

## Invariant theory 7, 02/03/05.

1) Proof of Chevalley restriction theorem

2) Weyl group is a complex reflection group.

Refs: [V]; [PV], Sec 8.3.

### 1.0) Reminder

We are in the setting of Sec 1.0 of Lec 6:  $G$  is a connected reductive group/ $\mathbb{C}$  w. an order  $d$  automorphism  $\theta$  giving rise to the grading  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i$ . We care about the action of the connected reductive group  $G_0 = (G^\theta)^\circ$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_i$ .

In Lec 5 we have introduced Cartan subspaces  $\sigma_i \subset \mathfrak{g}_i$ : maximal subspaces of pairwise commuting semisimple elements. Such a subspace is acted on by the finite group  $W_\theta = N_{G_0}(\sigma_i)/Z_{G_0}(\sigma_i)$ , the Weyl group. We have stated the following general version of the Chevalley restriction theorem due to Vinberg:

Thm: Let  $i: \sigma_i \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_i$  denote the inclusion map. Then

$$i^*: \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_i]^{G_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\sigma_i]^{W_\theta}$$

In Sec 3.2 we have shown two results useful to prove

the theorem:

*Proposition 1:* If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  is semisimple, then  $G_0 x$  is closed.

*Proposition 2:* The number of nilpotent  $G_0$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is finite.

### 1.1) Closed $G_0$ -orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_1$

*Proposition 3:* We have  $G_0 x_S \subset \overline{G_0 x} \nsubseteq \mathfrak{g}_1$ . In particular, if  $G_0 x$  is closed, then  $x$  is semisimple.

*Proof:* We first prove that  $\overline{G_0 x} = \mathfrak{o}$  for nilpotent  $x$  and then reduce to this case by passing to a suitable  $\theta$ -stable subgroup of  $G$ .

Case 1:  $x$  is nilpotent. Let  $N$  denote the locus of nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ , a closed subvariety stable under the action of  $G_0 \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  acts by scaling. Since  $N//G_0$  parameterizes the closed  $G_0$ -orbits in  $N$ , our task is to show  $N//G_0 = \text{pt}$ .

First, observe that  $\mathbb{C}[N]^{G_0} \subset \mathbb{C}[N]$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -stable b/c the actions of  $G_0$  and  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  commute. We have the following diagram of algebra homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_1]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \xrightarrow{(1)} \mathbb{C}[N]^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$$

U

$$\mathbb{C}[N//G_0] \xleftarrow{(2)} \mathbb{C}[N//G_0]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C}[N]^{G_0 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}$$

(1) is surjective by Proposition in Sec 1.4 in Lec 3. Note

that  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}_J]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C}$  b/c the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action is by scaling. Hence  $\mathbb{C}[N]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}[N/G_0]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C}$ .

On the other hand, Proposition 2 in Sec. 1.0. and the surjectivity of  $N \rightarrow N/G_0$  imply that  $N/G_0$  is finite (as a set).

Since  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  is connected, its action on a finite variety is trivial.

Hence  $\mathbb{C}[N/G_0]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C}[N/G_0]$ .

Case 2:  $x$  is general. Set  $L = Z_G(x_s)^\circ$  (in fact,  $Z_G(x_s)$  is already connected - this is a so called Levi subgroup of  $G$ )

Adapting an argument of the proof of Proposition in Sec 1.2 of Lec 5) we see that  $L$  is reductive. Since  $\theta(x_s) = \varepsilon x_s$  ( $x \in \mathfrak{g}_J \Rightarrow x_s \in \mathfrak{g}_J$ , by Corollary in Sec 2.1 of Lec 6), we have  $\theta(Z_G(x_s)) = Z_G(\theta(x_s)) = Z_G(x_s)$ . So  $L$  is  $\theta$ -stable.

Note that  $x_s, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}_J \cap \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1$ , and  $\overline{L_0 x_n} \ni 0$  by Case 1. Also,  $L_0$  fixes  $x_s \Rightarrow L_0 x = x_s + L_0 x_n \Rightarrow x_s \in \overline{L_0 x} \subset \overline{G_0 x}$ .  $\square$

From the proof we can deduce property (6) from the intro to Sec 1 in Lec 7.

Corollary (of the proof): Let  $\pi: \mathfrak{g}_J \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_J // G_0$  denote the quotient morphism. Every fiber consists of finitely many orbits.

Proof: Recall, Sec 1.4 of Lec 3, that the points of  $\mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0$  are in bijection with the closed  $G_0$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . By Propositions 1 & 3 those are exactly the semisimple orbits. Moreover, Proposition 3 shows that  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  are in the same fiber of  $\pi \Leftrightarrow G_0 x = G_0 y$ .

