

## Dynamical Systems

Many laws of nature can be stated as dynamical systems, more precisely using either differential equations or difference equations.

I.  $x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad t \mapsto x(t)$



$t$  is the time, a continuous time  
 $x(t)$  describes the state of a phenomenon  
 that changes in time

A differential equation is a relation between  $x(t)$  and its derivatives  $x'(t), x''(t), \dots$  up to some order.

In mechanics  $x(t)$  is the position of a material point,  $x'(t)$  is its velocity,  $x''(t)$  is the acceleration.

In chemistry  $x(t)$  can be the quantity or the concentration of a chemical substance,  $x'(t)$  is the rate of change.

In population dynamics  $x(t)$  is the number or the density of individuals in a population (people, animals, fish ...) and  $x'(t)$  is the rate of growth.

II  $x: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad k \mapsto x(k) = x_k \quad (x_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is a sequence

$k$  is the time, a discrete time

A difference equation is a relation between  $x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots$

There are two main parts of dynamical systems theory

I Continuous dynamical systems

II Discrete dynamical systems

The aim of this theory is to make "predictions" for the evolution of a phenomenon when one knows the initial state and some "laws" of "nature". Mathematically this means that either we intend to find the expression of  $x(t)$  or  $(x_k)_{k \geq 0}$  or, if this is not possible, to be able to establish its properties.

properties.

The general form of a differential equation is  $F(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n)}(t)) = 0$

### 1. linear differential equations of order n, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$(1) \quad x^{(n)} + a_1(t)x^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_{n-1}(t)x' + a_n(t)x = f(t)$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous (called the coefficients) are given  
(known)  
 $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  nonempty open interval

$f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous (called the force or the nonhomogeneous  
part of (1) is given (known))

The unknown is  $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a scalar function.

Definition of solution of (1) is a function  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is n times  
differentiable and  $\varphi^{(n)} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous such that

$$\varphi^{(n)}(t) + a_1(t)\varphi^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_n(t)\varphi(t) = f(t), \forall t \in I.$$

Notations Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  interval

$$C(I) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous}\}$$

$$C^n(I) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \exists f, f', f'', \dots, f^{(n)} \text{ continuous on } I\}$$

So  $a_1, \dots, a_n, f \in C(I)$  and a solution  $\varphi \in C^n(I)$ .

We consider the addition between two functions

$$\text{Let } f_1, f_2 \in C(I), \quad f_1 + f_2 \in C(I) \quad (f_1 + f_2)(t) = f_1(t) + f_2(t), \forall t \in I$$

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ (scalar)} \quad \alpha \cdot f \in C(I) \quad (\alpha f)(t) = \alpha \cdot f(t) \quad \forall t \in I.$$

$C(I)$  is a vector space     $C^n(I)$  is a vector space.

When (1) is called linear?

why (1) is called linear?

For each  $x \in C^n(I)$  we define the function  $\mathcal{L}x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}x(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^{(m)}(t) + a_1(t)x^{(m-1)}(t) + \dots + a_m(t)x(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Moreover, we define now  $\mathcal{L} : C^n(I) \rightarrow C(I)$

$$x \mapsto \mathcal{L}x$$

So,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a map between two vector spaces.

Proposition  $\mathcal{L}$  is a linear map, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) = \alpha_1 \mathcal{L}(x_1) + \alpha_2 \mathcal{L}(x_2), \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in C^n(I)$$
$$\quad \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Let  $t \in I$ .  $\mathcal{L}(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2)(t) =$

$$= (\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2)^{(m)}(t) + a_1(t)(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2)^{(m-1)}(t) + \dots + a_m(t)(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2)(t) =$$
$$= \underbrace{\alpha_1 x_1^{(m)}(t)}_{+} + \underbrace{\alpha_2 x_2^{(m)}(t)}_{+} + \underbrace{a_1(t)\alpha_1 \cdot x_1^{(m-1)}(t)}_{+} + \underbrace{a_1(t)\alpha_2 \cdot x_2^{(m-1)}(t)}_{+} + \dots + \underbrace{a_m(t)\alpha_1 x_1(t)}_{+} + \underbrace{a_m(t)\alpha_2 x_2(t)}_{+} =$$
$$= \alpha_1 \mathcal{L}x_1(t) + \alpha_2 \mathcal{L}x_2(t) \blacksquare$$

with this notation, eq (1) can be written as

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{L}x = f \quad (\text{equation with } x \text{ as unknown})$$

