

3. Linkage Principle

(1)

- Set up.

- T : field of char 0

- $f: B \rightarrow T$ specialization of B into T which takes ν into a primitive l -th root of 1.

- $U_T = U_B \otimes_B T$.

Recall We define the affine Weyl group in my first talk. Now, let's define $W^a := W \ltimes \Lambda_r$, and the "l-rescaled dot action" on Λ by

$$w \cdot_l \lambda = w \cdot \lambda, \quad tv \cdot_l \lambda := \lambda + lv, \quad \forall w \in W, v \in \Lambda_r.$$

So, W^a is generated by reflections $S_{\alpha, r}: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$, $\alpha \in \Phi^+, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. where

$$S_{\alpha, r}(\lambda) := S_\alpha(\lambda) + r\alpha, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Example Let $G = SL_2$. $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\chi_1$, $\Lambda_r = 2\mathbb{Z}\chi_1$, where $\chi_1: \begin{pmatrix} t & * \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto t$.

$W = \{1, s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\}$. For any $n\chi_1 \in \Lambda$, $s(n\chi_1) = -n\chi_1$.

For any $\lambda = n\chi_1 \in \Lambda$ and $v = 2m\chi_1 \in \Lambda_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} (s, t_{2m\chi_1}) \cdot_l n\chi_1 &= s(n\chi_1 + 2ml\chi_1 + \chi_1) - \chi_1 \\ &= -(n + 2ml + 2)\chi_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow n\chi_1 \stackrel{?}{\parallel} (s, t_{2m\chi_1}) \cdot_l n\chi_1 \Leftrightarrow n \not\equiv ml + 1 \pmod{l}$$

$$(1, t_{2m\chi_1}) \cdot_l n\chi_1 = n\chi_1 + 2ml\chi_1 \Rightarrow n\chi_1 \stackrel{?}{\parallel} (1, t_{2m\chi_1}) \cdot_l n\chi_1 \Leftrightarrow m \stackrel{?}{\equiv} 0 \pmod{l}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (s_1 t_{2m'} x_1) \circ_d (-n-2ml-2) x_1 \\
 &= s_1 ((-n-2ml-2) x_1 + 2m'l x_1 + x_1) - x_1 \\
 &= (n+2ml-2m'l) \otimes x_1 \\
 \Rightarrow & n x_1 \leq (s_1 t_{2m'} x_1) \circ_d (-n-2ml-2) x_1 \Leftrightarrow m-m' \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

So, the above computation allows us to conclude that $(d^{-1}) x_1$ is minimal in its W^ℓ orbit.

Remark: In general, $(d^{-1})^f$ is the minimal element in its W^ℓ orbit.

As in the case of U^ℓ , we have the following theorem.

Theorem: For any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, $W_P(\lambda)$ has a unique simple quotient $L_P(\lambda)$ whose highest weight is λ . Moreover, any finite-dim simple U_P -module (of type 1) is isom. to $L_P(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

Remark: (i) We define the dual Weyl module $M_P(\lambda) := W_P(-\lambda^*)^*$. Then above theorem may be restated as ... has a unique submodule $L_P(\lambda)$,

(ii) We define the dual Weyl module as the dual of Weyl modules. In fact we can define it using an induction functor as we have seen in my first talk.
 $\text{Ind}_{U_P^{(0)}}^{U_P} : U_P^{(0)} \rightarrow U_P$, $U_P^{(0)}$ (resp.) U_P is the cat. of integrable $U_P^{(0)}$ (resp. U_P) modules. Then $M_P(\lambda) \cong \text{Ind}_{U_P^{(0)}}^{U_P} T_\lambda$.

(3)

(iii) The induction functor has the derived props

- (Frob. Reci) $\forall M \in \mathcal{C}_P^{\leq 0}$, $N \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma}$, then

$$\text{Hom}_{U_P}(N, \text{Ind}_{U_P}^{U_{\Gamma}} M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{U_{\Gamma}^{\leq 0}}(N, M)$$

- (Tensor Identity) $V : \Gamma$ -module, $M \in \mathcal{C}_P^{\leq 0}$. Then there is a U_P -module $\text{Ind}_{U_P}^{U_{\Gamma}} M \simeq \text{Ind}_{U_P^{\leq 0}}^{U_{\Gamma}}(V \otimes M)$.

