

In this talk we compute the quantum connection of  $T^*G/B$ , identify its monodromy with the action of the affine braid group from Mitya Vaintrob's talk, and briefly discuss the heuristics for this identification. The material is almost entirely from [1], but of course all errors are mine.

## 1 Review of the geometry of $T^*G/B$

Let  $G$  be a complex semisimple simply-connected Lie group. We write  $T$  for a maximal torus, and  $g, t$  for the respective lie algebras.

### 1.1 Symplectic Resolutions

Let  $X$  be a smooth algebraic variety equipped with a holomorphic symplectic form  $\Omega$ . Let  $X_0$  be the affinization of  $X$ , i.e. the spectrum of the ring of algebraic functions on  $X$ . There is a natural map  $\pi : X \rightarrow X_0$ .

**Definition:** We call  $X$  a symplectic resolution if  $\pi$  is birational and proper, and there is an action of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  which dilates the symplectic form by a nonzero character  $\hbar$ .

**Proposition:**  $T^*G/B$ , equipped with the canonical holomorphic symplectic form and the  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action dilating the cotangent fibers, is a symplectic resolution. Its affinization is  $X_0 = \mathcal{N}$ , the cone of nilpotent elements in  $g$ .

For more details, see [2]. Many of the techniques we use below apply to a general symplectic resolution: other examples include  $T^*G/P$  for a general parabolic  $P$ , resolutions of slices to nilpotent orbits,  $Hilb_n(\mathbb{C}^2)$  and more generally Nakajima quiver varieties, and hypertoric varieties.

### 1.2 Cohomology and Curve Classes

$G$  acts on  $G/B$ , hence acts symplectically on  $T^*G/B$ . Let  $\mathbf{G} = G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on  $T^*G/B$  by dilating the cotangent fibers by a character  $\hbar$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbf{G}}^*(T^*G/B, \mathbb{Z}) &= H_{\mathbf{G}}^*(G/B) \\ &= H_G^*(G/B) \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(pt) \\ &= H_B^*(pt) \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(pt) \\ &= H_T^*(pt) \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(pt) \\ &= Sym(P) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\hbar] \\ &= \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\hbar] \end{aligned}$$

where  $T$  is a maximal torus for  $G$ ,  $n$  is the rank of  $G$  and  $P$  is the weight lattice. In particular, we have  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = P$  and  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = t^*$ , where  $t = Lie(T)$ .

Every positive coroot  $\alpha^\vee \in Hom(P, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  corresponds an  $SL_2$  subgroup  $G_{\alpha^\vee} \subset G$ . Its orbits in  $G/B$  are rational curves of class  $\alpha^\vee$ . These generate the effective cone of  $T^*G/B$ .

### 1.3 Action of the graded affine Hecke Algebra on cohomology

In Yi Sun's talk, we saw an action of the Weyl group  $W$  on the cohomology of Springer fibers. Here we describe the equivariant analogue of this action on the Springer fiber  $G/B$ ; we will use it later to describe quantum multiplication.

Recall that  $Z = T^*G/B \times_{\mathcal{N}} T^*G/B$  is a union of lagrangians in  $T^*G/B \times T^*G/B$  indexed by the Weyl group. Any class  $\gamma \in H_{\mathbf{G}}^*(Z)$  (thought of as equivariant Borel-Moore homology) defines an endomorphism of  $H_{\mathbf{G}}^*(T^*G/B)$  by

$$\gamma(\theta) = (\pi_2)_*\gamma \cap \pi_1^*\theta.$$

As before, these endomorphisms form an algebra under convolution. Recall that the degenerate affine Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}'$  is generated by the symmetric algebra  $Sym(t^*) = \mathbb{C}[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  and the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}W$ , subject to the relation

$$s_i u - s_i(u)s_i = \hbar(\alpha_i, u)$$

for any simple reflection  $s_i$  and linear generator  $u \in t^*$ . The definition we use in this chapter is slightly narrower than the one previously introduced.

**Theorem:** [3] There is an isomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{H}' \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathbf{G}}^*(Z)$ , where the RHS is viewed as a convolution algebra. We have

$$\phi(u_{\lambda}) = c_1(L_{\lambda}), \lambda \in P$$

where  $L_{\lambda}$  is the equivariant line bundle associated to  $\lambda$ , supported along the diagonal component of  $Z$ . We also have

$$\phi(s_i - 1) = [Z_i]$$

where  $s_i$  is a simple reflection, and  $Z_i$  is defined as follows. Let  $P_i \subset G$  be the parabolic corresponding to  $s_i$ . Let  $Y_i = G/B \times_{G/P_i} G/B$ . Then  $Z_i = N_{Y_i}^*$ , the conormal bundle of  $Y$  in  $T^*G/B \times T^*G/B$ .

