#### ICS 6B Midterm Sample 1

	UCI Net	D :													
	(alpha	-nu	mer	ic; N	TOI	you	ır st	uder	nt II	<b>O</b> )					
	Read the instruc	ctions	s of ea	ach qu	estio	ı care	fully.								
•	Place your answ not be considered				_			ng ou	tside	of the	e boxes				
<ul> <li>Your scratch work should stay on the exam booklet. This means no ex scratch paper— even if you have work on scratch paper, it won't be consider</li> <li>Show your work. Your work will be considered as part of your answer.</li> <li>Write your name and UCI NetID on every page.</li> </ul>															
				•	This test is inter	nded	to tal	ke 45	minut	es.					
				•	This is a closed allowed (aside fi		,							ronic	devices
•	Please keep in n	nind t	the ac	adem	ic hoi	nesty	guidel	lines.							
	Good luck!														

Seat

Name: UCI NetID:
L.1: I can use propositional variables and logical connectives to represent statements; and interpret symbolic logical statements in plain language.
Given the below propositional variables:
$\bullet$ $M$ : Frank is eligible for scholarship.
• F: Frank is intelligent.
• K: Frank has never failed in exams.
Translate the following sentences into propositional logic:
1. Frank is eligible for a scholarship when he is intelligent and has never failed in exams.
2. Frank has never failed in exams if he is eligible for a scholarship.

3. Frank is eligible for scholarship if and only if he is both intelligent and has never failed

in exams.

# L.2: I can use Laws of Logic to simplify symbolic logical expressions.

We want to use the laws of propositional logic to prove the following:

$$p \to (\neg (p \land \neg q) \lor \neg r) \equiv \neg p \lor (\neg r \lor q)$$

Follow the steps below and use the propositional law associated with each step to finally prove the statement above.

$p \to (\neg (p \land \neg q) \lor \neg r)$	Law of logic
	De Morgan's law
	Double negation law
	Associative law
$\neg p \lor (\neg r \lor q)$	

### L.3: I can write and use the truth table for a logical statement.

Please complete the following truth table.

p	q	$((p \lor q) \to \neg q) \to q$
True	True	
False	True	
True	False	
False	False	

Name:	UCI NetID:
Name:	UCI Neud:

# L.4: I can determine if a statement is a tautology and whether two statements are logically equivalent.

Show whether

$$((p \land (p \to q)) \to p)$$

is a tautology or not.

Name:	UCI NetID:
Name:	UCI Netid:

# Q.1: I can determine whether a quantified statement over a given domain is true, false, or determined.

Let Q(x,y) be the proposition that  $3^x \leq 3^y$ . x and y's domains are all positive integers. Which of the following statements are true? If it is true, give a 1 line justification. If false, provide a counterexample.

a.	$\forall x \exists y Q(x,y)$

b.	$\exists x \exists y Q(x,y)$

c.	$\exists x \forall y Q(x,y)$

Name:		UCI NetID:				
. 1	1 4	0	4.0	,		

# Q.2: I can translate between Quantified statements in English and Logic, including Nested Quantified statements.

You are given a list of applicants for a job. The predicates are as given below:

- S(x): denote whether x is a graduate student.
- $\bullet$  H(x,y): denotes whether x scored higher than y in the interview.
- L(x): denotes if the person came in late for the interview.

Give a logical expression that is equivalent to each English statement.

. • •	a regression that is equivalent to each English statement.
1.	Everyone came late except for the graduate students.
2.	At least one graduate student scored higher than all the non-graduate students.
3.	All people who came on time scored higher than all the graduate students who came
	in late.
4.	Exactly one non-graduate student scored higher than all of the graduate students
	during the interview.

N	HOL MadID.
Name:	UCI NetID:

# Q.3: I can find the negation of a Nested Quantified statement.

The left table contains numbered quantified statements. Write the number of the corresponding logically equivalent quantified statement in the right table.

Q		A	
1	$\exists x \exists y (\neg P(x) \land (y)$		$\neg \forall x \forall y (\neg P(x) \lor \neg Q(y))$
2	$\forall x \forall y (P(x) \land Q(y))$		$\neg \exists x \exists y (P(x) \land Q(y))$
3	$\exists x \exists y (\neg P(x) \lor \neg Q(y))$		$\neg \forall x \forall y (P(x) \land Q(y))$
4	$\forall x \forall y (P(x) \lor Q(y))$		$\neg \exists x \exists y (\neg P(x) \land \neg Q(y))$
5	$\forall x \forall y (\neg P(x) \lor \neg Q(y))$		
6	$\exists x \exists y (P(x) \land Q(y))$		

Name:	UCI NetID:	_
	(scratch work)	