

1 Superior Court of California
2 County of San Bernardino
247 W. Third Street, S-17
3 San Bernardino, CA 92415

4 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
5 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

6
7
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v
10
11
12 Defendant,
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

} Case No.: CIVSB
} ORDER RE INFORMAL DISCOVERY
} CONFERENCES

15 THE COURT ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

18 Informal Discovery Conferences (IDCs) are available and recommended to help resolve discovery
19 disputes. Other than matters governed by the Department's Complex Case Management Order, the Court
20 does not require an IDC in connection with any discovery dispute. Parties are, however, required to meet
21 and confer to impasse on the discovery dispute prior to seeking an IDC. Failure to meet and confer will
22 result in the IDC being taken off calendar per Local Rule 560. Further, IDCs are limited in time and
23 therefore limited in scope. The parties will submit a joint IDC statement outlining the impasse that is no
24 more than five pages long. **No other substantive documents on the discovery issues (propounding**
25 **and/or responsive documents, briefs, etc.) need to be filed for the conference** by either side. Disputes
26 that are beyond the five page scope must be spread over multiple IDC dates.

1 Propounding and responding parties to the discovery dispute must be at the conference
2 electronically (preferably by ZOOM) and there is no cost to the parties. All other parties not involved in the
3 dispute can attend or not attend the conference. Failure to obey this Order will result in Sanctions for the
4 offending party pursuant to CCP 177.5.
5

6
7 Dated this 13th day of September, 2024

8
9 
10 Judge of the Superior Court
11 Joseph T. Ortiz
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28