

Wakimoto modules

§0. Setup

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{f}$
 \mathfrak{g} = simple Lie alg., $\kappa = \text{adj-inv. bilinear form} \rightsquigarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_\kappa$

$\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Killing form}, \kappa_c = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}$

$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus \mathbb{C} \cdot J_\alpha, \{J_\alpha\}$ is a weighted basis of \mathfrak{g}

$$\begin{aligned} G \subset G_{N_+} \supset B_{\mathfrak{g}} &\rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(B_{\mathfrak{g}})^H = \text{Vect}(N_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}[N_+] \otimes \mathfrak{f} \\ &\simeq \text{Vect}(N_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}[n_+] \otimes \mathfrak{f} \\ &\simeq \text{Sym} n_+^* \otimes n_+ \oplus \text{Sym} n_+^* \otimes \mathfrak{f} \\ \rightsquigarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) &\rightarrow D(B_{\mathfrak{g}})^H = D(N_+) \otimes U(\mathfrak{f}) \end{aligned}$$

differential
operators

affine analogue

(*) Thm 6.2.1 \exists map of \mathbb{Z} -graded VA (satisfying some conditions)

$$w_\kappa: V_{\kappa(\mathfrak{g})} \longrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes V_{\kappa-\kappa_c}(\mathfrak{f})$$

universal
enveloping alg

$$\widetilde{U}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{g})} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^\mathfrak{g} \hat{\otimes} \widetilde{U}_{\kappa-\kappa_c}(\mathfrak{f})$$

Def of $M_{\mathfrak{g}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Gamma} &\longrightarrow \Gamma = n_+[[t]] \oplus n_+^*[[t]] dt \\ &\cup \quad [xf, yg] = \langle x, y \rangle \text{Res } f dg \cdot 1 \\ \Gamma_+ &= n_+[[t]] \oplus n_+^*[[t]] dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^\mathfrak{g} = \widetilde{U(\widehat{\Gamma})}_{(1,-1)} \subset M_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}1}^{\widehat{\Gamma}} \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$$

Def of $V_\nu(\mathfrak{f})$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_\nu &\longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_\nu^{(ct)} \\ &\cup \quad [xf, yg] = -\nu(x, y) \text{Res } f dg \cdot 1 \\ &\quad \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}^{[[t]]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \widetilde{U}_\nu(\mathfrak{f}) = \widetilde{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_\nu)}_{(1,-1)} \subset V_\nu(\mathfrak{f}) = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_\nu^{[[t]]} \oplus \mathbb{C}1}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_\nu} \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$$

$$\lambda \in \mathfrak{f}^* \rightsquigarrow \pi_\nu^\lambda := \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_\nu^{[[t]]} \oplus \mathbb{C}1}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_\nu} \mathbb{C}|\lambda\rangle \in \text{Mod}_{\widetilde{U}_\nu(\mathfrak{f})}$$

$$b \otimes t^n |\lambda\rangle = \delta_{n,0} \lambda(b) |\lambda\rangle \quad (b \in \mathfrak{f})$$

$$1|\lambda\rangle = |\lambda\rangle$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \widetilde{U}_\kappa(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^\mathfrak{g} \hat{\otimes} \widetilde{U}_{\kappa-\kappa_c}(\mathfrak{f}) \subset M_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \pi_{\kappa-\kappa_c}^\lambda =: W_{\lambda, \kappa} \in \text{Mod}_{\widetilde{U}_\kappa(\mathfrak{g})}$$

this is called Wakimoto module of level κ , highest wt λ .

Example

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \quad , \quad \kappa_0(X, Y) = \text{tr}(XY)$$

$$\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} = 4\kappa_0, \quad \kappa_c = -2\kappa_0, \quad \kappa = k \cdot \kappa_0$$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}e \oplus \mathbb{C}h \oplus \mathbb{C}f \quad \kappa(e, f) = 1, \quad \kappa(h, h) = 2$$

copy of e copy of h

$$n_+ = \mathbb{C} \cdot a, \quad n_+^* = \mathbb{C}a^*, \quad \mathfrak{f} = \mathbb{C} \cdot b$$

$$\rightsquigarrow N_+ = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[a^*], \quad a = \frac{\partial}{\partial a^*} \in \text{Vect}(N_+)$$

