

Formal Loops

Daishi introduced a linear map $\mathcal{L}_g \rightarrow \text{Vect}(LU)$ and checked that it's a Lie algebra homomorphism when $g = \mathfrak{SL}_2$.

The goal of this note is to explain why it's a Lie algebra homomorphism for general g .

1.0) Discussion

In the notes by Evans we have seen that jets behave nicely w.r.t. gluing: if $X = U_1 \cup U_2$ is the union of opens, then $\mathcal{J}X$ is glued from $\mathcal{J}U_1, \mathcal{J}U_2$ along their common open subscheme $\mathcal{J}(U_1 \cap U_2)$.

For loops, this is not the case: $\mathcal{L}(U_1 \cap U_2)$ is not open, in $\mathcal{L}(U_i)$ in any reasonable sense. Because of this, in general one cannot even define loops into a non-affine scheme (as an ind-scheme), in particular, one cannot pass from (non-existing) $\mathcal{L}(G/B^-)$ to LU .

"Formal loops" remedy this problem.

1.1) Definition of formal loops.

Let's examine the relationship between \mathcal{LA}' (whose R -points are the Laurent series $\sum_i a_i z^i$ ($a_i \in R$)) and \mathcal{LG}_m (whose R -points are the invertible Laurent series $\sum_i a_i z^i$). The latter set of R -points looks very differently from $\{\sum_i a_i z^i \mid a_0 \text{ is invertible}\}$.

Now fix $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and consider the functor $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} A'$ sending R to $\{\sum_i a_i z^i \mid a_i \in R; a_i = 0 \nrightarrow i < -k; a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n} = 0 \nrightarrow i_1, \dots, i_n < 0\}$

If R has no nilpotents, then $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} A'(R) = \mathcal{JA}'(R)$ but the two functors are different. As \mathcal{JA}' , $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} A'$ is an affine scheme (highly non-reduced).

Crucial exercise: Let $\sum_i a_i z^i \in \mathcal{L}_{n,k} A'(R)$. Then $\sum_i a_i z^i$ is invertible in $R((z))$ if $a_i \neq 0$. The inverse lies in $\mathcal{L}_{n,n+k} A'(R)$.

One can define $\mathcal{L}_{n,k} X$ for any affine scheme. Consider the limit $\mathcal{L}_n X = \varprojlim_k \mathcal{L}_{n,k} X$. The corresponding topological algebra of functions in the case $X = A'$ is the completion of $\mathbb{C}[a_i]_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} / (a_j \mid j < 0)^n$

with respect to the inverse system of ideals $(a_j \mid j < -k)$

Exercise: Use Crucial exercise to deduce an isomorphism between the induced completion of $(\mathbb{C}[a_i]/(a_j \mid j < 0))^n[a_0^{-1}]$ & the topological algebra corresponding to $\bigcap_n \mathbb{G}_m$.

Definition: By the ind-scheme of **formal loops** into X (an affine scheme of finite type) we mean $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}X := \varinjlim_n \mathcal{L}_n X = \varinjlim_{n,k} \mathcal{L}_{n,k}(X)$.

As the previous discussion suggests, the ind-schemes $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}U_i$ glue nicely over an open affine cover $X = \bigcup U_i$; for a general finite type scheme X . The geometric meaning of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}X$ for X affine is that $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}X$ is the formal neighborhood of $\mathcal{J}X$ in $\mathcal{L}X$.

For more on formal loops see

M. Kapranov, E. Vasserot "Vertex algebras & formal loop space."

1.2) Application

Let G be an algebraic group acting on a smooth variety X & $U \subset X$ be an open affine. Note that $\widehat{L}G$ is a group ind-scheme acting on $\widehat{L}X$. Since $\widehat{L}G$ is the formal neighborhood of LG in $\widehat{L}G$, the Lie algebras of $\widehat{L}G$ & LG coincide (with $g((t))$). The action of $\widehat{L}G$ on $\widehat{L}X$ gives a Lie algebra homomorphism $g((t)) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\widehat{L}X)$. Also we have the restriction homomorphism $\text{Vect}(\widehat{L}X) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\widehat{L}U)$.

Now apply this construction to the situation of interest: G is a simple group, $X = G/B_-$, $U = N_r B_-/B_-$.

Note that we have the restriction map $\text{Vect}(L_U) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\widehat{L}U)$ & its injective & a Lie algebra homomorphism ($\mathbb{C}[L_U]$ is a subalgebra in $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{L}U]$ & every continuous derivation of $\mathbb{C}[L_U]$ extends to $\mathbb{C}[\widehat{L}U]$ - this is an exercise on the definitions of L_U & $\widehat{L}U$). It remains to observe that the Lie algebra homomorphism $g((t)) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\widehat{L}U)$ factors through $\text{Vect}(L_U)$, this follows from the construction

in Sec 3.4 of Daishi's talk.