

Lecture 18: Exactness & proj

1) Additive functors

2) Exactness.

Refs: [AM], Secs 2.6, 2.9

BONUS: Additive & abelian categories.

1) Additive functors

1.1) Definition. Let A, B be commutative rings so that we can consider their categories of modules $A\text{-Mod}$, $B\text{-Mod}$. Hom sets in these categories are abelian groups.

Definition: a functor $F: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ is additive if \forall A -modules M, N , the map $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(F(M), F(N))$, $\psi \mapsto F(\psi)$, is a group homomorphism.

Similarly, we can talk about additive functors $A\text{-Mod}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$.

1.2) Examples.

0) Let $q: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings.

The pullback functor $q^*: B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is additive.

1) In the setting of 0), let L be a B -module. The functor

$L \otimes_A \cdot: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ is additive (by exercise in Sec 1.1 of Lec 16, the map $\psi \mapsto id_L \otimes \psi: \text{Hom}_A(M, M') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(L \otimes_A M, L \otimes_A M')$ is additive). In particular, thx to Proposition in Sec 1.1 of Lec 17, the localization functor $\cdot[S^{-1}] \cong A[S^{-1}] \otimes_A \cdot$, so $\cdot[S^{-1}]$ is additive.

2) For an A -module M , the functor $\text{Hom}_A(M, \cdot): A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is additive, see a) of Prob 6 of HW1.

More generally, let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism and L be a B -module. Then $\text{Hom}_A(L, \cdot): A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ is additive.

2^{opp}) For an A -module N , the functor $\text{Hom}_A(\cdot; N): A\text{-Mod}^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is additive.

3) Functor $\cdot \otimes^2: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$, $M^{\otimes^2} = M \otimes_A M$, $\varphi^{\otimes^2} = \varphi \otimes \varphi$ is not additive (**exercise**).

Side remark: There are more examples:

i) Tor & Ext functors that generalize tensor product & Hom functors.

ii) The homology & cohomology functors $H_k(X, \cdot)$ & $H^k(X, \cdot): \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$, where X is a topological space. These are studied in Algebraic topology.

2) Exactness

This is the main property of additive functors we care about in Comm. algebra. It describes how a functor behaves on "exact sequences."

2.1) Exact sequences: Let $M_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} \dots \xrightarrow{g_{k-1}} M_k$ be sequence of A -modules & their homomorphisms $g_i \in \text{Hom}_A(M_i, M_{i+1})$, $i=0,..k-1$.

Definition: this sequence is exact if $\text{im } g_{i-1} = \ker g_i \quad \forall i=1..k-1$.

- A short exact sequence (SES) is an exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} M_3 \rightarrow 0$$

i.e. g_1 is injective, $\text{im } g_1 = \ker g_2$ & g_2 is surjective.

Example (of SES) if $N \subset M$ is an A -submodule, then have SES
 $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$, where the 1st map is the inclusion, and the 2nd map is the projection.

In a way, every SES looks like in this example: g_1 identifies M_1 w. submodule of M_2 , g_2 identifies M_3 w. $M_2/\text{im } g_1$.

2.2) Definition of exactness of functors.

Let A, B be commutative rings, $F: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ be an additive functor.

Definition (of left & right exact functors):

(i) If \nexists SES $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{q_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{q_2} M_3 \rightarrow 0$

the sequence $0 \rightarrow F(M_1) \xrightarrow{F(q_1)} F(M_2) \xrightarrow{F(q_2)} F(M_3) \rightarrow 0$ is exact,

then say F is **left exact**.

(ii) If \nexists SES as in (i), the sequence

$F(M_1) \rightarrow F(M_2) \rightarrow F(M_3) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then say F is **right exact**.

Rem: can define left/right exact functors $F: A\text{-Mod}^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$

e.g. in (i) require that

$$0 \rightarrow F(M_3) \xrightarrow{F(q_2)} F(M_2) \xrightarrow{F(q_1)} F(M_1)$$

is exact.

Def: For $F: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$, or $A\text{-Mod}^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$,
exact = left & right exact, i.e. sends SES to SES.

2.3) Examples:

0) For a ring homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, the pullback functor
 $\varphi^*: B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is manifestly exact.