We therefore have an action of  $\mathcal{H}'$  on  $H_{\mathbf{G}}^*(T^*G/B) = \mathbb{C}[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ . Lusztig also describes the representation explicitly:

**Theorem:** [3] Under  $\phi$ ,  $u_{\lambda}$  acts by multiplication, while  $s_i$  acts by the following 'discrete derivative':

$$(1 - s_i)f(u) = (f(u) - f(s_i(u))) \left(1 - \frac{\hbar}{\alpha_i}\right)$$

### 1.4 Poisson deformations of a symplectic resolution

The poisson deformations of  $X$  are classified by the image of  $\Omega$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ . In our case,  $H^2(T^*G/B, \mathbb{C}) = t^*$ , and the space of poisson deformations coincides with the Grothendieck simultaneous resolution. Non-affine deformations live

over certain ‘root hyperplanes’  $H_\alpha \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ ; for  $T^*G/B$ , these are the usual root hyperplanes.

The fiber over a generic point of  $t^*$  is the affine space  $G/T$ , whereas  $T^*G/B$  is the fiber over zero. The fiber  $X_\alpha$  over a generic point of a root hyperplane  $H_\alpha$  is described as follows:

Let  $T_\alpha \subset T$  be the kernel of  $\alpha$ , and let  $L_\alpha \subset G$  be the centralizer of  $T_\alpha$ . We have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow L_\alpha \rightarrow PGL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 1$$

which defines an action of  $L_\alpha$  on  $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$ , via the action of  $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Proposition:** The generic fiber over the hyperplane  $H_\alpha$  is given by

$$X_\alpha = G \times_{L_\alpha} T^*\mathbb{P}^1.$$

## 2 Computing the quantum cohomology of a symplectic resolution

### 2.1 Triviality of non-equivariant quantum cohomology

The ordinary quantum cohomology of a symplectic resolution is equal to the classical cohomology ring. In our case, this can be seen directly, since  $T^*G/B$  deforms to the affine space  $G/T$ , whose Gromov-Witten invariants vanish (recall that Gromov-Witten invariants are invariant under deformations of the complex structure).

However, there is no  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant deformation to an affine space, and in fact the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant quantum cohomology is non-trivial, as we will see.

#### 2.1.1 Quantum product from the deformation

For a divisor  $u$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ , we have

$$\langle \gamma_1, u, \gamma_2 \rangle_{0,3,\beta}^{X, \mathbf{G}} = (u, \beta) \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle_{0,2,\beta}^{X, \mathbf{G}}$$

by the divisor equation (the superscript  $\mathbf{G}$  indicates equivariant invariants). If cohomology is generated by divisors, as is the case for  $T^*G/B$ , the quantum cohomology is thus determined by the two-point invariants.

One can rewrite them as follows. We have

$$\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle_{0,2,\beta}^{X, \mathbf{G}} = \langle L_\beta(\gamma_1), \gamma_2 \rangle^{\mathbf{G}}.$$

where

$$L_\beta = (\text{ev}_1 \times \text{ev}_2)_* [\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(X, \beta)]^{vir} \in H_{2\dim X - 1}^{BM, \mathbf{G}}(X \times X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Our task is therefore to characterize  $L_\beta$ . We know that  $L_\beta$  vanishes in ordinary cohomology for  $\beta \neq 0$ , i.e. it should be divisible by  $\hbar$ . To understand the quotient by  $\hbar$ , we use the deformations of  $T^*G/B$ .

Choose a line  $l = \mathbb{C} \subset t^*$  through the origin, not contained in any root hyperplane. Consider the total space  $\pi : X(l) \rightarrow l$  of the deformation of  $T^*G/B$  over this line;  $\pi$  has fiber  $T^*G/B = X$  over 0, and  $G/T$  everywhere else. Since there is only one non-affine fiber, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(X(l), \beta) = \mathcal{M}_{0,2}(X, \beta).$$

However, the virtual fundamental classes differ:

**Theorem:** [1]

$$[\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(X, \beta)]^{vir} = \hbar [\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(X(l), \beta)]^{vir}$$

We write

$$(ev_1 \times ev_2)_* [\mathcal{M}_{0,2}(X(l), \beta)]^{vir} = L_\beta^{red}.$$

The image of a rational curve  $C$  must lie in a single fiber of the affinization map, since all algebraic functions are constant on  $C$ . It follows that  $L_\beta$  and  $L_\beta^{red}$  are supported on the Steinberg variety. Moreover, recall that

$$\dim [\mathcal{M}_{0,n}(X(l), \beta)]^{vir} = \dim X(l) + c_1(TX(l), \beta) + n - 3.$$

Hence we have

$$\dim L_\beta^{red} = \dim X + 1 + 0 + 2 - 3 = \dim X.$$

It follows that  $L_\beta^{red}$  must be a linear combination of components of  $Z$  with rational coefficients. Using the isomorphism  $\phi$ , we schematically write

$$L_\beta^{red} = \sum_{w \in W} c_w w$$

where  $c_w \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We must now determine the coefficients  $c_w$ . Since we are looking for rational numbers, it is enough to work in non-equivariant cohomology. This allows us to perturb  $l$ , which we do in the next section.

