

Lecture 19.

- 1) Properties of left/right exact functors. | Bonus: injective modules.
- 2) Localization vs tensor product functors.
- 3) Projective & flat modules.

[AM], Sections 2.9 & intro to 3; [E], A.3.2, 6.1, 6.3.

1) Lemma: Let $F: \mathbf{A}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\text{-Mod}$ be left exact additive functor. Then

(a) F sends injections to injections.

(b) F sends every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} M_3 \rightarrow 0$ to an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F(M_1) \rightarrow F(M_2) \rightarrow F(M_3)$

(c) F is exact $\Leftrightarrow F$ sends surjections to surjections.

Proof: (a) $N \hookrightarrow M$ can be included into SES

$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$, $M' := M/\text{im } \varphi_1$.

$0 \rightarrow F(N) \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{l} F \\ F(\varphi_1) \end{array}} F(M) \rightarrow F(M')$ -exact $\Rightarrow F(\varphi_1)$ is inj've.

(b): $M'_3 := \text{im } \varphi_2 \subset M_3$: $\varphi_2' := \varphi_2$ viewed as a map to its image

$\hookrightarrow M'_3 \hookrightarrow M_3$ - incl'n, so $\varphi_2 = \hookrightarrow \circ \varphi_2'$.

$0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2'} M'_3 \rightarrow 0$ is exact \Rightarrow

$0 \rightarrow F(M_1) \xrightarrow{F(\varphi_1)} F(M_2) \xrightarrow{F(\varphi_2')} F(M'_3)$ (*)

is exact. Further, \hookrightarrow is inj've \Rightarrow [by (a)] $F(\hookrightarrow)$ is inj've;

F is a functor $\Rightarrow F(\varphi_2) = \underbrace{F(\hookrightarrow)}_{\text{inj've}} \circ F(\varphi_2') \Rightarrow \ker F(\varphi_2) = \ker F(\varphi_2')$

$0 \rightarrow F(M_1) \rightarrow F(M_2) \rightarrow F(M'_3)$ is exact.

(c) is exercise. □

Rem: There are direct analogs of this lemma for all other types of partial exactness. E.g. left exact functor $F: A\text{-Mod}^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ sends \nLeftarrow exact sequence $M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ to exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F(M_3) \rightarrow F(M_2) \rightarrow F(M_1)$ (exercise).

2) Localization functors vs tensor products

A comm'v unital ring, $S \subset A$ localizable subset \rightsquigarrow

A -algebra A_S & localization functor $\cdot_S: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A_S\text{-Mod}$.

Theorem: The functors $\cdot_S, A_S \otimes_A \cdot: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A_S\text{-Mod}$ are isomorphic.

Proof: Step 1: construct $\eta: A_S \otimes_A \cdot \Rightarrow \cdot_S$

$\eta_M: A_S \otimes_A M \rightarrow M_S$, consider $A_S \times M \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M_S$,
 A_S -module

the map $A_S \times M \rightarrow M_S, (\frac{a}{S}, m) \mapsto \frac{a}{S} \frac{m}{1} \in M_S$, is A -bilinear \rightsquigarrow

A -linear map $\eta_M: A_S \otimes_A M \rightarrow M_S, \frac{a}{S} \otimes m \mapsto \frac{a}{S} \frac{m}{1}$.

Exercise: η_M are A_S -linear & constitute a functor morphism.

Step 2: prove $\eta_{A^{\oplus I}}: A_S \otimes_A A^{\oplus I} \xrightarrow{\sim} (A^{\oplus I})_S$ \nLeftarrow set I .

Recall:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_S \otimes_A A^{\oplus I} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & (A^{\oplus I})_S \\
 \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 (A_S)^{\oplus I} & &
 \end{array}$$

From def'n of γ this diagram is comm'v: for $|I|=1$ - follows directly from the const'n; in general all maps in this diagram are componentwise.

Step 3: here from Step 2 & exactness we deduce that γ_M is isom'm $\nparallel M$. Have exact sequence:

$$A^{\oplus J} \longrightarrow A^{\oplus I} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

Apply functors $A_S \otimes_A \cdot_S$ to this exact sequence to get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A_S \otimes_A A^{\oplus J} & \longrightarrow & A_S \otimes_A A^{\oplus I} & \longrightarrow & A_S \otimes_A M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 \downarrow \gamma_{A^{\oplus J}} & & \downarrow \gamma_{A^{\oplus I}} & & \downarrow \gamma_M \\
 (A^{\oplus J})_S & \longrightarrow & (A^{\oplus I})_S & \longrightarrow & M_S \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

This diagram is comm'v b/c γ is a functor morphism

Rows are exact b/c $A_S \otimes_A \cdot_S$ are right exact. (analogous to Lemma in Section 1).

