INVARIANTS OF JETS AND THE CENTER FOR $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$

IVAN KARPOV, IVAN LOSEV

Abstract. This is an expository talk for the student learning seminar on the representation theory of affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level. We develop the formalism of jet schemes and use it to compute the algebra of invariants for the action of the group $G[[t]]$ on its adjoint representation $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$. In turn, we use this computation to show that the center of $V_{\kappa_c}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is the polynomial algebra freely generated by the Sugawara modes. We then identify the center of $V_{\kappa_c}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ with the algebra of polynomial functions on the space of projective connections on the disc $D = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ thus getting a coordinate free description of the center. We moslty follow [2].

1. Invariants and the center

1.1. **Introduction.** Throughout the talk, the base field is $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. The corresponding connected algebraic group G acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ (via the adjoint representation), yielding G-actions by graded algebra automorphisms on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}](\cong S(\mathfrak{g}))$ and by filtered algebra automorphisms on the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ denote a Cartan subalgebra, and W be the corresponding Weyl group. The following is due to Chevalley:

Proposition 1.1.1. (A) *We have a graded algebra isomorphism* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$.

(B) *The algebras in (A) are isomorphic to the polynomial algebra in* $r := \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}$ *homogeneous generators, to be denoted by* $P_1, \ldots, P_r$.

It is also well-known due to Harish-Chandra (see, e.g., [3, Ch. 23]) that the center $\mathcal{Z}(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ as a filtered algebra. The Harish-Chandra theorem can be viewed as a finite dimensional counterpart of the main result for the seminar: a description of the center of the completed universal enveloping algebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at the critical level.

We write $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$, $G_{\mathcal{O}}$ for the group of $\mathcal{O}$-points of G and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{O}}$ for its Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathcal{O}$, compare to [5, Section 3]. The main goal of the first part of the talk is to get an analog of Proposition 1.1.1 for the action of the group $G_{\mathcal{O}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{O}}$: we will see that the elements $P_{i,n}$ with $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $n < 0$ introduced in [5, Section 3.4] are free generators of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{O}}]^{G_{\mathcal{O}}}$. We will use this to show that the Sugawara modes $S_n|0\rangle \in V_{\kappa_c}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ (with $n \leqslant -2$) generate the center of $V_{\kappa_c}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

1.2. **Jet schemes.** In order to compute the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{O}}]^{G_{\mathcal{O}}}$ we will need the formalism of jet schemes (a.k.a. arc spaces).

1.2.1. *Definition via functor of points.* Let CommAlg denote category of commutative associative unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebras, its opposite category is identified with the category of affine schemes over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, an arbitrary scheme X over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ gives rise to its *functor of points*

$$\operatorname{Mor}(\operatorname{Spec}(?), X) : \mathrm{CommAlg} \to \mathrm{Sets}$$

sending an algebra R to the *set of R-points of X*. One recovers X uniquely from its functor of points, however, not every functor CommAlg $\to$ Sets is representable (i.e., is a functor of points for a scheme).

Definition 1.2.1. *Let X be a finite type scheme over* $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$*. We define the* jet functor *of X*

$$J_X : \mathrm{CommAlg} \to \mathrm{Sets}$$

by sending R to the set of all morphisms $\operatorname{Spec}(R[[t]]) \to X$ *(of schemes over* $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$*).*

Proposition 1.2.2. *The functor J_X is represented by a scheme to be denoted by JX and called the* jet scheme *(a.k.a.* arc space*) of X.*

We will sketch a proof (and a construction of JX) below in this section.

We also note that for general Yoneda reasons, J is a functor (from the category of finite type schemes to the category of schemes). For a morphism $\varphi : X \to Y$ we write $J\varphi$ for the induced morphism $JX \to JY$.

1.2.2. *Affine case.* We first give a constructive proof of Proposition 1.2.2 in the case when X is affine.