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2 \longrightarrow \text{Sym} n_+^* \otimes n_+ \oplus \text{Sym} n_+^* \otimes \mathfrak{f} \simeq \text{Vect}(B_{\mathfrak{g}})^H$$

$$e \longmapsto a$$

$$h \longmapsto -2a^*a + b$$

$$f \longmapsto -a^{*2}a + a^*b$$

$$\widehat{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \Gamma = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cdot a_n \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} a_m^* \quad [a_n, a_m^*] = \delta_{n, -m} \cdot 1$$

$$M_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{A}^\mathfrak{g} \cdot |0\rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} a_n |0\rangle = 0 & n \geq 0 \\ a_m^* |0\rangle = 0 & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

annihilating operators

$$[T, a_n] = -na_{n-1}, \quad [T, a_n^*] = -(n-1)a_{n-1}^*$$

$$Y(a_{-1}|0\rangle, \bar{z}) = \sum a_n \bar{z}^{-n-1} =: a(\bar{z})$$

$$Y(a_0^*|0\rangle, \bar{z}) = \sum a_m^* \bar{z}^{-m} =: a^*(\bar{z})$$

monomial in a_n, a_m^* : move annihilating operators to the right

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{k+2} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{k+2}^{(ct)} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} b_n$$

$$[b_n, b_m] = 2(k+2)n \delta_{n, -m} \cdot 1$$

$$V_{k+2}(\mathfrak{f}) = \widehat{U}_{k+2}(\mathfrak{f}) \cdot |0\rangle \quad \text{where} \quad b_n |0\rangle = 0 \quad n \geq 0$$

$$Y(b_{-1}|0\rangle, \bar{z}) = \sum b_n \bar{z}^{-n-1} =: b(\bar{z})$$

$$[T, b_n] = -n b_{n-1}$$

They will play essential role in the proof of FF center thm.

When $x = x_c$, $\widetilde{U}_c(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Fun}(\mathfrak{g}^{*(+t)})$

$$w_{x_c}: \widetilde{U}_{x_c}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \hat{\otimes} \text{Fun}(\mathfrak{g}^{*(+t)})$$

$$\chi(t) \in \mathfrak{g}^{*(+t)} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{U}_{x_c}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset M_{\mathfrak{g}} =: W_{\chi(t)} \in \text{Mod}_{\widetilde{U}_{x_c}(\mathfrak{g})}$$

called Wakimoto module of critical level

Rmk • $W_{\chi(t)}, W_{\lambda, \kappa} \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\mathcal{O} = \{ V \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}\text{-mod} \mid V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^*} V_{\lambda} \text{ weight space decompr., } \dim V_{\lambda} < \infty \}$$

affine Kac-Moody alg. Contain of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$

$$(\mathbb{C}1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{*(+t)}) \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_{m, \text{rot}} \cdot \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^* \text{ s.t. all weights } \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \widehat{\Phi}_+) \}$$

positive affine roots

- $W_{\chi(t)}$ are simple for some $\chi(t)$

§1. How to construct $V_{k(\mathfrak{g})} \longrightarrow V$?

Lem 6.1.1

Let $V = \mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra, the following data are in bijection

- A \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex alg. hom. $V_{k(\mathfrak{g})} \longrightarrow V$
- $x_{\alpha} \in V$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}$, $\deg x_{\alpha} = 1$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} w & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ x_{\alpha} = w(J_{\alpha, -1}|0\rangle) & & \end{array}$$

$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_k \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$ defines a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha, n} &\longmapsto x_{\alpha(n)} \\ 1 &\longmapsto \text{id} \end{aligned}$$

Proof only " \Leftarrow " needs a proof

$$y(x_{\alpha}, j)|0\rangle \in V[[j]] \Rightarrow x_{\alpha(n)}|0\rangle = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 0$$

universal
property
of induced
module

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{k(\mathfrak{g})} & \longrightarrow & V \\ J_{\alpha_1, n_1} \cdots J_{\alpha_m, n_m}|0\rangle & \longmapsto & x_{\alpha_1(n_1)} \cdots x_{\alpha_m(n_m)}|0\rangle \end{array}$$

Ex Check this is a map of VA

□

§2. (★) for $\widehat{sl_2}$

Theorem 6.2.1 for $\widehat{sl_2}$

Compare

$\exists w_k: V_k(sl_2) \longrightarrow M_{sl_2} \otimes V_{k+2}(j)$ map of VA s.t.

