

Lecture 10.

0) Where we are.

1) Nilpotent cone.

2) Categorical quotient for G_{reg} & Poisson deformations

Ref: [B], Ch. 8, Secs 8, 10; [CG], Sec. 3.2; [E], Ch. 17 & 18; [Ko]

0) Where we are. We have defined positively graded Poisson algebras A ($A_{<0} = \{0\}$, $A_0 = \mathbb{C}$, $\deg \{;\cdot\} = -d$) and posed the problems of classifying their filtered Poisson deformations & filtered quantizations. We are only going to approach this problem in the case when $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$ for a conical symplectic singularity X . Our main example of X is $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a G -equivariant cover of a nilpotent orbit \mathcal{O}_{cog} .

In this lecture we will concentrate on the special case, where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}$ is the so called principal nilpotent orbit, and X is the "nilpotent cone". We will produce examples of filtered Poisson deformations; filtered quantizations are for the next lecture.

1) Nilpotent cone.

Let G be a semisimple algebraic group & $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$.

Definition: The **nilpotent cone** $\mathcal{N} := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid x \text{ is nilpotent}\}$.

Example: For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, we get $\mathcal{N} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid X_k(x) = 0, k=2, \dots, n\}$, where $X_k(x)$ = coeff't of t^k in the char. polynomial $\det(x - tI)$.

Exercise 1: \mathcal{N} is a closed subvariety for any \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem: $\exists!$ nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ s.t. $\mathcal{N} = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. It's called **principal** and has $\dim = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rk } \mathfrak{g}$ ($\text{rk } \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{h}^\ast$).

Example: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$: principal \Leftrightarrow single Jordan block.

Proof: Step 1: Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be the triangular decomposition. We claim that $\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{h}_n$. Indeed, let $e \in \mathcal{N}$. The subalgebra $\mathbb{C}e \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is abelian, hence solvable, hence conjugate to a subalgebra in the Borel $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. But $\mathfrak{n} = \{x \in \mathfrak{b} \mid x \text{ is nilpotent}\}$, so e is conjugate to an element of $\mathfrak{h} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{h}_n$.

Step 2: Define an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (e, h, f) in \mathfrak{g} as follows. Let

$\Pi \subset \Delta_+ \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be systems of simple & positive roots. Set

$h = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$. Define r_β ($\beta \in \Pi$) as the coefficient of β^\vee in h

so that $h = \sum_{\beta \in \Pi} r_\beta \beta^\vee$. Choose \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples $(e_\beta, \beta^\vee, f_\beta)$, $\beta \in \Pi$ w.

$e_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$, $f_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$ (root subspaces) and set

$$e = \sum_{\beta \in \Pi} \sqrt{r_\beta} e_\beta, \quad f = \sum_{\beta \in \Pi} \sqrt{r_\beta} f_\beta.$$

Exercise 2 (e.g. [B], Ch. 8, Sec. 10.4) 1) $\langle \beta, h \rangle = 2 \nmid \beta \in \Pi$

2) (e, h, f) is indeed an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple.

Set $\mathcal{O}_{pr} := \mathcal{L}e$.

Step 3: Consider the grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i$ by eigenvalues of h .

Exercise 3: $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1} = \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2} = 0$.

Consider the variety $Y = G \times^P \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2} = [P=B, \text{the Borel}] = G \times^B \mathfrak{h}$
from Sec 3 of Lec 8. By the theorem in that section,

$Y \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{pr}$ is a resolution of singularities $\Rightarrow \dim \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{pr} = \dim Y$
 $= \dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{h} + \dim \mathfrak{h} = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rk } \mathfrak{g}$. Also $\text{im } \pi = G \mathfrak{h}$ and

by Step 1, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{pr} = \text{im } \pi = \mathcal{N}$. □

3]

Remarks: 1) Kostant proved that N is normal, we'll comment on this later. So $\mathbb{C}[N] = \mathbb{C}[\bar{Q}_{pr}] = \mathbb{C}[Q_{pr}]$. normalization of the 2nd term.