## 2.2 Reduction to rank 1

Choose a generic shift  $l + a$  of  $l$ , which intersects the hyperplanes  $H_\alpha$  in distinct points. Let  $\pi_a : X(l + a) \rightarrow l + a$  be the family above  $l + a$ .

The generic fiber is again  $G/T$ , while the fibers  $X_\alpha$  over the intersections with  $H_\alpha$  are  $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$  fibrations over  $G/L_\alpha$ , as described in ???. Each such fiber  $X_\alpha$  contains a unique primitive curve class  $\alpha^\vee$  corresponding to a positive root.

Invariance of Gromov-Witten invariants with respect to deformations of the complex structure implies

$$\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle_{0,2,\beta}^{X(l)} = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle_{0,2,\beta}^{X(l+a)}$$

Since the domain of a stable map is connected, its image in  $X(l + a)$  must lie in a single fiber  $X_\alpha$ , hence the only curve classes which contribute are multiples  $m\alpha^\vee$  of the positive root classes.

One must hence compute the class  $L_{m\alpha}$  where  $\alpha$  is a positive root. The Steinberg variety of  $X_\alpha$  has two components: the diagonal  $\Delta$  and the fiber product of the natural  $\mathbb{P}^1$  fibration over  $G/L_\alpha$ , which we denote  $Z_\alpha$ . We have

$$L_{m\alpha} = c_0 \Delta + c_1 Z_\alpha \in H_{\dim X}^{BM}(X_\alpha \times X_\alpha)$$

One can show [1] that the computation of  $c_0, c_1$  reduces to that for a single fiber  $T^*\mathbb{P}^1$  above  $G/L_\alpha$ . We have already seen the answer:

$$c_0 = 0, c_1 = \frac{1}{m}.$$

We now describe the action of  $L_\alpha$  on  $H_G^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ . More precisely, we have an equality of non-equivariant cohomology

$$H^*(X_\alpha, \mathbb{C}) = H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$$

which allows us to identify  $Z_\alpha$  with a class in  $H^*(X \times X)$ . This class is a unique rational linear combination of components of the Steinberg variety of  $X$ . Then  $L_\alpha$  is the natural equivariant lift of this rational linear combination. One sees

$$L_\alpha = \phi(s_\alpha - 1) \tag{1}$$

We can now describe the operator of quantum multiplication by a divisor  $u$ . By the above, we have

$$u* = u + \hbar \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \sum_m (u, m\alpha^\vee) q^{m\alpha^\vee} \frac{1}{m} (s_\alpha - 1) \tag{2}$$

Since the sum over  $m$  is a geometric series, we can write the analytic continuation of the quantum product as

$$u* = u + \hbar \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} (u, \alpha^\vee) \frac{q^{\alpha^\vee}}{1 - q^{\alpha^\vee}} (s_\alpha - 1) \tag{3}$$

### 3 The Monodromy of the Quantum Connection

Formula 3 shows that the quantum connection of  $T^*G/B$  is

$$\nabla_u = \frac{d}{du} - u - \hbar \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} (u, \alpha^\vee) \frac{q^{\alpha^\vee}}{1 - q^{\alpha^\vee}} (s_\alpha - 1) \tag{4}$$

It is a meromorphic connection on the trivial vector bundle  $E$  with fiber  $H_G^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  and base the torus  $H^2(T^*G/B, \mathbb{C})/H^2(T^*G/B, \mathbb{Z}) = t^*/P = T^\vee$ , i.e. the adjoint torus for the Langlands dual group  $G^L$ . It is nonsingular on

$$(T^\vee)^{reg} = T^\vee \setminus \{q^{\alpha^\vee} = 1\}$$

After a gauge transform given by the function  $\delta^\hbar = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (q^{\alpha^\vee} - 1)^\hbar$ , it becomes the affine KZ connection studied by Cherednik and Matsuo:

$$\nabla'_u = \frac{d}{du} - u - \hbar \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} (u, \alpha^\vee) \frac{s_\alpha}{q^{\alpha^\vee} - 1}.$$

We recall a few facts from Yaping Yang's talk. There is an action of the Weyl group on the torus which lifts to an action on  $E$ , with respect to which  $\nabla'$  is equivariant. Hence it descends to a connection on the quotient  $(T^\vee)^{reg}/W$ . We have

$$\pi_1(T^\vee)^{reg}/W = \hat{B}_{g^L}$$

(since the action of  $W$  is not free, this is an orbifold  $\pi_1$ ) and the monodromy of  $\nabla'$  factors through the map

$$\hat{B}_{g^L} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{g^L}$$

to the affine Hecke algebra (note: this is the usual affine Hecke algebra, not the graded one).