Example 1.2.3. *First, set $X = \mathbb{A}^m = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m])$. For an arbitrary commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra R, the set of $R[[t]]$-points of X is*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{Alg}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m], R[[t]]).$$

Of course, any algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m] \to R[[t]]$ is uniquely determined from the images $\phi(x_i)$ that are formal power series

$$\phi(x_i) = \sum_{n<0} a_{i,n} t^{-n-1}, a_{i,n} \in R.$$

Thus, the set of R-point of JX is the set $\{a_{i,n} \in R | i = 1, \ldots, m, n < 0\}$ and hence

$$JX = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x_{i,n} | i = 1, \ldots, m, n < 0].$$

Example 1.2.4. *Now we consider the case when X is a general finite type affine scheme over* $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$*, it can be defined as*

$$\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]/(F_1, \ldots, F_k)).$$

The same reasoning as in the Example 1.2.3 shows that the set $Mor(\operatorname{Spec}(R), JX)$ can be be identified with the set of $a_i(t) := \phi(x_i) \in R[[t]]$ such that

$$F_j(a_1(t), \ldots, a_n(t)) = 0 \tag{1.2.1}$$

for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

To describe this set of formal power series, consider the algebra $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{C}[x_{i,n}]$ (cf. Example 1.2.3). Define a derivation $T \in \operatorname{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{R})$ on the free generators by:

$$T : x_{j,n} \mapsto -n x_{j,n-1}.$$

Now, define $F_j^{\#} := F_j(x_{i,-1})$. One can show that the system of equations (1.2.1) is equivalent to $T^\ell F_j^{\#} = 0$ for all possible $\ell \geqslant 0$ and $j = 1, \ldots, k$. So for JX we can take the closed subscheme of $J\mathbb{A}^m$ given by the equations $T^\ell F_j^{\#}$:

$$JX = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}/(T^\ell F_j^{\#})).$$

Remark 1.2.5. *We have an algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[X] \to \mathbb{C}[JX]$ sending $F = F(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ to $F^{\#}$ defined by $F(x_{1,-1}, \ldots, x_{m,-1})$. It yields a scheme morphism $JX \to X$.*

Exercise 1.2.6. *Let X, Y be finite type affine schemes (over* $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$*). Identify $J(X \times Y)$ with $JX \times JY$. More precisely, let $\pi_1 : X \times Y \to X$ and $\pi_2 : X \times Y \to Y$ be the projections. Then $J\pi_1 \times J\pi_2 : J(X \times Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} JX \times JY$.*

1.2.3. *Gluing.* Now we proceed to the case of non-affine finite type schemes Y. We claim that JY can be glued from JX for open affines $X \subset Y$. The key step here is to relate JX and $J(X_f)$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, where X_f is the non-vanishing locus for f (known as a principal open subset). We claim that $J(X_f)$ is naturally identified with $(JX)_{f^\sharp}$, where $f^\sharp \in \mathbb{C}[JX]$ is defined in Remark 1.2.5.

Indeed, recall that if $\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]/(F_1, \ldots, F_k)$, then

$$\mathbb{C}[X_f] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m, x]/(F_1, \ldots, F_k, xf - 1).$$

It follows that $\mathbb{C}[J(X_f)] = \mathbb{C}[JX][x_n | n < 0]/(T^\ell(xf - 1)^\sharp)$. For $\ell = 0$, the equation $T^\ell(xf-1)^\sharp = 0$ means that $x_{-1} f^\sharp = 1$, i.e., $f^\sharp$ is invertible, and $x_{-1} = (f^\sharp)^{-1}$. The equation $T^\ell(xf-1)^\sharp = 0$ for

$\ell > 0$ then uniquely expresses $x_{-\ell-1}$ as a polynomial in $x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-\ell}, (f^\sharp)^{-1}$ and elements of $\mathbb{C}[JX]$. This gives the required identification $\mathbb{C}[J(X_f)] \cong \mathbb{C}[JX][(f^\sharp)^{-1}]$.

This discussion finishes our sketch of proof of Proposition 1.2.2.

Remark 1.2.7. *Note that we still have a morphism $JY \to Y$. It is affine (of infinite type).*

1.2.4. *nth order jets.* Let X be a finite type scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. It turns out that JX (which is an infinite type scheme) can be presented as the inverse limit of finite type schemes J_nX (*n-th order jet schemes*). By definition, J_nX represents the functor CommAlg $\to$ Sets sending R to the set of morphisms $\mathrm{Spec}(R[t]/(t^{n+1})) \to X$.

For example, for X as in Example 1.2.4, we have

$$J_nX = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[JX]/(x_{i,N} | i = 1, \dots, m, N < -n-1)).$$

As in the case of J, J_n is a functor (in this case, from the category of finite type schemes over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ to itself). The claim that $J = \varprojlim_{n\to\infty} J_n$ is left as an exercise (on the general categorical nonsense).

