



**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR  
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION  
SEPTEMBER 8, 2025**

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald M. George State Office Complex, Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on September 8, 2025. The public may attend in person and also have access to argument via live-streaming on the judicial branch website: <https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/>.

**MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2025 — 9:00 A.M.**

- (1) Morgan (Barbara) et al. v. Ygrene Energy Fund Inc. et al., S277628
- (2) In re S.R. et al., S285759

GUERRERO

---

*Chief Justice*

**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR  
SAN FRANCISCO SESSION  
SEPTEMBER 8, 2025**

*The following case summaries are issued to inform the public about cases that the California Supreme Court has scheduled for oral argument and of their general subject matter. The descriptions set out below are, in most instances, reproduced from the original news release issued when review in each of these matters was granted, and are provided for the convenience of the public. The descriptions do not necessarily reflect the view of the court or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.*

**MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2025 — 9:00 A.M.**

**(1) *Morgan (Barbara) et al. v. Ygrene Energy Fund Inc. et al.*, S277628**

#23-32 Morgan (Barbara) et al. v. Ygrene Energy Fund Inc. et al, S277628. (D079364, D079369; 84 Cal.App.5th 1002; San Diego County Superior Court; 37-2019-00059601-CU-OR-CTL.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in a civil action. This case presents the following issue: Must a homeowner exhaust administrative tax remedies by filing a claim for a refund with an assessment board before filing an action asserting consumer protection claims against private entities involved in the implementation of a loan program in which the loans are repaid through assessments on the property and the local government acquires a tax lien on the property?

**(2) *In re S.R. et al.*, S285759**

#24-165 In re S.R. et al., S285759. (B326812; nonpublished order; Los Angeles County Superior Court; 22CCJP03750A, 22CCJP03750B.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal dismissed appeal as moot in a juvenile dependency proceeding. This case presents the following issues: (1) When a juvenile court’s jurisdictional findings establish that a parent committed an offense that the law requires be reported to the statewide Child Abuse Centralized Index (CACI), should an appellate court presume, on an otherwise silent record, the offense has been or will be reported to CACI? (2) If un rebutted, is this presumption sufficient to avoid dismissal for mootness?