

Lecture 21: Finite & integral extension of rings, I.

1) Finite and integral algebras.

2) Integral closure.

Ref: [AM], Section 5.1.

1) Finite and integral algebras.

In what follows A is a commutative ring & B is a commutative A -algebra.

The concepts of finite & integral A -algebras (and related results) generalize the concepts of finite & algebraic field extensions (and related results). They are important for Algebraic Number theory: the rings of algebraic integers arise as integral (and finite) \mathbb{Z} -algebras, these will be defined later (w. some motivation).

1.1] Main definitions.

Recall (Sec 1 of Lec 6) that B is finitely generated (as an A -algebra) if $\exists b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ (generators) s.t. $\forall b \in B \exists F \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid b = F(b_1, \dots, b_n)$.

Definition: We say that B is finite over A if it is a finitely generated A -module.

In particular, finite \Rightarrow finitely generated but not vice versa:

$A[x]$ is finitely generated as an A -algebra but is not finite.

Definition: Let B be a commutative A -algebra.

- $b \in B$ is integral over A if \exists monic (i.e. leading coeff = 1) $f \in A[x]$ | $f(b) = 0$.
- B is integral over A if $\forall b \in B$ is integral (over A).

Exercise: If B is integral over A & C is a quotient of B , then C is integral over A .

Rem: If $A \hookrightarrow B$ we can view A as a subring of B . We call B an extension of A and talk about finite/integral extensions.

1.2) Examples

1) Let $A := K$, $B := L$ be fields. Here any homomorphism from A is injective), so L is a field extension of K . " L is finite over K " is the usual notion from the study of field extensions. And L is integral over K iff L is algebraic over K : if $\ell \in L$ & $g \in K[x]$ are s.t. $g(\ell) = 0$ (i.e. ℓ is algebraic over K) & $g = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ w. $a_n \neq 0$, then set $f = a_n^{-1} g$, it's monic and satisfies $f(\ell) = 0$. So ℓ is integral.

2) Let $f(x) \in A[x]$ be a monic polynomial. Then $\bar{x} := x + (f) \in B := A[x]/(f)$ is integral over A . Also note that B is finite over A (generated by $1, \bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}^{d-1}$ for $d := \deg f$).

Below we'll see that B in 2) is integral over A .

1.3) Finite vs integral

Reminder: for field extensions: finite \Leftrightarrow [algebraic & finitely generated (as a field extension)].

This generalizes to the ring setting.

Thm: Let B be an A -algebra. TFAE

(a) B is integral and finitely generated A -algebra.

(b) B is finite over A .

The proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b) is based on the following lemma. Note that if A_1 is an A -algebra & A_2 is an A_1 -algebra, then A_2 is also an A -algebra: the homomorphism $A \rightarrow A_2$ is the composition $A \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2$.

Lemma 1: Suppose A_1 is finite over A & A_2 is finite over A_1 .

Then A_2 is finite over A .

Proof: Have $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A_1$ & $b_1, \dots, b_\ell \in A_2$ s.t. $A = \text{Span}_A(a_1, \dots, a_k)$, $A_2 = \text{Span}_{A_1}(b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$.

Exercise: $A_2 = \text{Span}_A(a_i b_j \mid i=1, \dots, \ell, j=1, \dots, k)$

□

Notation: For an A -algebra B & $b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$ we write $A[b_1, \dots, b_k]$ for the A -subalgebra of B generated by b_1, \dots, b_k .

Proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b): say B is generated by some elements b_1, \dots, b_k as an A -algebra. We induct on k .

Base: $k=1$: B is generated by b as A -algebra. b is integral over A , let $f \in A[x]$ be monic s.t. $f(b)=0$. Then the unique A -algebra homomorphism $A[x] \rightarrow B$ w. $x \mapsto b$ factors as $A[x] \rightarrow A[x]/(f) \rightarrow B$. Since b generates B , have $A[x] \rightarrow B \Rightarrow A[x]/(f) \rightarrow B$. By Example 2 above, $A[x]/(f)$ is fin. gen'd A -module $\Rightarrow B$ is fin. gen'd A -module.

