

## Hecke algebra/category, part VII

1) Projectives, finished.

2) (Two out of ) three theorems of Soergel.

3) Complements.

1.0) **Recap.** Let  $X$  be a free  $W$ -orbit for the  $\cdot$ -action of  $W$  on the weight lattice  $\Lambda$  and  $\lambda \in X \cap \Lambda_+$ . We have produced certain projective objects in  $\mathcal{O}^X$ . Namely, let  $w := (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_e})$  be a reduced expression for  $w \in W$ , meaning that  $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_e}$  &  $\ell = \ell(w)$ . Set  $(\mathbb{H}_w) = (\mathbb{H}_{i_e}) \dots (\mathbb{H}_{i_1})$ , an exact endofunctor of  $\mathcal{O}^X$  sending projectives to projectives. We have seen that  $(\mathbb{H}_w) \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(w \cdot \lambda)$ , we used this to show that  $\mathcal{O}^X$  has enough projectives.

1.1) **Category of projectives.** Let  $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}^X$  consisting of projective objects. The following theorem describes the objects of  $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$ .

**Thm:** 1)  $\exists \lambda' \in X \exists!$  projective  $P(\lambda') \in \mathcal{O}^X$  s.t.  $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P(\lambda'), L(\mu)) = \delta_{\lambda', \mu}$ .  
2)  $\forall P \in \mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$ , we have  $P \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda' \in X} P(\lambda')^{\oplus d_{\lambda'}}$  w.  $d_{\lambda'} = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P, L(\lambda'))$ .

To prove this let's discuss decompositions into  $\bigoplus$  of indecomposables. Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. We say  $M \in R\text{-mod}$  is **indecomposable** if  $M \not\simeq M_1 \oplus M_2$  for  $M_1, M_2 \in R\text{-mod}$ , nonzero.

Assume now

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_R(M) < \infty \quad (*)$$

Lemma : TFAE

- 1)  $M$  is indecomposable
- 2)  $\nexists \tau \in \text{End}_R(M) \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, m > 0$  s.t.  $(\tau - \alpha)^m = 0$
- 3)  $\text{End}_R(M) = \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \text{rad } \text{End}_R(M)$ .

Proof - exercise.

Proposition: Let  $M \in R\text{-mod}$  satisfy  $(*)$

- 1)  $M$  decomposes as  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is indecomposable.
- 2) Moreover, if  $M = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l M'_j$  is another such decomposition, then  $k=l$  &  $M_i \cong M'_{g(i)}$  for some  $g \in S_k$  ("Krull-Schmidt property").

1) is an exercise. 2) is the Krull-Schmidt theorem, [E], Section 3.8.

Sketch of proof of Thm:

• Existence of  $P(\lambda')$ : in Sec 1.5 of Lec 23, we have established an equivalence  $O^{\lambda'} \xrightarrow{\sim} A\text{-mod}$  for a finite dimensional algebra  $A$ . It's enough to establish an analogous result in  $A\text{-mod}$ . Let  $L \in \text{Irr}(A)$ . Choose a primitive idempotent  $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \text{End}(L) \subseteq A/\text{rad } A$ . We can find  $\varepsilon \in A$  w.  $\underline{\varepsilon} + \text{rad } A = \underline{\varepsilon}$  &  $\underline{\varepsilon}^2 = \underline{\varepsilon}$  ([E], Sec. 8.1). Then  $P_L = AE_L$  is projective and  $\dim \text{Hom}_A(A\varepsilon, L') = \dim \varepsilon L' = \delta_{L, L'}$ .  $\nexists L' \in \text{Irr}(A)$

- The remaining statements: Let  $P \in A\text{-proj}$  ( $\simeq \mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$ ) w. nonzero homomorphism  $q: P \rightarrow L$ . Let  $\varphi: P_L \rightarrow L$  be a nonzero homomorphism. We can find  $\tilde{\varphi}: P \rightarrow P_L$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}: P_L \rightarrow P$  making the following commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & P_L \\ q \downarrow & \swarrow \varphi & \downarrow \varphi \\ L & & L \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & P \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ P_L & & L \end{array}$$

Consider  $\tau = \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\varphi} \in \text{End}(P_L)$ .

