

## Graded for Honest Effort

1,12,5

c)  $C$  : I will buy a new car

$h$  : I will buy a new house

$\bar{j}$  : I am going to get a job

$$(C \wedge h) \rightarrow \bar{j}$$

$$\neg \bar{j}$$

$$\therefore \neg C$$

$$1 \quad (C \wedge h) \rightarrow \bar{j}$$

$$2 \quad \neg \bar{j}$$

$$3 \quad \neg (C \wedge h)$$

$$4 \quad \neg C \vee \neg h$$

$$\therefore \neg C$$

hypothesis

hypothesis

Modus tollens (1,2)

DeMorgan's law

$C$	$h$	$\neg C$	$\neg h$	$\neg C \vee \neg h$
T	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T

Invalid

Graded for Honest Effort

1,12,5

- d)  $c$  : I will buy a new car  
 $h$  : I will buy a new house  
 $\bar{j}$  : I am going to get a job

$$(c \wedge h) \rightarrow \bar{j}$$

$$\neg \bar{j}$$

$$h$$

---

$$\therefore \neg c$$

1  $(c \wedge h) \rightarrow \bar{j}$

2  $\neg \bar{j}$

3  $\neg (c \wedge h)$

4  $\neg c \vee \neg h$

5  $\neg h \vee \neg c$

6  $h$

$\therefore \neg c$

valid

hypothesis

hypothesis

modus tollens (1,2)

DeMorgan's law

commutative law

hypothesis

Disjunctive syllogism  
(5,6)

Graded for Honest Effort

1.13.1 a)  $P(x) \therefore x$  practices hard  
 $B(x) \therefore x$  plays badly

$$\forall x (P(x) \vee B(x))$$

$$\exists x (\neg P(x))$$

$$\therefore \exists x (B(x))$$

D.S.

1  $\forall x (P(x) \vee B(x))$

hypothesis

2  $\exists x (\neg P(x))$

hypothesis

3  $c \wedge \neg P(c)$

existential instantiation (2)

4  $c$  is a particular element

simplification (3)

5  $P(c) \vee B(c)$

universal instantiation

6  $\neg P(c)$

simplification (3)

7  $B(c)$

disjunctive syllogism

$\therefore \exists x B(x)$

existential generalization  
(4, 7)

Valid

Graded for Honest Effort

1.13.4 b)  $\exists x Q(x) \wedge \exists x P(x)$   
 $\therefore \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$

	$Q(x)$	$P(x)$
a	T	F
b	F	T

There exists a "a" that  $Q(a)$  is true and there exists a "b" that  $P(b)$  is true but "a" is false for  $P(x)$  and "b" is false for  $Q(x)$ .  
Therefore it is invalid.

## Graded for Honest Effort

2.2.1 a) False. We need to prove the statement holds for all possible cases within the domain because an universal statement is a general statement that claims to be true for all possible cases.

2.2.5 b) Suppose  $x$  is a positive integer such that  
$$x = 1 + 2 + \dots + (x-1)$$
when  $x = 3$   
then  $1 + 2 = 3$   
 $\therefore$  there exist a positive integer  $x$  that is equal to the sum of all the positive integers less than  $x$

d) Suppose  $c$  is a integer and  $d$  is a integer such that  $7c + 5d = 1$

we can use algebra to find  $c$  and  $d$

$$7c + 5d = 1$$

$$7c = 1 - 5d$$

now, we plug in an arbitrary integer for  $d$  to solve for  $c$  until we find that  $c$  is an integer

$$\text{Suppose } d = 10$$

$$7c = 1 - 5(10)$$

$$7c = 1 - 50$$

$$7c = -49$$

$$c = -7$$

$\therefore$  when  $c = -7$ ,  $d = 10$  such that  $7c + 5d = 1$

## Graded for Honest Effort

2.3, 2

a) In the proof, integer  $k$  is being used for both  $k_w$  and  $k_y$  where  $y$  should use a different integer variable.

b) Instead of having  $xz = m \cdot wy$ , it should have  
 $xz = (kw)(jy)$

c) It is missing the step where it shows  
 $xz = (kw)(jy)$   
 $xz = (kj)(wy)$

d) I do not find any mistakes in the proof.