Exercise 1.2.8. *For X smooth, show that J_1X is the tangent bundle of X.*

1.2.5. *Smoothness.* The goal of this part is to prove the following statement.

Theorem 1.2.9. *For a smooth morphism $\varphi : X \to Y$, the morphism $J_n\varphi : J_n(X) \to J_n(Y)$ is smooth as well.*[1]

Indeed, let us recall the following criterion of smoothness ([1, Section 1.4]). If R is a commutative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, then by its *nilpotent extension* we mean a commutative algebra R_1 equipped with an epimorphism $R_1 \twoheadrightarrow R$ whose kernel is a nilpotent ideal.

Proposition 1.2.10. *Suppose that $g : A \to B$ is a morphism of schemes of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. Then, g is smooth if and only if for any morphism $h : S = \mathrm{Spec(R)} \to B$ which lifts to $h' : S \to A$ the following holds:*

suppose that R_1 is a nilpotent extension of R, that $S_1 = \mathrm{Spec}(R_1)$, and that $h_1 : S_1 \to B$ is any lifting of h. Then h_1 also lifts to $h_1' : S_1 \to A$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} S \arrow[r, "h'"] \arrow[d] & A \arrow[d, "g"] \\ S_1 \arrow[r, "h_1"] \arrow[ur, dashed, "\exists h_1'"] & B \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2.9. By definition, an R-point of J_nA is an $R[t]/(t^{n+1})$-point of A. Now, we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Spec}\, R[t]/(t^{n+1}) \arrow[r, "h'"] \arrow[d] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ \mathrm{Spec}\, R_1[t]/(t^{n+1}) \arrow[r, "h_1"] \arrow[ur, dashed, "\exists h_1'"] & Y, \end{tikzcd}$$

where we need to prove the existence of h_1'. To finish the proof we combine Proposition 1.2.10 with the observation that $R_1[t]/(t^{n+1})$ is a nilpotent extension of $R[t]/(t^{n+1})$. $\square$

Remark 1.2.11. *The similar argument proves that, for a surjective smooth morphism f, the morphism J_nf is also surjective (on the level of $\mathbb{C}$-points) for all n.*

Applying Theorem 1.2.9 to $Y = \mathrm{pt}$, we get the following claim.

[1]One can introduce the notion of "formal smoothness". Then, the same statement would be true for the functor J itself (instead of J_n's).

Corollary 1.2.12. *For a smooth variety X, the scheme J_nX is a smooth scheme of finite type.*

The following exercise (based on the generic smoothness) will be used below.

Exercise 1.2.13. *Let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a dominant morphism to a smooth variety Y. Prove that $J_n\varphi : J_nX \to J_nY$ is dominant.*

1.3. **Jet-theoric Chevalley theorem.** Recall that we write $\mathcal{O}$ for the algebra $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$. For an affine scheme X we will often write $X_{\mathcal{O}}$ for JX.

Let G be an algebraic group. Applying the functoriality of J_n and J to the structure maps of G, we see that J_nG, JG are group schemes over $\mathbb{C}$. In fact, J_nG is an honest algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^{n+1})$ – J_nG is the semi-direct product of G with the unipotent group $\exp(t\mathfrak{g}[t]/t^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}[t])$. This description shows, in particular, that $J_{n+1}G \twoheadrightarrow J_nG$ for all n. And JG is the limit $\varprojlim_{n\to\infty} J_nG$, hence a pro-algebraic group.

Applying the functor J to the action morphism $G \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ we get the morphism $J(G \times \mathfrak{g}) \to J\mathfrak{g}$. Under the identification $JG \times J\mathfrak{g} \cong J(G \times \mathfrak{g})$ from Exercise 1.2.6, this gives an action of the pro-algebraic group JG on $J\mathfrak{g}$. We want to compute the algebra of invariant polynomial functions for this action.

The following result is a jet analog of Proposition 1.1.1. Recall that $P_i, i = 1, \ldots, r$, denote free homogeneous generators of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$. Then we can form the elements $P_{i,n} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{O}}]^{G_{\mathcal{O}}}$ for all $\ell < 0$ and $i = 1, \ldots, r$, see [5, Section 3.4].