The claim that γ_M is isom'm follows from the next lemma.

Lemma: Suppose we have a comm'v diagram w. exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_1 & \xrightarrow{\tau_1} & M_2 & \xrightarrow{\tau_2} & M_3 & \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \varphi_1 & & 2 \downarrow \varphi_2 & & \downarrow \varphi_3 & & \\ N_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & N_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & N_3 & \rightarrow 0 & \end{array}$$

Assume φ_2 is isom'm & φ_1 is surjective. Then φ_3 is an isom'm.

Proof of lemma: φ_1 is surj've & left square is comm've \Rightarrow
 $\varphi_2: \text{im } \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{im } \psi_1$.

Rows are exact: $M_3 \simeq M_2 / \text{im } \tau_1$ (via τ_2) &
 $N_3 \simeq N_2 / \text{im } \psi_1$ (via ψ_2).

Right square is comm've: φ_3 is identified w. the isom'm
 $M_2 / \text{im } \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} N_2 / \text{im } \psi_1$ induced by φ_2 . \square

\square of Thm.

Remarks:

1) Similarly to Lemma if we have comm've diagram w. exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & M_3 & \rightarrow & M_4 & \rightarrow & M_5 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_3 & & \downarrow \varphi_4 & & \downarrow \varphi_5 \\ & & & & & & \end{array}$$

$$0 \rightarrow N_3 \rightarrow N_4 \rightarrow N_5$$

If φ_4 is an isom'm, φ_5 is inj've $\Rightarrow \varphi_3$ is an isom'm (exercise).

2) Lemma & Rem 1 are special cases of the following result known as the 5-Lemma: for comm've diagram w. exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_1 & \longrightarrow & M_2 & \longrightarrow & M_3 & \longrightarrow & M_4 \longrightarrow M_5 \\ \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & \downarrow \varphi_3 & & \downarrow \varphi_4 \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \varphi_5 \end{array}$$

$$N_1 \longrightarrow N_2 \longrightarrow N_3 \longrightarrow N_4 \longrightarrow N_5$$

Then φ_3 is an isom'm (premium exercise based on diagram chase).

3) Alternative proof of Thm: both $A_S \otimes_A \cdot, \cdot_S : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A_S\text{-Mod}$ are left adj't to For: $A_S\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ (for $A_S \otimes_A \cdot$: this follows from the 1st Corollary in Section 1.3 of Lecture 17, for \cdot_S it's part 3 of Prob 5 in HW3).

3.1) Projective modules

Let P be an A -module. We know $\text{Hom}_A(P, \cdot)$ is left exact, see Example 3 in Section 2.3 of Lecture 18.

Q: For which P is this functor exact \Leftrightarrow [c] of Lemma in Sect. 1]

$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(P, \cdot)$ sends surjections to surjections.

Example: $P = A^{\oplus I}$. Claim $\text{Hom}_A(A^{\oplus I}, \cdot)$ is exact.

$$\text{Hom}_A(A^{\oplus I}, \cdot) \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdot^{\times I}$$

in particular, for $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, we have comm'v diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_A(A^{\oplus I}, M) & \xrightarrow{\varphi \circ ?} & \text{Hom}_A(A^{\oplus I}, N) \\ \downarrow s & & \downarrow s \\ M^{\times I} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{\times I}} & N^{\times I} \end{array}$$

Since φ is surj've $\Rightarrow \varphi^{\times I}$ is surj've.

Reminder: An A -module P is projective if $\exists A$ -module P' s.t. $P \oplus P'$ is a free A -module ($\cong A^{\oplus I}$ for some set I).

Thm: TFAE

- (1) $\text{Hom}_A(P, \cdot)$ is exact.
- (2) $\nexists A$ -linear surjection $\pi: M \rightarrow P \exists A$ -linear $l: P \rightarrow M$ s.t. $\pi \circ l = \text{id}_P$
- (3) P is projective.

Proof:

(1) \Rightarrow (2): $\text{Hom}_A(P, M) \xrightarrow{\pi \circ ?} \text{Hom}_A(P, P)$ is surjective $\Rightarrow \exists c \in \text{Hom}_A(P, M)$ s.t. $\pi \circ c = \text{id}_P$, which is (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Pick $c: P \rightarrow M$ w. $\pi \circ c = \text{id}_P \Rightarrow c$ is inj've.

