be noticeable.
 - Team work, Doing a little of everything (designing, coding, testing, and so on) all the time helps teams work together.
 - Tester creates automated tests and the programmer programs. When both are done the results are integrated. Hands-off is insignificant.
- There should be as much test activity on the first day of a sprint as on the last day
 - No distinct analysis, design, coding, or testing phases within a sprint. Testers (and programmers and other specialists) are as busy on the first day of a sprint as they are on the last.
 - For example, testers may be specifying test cases and preparing test data on the first day and then executing automated tests on the last, but they are equally busy throughout.


Agile Approach to Quality Management

.... Automate Tests at Different Levels



- Automation Pyramid

Test Coverage



Visual representation of the recommended amount of test coverage that should exist across each type of test.
At minimum we should have three type of automated tests. Depending upon the project type we can have more type of tests

Unit Test: Isolated tests , test functions, Fast, Need greater number of tests.

Integration tests: Slower, tests interfaces, databases, file system, other applications.


UI Tests: Tests end-end work flow. Much slower.

The Role of Manual Testing



- It is impossible to fully automate all tests for all environments. Further, some tests are prohibitively expensive to automate. Many tests that we cannot or choose not to automate involve hardware or integration to external systems.
- Exploratory testing
 - Free form manual testing, Quick Test planning, test design test execution sessions.
 - Can identify missing test cases
 - Exploratory testing can uncover ideas that are missing from the user story as initially understood.
- Automate within sprint (Automation not optional)
- Pay off Technical debt

Do Acceptance Test Driven Development



Analogous to test-driven development, Acceptance Test Driven Development (ATDD) involves team members with different perspectives (customer, development, testing) collaborating to **write acceptance tests in advance of implementing the corresponding functionality.**

What is Risk?

- A risk is considered to be an uncertain event(s) that has the potential to contribute to the success or failure of a project.
- Positive risks are defined as opportunities and threats are risks that can affect the project in a negative way.
 - Examples:
 - Positive Risk: A technology currently being developed that will save you time if released.
 - Negative Risk: Unavailability of Skilled resources.
- Risk Management
 - Identify, Assess, Prioritize, Mitigate, Communicate
- Agile methods have a built-in risk mitigation component.
- Risk Burndown Chart – For communicating the risks

Mitigating Risks with Agile Methods



- The flexibility of agile methods automatically reduces risk in the business environment.
 - Risk is mitigated because agile methods are flexible with adding or changing user requirements at any time in the project.
 - Missing or forgotten requirements can be included as soon as they are identified.
 - This results in low costs associated with managing this category of risks.
- Regular feedback reduces risk-related expectations.
 - As a result of the iterative nature of agile methods, there is adequate time to get feedback and establish expectations during the life cycle of the project.
 - Stakeholders and the agile team can avoid surprises because of requirements that have been communicated inadequately.

Mitigating Risks with Agile Methods




- Agile team ownership supports reduced estimation risk.
 - When the agile team takes responsibility for estimates of backlog items, this leads to increased accuracy of the estimates that they provide which in turn results in the timely delivery of the product.
- Transparency is a risk reducer of undetected risk.
 - As a result of transparency, risks are always detected and addressed as early as possible.
 - This leads to better risk management and mitigation. During daily meetings, obstacles are communicated on a regular basis.
- Iterative delivery causes a reduction in investment-related risk.
 - As value is being continuously delivered through the iterations, investment risk is automatically reduced for the end customer.

Risk Register- Another example



Risk	Type	Impact (0–3)	Probability (0–3)	Severity = Impact × Probability
1. Java version compatibility	Technical	3	2	6
2. Inadequate resources	Operational	3	2	6
3. Scope changes	Schedule	3	2	6
4. Vendor dispute	Financial	2	1	2
5. Priority conflicts	Operational	2	1	2



22