Similarly, the action of  $\hat{B}_{g^L}$  on  $D^bCoh_{\mathbf{G}}(T^*G/B)$  described in chapter ?? descends to an action on K-theory which again factors through  $\mathbb{H}_{g^L}$ . Using an appropriate character map from K-theory to cohomology, one can show that the two actions coincide.

### 3.1 Commuting difference equation

As we have just seen, the monodromy of the quantum connection comes from an action on K-theory. In particular, the K-theoretic action is linear over  $K_{\mathbf{G}}(pt) = Rep(\mathbf{G})$ , the ring of finite dimensional representations of  $\mathbf{G}$ , and any monodromy operator can be written as a matrix with entries in  $K_{\mathbf{G}}(pt)$ . Under the character map to cohomology, an element  $V \in K_{\mathbf{G}}(pt)$  is quite literally sent to its character. For instance, the basic representation of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is sent to  $e^{2\pi i \hbar}$ . In particular, the monodromy matrix is invariant under shifts  $\hbar \rightarrow \hbar + 1$ , and shifts of the equivariant parameters of  $G$  by elements of  $P^\vee$ .

This implies that for any  $s \in P^\vee \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , we have an intertwiner  $S(s, q) : E \rightarrow E$

$$S(s, q)\nabla(a) = \nabla(a + s)S(s, q)$$

where  $\nabla(a)$  is the quantum connection with equivariant parameters  $a$ . The  $S(s, q)$  form a commuting family of difference operators. Such operators were originally constructed by Seidel in a different setting. In the case of  $T^*G/B$ , they are the shift operators described by Opdam.

### 3.2 Heuristics

Why is the monodromy of a quantum connection related to automorphisms of  $D^bCoh(X)$ ? We can only give a very schematic and conjectural answer here.

Briefly, under a phenomenon called homological mirror symmetry, pioneered by Kontsevich, one expects  $D^bCoh(X)$  to be identified with a variant of the Fukaya category  $D^\pi Fuk(Y)$  of some symplectic manifold  $Y$ , and vice-versa with  $X$  and  $Y$  interchanged. The objects of the Fukaya category are lagrangian submanifolds of  $Y$  (with some extra data), and the morphisms encode intersections of these lagrangians.

Just as  $X$  carries a family of (complexified) symplectic structures parametrized by an open set  $U \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $Y$  will carry a family of complex structures parametrized by the same set, in other words, one really has a ‘mirror family’ of complex manifolds  $Y_b, b \in U$ , all with the same symplectic structure.

The identification of  $D^bCoh(X)$  and the Fukaya category induces an identification of  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$  with  $H^*(Y, \mathbb{C})$ , such that the quantum connection of  $X$  is

mapped to the Gauss-Manin connection of the family  $Y_b$ . The latter is the flat connection induced by the continuous family of lattices  $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^*(Y, \mathbb{C})$ .

Given a family of symplectomorphic spaces such as  $Y_b$ , one can often produce a ‘symplectic connection’ which associates to a path in the base a symplectomorphism between the fibers. Up to a hamiltonian isotopy, this symplectomorphism depends only on the homotopy class of the path. Since two objects of the Fukaya category are isomorphic if they are related by a Hamiltonian isotopy, we obtain a ‘flat connection’ on the bundle of Fukaya categories associated to  $Y_b$ . Its monodromy produces automorphisms of  $D^\pi \text{Fuk}(Y)$ , lifting the monodromy of the Gauss-Manin connection.

Since mirror symmetry identifies  $D^b \text{Coh}(X)$  and (a variant of)  $D^\pi \text{Fuk}(Y)$ , one obtains automorphisms of the former. Since the Gauss-Manin connection should match the quantum connection, these automorphisms should match the monodromy of the quantum connection.

Unfortunately (or fortunately), much of this remains to be proven.

## References

- [1] Alexander Braverman, Davesh Maulik, and Andrei Okounkov, *Quantum cohomology of the springer resolution*, Advances in Mathematics **227** (2011), no. 1, 421–458.
- [2] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Springer, 2009.
- [3] George Lusztig, *Cuspidal local systems and graded hecke algebras, i*, Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS **67** (1988), no. 1, 145–202.