Theorem 1.3.1. *The algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{O}}]^{G_{\mathcal{O}}}$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}[J(\mathfrak{h}/W)]$, equivalently, is freely generated by the elements $P_{i,\ell}$.*

1.3.1. *Preparation.* We write $\mathfrak{g}/\!/G := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G)$. We have the quotient morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/\!/G$ induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$. It gives rise to $J\pi : J\mathfrak{g} \to J(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)$. By the Chevalley theorem, $\mathfrak{g}/\!/G$ is an affine space with coordinates $P_1, \ldots, P_r$. The polynomials $P_{i,\ell}$ are nothing else but the coordinates on the infinite dimensional affine space $J(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)$. So our job is to show that the pullback homomorphism $(J\pi)^*$ identifies $\mathbb{C}[J(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)]$ with the subalgebra of invariants for $G_{\mathcal{O}} = JG$ in $\mathbb{C}[J\mathfrak{g}]$.

We are going to reduce this to the analogous claim, where J is replaced with J_n: $(J_n\pi)^*$ identifies $\mathbb{C}[J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)]$ with $\mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]^{J_nG}$. Proving the latter for all n is enough for the following reason. Since $\mathbb{C}[J\mathfrak{g}]$ is the union of its subalgebras $\mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]$, we see that $\mathbb{C}[J\mathfrak{g}]^{JG}$ is the union of its subalgebras $\mathbb{C}[J\mathfrak{g}]^{JG} \cap \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]$. Our reduction now follows from the next exercise (where one needs to use that $JG \twoheadrightarrow J_nG$ and that the projection $J\mathfrak{g} \to J_n\mathfrak{g}$ is JG-equivariant).

Exercise 1.3.2. $\mathbb{C}[J\mathfrak{g}]^{JG} \cap \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}] = \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]^{J_nG}$ *as subalgebras in* $\mathbb{C}[J\mathfrak{g}]$.

1.3.2. *1st proof of* $\mathbb{C}[J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/J_nG)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]^{J_nG}$. In this proof, different from what is given in [2, Section 3.4] we will use the Kostant slice, a remarkable affine subspace $S \subset \mathfrak{g}$ with the property that the restriction of the quotient morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/\!/G := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G)$ to S is an isomorphism. For more on Kostant slices see [6]. In particular the claim that $\pi|_S$ is an isomorphism is proved in [6, Section 4].

Let ι denote the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\pi \circ \iota$ is an isomorphism $S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}/\!/G$, we see that $J_n\pi \circ J_n\iota : J_nS \xrightarrow{\sim} J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)$. It remains to show that $(J_n\iota)^*$ embeds $\mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]^{J_nG}$ into $\mathbb{C}[J_nS]$.

Let β denote the action map $G \times S \to \mathfrak{g}, (g, s) \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}(g)s$, and ι' denote the embedding $S \hookrightarrow G \times S, s \mapsto (1, s)$. Note that $\iota = \beta \circ \iota'$, hence $J_n\iota = J_n\beta \circ J_n\iota'$. The action of G on $G \times S$ (by left translations on the first factor) gives rise to an action of J_nG on $J_n(G \times S) = J_nG \times J_nS$ (also by left translation on the first factor). So $(J_n\iota')^*$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[J_n(G \times S)]^{J_nG} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[J_nS]$. So, the claim that $(J_n\iota^*) : \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]^{J_nG} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[J_nS]$ is equivalent to $(J_n\beta)^* : \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]^{J_nG} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[J_n(G \times S)]^{J_nG}$, which will follow from $(J_n\beta)^* : \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[J_n(G \times S)]$. To see the latter injectivity, we remark that $\beta : G \times S \to \mathfrak{g}$ is dominant (Step 1 of the proof of Theorem in [6, Section 4]) and use Exercise 1.2.13. This completes the 1st proof of Theorem 1.3.1.

1.3.3. *2nd proof of* $\mathbb{C}[J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/J_nG)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]^{J_nG}$. Now we give a proof that closely follows one in [2]. Consider the open subset of regular elements:

$$\mathfrak{g}^{reg} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \dim Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) = \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}\},$$

studied in detail in [6, Section 5]. In particular, we have the following claim

(*) The morphism $\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}^{reg}}$ is smooth, and each fiber of $\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}^{reg}} : \mathfrak{g}^{reg} \to \mathfrak{g}/\!/G$ is a single G-orbit (in particular, the morphism is surjective).