**Exercise:** • Use 2) of Lemma to show  $\tau$  is invertible.

- Deduce that  $P \simeq \ker \tilde{\varphi} \oplus \underline{\text{im } \tilde{\varphi}}_{P_L}$
- Complete the proof.  $\square$

**Example:** i)  $P(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)$  - the r.h.s. is projective & indecomposable.

ii) Consider the object  $\mathcal{T}_{-\rho \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-\rho) = (\mathcal{L}(\lambda + \rho) \otimes \Delta(-\rho))^X$ . This object is projective b/c  $\Delta(-\rho)$  is &  $\mathcal{T}_{-\rho \rightarrow \lambda}$  sends projectives to projectives (Sec's 1.1, 1.2 of Lec 23). Similarly to Prob. 4 in HW3,  $\mathcal{T}_{-\rho \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-\rho) \rightarrow \Delta(w \cdot \lambda)$  (for  $\lambda = 0$ , get  $w \cdot 0 = -\rho$ ). It follows that  $P(w \cdot \lambda)$  is a direct summand in  $\mathcal{T}_{-\rho \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-\rho)$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{T}_{-\rho \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-\rho)$  is indecomposable so  $\mathcal{T}_{-\rho \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-\rho) = P(w \cdot \lambda)$  - we'll elaborate on this in the next lecture.

**Exercise:**  $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P(\lambda), M) = \text{multiplicity of } \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \text{ in } M$  (hint: induct on the length of TH filtration of  $M$  using that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P, \cdot)$  is exact).

## 1.2) Verma filtrations on projectives.

By a **Verma filtration** on  $M \in \mathcal{O}^X$  we mean a filtration

$$\{0\} = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_k = M \text{ s.t. } M_i/M_{i-1} \text{ is a Verma.}$$

Example:  $\mathbb{H}_{\underline{w}} \Delta(\lambda)$  has a Verma filtration:  $\mathbb{H}_{\underline{s}_i}$  is exact & have SES  
 $0 \rightarrow \Delta(u' \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\underline{i}} \Delta(u \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(u'' \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\forall u \in W$ , where  $\{u'', u'\} = \{u, u s_i\}$  &  $l(u') < l(u'')$ , see the proof of Prop. in Sec 1.3 of Lec 23.

So, the successive quotients of  $\mathbb{H}_{\underline{w}} \Delta(\lambda)$  ( $2^k$  of them) are labelled by subwords of  $\underline{w}$ , for the subword  $\underline{u}$ , the corresponding subquotient is  $\Delta(u \cdot \lambda)$ , where  $\underline{u}$  is a (not necessarily reduced) expression for  $u$ . All claims in this paragraph are proved by induction on  $l$  (**exercise**).

$M$  can have different Verma filtrations but they all have the same successive quotients up to permutation. This follows from the following claim (see the complement section for a discussion).

Fact 1: Let  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  be Verma filtered:  $\{0\} = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_k$ . Then

$$\#\{i \mid M_i/M_{i-1} \cong \Delta(\mu)\} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(M, \nabla(M)), \forall \mu \in \Lambda.$$

Now we turn to the indecomposable projectives  $P(\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{X}$ .

Theorem: 1) For all  $\mu \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $P(\mu)$  admits a Verma filtration.

2) For all  $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$ , the multiplicity of  $\Delta(\nu)$  in  $P(\mu)$  coincides w/ the multiplicity of  $L(\mu)$  in  $\Delta(\nu)$  (BGG reciprocity).

Sketch of proof: Using Thm in Sec 1.1, we see that  $P(w \cdot \lambda)$  is a direct summand of  $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda)$ . Now 1) follows from:

Fact 2: Let  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{O}^X$ . If  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  admits a Verma filtration, then so do  $M_1, M_2$ .

We'll prove this in the complement section.

To prove (2) we notice that the multiplicity of  $L(\mu)$  in  $\Delta(\gamma)$  coincides w. that in  $D(\gamma)$  b/c  $D(\gamma) = \mathbb{D}\Delta(\gamma)$  &  $L(\mu) = \mathbb{D}L(\mu) + \mu$  (Prob. 3 in HW3). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult. of } L(\gamma) \text{ in } P(\mu) &= [\text{Fact 1}] = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P(\mu), D(\gamma)) = \\ &[\text{last exer. in Sec 1.1}] = \text{multiplicity of } L(\mu) \text{ in } D(\gamma), \text{ equiv. in } \Delta(\gamma) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

### 1.3) Decomposing $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda)$

Let's discuss the decomposition of  $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda)$  into  $\bigoplus$  of indecomposables - and why we should care. From Example in Sec. 1.2 we know that  $\Delta(w \cdot \lambda)$  occurs in the Verma filtration of  $\bigoplus_w \Delta(\lambda)$  once - and as a quotient - for all other  $\Delta(u \cdot \lambda)$  that occur satisfy the condition:

(\*)  $u$  is equal to a proper subword of  $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ .

Combinatorial fact: (\*)  $\Leftrightarrow u \prec w$  (in Bruhat order, Sec 1.3 in Lec 21).