Graded for Honest Effort

2.4.3 b) If  $x$  is a real number and  $x \leq 3$  then  
 $12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$

Assume  $x$  is a real number and  $x \leq 3$   
we shall prove that  $12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$

$$12 - 7x + x^2 = 0$$
$$(x-4)(x-3) = 0$$
$$x = 4, x = 3$$

$$x < 3, \quad 2^2 - 7(2) + 12 = 2$$

Therefore, for  $x$  values less than or equal to 3,  
 $12 - 7x + x^2 \geq 0$

2.4.4 m) If  $x, y$  and  $z$  are integers and  $x \mid (y+z)$ ,  
then  $x \mid y$  or  $x \mid z$

$$\text{If } x = 3, y = 4, z = 5$$
$$3 \mid 9 \text{ but } 3 \nmid 4 \text{ and } 3 \nmid 5$$

Therefore, the statement is false.

Graded for Honest Effort and Feedback Given

1.13.4 a)  $\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$   
 $\therefore \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$

1  $\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$

hypothesis

2  $c$  is a particular element  $\wedge (P(c) \wedge Q(c))$

existential instantiation

3  $P(c) \wedge Q(c)$

simplification (2)

4  $c$  is a particular element

simplification (2)

5  $P(c)$

simplification (3)

6  $\exists x P(x)$

existential generalization (4,5)

7  $Q(c)$

simplification (3)

8  $\exists x Q(x)$

existential generalization (4,7)

$\therefore \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$

conjunction (6,8)

Valid

2.4.4 k) If  $x, y$ , and  $z$  are integers and  $xy \mid z$ , then  
 $x \mid z$  and  $y \mid z$

Assume  $x, y$  and  $z$  are integers and  $xy \mid z$ ,  
we prove that  $x \mid z$  and  $y \mid z$

Since  $xy \mid z$ , then  $z = kxy$  for some integer  $k$ .

Since  $k$  and  $x$  are integers then  $kx$  is also an integer

Since  $z$  equal  $y$  times an integer, which means  $y$  divides  $z$ . Similarly, since  $k$  and  $y$  are integers, then  $ky$  is also an integer. Since  $z$  equal to  $x$  times an integer, which means  $x$  divide  $z$ .

Therefore,  $x \mid z$  and  $y \mid z$ .  $\blacksquare$



Graded for Honest Effort and Feedback Given

2.5.3 c) For every pair of real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ , if  $x$  is rational and  $xy$  is irrational, then  $y$  is irrational.

Assume that  $x$  and  $y$  are real numbers and  $y$  is rational number and prove that  $x$  is irrational or  $xy$  is rational.

Since  $y$  is rational,  $y = \frac{c}{d}$ , where  $c$  and  $d$  are integers and  $d \neq 0$ .

Since  $x$  is rational,  $x = \frac{e}{f}$ , where  $e$  and  $f$  are integers and  $f \neq 0$ .

$$xy = \frac{e}{f} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ec}{fd}, \text{ where } ec \text{ and } fd$$

are integers and  $fd \neq 0$ .

Therefore, if  $y$  is rational, then  $xy$  is rational or  $x$  is irrational.

Graded for Honest Effort and Feedback Given

2.6.b d) There is no smallest integer.

Assume that there is a smallest integer  $x$ .  
Since  $x$  is an integer,  $x-1$  is also an integer. However,  $x-1$  is less than  $x$ ,  
it contradicts the assumption there is a smallest integer  $x$ .



Graded for Honest Effort and Feedback Given

2.7.3 d) For real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $|x+y| \leq |x| + |y|$ .  
You can use the fact proven in the previous problem that for any real number  $z$ ,  $z \leq |z|$  and  $-z \leq |z|$ .

Case 1:  $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$

since  $x$  and  $y$  are both positive

$$|x+y| = x+y \quad \text{and} \quad |x| + |y| = x+y$$

$$x+y \leq x+y$$

Therefore, it holds.

Case 2:  $x < 0, y \geq 0$

since  $x$  is negative and  $y$  is positive,

$$|x+y| = -(x+y) \quad \text{and} \quad |x| + |y| = -x + y$$

$$-(x+y) \leq -x + y$$

$$-x - y \leq -x + y$$

$$-y \leq y$$

Since  $y$  is positive, it is always true.

Case 3:  $x \geq 0, y < 0$

since  $x$  is positive and  $y$  is negative

$$|x+y| = -(x+y) \quad \text{and} \quad |x| + |y| = x - y$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 -(x+y) &\leq x-y \\
 -x-y &\leq x-y \\
 -x &\leq x
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x$  is positive, it is always true.

Case 4:  $x < 0, y < 0$

Since both  $x$  and  $y$  are negative,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x+y| &= -(x+y) \quad \text{and} \quad |x|+|y| = -x+(-y) \\
 -(x+y) &\leq -x+(-y) \\
 -x-y &\leq -x-y
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it holds

Since all 4 cases hold,  
therefore  $|x+y| \leq |x|+|y|$  is true