



The 59th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on Energy Recovery Linacs



PERLE @ Orsay

Walid Kaabi-LAL/CNRS

CERN, June 21st 2017

Motivations:

Why an ERL?

- Accelerator R&D at its best.
- A clever concept: Accelerate electrons to high energy, use the beam, decelerate and recover the beam energy for machine operation → Saving energy is a key word for future machine construction.
- Compact accelerator with high performances that need smaller investment (site area, cryogenic plant, RF source...)

Why @ Orsay?

- Develop and acquire expertise in design and operation of ERL.
- Involvement of local accelerator experts around an ambitious project.
- Opportunity to host the first superconductive R&D facility in Paris-Saclay campus.

Motivations:

Why PERLE?

- The opportunity to work within an international collaboration with expert in ERL design and operation.
- Technical challenges imposed by the machine design:
 - Multi-turn recirculation,
 - High current operation,
 - New SR cavities to be tested with beam,
 - beam stability (intensity, position and size) in acceleration and deceleration phases,
 - Injector versatility,
- Validation of key design choice for future machine.
- Compact but powerful machine that could allow many applications: (controlled quench tests in SC cables and magnet, Beam diagnostics development, injector studies, test facility for cavities & cryomodules, facility for gamma ray generation via Compton backscattering).

PERLE collaboration:

- The PERLE @ Orsay collaboration includes today CERN, JLAB, Daresbury/Liverpool University, BINP and LAL/IPNo.
- We are open to **new collaborators**.
- The collaboration signed the PERLE Conceptual Design Report (CDR) submitted for publication in J. Phys. G
- LAL organized PERLE @ Orsay workshop In February 23-24th.
- Next step will be the redaction of PERLE Technical Design Report (TDR).

PERLE collaboration:

For more details:

- the PERLE CDR [arXiv:1705.08783] →
- the indico site of PERLE Workshop held in February 2017 at Orsay



<https://indico.lal.in2p3.fr/event/3428/>



PERLE
Powerful Energy Recovery Linac for Experiments
Conceptual Design Report
to be published in J.Phys.G


CELIA Bordeaux, MIT Boston, CERN, Cockcroft and ASTeC Daresbury, TU Darmstadt, U Liverpool, Jefferson Lab Newport News, BINP Novosibirsk, IPN and LAL Orsay

May 13th, 2017

PERLE @ Orsay:

Courtesy to A. Bogacz


- 2 Linacs (Four 5-Cell 801.58 MHz SC cavities)
- 3 turns (131 MeV/turn)
- Max. beam energy 400 MeV



Basic parameters for PERLE:

Target Parameter	Unit	Value
Injection energy	MeV	5
Electron beam energy	MeV	400
Normalised Emittance mm mrad	mm mrad	6
Average beam current	mA	15
Bunch charge	pC	320
Bunch length	mm	3
Bunch spacing	ns	25
RF frequency	MHz	801.58
Duty factor	CW	



PERLE in the global landscape:



Courtesy to Chris Tennant

PERLE Layout:

Alex Bogacz



Cost-effective magnet solution:

Pierre-Alexandre Thonet

- Longer and curved bending magnets
- 2 different magnet types with same cross section (only the length changes)
- Only 1 magnet per bend with a deflection of 45°
- Reduction of magnet number (24 compared to 48), could help to reduce cost

Arc	Energy [MeV]	Count	angle [deg]	B [T]	L [mm]	Curv. radius [mm]	Pole gap [mm]	GFR width [mm]	
#1	80	4	45	0.45	456	596	±20	±20	MBA
#2	155	4	45	0.87	456	596	±20	±20	
#3	230	4	45	1.29	456	596	±20	±20	
#4	305	4	45	0.85	912	1191	±20	±20	MBB
#5	380	4	45	1.06	912	1191	±20	±20	
#6	455	4	45	1.27	912	1191	±20	±20	

PERLE magnet design (dipoles and quadrupoles):



70 dipoles 0.45-1.29 T

+/- 20 mm aperture, l=200,300,400 mm

May be identical for hor+vert bend

7A/mm² (in grey area) water cooled

DC operated



114 quadrupoles max 28T/m

Common aperture of 40mm all arcs


Two lengths: 100 and 150mm

DC operated


1 pass up + 1 pass down optics:

More details in yesterday's A. Bogacz presentation

Pass-1 'up'



Pass-1 'down'



Magnets inventory:

C. Vallerand, P.A. Thonet & A. Milanese

Summary of magnetic element features

Type	Magnetic length (mm)	Number of magnets	Yoke profile	Maximum magnetic field (T)/Gradient (T/m)
Arc dipoles	912	12	Curved sector	1.3
	456	12	Curved sector	1.3
Spreader/ combiner dipoles	200	16	To be defined	0.95
	300	20	To be defined	1.3
	400	2	Curved sector	0.95
	50	8	Straight	0.18
Quadrupoles	100	102	Straight	29
	150	12	Straight	29


Bends: 70

Quads: 114


Electron source and injector:

Boris Militsyn

- Preferred Concept: Photocathode, DC Gun with SRF accelerator (buncher & Booster),
- Laser allows flexible bunching sequence,
- Nominal repetition rate 40.1 MHz (20th sub-harmonic of 801.56 MHz),
- Nominal bunch charge: $2 \cdot 10^9 e^- = 320 \text{ pC} \rightarrow 320 \text{ pC} \times 40 \text{ MHz} = 12.8 \text{ mA}$.