**Exercise:** Deduce that

- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbb{H}_w \Delta(\lambda), L(u \cdot \lambda)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow u \leq w \& \text{ for } u=w, \dim=1$  (hint: look at Hom's from successive filtration quotients).

$$\bullet \mathbb{H}_w \Delta(\lambda) = P(w \cdot \lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{u < w} P(u \cdot \lambda)^{\oplus m_{u,w}} \text{ for some } m_{u,w} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

If we know  $m_{u,w}$ 's we can compute the multiplicities of  $\Delta(u \cdot \lambda)$ 's in  $P(w \cdot \lambda)$  recursively. By Thm in Sec 1.2, this is the multiplicity of  $L(w \cdot \lambda)$  in  $\Delta(u \cdot \lambda)$  - which is what we want to compute starting Lec 16.

## 2) (Two out of) three theorems of Soergel

W. Soergel "Kategorie  $\mathcal{O}$ , Perverse Garben und Moduln über den Koinvarianten zur Weylgruppe", J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990).

- Computation of  $\text{End}(\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p))$ . To compute the endomorphism of a projective generator - or even most  $P(\mu)$ 's - is hard. But for  $\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)$  ( $= P(w \cdot \lambda)$ , Example in Sec 1.1) the endomorphism algebra turns out to be a very classical object.

Let  $m_0 = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[\gamma^*]^W \mid f(0) = 0\}$ , a maximal ideal. Consider the algebra of "coinvariants"  $\mathbb{C}[\gamma^*]^{\text{coh}} = \mathbb{C}[\gamma^*]/\mathbb{C}[\gamma^*]m_0$ . It has dimension  $|W|$  b/c  $\mathbb{C}[\gamma^*]$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[\gamma^*]^W$ -module of rk  $|W|$ . We have seen (Prob 4.3 of HW3) that  $\dim \text{End}(\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)) = |W|$  as well.

Theorem 1:  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_{-p \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-p)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\gamma^*]^{\text{coh}}$

• **Functor  $\mathbb{V}$ :** Consider the functor

$$\mathbb{V} := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(\mathcal{T}_{-\rho \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-\rho), \cdot) : \mathcal{O}^X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[Y^*]^{\text{crys}} \text{-mod}$$

$$\text{It's exact; } \mathcal{T}_{-\rho \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(-\rho) = P(w \cdot \lambda) \Rightarrow \mathbb{V}(L(w \cdot \lambda)) = \mathbb{C}^{\delta_{w,w_0}}$$

Since  $\mathbb{V}$  kills all irreps but one, it looks like this functor loses a lot of information and isn't going to be useful in our study of  $\mathcal{O}^X$ . However, we have:

**Theorem 2:**  $\mathbb{V}$  is fully faithful on  $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$  (i.e preserves Hom's).

What Theorem 2 tells us is that to describe  $\mathcal{O}^X\text{-proj}$ , it's enough to understand its image in  $\mathbb{C}[Y^*]^{\text{crys}} \text{-mod}$ . The image turns out to be (the ungraded version) of the category of Soergel modules to be discussed next time.

### 3) Complements.

Here we provide proofs of 2 facts mentioned in Sec 1.2.

**Fact 1:** this follows from the claim, Prob. 3.7 in HW3, that

$$\text{Ext}^1(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\nu)) = 0 \quad (\text{the Ext is in } \mathcal{O}^X), \quad \forall \mu, \nu \in \Lambda,$$

compare to solution of Prob 4.3 in HW3.

**Fact 2:** We will use the following claim similar to Prob 5.2 in HW2:

if SES in  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $0 \rightarrow \Delta(\mu) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \Delta(\nu) \rightarrow 0$ , doesn't split, then  $\mu > \nu$ .

We'll also use that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\nu)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mu \leq \nu$  and  $\dim \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(\Delta(\mu)) = 1$ .

The proof is by induction on the length of the filtration. Let  $\gamma$  be a maximal weight of a Verma in the filtration of  $M_1 \oplus M_2$ .

Thanks to the previous paragraph, " $\Delta(\gamma)$  slides to the bottom of the filtration" so we have a SES:

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta(\gamma)^{\oplus k} \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

where  $N$  is filtered by other Vermas. Note that since  $N$  is filtered by Vermas w. highest weights  $\not\leq \gamma$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\gamma), N) = 0$  (from the left exactness) of  $\text{Hom}$ . So  $k = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\gamma), M_1 \oplus M_2)$ . Also observe that any nonzero homomorphism  $\Delta(\gamma) \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$  - because every homomorphism factors through  $\Delta(\gamma) \rightarrow \Delta(\gamma)^{\oplus k}$  &  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\gamma)) = \mathbb{C}1$ . Since

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\gamma), M_1 \oplus M_2) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\gamma), M_1) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Delta(\gamma), M_2)$$

Pick a nonzero element in one of the summands, say the first. It gives an embedding  $\Delta(\gamma) \hookrightarrow M_1$ . Then we replace  $M_1$  w.  $M_1/\langle \langle \Delta(\gamma) \rangle \rangle$  and proceed by induction.