2) Note that $n = b^\perp$ w.r.t. Killing form. So $G^{\times B} n \simeq G^{\times B} (g/b)^*$ $= T^*(G/B)$. This is a symplectic variety & $G \cap T^*(G/B)$ is Hamiltonian (Sec 2 of Lec 2) and $\pi: T^*(G/B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ ($\simeq \mathfrak{g}^*$) is the moment map (**exercise**). The map $\pi: T^*(G/B) \rightarrow N$ is an example of a symplectic resolution. It's known as the **Springer resolution** - it's one of the most important morphisms in the geometric representation theory.

2) Categorical quotient for $G \backslash g$ & Poisson deformations

Consider the quotient morphism $\pi_G: g \rightarrow g//G$. We'll see that:

- If $a \in g//G$, $\mathbb{C}[\pi_G^{-1}(a)]$ carries a natural Poisson algebra structure.
- $\mathbb{C}[\pi_G^{-1}(0)] \simeq \mathbb{C}[Q_{pr}]$, a graded Poisson algebra isomorphism.
- $\mathbb{C}[\pi_G^{-1}(a)]$ can be viewed as a filtered Poisson deformation of $\mathbb{C}[\pi_G^{-1}(0)]$.

We start w. following classical result.

Theorem (Chevalley, [B], Ch. 8, Sec 8): Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, $W \subset GL(\mathfrak{h})$ be Cartan subalgebra & Weyl group. Then the restriction homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ restricts to $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$.

Recall (Chevalley-Shephard-Todd Thm from Sec 2.2 of Lec 9) that \mathfrak{h}/W is smooth. $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ is positively graded, so $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ is the algebra of polynomials in $\text{rk } \mathfrak{g}$ homogeneous elements, say f_1, f_r .

2.1) Deformations of $\mathbb{C}[N]$.

Proposition: The following are true:

(i) $N = \pi_\zeta^{-1}(0)$ as subsets of \mathfrak{g} .

(ii) $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ form a regular sequence (Sec 1.1 in Lec 9)

(iii) $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ is a free graded $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ -module.

(iv) $\pi_\zeta^{-1}(0)$ is reduced and normal as a scheme.

Sketch of proof:

(i): • $N \subset \pi_\zeta^{-1}(0)$: in the proof in Sec 2.2 of Lec 7 (after Exer 7)

we've seen that $t e \in G e \Rightarrow \pi_\zeta(te) = \pi_\zeta(e) \nparallel t \in \mathbb{C}^\times \Rightarrow \pi_\zeta(e) = 0$.

• $\pi_G^{-1}(0) \subset N$: let $\rho: \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V)$ be a faithful representation.

Let $x_{V,1}, \dots, x_{V,k}$ be the coeffs of the char. polynomial of $\rho(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{O}_G$.

Then $x_{V,i} \in \text{max. ideal of } \mathcal{O}$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^G$. So $x_{V,i}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \pi_G^{-1}(0)$.

Hence x acts on V by a nilpotent operator $\Leftrightarrow x \in N$.

(ii) Follows from (i) & $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathcal{O}} \pi_G^{-1}(0) = \mathrm{codim}_{\mathcal{O}} N = r$

(iii) We'll use the following important fact (vanishing of the 1st Koszul homology):

Fact ([E], Cor. 17.5): Let R be a Noetherian commutative ring. Suppose $f_1, \dots, f_k \in R$ is a regular sequence, and $b_1, \dots, b_k \in R$ are s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^k f_i b_i = 0$. Then $\exists b_{ij} \in R$ w. $b_{ii} = 0$, $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$ s.t.
 $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^k b_{ij} f_j$.

Now we get back to (iii). The algebra $\mathbb{C}[\pi_G^{-1}(0)]$ is graded. Pick a homogeneous vector space basis $b_i \in \mathbb{C}[\pi_G^{-1}(0)]$, $i \in I$, & lift it to homogeneous $b_i \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$. By the graded Nakayama lemma, b_i ($i \in I$) span the $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]^G$ -module $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$, while Fact

implies they are linearly independent (*exercise*).