A particular case of (2) is when  $f = 0$ , i.e.

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}x = 0 \quad \text{this is called } \underline{\text{linear homogeneous dif.-eq.}}$$

The set of solutions of (3) is (called, from linear algebra course) the kernel of  $\mathcal{L}$ , denoted  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{L}$ .

When  $f \neq 0$  eq (2) is called linear nonhomogeneous.

Examples. 1)  $x + 2x' = 0$  first order differential eq.  
 $x' + \frac{1}{2}x = 0$  linear homogeneous, with constant coeff.

$x=0$  is a solution (in fact  $x=0$  is a solution of any lin. hom. dif. eq.)

$$x=-2 \quad "(-2)' + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (-2) = 0" \Leftrightarrow "-1 = 0" \text{ F}$$

Thus  $x=-2$  is not a solution.

$$x=a \quad (a \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{check} \quad "\frac{a}{2} = 0" \text{ True} \Leftrightarrow a=0.$$

So,  $x=0$  is the only constant solution of  $x' + \frac{1}{2}x = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Try } x &= at+b \quad x' = a \quad \text{check} \quad "a + \frac{1}{2}(at+b) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}" \Leftrightarrow \\ &\Leftrightarrow "at + (2a+b) = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}" \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a=0 \\ 2a+b=0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow a=b=0. \end{aligned}$$

$$x=0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Try } x &= e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \quad x' = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \\ \text{check} \quad " -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{t}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\frac{t}{2}} = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}" &\quad \text{TRUE.} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $x = e^{-\frac{t}{2}}$  is another solution. In addition,  $x = ce^{-\frac{t}{2}}, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , arbitrary

is also a solution.

$$2) \quad x'' + \cancel{t}x' = 3 \quad \text{second order linear nonhomogeneous diff. eq.}$$

coeff. is not constant      nonhom. part

$$3) \quad x \cdot x' = 0 \quad \text{first order nonlinear differential eq.}$$

Consequences of the linearity of  $\mathcal{L}$  (The Linearity principle or Superposition principle)

$$(1) \quad L(x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_k x_k) = \alpha_1 L(x_1) + \alpha_2 L(x_2) + \dots + \alpha_k L(x_k)$$

$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in C^n(I), \quad \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R} \quad \underline{k \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}}.$

Proof by induction.

(2) If  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are solutions of the LDE  $L(x) = 0$   
then,  $\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$  we have that  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_k x_k$  is another  
solution of  $L(x) = 0$ .

(3) Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in C(I)$ , let  $x_i$  be a sol. of  $L(x) = f_i, i = \overline{1, k}$   
let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for the eq.  $L(x) = \alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_k f_k$   
we have the solution  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_k x_k$ .

For the proof of (2) and (3) we apply (1).

---

$$x' + \frac{1}{2}x = 0 \quad | \cdot e^{\frac{t}{2}}$$

we know  $x = c \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2}}$  is a solution  $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$

this is an integrating factor for this equation.

$$x' \cdot e^{\frac{t}{2}} + x \cdot \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{t}{2}} = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \Leftrightarrow \left( x \cdot e^{\frac{t}{2}} \right)' = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot e^{\frac{t}{2}} &= c, \quad c \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\Leftrightarrow) \\ x &= c \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2}}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

There no other solution.

$x = c \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2}}, c \in \mathbb{R}$  is the general solution of our d.e.

---