Exercise 1.3.3. *For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, the subset $\mathfrak{g}^{reg}$ consists precisely of all matrices such that in their Jordan normal form, there is a single block for each eigenvalue.*

Suppose, for a moment, that we know that the direct analog of (*) holds for the action of J_nG on $J_n\mathfrak{g}^{reg}$ and the morphism $J_n(\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}^{reg}}) : J_n\mathfrak{g}^{reg} \to J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)$. We then can prove that $\mathbb{C}[J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]^{J_nG}$ using the following general result.

Proposition 1.3.4. *Let H be an algebraic group and X, Y be normal algebraic varieties. Suppose H acts on X, and Y is affine. Suppose, further, that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a surjective H-invariant morphism such that each fiber of ϕ is a single H-orbit. Then $\varphi^* : \mathbb{C}[Y] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X]^H$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\varphi^* : \mathbb{C}[Y] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]^H$ and we need to prove the surjectivity. Take $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^H$, and consider the subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[X]^H$ generated by $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ and f, denote it by A. Then φ factors as $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(A) \to Y$, where both morphisms are dominant. Since each fiber of φ is a single orbit, $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \to Y$ is injective. Any injective dominant morphism is birational, hence f can be viewed as a rational function on Y. It is left as an exercise to show that f has no poles on Y. Since Y is normal, $f \in \operatorname{im}\varphi^*$. This finishes the proof. ∎

We apply this to $X = J_n\mathfrak{g}^{reg}, Y = J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)$ and $H = J_nG$. Note that $J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)$ is smooth, hence normal, we use the analog of (*) to deduce $\mathbb{C}[J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/J_nG)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[J_n(\mathfrak{g}^{reg})]^{J_nG}$. The subvariety $J_n(\mathfrak{g}^{reg}) \subset J_n\mathfrak{g}$ is open and dense. So the restriction homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}] \to \mathbb{C}[J_n(\mathfrak{g}^{reg})]$ is injective. From here we deduce that $\mathbb{C}[J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/J_nG)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[J_n\mathfrak{g}]^{J_nG}$.

Now, it remains to establish that analog. First, we reformulate the claim.

Exercise 1.3.5. *Let H be an algebraic group acting on a variety X, Y is a variety, and $\varphi : X \to Y$ be an H-invariant morphism. The following claims are equivalent.*

(a) *The morphism φ is smooth and each fiber of φ is a single H-orbit.*
(b) *The morphism $H \times X \to X \times_Y X, (h, x) \mapsto (hx, x)$ is smooth and surjective.*

Apply Exercise 1.3.5 to $H = G, X = \mathfrak{g}^{reg}, Y = \mathfrak{g}/\!/G, \varphi = \pi|_{\mathfrak{g}^{reg}}$ to get that $G \times \mathfrak{g}^{reg} \to \mathfrak{g}^{reg} \times_{\mathfrak{g}/\!/G} \mathfrak{g}^{reg}$ is smooth and surjective. Hence, by Section 1.2.5, $J_n(G \times \mathfrak{g}^{reg}) \to J_n(\mathfrak{g}^{reg} \times_{\mathfrak{g}/\!/G} \mathfrak{g}^{reg})$. One can use the smoothness of $\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}^{reg}}$ and generalize Exercise 1.2.6, to identify $J_n(\mathfrak{g}^{reg} \times_{\mathfrak{g}/\!/G} \mathfrak{g}^{reg})$ with $J_n(\mathfrak{g}^{reg}) \times_{J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G)} J_n(\mathfrak{g}^{reg})$. We get (b) of Exercise 1.3.5 for $H = J_nG, X = J_n(\mathfrak{g}^{reg}), Y = J_n(\mathfrak{g}/\!/G), \varphi = J_n(\pi|_{\mathfrak{g}^{reg}})$, yielding (a), which is what we need to finish the proof.

References

[1] T. Arakawa, A. Moreau, *Arc spaces and vertex algebras*. Available online.
[2] E. Frenkel, *Langlands correspondence for loop groups*.
[3] J. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*.
[4] V. Popov, E. Vinberg, *Invariant theory*.
[5] H. Wan, *Central elements of the completed universal enveloping algebra*. A talk at this seminar.
[6] A note on Kostant slices on the seminar webpage.