**Exercise:**  $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , there's a bijection

$$\{\text{nilpotent } Z_{G_0}(x_s)^\theta\text{-orbits in } \mathfrak{l}_1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{G_0\text{-orbits } G_0 y \text{ w. } G_0 y_s = G_0 x_s\}$$

$$Z_{G_0}(x_s)^\theta z \longmapsto G_0(x_s + z)$$

The set in the l.h.s. is finite by Proposition 2.  $\square$

## 1.2) Proof of Theorem

Geometrically, we have the unique morphism  $\underline{\iota}: \mathfrak{o}/W_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0$ , making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{o} & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & \mathfrak{g}_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{o}/W_0 & \xrightarrow{\underline{\iota}} & \mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0 \end{array}$$

and we want to prove that  $\underline{\iota}$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0$  is normal it's enough to show  $\underline{\iota}$  is bijective (cf. Sec 1 of Lec 4)

Step 1 (surjectivity)

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1 // G_0$  and  $x \in \pi^{-1}(x)$  lie in the unique closed  $G_0$ -

orbit. The element  $x$  is semisimple by Prop 3 hence lies in some Cartan subspace ( $\mathcal{C}_x$  is a subspace consisting of pairwise commuting simple elements). We can replace  $x$  w. a conjugate & assume  $x \in \mathfrak{o}$ . Then  $\underline{\mathcal{L}}(h_0 x) = X$ , so  $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$  is surjective.

### Step 2 (injectivity)

Since  $\mathcal{C}_0 x$  is closed  $\forall x \in \mathfrak{o}$  and each fiber of  $\mathfrak{o}$  contains a unique closed  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -orbit the injectivity reduces to checking:

$$(*) \quad x \in \mathcal{C}_0 y \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{o} \Rightarrow x \in N_{\mathcal{C}_0}(\mathfrak{o})y$$

So suppose  $\mathcal{C}_0 x = \mathcal{C}_0 y \Leftrightarrow \exists g \in \mathcal{C}_0 \text{ w } g.y = x$ . Both Cartan subspaces  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $g.\mathfrak{o}$  contain  $x$ . Let  $L = \mathcal{Z}_G(x)^\circ$ . Then  $\mathfrak{o}, g.\mathfrak{o}$  &  $L$  are Cartan subspaces there. Hence  $\exists h \in L^\circ \text{ w } g.\mathfrak{o} = h.\mathfrak{o}$ . Note that  $h.x = x$ . Hence  $(h^{-1}g).y = x \& h^{-1}g.\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{o} \Leftrightarrow h^{-1}g \in N_{\mathcal{C}_0}(\mathfrak{o})$  finishing the proof.  $\square$

2) Weyl group is a complex reflection group.

#### 2.1) Complex reflection groups.

Definition: Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space /  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- $s \in GL(V)$  is called a **complex reflection** (a.k.a. pseudo-reflection) if it has finite order &  $\text{rk}(s - id) = 1$
- A finite subgroup  $W \subset GL(V)$  is called a **complex reflection group**

**Subgroup** if it is generated by complex reflections.

Examples: 1) A complex reflection group preserving a real form  $V_{\mathbb{R}} \subset V$  (resp. a rational form  $V_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset V$ ) is the same thing as a real reflection group (resp. a crystallographic reflection group a.k.a. the Weyl group of a semisimple Lie algebra).

2) If  $\dim V=1$ , then any finite subgroup of  $GL(V)$  is a complex reflection group.

Here is the main reason why one cares about complex reflection groups is the following result.

Thm (Chevalley-Shephard-Todd): For a finite subgroup  $W \subset GL(V)$

TFAE:

- 1)  $W$  is a complex reflection group
- 2)  $V//W$  is an affine space
- 3)  $\pi: V \rightarrow V//W$  is flat ( $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}[V]$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[V]^W$ -module).

We won't give a complete proof, it can be found in [B], Ch. V, §5. Some implications are easier. For example, 2)  $\Rightarrow$  3)

✓

follows from a basic commutative algebra observation that a finite dominant morphism  $A^n \rightarrow A^n$  is flat. We will prove  $2) \Rightarrow 1)$  below.

## 2.2) Main result

Thm 1 (Vinberg):  $W_0 \subset GL(\sigma)$  is a complex reflection group

Combining this with theorems from Secs 1.0 and 2.1 we arrive at:

Corollary:  $\sigma // G_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma // W_0$  is an affine space.

Vinberg's proof in the general situation is not pleasant (involves some case by case considerations). We will only prove an important special case: when  $G_0$  is semisimple. Here we have the following cute general result due to Panyushev.

Theorem 2: Let  $U, V$  be finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces, and  $\Gamma \subset GL(U)$  &  $G \subset GL(V)$  be finite and (connected) simple subgroups, respectively. If  $U/\!/ \Gamma$  &  $V/\!/ G$  are isomorphic as varieties, then  $\Gamma$  is a complex reflection group (and hence  $U/\!/ \Gamma$  is an affine space).

The proof is essentially topological & uses the following concept.

**Definition:** Let  $X$  be an irreducible variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We say that  $X$  is **strongly simply connected** if  $X|Y$  is simply connected  $\forall$  closed subvariety  $Y \subset X$  w.  $\text{codim}_X Y \geq 2$ .

**Example/exercise:**  $\mathbb{A}^n$  is strongly simply connected.

Panyushov's theorem follows from the following two results to be proved next time. We use the notation of Thm 2 for both.

**Proposition 1:**  $V//\Gamma$  is strongly simply connected.

**Proposition 2:** If  $U//\Gamma$  is strongly simply connected, then  $\Gamma$  is a complex reflection group.