(iv) By (ii), $\pi_\zeta^{-1}(0)$ is a complete intersection. By Serre's normality criterium ([E], Thm 18.5) we need to show that $\{x \in N \mid d_x \pi_\zeta \text{ is not surjective}\}$ has codim ≥ 2 in N . Kostant proved, [Ko], that $d_x \pi_\zeta$ is surjective $\nabla x \in Q_{\text{pr}}$. Now we use that $\text{codim}_N N \setminus Q_{\text{pr}} \geq 2$ and finish the proof. \square

Corollary: For $a \in \mathfrak{h}/W$ consider the filtration on $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$ induced by the grading on $\mathbb{C}[g]$. Then we have a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(0)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr } \mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$.

Proof: Set $a_i = f_i(a)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)] = \mathbb{C}[g]/(f_i - a_i)_{i=1}^r$, $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(0)] = \mathbb{C}[g]/(f_i)$. We have the natural graded epimorphism $\mathbb{C}[g] \rightarrow \text{gr } \mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$ that sends the f_i 's to 0 so factors through $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(0)] \xrightarrow{(*)} \text{gr } \mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$. It sends the b_i 's from the proof of (iii) in Proposition to the image of the b_i 's in $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$. Since b_i 's form a basis in the $\mathbb{C}[g]$ -module $\mathbb{C}[g]$, their images in $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$ form a basis, so (*) is an iso. \square

2.2) Poisson structures.

Recall that:

(I) $S(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]$ has the unique Poisson structure w. $\{\xi, \eta\} = [\xi, \eta] \nmid \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$.

(II) If X is a Poisson variety & $G \curvearrowright X$ is a Hamiltonian action, then the moment map $\xi \mapsto H_\xi$ satisfies $H_{[\xi, \eta]} = [H_\xi, H_\eta]$. So it extends to a Poisson algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$.

Definition: The **center** of the Poisson algebra A is $\{z \in A \mid \{z, a\} = 0 \nmid a \in A\}$. It's a subalgebra.

Exercise 1: The center of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]$ is $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]^G$ (hint: the former is $\{z \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*] \mid \{\xi, z\} = 0 \nmid \xi \in \mathfrak{g}\}$.

Now apply (II) to $X = \mathcal{O}_{pr}$. The moment map $\mu: \mathcal{O}_{pr} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ ($= \mathfrak{g}$) factors as $\mathcal{O}_{pr} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{pr} = \mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Since $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}_{pr}] = \mathbb{C}[\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{pr}]$, Rem 1 in Sec 1, we see that the epimorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_q^{-1}(0)] = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}_{pr}]$$

is Poisson.

Exercise 2: Let A be a Poisson algebra, Z be its center, & $I \subset Z$ an ideal. Then A/AI carries the unique Poisson bracket s.t. $A \rightarrow A/AI$ is a Poisson algebra homomorphism.

Applying this to $A = \mathbb{C}[g^*]$ & the maximal ideal $I \subset \mathbb{C}[g^*]^G$ of $\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{G}$ we get a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[g^*]/\mathbb{C}[g^*]I = \mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$

Exercise 3: 1) This Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$ has deg ≤ -1 w.r.t. the filtration on $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$.

2) The isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(0)] \rightarrow \text{gr } \mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)]$ is Poisson.

So we have constructed a family of filtered Poisson deformations of $\mathbb{C}[N]$ parameterized by points of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{G} \simeq \mathfrak{h}/W$.

The following exercise examines the structure on $\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)$.

Exercise 4: 1) The unique closed G -orbit in $\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)$ is s/simple.
 2) $\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)$ contains the unique open orbit, say $O_{pr,a}$
 3) $\mathbb{C}[\pi_\zeta^{-1}(a)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[O_{pr,a}]$.