Step: B is generated by b_1, \dots, b_k ($k-1$ elts) over $\tilde{A} := A[b_1]$. By inductive assumption, B is finite over \tilde{A} . Now we apply Lemma 1 (to $A_1 = \tilde{A}$, $A_2 = B$) to finish the proof. \square

To prove (b) \Rightarrow (a) we will need a lemma, a special case of the lemma in Sec 1.1 in Lec 20 (w. $I := A$ there).

Lemma 2: Let M be an finitely generated A -module, $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$ A -linear map. Then \exists monic $f(x) \in A[x]$ s.t. $f(\varphi) = 0$.

Proof of (b) \Rightarrow (a): Let B be a finite A -algebra. It's fin. gen'd as an A -algebra b/c module generators are algebra generators. We need to show that $\forall b \in B$ is integral over A . In Lemma 2 we take $M := B$, $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$, $m \mapsto bm$. We conclude: \exists monic polynomial $f \in A[x]$ s.t. $f(\varphi) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = f(\varphi)1 = f(b) \Rightarrow b$ is integral over A . \square

Exercise: Under the assumptions of Thm, if A is Noetherian, then B is Noetherian.

1.4) Consequences of Thm.

Corollary 1: i) If $f(x) \in A[x]$ is monic, then $A[x]/(f(x))$ is integral over A .

ii) If B is an A -algebra & $\alpha \in B$ is integral over A , then $A[\alpha]$ is integral over A .

Proof: exercise.

Corollary 2 (transitivity of integral algebras): If B is an A -algebra integral over A , and C is a B -algebra integral over B , then C is an integral A -algebra.

Note that this corollary generalizes the transitivity of algebraic field extensions. The proof is similar to that case.

Proof: Take $y \in C$; it's integral over $B \rightsquigarrow \exists b_0, \dots, b_{k-1} \in B$ s.t. $y^k - b_{k-1}y^{k-1} - \dots - b_0 = 0$. Let $A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}]$ denote the A -subalgebra of B generated by b_0, \dots, b_{k-1} . So y is integral over $A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}] \subset B$. But b_0, \dots, b_{k-1} are integral over A . We use (a) \Rightarrow (b) of Thm to show that $A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}]$ is finite over A , while $A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}, y] \subset C$ is finite over $A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}]$.

Using Lemma 1, we see that $A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}, y]$ is finite over A .

By (6) \Rightarrow (2) of Thm, γ is integral over A and we are done. \square

2) Integral closure.

Proposition 1: Let B be an A -algebra. If $\alpha, \beta \in B$ are integral over A , then so are $\alpha + \beta, \alpha\beta, \alpha^\alpha$ ($\forall \alpha \in A$).

Proof: Consider subalgebras $A[\alpha] \subset A[\alpha, \beta] \subset B$, $A[\alpha]$ is integral over A , $A[\alpha, \beta]$ is integral over $A[\alpha]$ thx to Cor 1.

By Corollary 2, $A[\alpha, \beta]$ is integral over A . Since $\alpha\beta, \alpha + \beta, \alpha^\alpha \in A[\alpha, \beta]$, they are integral over A . \square

Corollary / definition: The elements in B integral over A form an A -subalgebra of B called the **integral closure** of A in B . We'll denote the integral closure by \bar{A}^B .

Example: If $A = K \subset B = L$ are fields, then \bar{K}^L is the algebraic closure of K in L .

Proposition 2: The integral closure of \bar{A}^B in B is \bar{A}^B .

Proof: apply Corollary 2, left as **exercise**.

Definition: Let K be a finite field extension of \mathbb{Q} . The integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in K is called the **ring of algebraic integers in K** .

Remark: The rings of algebraic integers are the most important integral closures. The reason is they are of crucial importance for Number theory as they appear in various classical number theoretic questions, e.g.

- the claim that a prime p is the sum of two squares if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is proved using Gaussian integers, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$, in particular using that it's a UFD.
- integer solutions to $a^2 - db^2 = \pm 1$ are closely related to invertible elements in the ring of algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.
- The unique factorization property of the ring of algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ implies the Fermat Last theorem for $\deg p$.