- Higher derived functors

- Kerff's Vanishing Thm

For a more detailed discussion, see [APW].

- Thm (Weak Linkage Principle). Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$, if $\text{Ext}^1(L_P(\lambda_1), L_P(\lambda_2)) \neq 0$, then $\lambda_1 \in W \cdot \lambda_2$.

(4)

§ Steinberg Representations and Proj. Objects.

Again, we assume that $\text{char } T = 0$ in this section.

- Lemma. The Steinberg repn. $W_T((l-1)g)$ is simple.

Proof. It follows from the weak linkage principle and the fact that $((l-1)g)$ is minimal in its W^G orbit.

- Prop For any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, $W_P((l-1)g + l\lambda)$ is simple.

Proof. By definition, $W_P((l-1)g + l\lambda) \rightarrow L_P((l-1)g + l\lambda)$. We compare their dimensions.

By the Weyl dimension formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim W_P((l-1)g + l\lambda) &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \frac{\langle (l-1)g + l\lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle g, \alpha^\vee \rangle} = l^{|\Phi^+|} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \frac{\langle \lambda + g, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle g, \alpha^\vee \rangle} \\ &= l^{|\Phi^+|} \dim W_P(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

By Lusztig's Tensor Product Thm,

$$L_P((l-1)g + l\lambda) \simeq L_P((l-1)g) \otimes_{F^*} L(\lambda).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } L_P((l-1)g) &\simeq W_P((l-1)g), \dim(L_P((l-1)g + l\lambda)) = \dim W_P((l-1)g) \dim L(\lambda) \\ &= l^{|\Phi^+|} \dim L(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Note that as $U(g)$ -modules, $W_P(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)$ are have the same set of generators and relations, then $\dim W_P(\lambda) = \dim L(\lambda)$

□

Notations.

$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}$: the cat. of all finite-dim U_f -modules of type I.

Thm. S^t is a proj. object in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}$.

Proof It suffices to show that

$$\text{Ext}_{U_f}^1(S^t, L(\lambda)) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda^+ \quad (*)$$

Weak Linkage principle \Rightarrow suffices to show this for $\emptyset \neq \lambda \in W_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(l-1)f} \cap \Lambda^+$. Thus, we let $\lambda = (l-1)f + l\mu$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda^+$. We have shown that $W_f((l-1)f + l\lambda) \cong L_f((l-1)f + l(\lambda))$. Thus, it suffices to prove that

$$\text{Ext}_{U_f}^1(S^t, W_f(\lambda)) = 0.$$

This follows from the following lemma

Lemma. $\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda^+$, $\text{Ext}_{U_f}^1(W_f(\lambda_1), M_f(\lambda_2)) = 0$

The above lemma essentially follows from the universal prop. of quantum Weyl modules

□

Remark. $S^t \cong S^{t^*} \Rightarrow S^t$ is also proj.

Lemma. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, \exists an embedding $L(\lambda) \hookrightarrow S^t \otimes E$ for some $E \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Lusztig's Tensor Product Thm \Rightarrow may assume that λ is a restricted weight. Then, $\mu := (l-1)f - \lambda \in \Lambda^+$, and the natural $U_f^{\otimes 0}$ -homomorphism $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu) \rightarrow F_{(l-1)f}$ gives rise to a U_f -homomorphism $L(\lambda) \hookrightarrow L(\mu)^* \otimes S^t$.

□

(6)

• Thm. (i) \mathcal{F}_P has enough injectives. Moreover, any injective object is a direct summand of $St \otimes E$, for some $E \in \mathcal{F}_P$.

(ii) Injectives \Leftrightarrow Projectives in \mathcal{F}_P .

Proof (i) Recall that $\forall M \in \mathcal{F}_P$, $Soc(M) :=$ sum of its irred. submodules.

Then $Soc(M) = \bigoplus_{M_i \in \mathcal{N}^+} L_P(u_i)$. Then $Soc(M) \hookrightarrow St \otimes E$ for some $E \in \mathcal{F}_P$, and $St \otimes E$ is proj. So, we get $M \hookrightarrow St \otimes E$.

(ii) Let M be an inj. object. Then $M \hookrightarrow St \otimes E$ as a direct summand since $St \otimes E$ is proj, then M is proj. The converse is obvious.