$$e_{-1}|0\rangle \longmapsto a_{-1}|0\rangle =: \tilde{e}_{-1}|0\rangle$$

$$h_{-1}|0\rangle \longmapsto (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle =: \tilde{h}_{-1}|0\rangle$$

$$f_{-1}|0\rangle \longmapsto (-a_0^{*2}a_{-1} + a_0^*b_{-1} + ka_{-1}^*)|0\rangle =: \tilde{f}_{-1}|0\rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha^* \quad a \quad b \\ \cap \quad \cap \quad \cap \\ sl_2 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^n \otimes n_+ \oplus \text{Sym}^n \otimes j \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} e \longmapsto a \\ h \longmapsto -2a^*a + b \\ f \longmapsto -a^{*2}a + a^*b \end{array}$$

Rmk finite dim'l formulas + deg + wt pin down RHS

Proof Denote $Y(e_{-1}|0\rangle, j) = e(j)$, $Y(\tilde{e}_{-1}|0\rangle, j) = \tilde{e}(j)$

Use thm 6.1.1, suffices to check commutator relations of $\tilde{e}_n, \tilde{h}_n, \tilde{f}_n$, hence suffices to check

w_k preserves OPE for $e(j) \cdot f(w)$, $h(j) \cdot f(w)$, $h(j) \cdot e(w)$

Proof for "e · f"

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}(j) \cdot \tilde{f}(w) &\sim \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{Y(\tilde{e}_{(n)} \tilde{f}_{-1}|0\rangle, w)}{(j-w)^{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{Y(a_n \cdot (-a_0^{*2}a_{-1} + a_0^*b_{-1} + ka_{-1}^*)|0\rangle, w)}{(j-w)^{n+1}} \in \mathbb{C}[j, w]((\frac{j}{w})) \\ &\stackrel{\text{only } n=0,1}{=} \frac{Y((-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle, w)}{j-w} + \frac{k}{(j-w)^2} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{h}(w)}{j-w} + \frac{k}{(j-w)^2} \\ e(j) \cdot f(w) &\sim \frac{h(w)}{j-w} + \frac{k}{(j-w)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Ex Do the same for $h \cdot f$, $h \cdot e$

more work

□

§3. Conformal structures in sl_2 -case

Assume $k \neq -2$

Recall $S_k = \frac{1}{2(k+2)}(e_{-1}f_{-1} + f_{-1}e_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}h_{-1}^2) |0\rangle \in V_k(sl_2)$ is a conformal vector, $S_k(j) := Y(S_k, j)$

$$\text{w/ central charge } c_k = \frac{3k}{k+2} \quad \text{i.e. } S_k(j)S_k(w) = \frac{c_k/2}{(j-w)^4} + O(\frac{1}{(j-w)^3})$$

Prop 6.2.2 $w_k: V_k(sl_2) \longrightarrow M_{sl_2} \otimes V_{k+2}(j)$ satisfies

$$w_k(S_k) = (a_{-1}a_{-1}^* + \frac{1}{4(k+2)}b_{-1}^2 - \frac{1}{2(k+2)}b_{-2}) |0\rangle$$

Proof $w_k(S_k)$ has deg -2, wt 0 ($\deg a_i = i, \deg a_i^* = i, \deg b_i = i$)

$$\Rightarrow w_k(S_k) \in \text{Span}(b_{-1}^2, b_{-2}, a_{-1}a_{-1}^* \quad \text{wt } a_i = 2, \text{ wt } a_i^* = -2, \text{ wt } b_i = 0)$$

$$a_{-2}a_0^*, a_{-1}^2a_0^{*2}, a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1}$$

only possible monomials s.t. $\deg = -2, \text{wt} = 0$

$$Y(w_k(S_k), j) = \sum L_n j^{-n-2}, \deg L_n = -n$$

Observation 1 $L_n \cdot P(a_0^*) |0\rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 0, P(a_0^*) \in \mathbb{C}[a_0^*]$