1) The tensor product functor $M \otimes_A (\underset{A}{\tilde{\otimes}} \cdot) : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is right exact, by Step 2 of the proof of Thm in Section 1.1 of Lecture 17: for a SES $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \tilde{M}_1 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0$, $M_1 \otimes_A M$ is

the quotient of $\tilde{M} \otimes_A M$ by the image of $K \otimes_A M$, equivalently
the sequence $K \otimes_A M \rightarrow \tilde{M} \otimes_A M \rightarrow M \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

The same is true for $L \otimes_A \cdot : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ (the same as
 $L \otimes_A \cdot : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ on the level of abelian groups).

1') The localization functor $\cdot [S^{-1}]$ is exact: by Proposition in
Section 1.3 of Lec 10, the localization functor sends kernels
to kernels & images to images.

2) Let N be an A -module. Then $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(\cdot, N) : A\text{-Mod}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is
left exact, this follows from b) & c) of Problem 7 in HW1.

Exercise: Check that $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(M, \cdot) : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is left exact.

Remarks: 1) Exactness properties give some ways to compute what
functors do to objects, compare to Probs 6 & 8 in HW1. Exact functors
are best for computations.

2) Exactness properties are important for Algebraic topology & are
studied in Homological Algebra.

2.4] Consequences of definition.

Lemma: Let $F : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ be left exact additive

functor. Then

- (a) F sends injections to injections.
- (b) F sends every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} M_3 \rightarrow 0$ to an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F(M_1) \rightarrow F(M_2) \rightarrow F(M_3)$
- (c) F is exact $\Leftrightarrow F$ sends surjections to surjections.

Proof: (a) $N \hookrightarrow M$ can be included into SES

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0, M' = M/\text{im } \varphi_1.$$

$$0 \rightarrow F(N) \xrightarrow{F(\varphi_1)} F(M) \rightarrow F(M') \text{ -exact} \Rightarrow F(\varphi_1) \text{ is injective.}$$

(b): $M'_3 := \text{im } \varphi_2 \subset M_3 : \varphi'_2 := \varphi_2$ viewed as a map to its image

$\iota : M'_3 \hookrightarrow M_3$ — inclusion, so $\varphi_2 = \iota \circ \varphi'_2$.

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi'_2} M'_3 \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact} \Rightarrow$$

$$0 \rightarrow F(M_1) \xrightarrow{F(\varphi_1)} F(M_2) \xrightarrow{F(\varphi'_2)} F(M'_3) \quad (*)$$

is exact. Further, ι is injective \Rightarrow [by (a)] $F(\iota)$ is injective

F is a functor $\Rightarrow F(\varphi_2) = F(\iota) \circ F(\varphi'_2)$. So $\ker F(\varphi_2) = \ker F(\varphi'_2)$.

By this and (*), $0 \rightarrow F(M_1) \rightarrow F(M_2) \rightarrow F(M'_3)$ is exact.

(c) is exercise. □

Rem: There are direct analogs of this lemma for all other types of one-sided exactness. E.g. left exact functor

$F : A\text{-Mod}^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ sends \nleftarrow exact sequence

$M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ to exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow F(M_3) \rightarrow F(M_2) \rightarrow F(M_1)$ (exercise).

BONUS:

1) Additive categories.

In our definition of additive functors we need to consider categories $A\text{-Mod}$, $A\text{-Mod}^{\text{opp}}$ separately. This is awkward.

The concept of an "additive category" includes these examples & much more. And we can talk about additive functors between additive categories.

Definition: An additive category \mathcal{C} is

- (Data) • a category
• together w. abelian group structure on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$

$\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$

These data have to satisfy the following axioms:

- $\exists 0 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ w. $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, 0) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(0, X) = \{0\}$.
- $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$, \exists a product $X \times Y \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.
- the composition map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z)$ is bi-additive (a.k.a. \mathbb{Z} -bilinear), $\forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Recall that in $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$, the product of two objects (in fact, of any finite collection) coincides w. their coproduct.

This property carries over to arbitrary additive categories.

The (co)product $X \times Y$ is usually called the direct sum and is denoted by $X \oplus Y$.

Examples (of additive categories):

1) $A\text{-Mod}$ (for a ring A , not necessarily comm'v).

2) $A\text{-Mod}^{\text{opp}}$

3) A full subcategory in an additive category is additive iff it's closed under taking finite direct sums.

For example, in $A\text{-Mod}$ we can consider the full subcategories consisting of free (or of projective) objects. They are closed under direct sums hence additive.

