Math40002 Analysis 1

Problem Sheet 7

- 1. Let a_n, b_n be sequences of real numbers such that $b_n \neq 0$ and $a_n/b_n \rightarrow r \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Prove that if $\sum b_n$ is absolutely convergent, then so is $\sum a_n$.
 - † Give examples (for any r) for which $\sum b_n$ is convergent but $\sum a_n$ diverges.

Set $\epsilon=1$, then $a_n/b_n\to r$ means $\exists N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $n\geq N \Rightarrow |a_n/b_n-r|<1 \Rightarrow |a_n|<(r+1)|b_n|$ so by the comparison test we see that $\sum |b_n|$ convergent $\Rightarrow \sum |a_n|$ convergent.

The example $b_n=(-1)^n/\sqrt{n},\ a_n=rb_n+1/n$ has $\sum b_n$ convergent (by alternating series test) but $\sum a_n$ divergent (because $\sum rb_n$ convergent and $\sum 1/n$ divergent).

2.† Give an example of sequences $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $a_n/b_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ but $\sum_n a_n$ is convergent and $\sum_n b_n$ is divergent.

 $a_n=rac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $b_n=rac{1}{n}+rac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}}.$ $\sum a_n$ convergent by alternating series test. Therefore $\sum b_n$ divergent because $\sum \frac{1}{n}$ is divergent.

3. Suppose that $a_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \ \forall n \text{ and } a_{n+1}/a_n \to a \in \mathbb{C}$. What is the radius of convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$? Prove it!

Compute the radius of convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n!)^2 z^n}{(2n)!}$.

Since $a_{n+1}z^{n+1}/a_nz^n \to az$ as $n \to \infty$, the ratio test tells us that the power series converges for |az| < 1 and diverges for |az| > 1.

Thus it converges for |z| < 1/|a| and diverges for |z| > 1/|a|, so R = 1/|a|.

Taking $a_n = (n!)^2/(2n)!$ we have

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{(n+1)^2}{(2n+2)(2n+1)} = \frac{(1+\frac{1}{n})^2}{4(1+\frac{1}{n})(1+\frac{1}{2n})} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore R=4.

- 4. Determine the radius of convergence of the following power series.

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{3^n + 5^n}$, (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{1.3.5..(2n+1)} z^n$, (iv) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n!)^{1/n} z^n$.
- (i) Ratio test gives $\frac{3^n+5^n}{3^{n+1}+5^{n+1}}|z|=\frac{(3/5)^n+1}{(3/5)^{n+1}+1}\frac{|z|}{5} o \frac{|z|}{5}$ so R=5.
- (ii) Write as $\sum (-1)^n \frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!}$ and apply ratio test to this to give $-\frac{|z|^2}{(2n+2)(2n+1)} \to 0$ so always converging $-\frac{|z|^2}{(2n+2)(2n+1)} \to 0$
- (iii) Ratio test gives $\frac{(n+1)|z|}{2n+3} \to \frac{|z|}{2}$ so R=2.
- (iv) Ratio test: $\left| \frac{((n+1)!)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} z^{n+1}}{(n!)^{\frac{1}{n}} z^n} \right| = \frac{((n+1)!)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}{(n!)^{\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n(n+1)}}} |z| = \frac{(n+1)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}{(n!)^{\frac{1}{n(n+1)}}} |z|$. We showed on the last sheet that $n^{\frac{1}{n}} \to 1$. Similarly $1 \le (n!)^{\frac{1}{n(n+1)}} \le ((n^n))^{\frac{1}{n^2}} = n^{\frac{1}{n}} \to 1$ so by sandwich test the denominator $\rightarrow 1$ as well. Thus the ratio converges to |z|, so the series converges for |z| < 1 and diverges for |z| > 1. Therefore R = 1.
- 5.* What are the possible values of the radius of convergence of a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ with $en^{-\pi} < |a_n| < \pi n^e \ \forall n ?$

Ratio test on a_n will not help here! Need to compare to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi n^e z^n$ to see (by ratio test on $\pi n^e z^n$) that it converges absolutely for |z| < 1. Similarly by comparison with $en^{-\pi}z^n$ we see that

(by ratio test on $en^{-\pi}z^n$) that $|a_nz^n|\to\infty$ for |z|>1. Thus R=1.

