## **Practice Material #4 Sample Solutions**

Problem 1

- 1. Write the following SQL queries:
  - a. Count the number of employees who work for branches in Brooklyn, NY.
     select count(distinct eID) from Payroll where branchCity = 'Brooklyn' and branchState = 'NY':
  - b. Select the employee and correspondent working hours in March, 2024 with the highest total wage (hoursWorked \* wage)
     create view employeeWage as select eID, wage\*hoursWorked as monthlyWage from Payroll where month = 3 and year=2024;
     select distinct eID from employeeWage where monthlyWage = (select max(monthlyWage) from employeeWage);
  - c. Output the average salary of each department.
    create view employeeWage as select eID, dept, avg(hoursWorked \* wage) as avgWage from Payroll group by eID, dept;
    select dept, avg(avgWage) from employeeWage group by dept;
- 2. Anything like {eid, position} → {position} is okay

3.

a. Branches are identified by a **branchID**, besides, the system also records associated branchCity and branchState information

```
branchID → branchCity, branchState
```

b. Besides, the system also records the hours worked by each employee in each month of each year.

```
eID, month, year → hoursWorked
```

c. No employee changes department, working branch, or position during the period covered by any payroll table.

```
eID → dept, branchID, position
```

- branchID → branchCity, branchState eID → dept, position, branchID eID, month, year → hoursWorked Position → wage
- 5. Yes, it is a canonical cover.
- 6. {eID, month, year}

- 7. It is not in BCNF because there are non-trivial functional dependencies in which the left-hand side is not a superkey. All of the functional dependencies listed in 4 are such "bad" functional dependencies.
- 8. We have:

Branches(**branchID**,branchCity, branchState)

Employees(**eID**, dept, position, branchID)

WorkingHours(**eID**, **month**, **year**,hoursWorked)

Wages(position, wage)

## Process:

The candidate keys are (eid, month, year)

Starting from branchID -> branchCity, branchState;

We decompose Payroll into R1(branchID,branchCity, branchState) and

R2\_1(branchID,eID,dept, position,month, year,hrsWorked,wage)

R2\_1 has position → wage, which violates BCNF, so we then further decompose it into: R3(position,wage) and R2\_2(branchID,eID,dept, position,month, year,hoursWorked)

R2\_2 has eID → dept, position, branchID, so we then decompose it into R4(eID, dept, position, branchID) and R5(eID,month, year,hoursWorked).

In the end we have the following schemas:

Branch(branchID, branchCity, branchState) [R1]

Wages(position, wage) [R3]

Employees(eID, dept, position, branchID) [R4]

WorkingHours(eID,month, year,hoursWorked) [R5]

9. If we have two records in **Payroll**:

(1,"A","Manager",3,2024,1,35,154,"Brooklyn","NY")

(1,"A","Manager",2,2024,1,35,151,"Brooklyn","NY")

The Projection onto the decomposed schema will be:

Branches (1,"Brooklyn","NY)

Employees (1,"A","Manager",1)

WorkingHours (1,2,2024,151) (1,3,2024,154)

Wages ("Manager",35)

Join them together, the result is the same as Payroll.

10. Yes, because for each functional dependency in F+, all of the attributes of alpha and beta are contained in one of the decomposed schemas.

11.

- a. Count the number of employees who work for branches in Brooklyn, NY.
   select count(\*) from Employees natural join branches where branchCity = 'Brooklyn' and branchState = 'NY';
- b. Select the employee and correspondent working hours in March, 2024 with the highest total wage (hoursWorked \* wage)

create view employeeWage as
select eID, wge\*hrsWorked as monthlyWage from
WorkingHours natural join employees natural join Wages where month = 3
and year = 2024;
select distinct eID from employeeWage where monthlyWage =
(select max(monthlyWage) from employeeWage);

c. Output the average salary of each department.

create view employeeWage as
select eID, dept, avg(hoursWorked \* wage) as avgWage

from Employees natural join WorkingHours natural join Wages group by eID, dept:

select dept, avg(avgWage) from employeeWage group by dept;

12.

- a. Position, branchCity, branchState → wage
- b. Position → wage no longer holds.
- c. No, because you would need to join Branches, Employees, and Wages to check position, branchCity, branchState → wage
- d. 3NF will be:

Branches(branchID,branchCity, branchState)

Employees(eID, dept, position, branchID)

WorkingHours(eID, month, year, hrsWorked)

Wages(position, branchCity, branchState,wage)

## Problem 2

```
A.
```

We have E->A, G; F->B, C, G; plus D, we have {D, E, F} -> {A, B, C, D, E, F, G} All candidate keys: {D, E, F}

B.

Because of  $F \rightarrow B$ , B is extraneous in  $FB \rightarrow C$ .

Because of D  $\rightarrow$  A, F is extraneous in DF  $\rightarrow$  A.

So the canonical cover will be:

$$Fc = \{ D \rightarrow A, E \rightarrow AG, F \rightarrow BCG \}$$

C.

It is not in BCNF because there is nontrivial functional dependency:  $F \rightarrow C$  and F is not the superkey. Decomposing relation R into BCNF we get:

Step 1 :  $R1 = \{A, D\}$  and  $R2 = \{B, C, D, E, F, G\}$ 

Step 2 : R2 can be further decomposed into R2 and R3 because  $F \rightarrow BCG$  is nontrivial functional dependency and D is not a candidate key.

Hence BCNF form is:

$$R1 = \{A, D\}$$

$$R2 = \{B, C, F, G\}$$
  
 $R3 = \{D, E, F\}$ 

d.

No. The BCNF form in c is not dependency preserving because we cannot check  $E \rightarrow AG$  in the result of (c) without joining two of the decomposed tables.

Converting into 3NF we get:

Fc = { D  $\rightarrow$  A, E  $\rightarrow$  AG, F  $\rightarrow$  BCG} and Candidate key = {D, E, F}

Therefore, 3NF form is:

 $R1 = \{A, D\}$ 

 $R2 = \{A, E, G\}$ 

 $R3 = \{B, C, F, G\}$  and

 $R4 = \{D, E, F\}$