A company is interested in whether spending more money on marketing is related to the amount in sales
they generate. The company kept track of how much it spent on marketing an the corresponding sales
for 12 months. Below is the R output of the relationship between the amount the company spends on
marketing and the sales brought in.

## Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 1383.4714 1255.2404 1.102 0.296
Spend 10.6222 0.1625 65.378 1.71e-14 \*\*\*

Multiple R-squared 0.9977

(a) Interpret the estimated **Spend** coefficient in the context of the problem.

For each one dollar increase in spending we expect a 10.6222 dollar increase in sales on average.

(b) What is the linear model for the population?

 $\mu_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \chi_i + \varepsilon_i$ 

(c) What is the regression equation sales vs spending?

 $\hat{U}_{i} = \hat{\beta}_{0} + \hat{\beta}_{1} \hat{V}_{i} \longrightarrow \hat{U}_{i} = 1385,4714 + 10.6272 (\hat{V}_{i})$ 

(d) What is the estimated amount in sales if the company spends \$4,500?

<u>Gi = 1383.4714 + (0.6222 (4500) = \$ 49183.37</u>

(e) Looking at the data, we find that when the company spent \$4,500 the company brought in sales equal to \$50,044. Calculate the residual for when the company spends \$4,500.

ei = 1/31 - 1/31 = 50044 - 49183,37 = \$ 860.63

(f) Interpret the Coefficient of Determination.

 $R^2 = 0.4077$ There is excellent predictive, power 99.77% of the variation in sales can be explained by spending.

Slope is positive

(g) What is the value of the correlation coefficient?

 $r = \pm \sqrt{R^2} = + \sqrt{0.9977} = 0.9988$ 

(h) Interpret the value above.

there is a strong positive linear relationship between spending and sales. As spending increases, sales increase on average

(i) Calculate a 95% confidence interval for Spend.

 $t^* = qt(0.975, 10) = 2.2281$ PET ME =  $\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t^*$  SEA, = 10.6222 ± 2.2781 ( 0.1625)

= (10.2601, 10.9843)

(j) Write out the null and alternative hypothesis and use the calculated confidence interval to determine there is a linear relationship between Sales and Spending

Ho:  $B_1 = 0 \longrightarrow \text{Reject}$ .

Ha:  $B_1 \neq 0$ LD.2601

10.2501

Since  $B_1 = 0$  is not captured within the interval we reject the null - hypothesis at the  $\alpha = 0.05$  [evel].