Alternatively: $en^{-\pi/n}|z| < |a_nz^n|^{1/n} < \pi n^{e/n}|z|$ shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_nz^n|^{1/n}$ exists and equals |z|. Therefore, by the root test, $\sum a_nz^n$ is absolutely convergent for |z|<1 and divergent for |z|>1. So R=1.

6. The great Professor Martin Lietype is not very good with complex numbers, but an ace with reals. He notices that the infinite series $1 - x^2 + x^4 - x^6 + \ldots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{2n}$ converges to the function

$$f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2},$$

which is finite $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. He concludes the series converges $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Is he right? If not, can you help him? Would it help if he was better with complex numbers?

The partial sum to n terms is $\frac{1-(-1)^nx^{2n}}{1+x^2}$ which tends to $1/(1+x^2)$ as required for |x|<1. For $|x|\geq 1$ it clearly does not converge (and in fact the individual terms of the series $(-1)^nx^{2n}\not\to 0$).

If he was better with complex numbers he would see that f(x) is ill-defined at $x = \pm i$ on the unit circle, which is why the radius of convergence is 1, not ∞ .

7. Show the following sequence (a_n) is convergent:

$$a_n := \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n+n}.$$

The first few terms seem to show that a_n is increasing, so we check:

$$a_{n+1} - a_n = \left(\frac{1}{(n+1) + (n+1)} + \frac{1}{(n+1) + n}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)$$

$$= \frac{(2n^2 + 3n + 1) + (2n^2 + 4n + 2) - (4n^2 + 6n + 2)}{(2n+2)(2n+1)(n+1)}$$

$$= \frac{n+1}{(2n+2)(2n+1)(n+1)} = \frac{1}{(2n+2)(2n+1)} > 0.$$

It is also bounded above by $n\frac{1}{n+1} < 1$, so convergent.

8. Suppose $a_n \ge 0 \ \forall n$. Show that if $\sum a_n$ is convergent then $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ is convergent. Is the converse true?

Since $0 \le \frac{a_n}{1+a_n} \le a_n$, by comparison $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ is convergent.

Converse: if $\frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ is convergent then $\frac{a_n}{1+a_n} \to 0$. In particular, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{a_n}{1+a_n} < \frac{1}{3}$ for all $n \ge N$, which implies $a_n < \frac{1}{2}$.

Therefore, for $n \ge N$, $0 \le a_n = \frac{3}{2} \frac{a_n}{1 + \frac{1}{2}} < \frac{3}{2} \frac{a_n}{1 + a_n}$ so $\sum a_n$ convergent by comparison.

9. Let $s_n := \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{i!}$. Show that $\frac{1}{(n+k)!} \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)^k n!}$ for all integers n, k > 0, and hence

$$s_N - s_n < \frac{1}{n \cdot n!} \qquad \forall N > n \ge 1$$
 (*)

Deduce (s_n) is bounded above and convergent to some $e := \sup\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$0 < e - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{i!} \le \frac{1}{n \cdot n!} \tag{**}$$

for all $n \ge 1$. If we could write $e = \frac{m}{n}$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ multiply (**) by n! to get a contradiction. Conclude that e is irrational.