Proof $n > 0$ true for deg reason

$$\begin{aligned} n=0 \quad L_0 \cdot P(a_0^*) |0\rangle &= \frac{1}{2(k+2)}(e_0f_0 + f_0e_0 + \frac{1}{2}h_0^2 + \text{other terms}) \cdot P(a_0^*) |0\rangle \\ &\text{: deg 0 monomial: } P(a_0^*) |0\rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{monomial } \in \mathbb{C}[a_0^*, a_0] \Rightarrow "0" \\ &= \frac{1}{2(k+2)}(a_0 \cdot (-a_0^{*2}a_0) + (-a_0^{*2}a_0) \cdot a_0 + \frac{1}{2}(-2a_0^*a_0)^2) P(a_0^*) |0\rangle \\ &= 0 \quad \text{abuse of notation means putting annihilating to the right} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

However, the $()_{(1)}$ part of above monomials acts on $\mathbb{C}[a_0^*] \cdot |0\rangle$ by $(b_{-1}^2)_{(1)}|0\rangle$ similar for other terms
 $"0, 0, 0$

$$-a_0^*a_0, a_0^{*2}a_0^2, 0 \quad \text{viewed as differentiation operators on } \mathbb{C}[a_0^*]$$

$$\Rightarrow w_k(S_k) \in \text{Span}(b_{-1}^2, b_{-2}, a_{-1}a_{-1}^*, a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1}) |0\rangle$$

$\nwarrow \text{wt } 2, \deg \geq 0$

Observation 2 $L_n \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = 0 \quad n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle &= a_{-1}|0\rangle \\ &= "w_k(L_0 e_{-1}|0\rangle)" \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $(b_{-1}^2)_{(1)} \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = 0$

$$(b_{-2})_{(1)} \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = 0$$

$$(a_{-1}a_{-1}^*)_{(1)} \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = a_{-1}|0\rangle$$

$$(a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1})_{(1)} \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow w_k(S_k) \in (a_{-1}a_{-1}^* + \text{Span}(b_{-1}^2, b_{-2}, a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1})) \cdot |0\rangle$$

$$w_k(L_n h_{-1}|0\rangle)$$

$$\text{Observation 3} \quad L_n w_k(h_{-1}|0\rangle) = 0 \quad n > 0$$

$$L_0 w_k(h_{-1}|0\rangle) = w_k(h_{-1}|0\rangle)$$

$$w_k(L_0 h_{-1}|0\rangle)$$

On the other hand,

$$w_k(h_{-1}|0\rangle) = (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle$$

L₀-part

L₋₁-part

$$Y(b_{-1}^2|0\rangle, \bar{z}) \cdot (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle = 4(k+2)b_{-1}|0\rangle \cdot \bar{z}^{-2} + 0 \cdot \bar{z}^{-3} + \dots$$

$$Y(b_{-2}|0\rangle, \bar{z}) \cdot (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle = -4(k+2)|0\rangle \cdot \bar{z}^{-3}$$

all non-zero terms

$$Y(a_{-1}a_{-1}^*|0\rangle, \bar{z}) \cdot (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle = -2a_0^*a_{-1}|0\rangle \cdot \bar{z}^{-2} - 2|0\rangle \bar{z}^{-3}$$

$$-a_0a_1^*\bar{z}^{-2} - a_0a_1^*\bar{z}^{-3}$$

$$Y(a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1}|0\rangle, \bar{z}) \cdot (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle = (2(k+2)a_0^*a_{-1} + 2b_{-1})|0\rangle \bar{z}^{-2} + 0 \cdot \bar{z}^{-3} + \dots$$

$$(a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1} + a_0a_1^*b_{-1})\bar{z}^{-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow w_k(S_k) = (a_{-1}a_{-1}^* + \frac{1}{4(k+2)}b_{-1}^2 - \frac{1}{2(k+2)}b_{-2})|0\rangle$$

□

Rmk $a_{-1}^*a_{-1}|0\rangle \in M_{sl_2}$ is a conformal vector of M_{sl_2} w/ central charge 2

$\frac{1}{4(k+2)}b_{-1}^2 - \frac{1}{2(k+2)}b_{-2}$ is a conformal vector of $V_{k+2}\langle \bar{z} \rangle$ w/ central charge $\frac{k-4}{k+2}$

§4. (**) for general \mathfrak{g}

$\{\alpha_i\}$ = simple roots

$$\mathbb{C}[\alpha_i^* | \alpha \in \Delta_+] \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathbb{C}\alpha_i \quad (\alpha_i = \text{copy of } e_\alpha) \\ \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}b_i \quad (b_i = \text{copy of } h_i)$$