4*) In various parts of Geometry / Topology people consider categories of "sheaves". These categories are additive.

5*) Various constructions in Homological Algebra produce more complicated additive categories from $A\text{-Mod}$: homotopy categories of complexes, derived categories etc.

2) Abelian categories.

Additive functors make sense between additive categories. Our next question: what additional structures / conditions do we need to impose in order to be able to talk about exact sequences?

It turns out that no additional structures are needed but we need to impose additional conditions.

Exact sequences are about kernels, images and their coincidence. One can define them easily when we talk about modules but in the generality of additive categories, objects are not sets & morphisms are not maps, so we need to explain what we mean by kernels.

As usual, a recipe to define the kernels (and cokernels = quotients by images) are to look at their universal properties in the usual setting of abelian groups.

Let N, M be abelian groups & $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism. Let K be the kernel of φ and $i: K \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion. Then we have the following:

(*) $\forall L \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}) \& \psi: L \rightarrow M$ a homom'm s.t. $\varphi \circ \psi = 0$
 $\exists! \psi': L \rightarrow K$ making the following diagram comm'v'e

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & & \\ \downarrow \psi' & \searrow \psi & \\ K & \xrightarrow{i} & M \end{array}$$

Definition (of kernel in an additive category) Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category, $M, N \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$. By the **kernel** of φ we mean a pair (K, i) w/ $K \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, M)$ s.t.

- $\varphi \circ i = 0$
- (K, i) has a universal property that is a direct generalization of (*).

Definition (of cokernel in an additive category) The **cokernel** in $\mathcal{C} = \text{the kernel in } \mathcal{C}^{\text{opp}}$. I.e. in the notation of the previous definition, we get a pair (C, π) w/ $C \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $\pi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(N, C)$ s.t.

- $\pi \circ \varphi = 0$

- and the universal property: $\forall \psi \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(N, L)$ s.t.
 $\psi \circ \varphi = 0 \exists! \psi' \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(C, L)$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & & \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow \varphi & \\ C & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & L \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Exercise: In the category of abelian groups, the cokernel of $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is $N/\text{im } \varphi$ w. the projection $\pi: N \rightarrow N/\text{im } \varphi$.

Definition: We say that $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(M, N)$ is a **monomorphism** if $(0, 0)$ is its kernel and is an **epimorphism** if $(0, 0)$ is its cokernel.

For example, in $A\text{-Mod}$, monomorphism = injective & epimorphism = surjective. Note that a monomorphism in \mathcal{C} = epimorphism in \mathcal{C}^{opp} .

Exercise: • The following 2 conditions are equivalent

(a) $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is a monomorphism

(b) $\varphi \circ ?: \text{Hom}_A(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(L, N)$ is inj've $\forall L \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$

• Similarly, φ is an epimorphism $\Leftrightarrow ?: \varphi: \text{Hom}_A(N, L) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, L)$ $\forall L \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$.

• In particular, for any kernel (K, ι) we have that ι is a monomorphism & for any cokernel (ζ, π) , π is an epimorphism.

Definition: We say that an additive category \mathcal{C} is **abelian** if the following conditions hold:

(K) every morphism in \mathcal{C} has a kernel

(C) every morphism in \mathcal{C} has a cokernel

(M) for every monomorphism $c \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, M)$ $\exists N \& \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$ s.t. (K, c) is the kernel of φ .

(E) for every epimorphism $\pi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(N, C)$ $\exists M \& \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N)$ s.t. (C, π) is the cokernel of φ .

Example: $A\text{-Mod}$ & $A\text{-Mod}^{\text{opp}}$ are abelian categories.

Non-example: The category of free A -modules is not abelian if A is not a field. This is because every (not necessarily free) A -module is the cokernel (in the usual sense) of a linear map between free modules.

Example: A full subcategory of $A\text{-Mod}$ (where A is an associative ring) that is closed under taking sub- & quotient modules is abelian. In particular, for A Noetherian, the category of fin. generated A -modules is abelian.

In an abelian category it makes sense to speak about subobjects of M (a pair of $K \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ & a monomorphism $c \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(K, M)$) quotient objects etc. Axioms (M) & (E) ensure that these objects behave in a way we expect them to. In particular, it does make sense to talk about exact sequences.

Premium exer: in abelian category, isomorphism \Leftrightarrow monomorphism & epimorphism