 $(n+k)! = (n+k)(n+k-1)\cdots(n+1)n! \ge (n+1)(n+1)\cdots(n+1)n! = (n+1)^k n!$ so $\frac{1}{(n+k)!} \le \frac{1}{(n+1)^k \cdot n!}$ for all n,k>0. Therefore

$$s_N - s_n = \sum_{k=1}^{N-n} \frac{1}{(n+k)!} \le \sum_{k=1}^{N-n} \frac{1}{(n+1)^k n!} = \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{1 - (n+1)^{-N-n}}{1 - (n+1)^{-1}}$$

$$< \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1-1} = \frac{1}{n \cdot n!}$$

for all $N > n \ge 1$, where the second equality comes from summing the finite geometric series.

Therefore s_N is bounded above by $s_n + \frac{1}{n \cdot n!}$ for all N. (Or put n = 1 to see that s_n is bounded above by $s_1 + 1 = 3$ for all n.) Since s_n is monotonically increasing it converges to $\sup\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} =: e$.

Since $s_N < s_n + \frac{1}{n \cdot n!}$, we have $\sup\{s_N\} \le s_n + \frac{1}{n \cdot n!}$. This gives the second inequality of

$$0 < e - s_n \le \frac{1}{n \cdot n!}.$$

The first inequality comes from $e = \sup S \ge s_n \in S$, and we cannot have equality (otherwise $s_{n+1} = s + \frac{1}{(n+1)!} > e$; a contradiction).

If $e = \frac{m}{n}$ then by (**), $n! e - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{i!}$ is an integer in $\left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right]$ – a contradiction.

10.† Celebrity computer scientist Professor Buzzard has taught Thomas and Liebeck a game. They each flip a fair coin repeatedly until they get a tail. The winner is the one who got the most heads, and receives $\pounds 4^n$ from the loser, where n is the loser's number of heads.¹

Liebeck declares confidently "Ah ha Thomas, if you throw h heads, my expected winnings are 50p, whatever h is." Check he is right. He's pretty sure he's going to clean up.

He throws k heads (and then a tail) with probability $1/2^{k+1}$. If k < h heads he loses $\pounds 4^k$; if k > h heads he wins $\pounds 4^h$ so his expected winnings are

$$\sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} (-4^k) + \sum_{k=h+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} 4^h = -\frac{1}{2} (2^h - 1) + \frac{1}{2} 2^h = \pounds \frac{1}{2} = 50 \text{p.}$$

Thomas replies "Ah but Liebeck, if you throw h heads, your expected winnings are -50p, whatever h is." Check he is also right.

Thomas throws k heads (and then a tail) with probability $1/2^{k+1}$. If k < h heads Liebeck wins $\pounds 4^k$; if k > h heads he loses $\pounds 4^h$ so his expected winnings are

$$\sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} (4^k) - \sum_{k=h+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} 4^h = \frac{1}{2} (2^h - 1) - \frac{1}{2} 2^h = -\pounds \frac{1}{2} = -50 \text{p.}$$

"Lean says the game is symmetric between the pair of you, so don't you think your expected winnings should be zero?" says Buzzard. What is going on? (Hint: we're meant to be studying absolute convergence, not coin tossing.)

Liebeck's expected winnings are the sum over all $a \neq b \in \mathbb{N}$ of the probability that he throws a heads (then a tail) and Thomas throws b heads (then a tail) times by his winnings (4^b if a > b, or -4^a if a < b). I.e.

$$\sum_{a>b} \frac{1}{2^{a+1}} \frac{1}{2^{b+1}} 4^b \ - \sum_{a< b} \frac{1}{2^{a+1}} \frac{1}{2^{b+1}} 4^a \ = \ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a>b} \frac{1}{2^{a-b}} \ - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a< b} \frac{1}{2^{b-a}}.$$

¹If they flip the same number of heads it is a draw and no money changes hands.

Here you can see the symmetry (if it converged it would equal 0) but also that the whole sum is not absolutely convergent because neither of the two sums on the right hand side is convergent (think of summing the first over all a > b for fixed b, then sum over b to get ∞). So by rearranging you can make it converge to anything you like. In real life the expectation is not defined – if Liebeck and Thomas play forever the average winnings will go all over the place, never settling down close to a fixed value.