Recall $\mathfrak{g}_j \longrightarrow V_{\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{B}_+)}^H = \text{Sym}^{n_+^*} \otimes n_+ \oplus \text{Sym}^{n_+^*} \otimes f_j$

$$e_i \longmapsto \alpha_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} P_\beta^i(\underline{\alpha}) \alpha_\beta$$

$$h_i \longmapsto - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \beta(h_i) \alpha_\beta^* \alpha_\beta + b_i$$

$$f_i \longmapsto \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} Q_\beta^i(\underline{\alpha}) \cdot \alpha_\beta + \alpha_{\alpha_i}^* b_i$$

Affine
analogue

Thm 6.2.1 \exists map of VA

$$w_k: V_{k(\mathfrak{g})} \longrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes V_{x-k_c}(\underline{f})$$

$$e_{i,-1}|0\rangle \longmapsto (\alpha_{\alpha_{i,-1}} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} P_\beta^i(\underline{\alpha}) \alpha_{\beta,-1})|0\rangle \quad \text{deg } 1, \text{ wt } \alpha_i$$

$$h_{i,-1}|0\rangle \longmapsto (- \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \beta(h_i) \alpha_\beta^* \alpha_{\beta,-1} + b_{i,-1})|0\rangle \quad \text{deg } 1, \text{ wt } 0$$

$$f_{i,-1}|0\rangle \longmapsto (\sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} Q_\beta^i(\underline{\alpha}) \alpha_{\beta,-1} + \alpha_{\alpha_{i,-1}}^* b_{i,-1} + (c_i + (k-k_c)(e_i, f_i)) \alpha_{\alpha_{i,-1}}^*)|0\rangle \quad \text{deg } 1, \text{ wt } -\alpha_i$$

Rmk finite dim'l case + deg + wt pin down RHS except

§5. Conformal structures in general case

dual under $k-k_c$

Recall $S_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum J_{\alpha_i} J_{-\alpha_i}^\alpha |0\rangle$ is a conformal vector of $V_{k(\mathfrak{g})}$ ($k \neq k_c$)

$$Y(S_k|0\rangle, j) = \sum L_k j^{-k-2} \rightsquigarrow [L_k, J_{\alpha, n}] = -n J_{\alpha, n+m}$$

Prop 6.2.2

For $k \neq k_c$

$$w_k: V_{k(\mathfrak{g})} \longrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes V_{x-k_c}(\underline{f}) \text{ satisfies}$$

$$w_k(S_k) = (\underbrace{\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha_{\alpha,-1} \alpha_{\alpha,-1}^*}_{M_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes 1} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l b_{i,-1} b_{-1}^i}_{1 \otimes V_{k-k_c}(\underline{f})} - \underline{j}_{-2})|0\rangle \quad \text{dual under } k-k_c \text{ to } j \in \underline{f}^*$$

Proof Similar to sl_2 -case □

§6. Quasi-conformal structures

$$\text{Der}_{+}\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{L_1, L_2, \dots\}$$

Recall $\text{Der}\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{L_{-1}, L_0, L_1, \dots\}$, $L_k = -t^{k+1} \partial_t$

o

$$\text{Vir} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} L_i \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot C$$

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \frac{n^3-n}{12} \delta_{m,-n} \cdot C$$

Def A quasi-conformal structure on a \mathbb{Z} -graded VA is

$$\text{Der}\mathcal{O} \subset V \text{ s.t. }$$

- $[L_m, A_{(k)}] = \sum_{n=-1}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{n+1} (L_n \cdot A)_{(m+n-k)}$ for all $A \in V$

- $L_{-1} = T$

- $L_0 = \text{grading}$

- $\text{Der}_{+}\mathcal{O}$ acts nilpotently

e.g. A conformal vector $w \in V \rightsquigarrow Y(w, j) = \sum L_n j^{-n-2}$

$\rightsquigarrow L_n \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ $n = -1, 0, 1, \dots$

\rightsquigarrow quasi-conformal structure on V

e.g. For $V = V_{\kappa}(g)$ ($\kappa \neq \kappa_c$)

$$w = S_{\kappa} \rightsquigarrow L_n \cdot J_{a,m}|_0 = -m J_{a,m+n}|_0 \quad (*)$$

When $\kappa = \kappa_c$, S_{κ_c} doesn't exist, but $(*)$ still makes sense

and defining $\text{Der}\mathcal{O} \subset V_{\kappa_c}(g)$, which is a quasi-conformal structure