DC Gun



Injector versatility:

Erk Jensen

One purpose of PERLE is to test SRF cavities/cryomodules with beam.

→ Thanks to the flexibility of laser pulse driven photocathode, sub-harmonics are used to test different frequencies with PERLE beam. Two interesting sub harmonics are found:

$$f_0 = 10.835 \text{ MHz}$$

Harmonic	Frequency (MHz)
30	325
37	401
39	422
46	499
60	650
65	704
74	802
88	953
120	1300

$$f_0 = 12.146 \text{ MHz}$$

Harmonic	Frequency (MHz)
29	352
33	401
58	704
66	802
107	1300

Injector versatility:

@ CERN


Programme	Frequency (MHz)
LHC, spare and more	401 MHz
LHC upgrade	200 MHz, 802 MHz
HIE-ISOLDE	101 MHz
HL-LHC crab cavities	401 MHz
Linac 4 (NC)	352 MHz
SPL (ESS)	704 MHz
LHeC, FCC-he	802 MHz
FCC-ee, FCC-hh	401 MHz & 802 MHz

International

Programme	Frequency (MHz)
ILC, X-FEL, LCLS-2, CBETA, CERL, MESA...	1,300 MHz
PIP-II	650 MHz
SNS	805 MHz
PERLE	802 MHz
ESS	352 MHz, 704 MHz
eRHIC	422 MHz
JLAB MEIC	953 MHz
JAERI	500 MHz


Main cavity parameters

Parameter	Unit	Value
Frequency	MHz	801.58
Number of cells		5
Iris/tube ID	mm	130
L_{act}	mm	917.9
$R/Q = V_{eff} / (\omega \cdot W)$	Ohm	524
G	Ohm	274.7
$R/Q \cdot G / \text{cell}$		143940
$\kappa_{ }$ (2mm rms bunch length)	V/pC	2.74
E_{pk}/E_{acc}		2.26
B_{pk}/E_{acc}	mT/(MV/m)	4.20
k_{cc}	%	3.21



Cavity fabrication status:

802 MHZ Nb and Cu prototype cavities progressing well



802 MHz deep-drawing die set and machining fixtures (completed)



F. Marhauser
Status 05-25-2017

Deep-drawn 802 MHz
Nb and Cu half-cells
(Status April '17)



NbTi flanges
(completed)



Rolling of beam tubes and EBW before machining (completed),
beam tubes are being machined (to be completed soon, 05/17)

RF test hardware for
OD = 6.5" flanges
available

Cryomodule requirement for PERLE:

Several cryomodule requirements could be listed for PERLE, and some of them are very challenging. They are of two types:

1. The classical challenges imposed by SRF:

- Limit as much as possible heat transfer
- Take into account all mechanical constraints
- Design allowing an easy assembly procedure
- ... and as usual, optimize for cost !

2. The additional constraints coming from the cavities operated in the ERL mode and CW/high current operation mode:

- High CW cryo loads
- Low level of vibration, and damping of them
- Excellent magnetic shielding (high Q_0)
- Accurate cavity alignment

Specific constraints in PERLE cryomodule:

High cryo loads generated by the CW mode and high current operation


→ A large number of significant dynamic heat loads in an operation mode where dynamic loads are \gg static loads

- Cavity
- HOM load
- CW input couplers
- Design question/optimization points to find for cryostat/cryoplant: cryo load
 - Thermal shield temperature optimum
 - How to efficiently extract HOM power? at what T° ?
 - Cryo load varies a lot between RF on/off : cryoplant flexibility is required
 - Cavity optimum operating temperature: cost vs cavity performances vs helium bath stability
 - How to cool the cavities (series/parallel) in order to insure “magnetic hygiene”
(really an issue for high Q_0 @ 802 MHz ?)

SPL
« Short
cryomodule »

5-cells Elliptical
700 MHz, $\beta =$
1.0


Full length top lid closure
Cold mass supported by
power couplers



ESS
Elliptical
cryomodule

5&6-cells
Elliptical
700 MHz
 $\beta = 0.67 \text{ & } 0.86$


Side loading
Space frame




SNS
Cryomodule

5-cells
elliptical
805 MHz

Side loading
Space frame



Site to host PERLE:



Possible use of a 2nd levels for RF source and power supplies installation

Site to host PERLE:



Site description:

- The experimental hall is equipped with crane, electricity and is partially shielded
- Water cooling circuit could be shared with other machines nearby.
- Possibility to install the RF source and power supplies in a different level than the accelerator
- A large area of ground have the required resistance to allow installation of PERLE.
- A control room that overlooks the hall could be used for PERLE.
- No Cryogenic plant around, has to be built.
- Space available for experiments.
- Support for the infrastructure is fully assured.

Next steps...

Important R&D effort still to be done in several fields:

- Beam Dynamics
- Lattice and optics
- Electron source and injection
- Cavities, HOM study and cryomodule design
- Magnets and power supplies
- Beam dumps
- RF power source
- Cryogenics
- Beam instrumentations
- LLRF
- Control software system
- Shielding and safety system

Many Thanks to all the collaboration
members for their big efforts!

Welcome to all the new collaborators that
would like to join!

A close-up photograph of a single, round, white pearl resting on a shallow, oval-shaped silver tray. The tray has a polished, reflective surface with some subtle texture and light reflections. The background is a soft, out-of-focus light color.

Thank you for your attention!