\Downarrow sol'n to Prob. 8(c) in HW1

$$M = \ker \pi \oplus \underline{\text{im } c} (\cong P)$$

We apply this to $\pi: M = A^{\oplus I} \longrightarrow P$ & we get (3)
w. $P' = \ker \pi$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1):

Lemma: Let M, M' be A -modules. TFAE

- (a) $\text{Hom}_A(M, \cdot), \text{Hom}_A(M', \cdot)$ are exact

(6) $\text{Hom}_A(M \oplus M', \cdot)$ is exact.

Proof of Lemma: $M \oplus M'$ is the coproduct of M, M' in $A\text{-Mod}$
 so $\text{Hom}_A(M \oplus M', \cdot) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(M, \cdot) \times \text{Hom}_A(M', \cdot)$. We apply
 this to A -module surj'n $\varphi: N_2 \rightarrow N_3$. Get a comm'v
 diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_A(M \oplus M', N_2) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_A(M, N_2) \times \text{Hom}_A(M', N_2) \\ \downarrow \psi \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi & & \downarrow (\psi_2, \psi_3) \mapsto (\varphi \circ \psi_2, \varphi \circ \psi_3) \\ \text{Hom}_A(M \oplus M', N_3) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_A(M, N_3) \times \text{Hom}_A(M', N_3) \end{array}$$

(6) $\Leftrightarrow \psi \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi$ is surj've \Leftrightarrow right vertical map is surj've
 $\Leftrightarrow \psi_2 \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi_2$ & $\psi_3 \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi_3$ are surj've \Leftrightarrow (a). \square

Know $P \oplus P' \cong A^{\oplus I} \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(P \oplus P', \cdot)$ is exact (by Example above)

By (6) \Rightarrow (a) of Lemma, $\text{Hom}_A(P, \cdot)$ is exact.

\square of Thm.

3.2) Flat modules

Definition: An A -module F is flat if $F \otimes_A \cdot: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow$
 $A\text{-Mod}$ is exact (\Leftrightarrow sends injections to injections)

Examples:

(I) $A^{\oplus I}$ is flat (a complete analog of Example in Sect. 3.1
 b/c $A^{\oplus I} \otimes_A \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdot^{\oplus I}$).

(II) Projective \Rightarrow flat (by complete analog of Lemma in 3.1 +

example (I)).

(III) If localizable subset S , A_S is a flat A -module

b/c $A_S \otimes_A \cdot \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdot|_S$ (Section 2) & $\cdot|_S$ is exact (example 1 in Section 2.3 of Lecture 18).

BONUS: injective modules.

Let A be a (comm'v unital) ring.

Definition: An A -module I is injective if $\text{Hom}_A(\cdot, I)$:

$A\text{-Mod}^{\text{opp}} \longrightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is exact (equivalently, for an inclusion $N \hookrightarrow M$ the induced homomorphism

$\text{Hom}_A(I, M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(I, N)$ is surjective).

The definition looks very similar to that of projective modules, however the properties of injective & projective modules are very different! Projective modules - especially finitely generated ones - are nice, but injective modules are quite ugly, they are almost never finitely generated.

The simplest ring is \mathbb{Z} . Let's see what being injective means for \mathbb{Z} .

Definition: An abelian group M is divisible if $\forall m \in M, a \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $\exists m' \in M$ s.t. $am' = m$.

Example: The abelian group \mathbb{Q} is divisible. So is \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} .

Proposition 1: For an abelian group M TFAE:

(a) M is injective

(b) M is divisible

Sketch of proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b): apply

$$N \hookrightarrow M \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(I, M) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(I, N) \quad (*)$$

to $M = \mathbb{Z}$, $N = a\mathbb{Z}$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) is more subtle. The first step is to show that if (*) holds for $N \subset M$, then it holds for $N + \mathbb{Z}m \subset M$ $\forall m \in M$. So (*) holds for all fin. gen'd submodules $N \subset M$. Then a clever use of transfinite induction yields (*) for all submodules of M . \square

We can get examples of injective modules for more general rings as follows. Note that for an abelian group M , the group $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, M)$ is an A -module

Proposition 2: If M is injective as an abelian group, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, M)$ is an injective A -module.

This is approached similarly to Prob 3 in Hw5.

Finally, using this proposition one can show that every A -module embeds into an injective one (the corresponding statement for projectives - that every module admits a surjection from a projective module - is easy b/c every free module is proj'v).