

Lecture 20.

1) Integral extensions of rings.

2) Integral closure.

Ref: [AM], Section 5.1.

0) Intro/recap We've seen a bunch of constrns of rings:

- direct sums

- rings of polynomials

- quotient rings

- completions (HW1)

- localizations

- tensor products

- symmetric algebras (HW4)

Today: another construction: taking integral extensions/closures, motivated by alg'c number theory, generalizes algebraic extensions/closures for fields (see MATH 370).

1) Integral extensions of rings.

Reminder: if $K \subset L$ are two fields, then one can speak about:

- L being finitely generated (as a field) over K .
- L being algebraic over K .
- L being finite over K .

Now suppose that A is a comm'v unital ring, let B be a comm'v unital A -algebra. We've already defined what it means for B to be fin. generated (as algebra) over A :

$\exists b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$ s.t. $\nexists b \in B \exists F \in A[x_1, \dots, x_k] | b = F(b_1, \dots, b_k)$.

1.1) Definition & examples.

Definition: • Say B is finite over A if B is a finitely gen'd A -module.

• Say $b \in B$ is integral over A if \exists monic (i.e. leading coeff = 1) $f \in A[x]$ $| f(b) = 0$.

• B is integral over A if $\nexists b \in B$ is integral (over A).

Examples: 1) $A = K \subset B = L$ -extension of fields. Then the notions of being finite are equivalent. And integral \Leftrightarrow algebraic. But L is fin. gen'd as an algebra over $K \Rightarrow$ fin. gen'd as a field over K but not vice versa.

2) Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, not a complete square, $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $B = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$. B is finite over A (rk 2 free A -module w. basis $1, \sqrt{d}$).

Claim: B is integral over A :

$\beta \in B$ equals $a + b\sqrt{d}$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$) \rightsquigarrow conjugate $\bar{\beta} := a - b\sqrt{d}$
 $\beta + \bar{\beta} = 2a$, $\beta\bar{\beta} = a^2 - b^2d \rightsquigarrow f(x) = (x - \beta)(x - \bar{\beta}) = x^2 - 2ax + (a^2 - b^2d) \in A[x]$ & $f(\beta) = 0$. So β is integral over $A \Rightarrow$ B is integral over A .

1.2) Properties.

Reminder: for field extensions: finite \Leftrightarrow algebraic & fin. gener'd.

Thm: Let B be an A -algebra. Consider the following conditions:

(a) B is fin. gen'd & integral over A .

(b) B is finite over A .

Then (a) \Rightarrow (b) and, if A is Noetherian, then (b) \Rightarrow (a).

Added on 11/6: Can remove Noeth'n assum'n: see Remark on page 6.

Proof: (b) \Rightarrow (a) when A is Noetherian

finite \Rightarrow fin. gen'd (b/c if b_1, \dots, b_k generate B as A -module \Rightarrow they generate B as A -algebra).

finite \Rightarrow integral: $\beta \in B$, want \exists monic $f(x) \in A[x]$ | $f(\beta) = 0$.

For $k \geq 0 \rightsquigarrow M_k = \text{Span}_A(1, \dots, \beta^{k-1}) \subset B$, is an A -submodule.

M_i 's form an ascending chain of submodules, which has to terminate b/c A is Noetherian & B is fin. gen'd (\Rightarrow Noeth'n)

A -module. So $\exists k > 0$ s.t. $M_{k+1} = M_k \Rightarrow \beta^k \in M_{k+1} = M_k$ i.e. $\beta^k = a_{k-1}\beta^{k-1} + a_{k-2}\beta^{k-2} + \dots + a_0$, set $f(x) = x^k - a_{k-1}x^{k-1} - \dots - a_0$. \checkmark

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Let β_1, \dots, β_k be generators of A -algebra B , know all of them are int'l over A . Want to show B is fin. gen'd A -module; for $i=0, \dots, k \rightsquigarrow B_i := A[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i]$ (subalgebra gen'd by these elements), $B_0 = A$, $B_k = B$.

We'll show by induction that B_i is a fin. gen'd A -module.

Induction step: $B_{i+1} = B_i[\beta_{i+1}]$, β_{i+1} is integral over A hence over B_i , $f(x) \in B_i[x]$ s.t. $f(\beta_{i+1}) = 0$, $f(x) = x^m + c_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \dots + c_0$,

$g \in B_i, \rightsquigarrow B_i[x] \rightarrow B_{i+1}, x \mapsto \beta_{i+1}$, factors through $B_i[x]/(f(x))$.

Since $f(x)$ is monic, $B_i[x]/(f(x))$ is generated by $1, \dots, x^{m-1}$ as a B_i -module, in part'r it's fin. gen'd $\Rightarrow B_{i+1}$ is a

fin. gen'd B_i -module.

We know $B_i = \text{Span}_A(b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $B_{i+1} = \text{Span}_{B_i}(h_1, \dots, h_\ell) \Rightarrow B_{i+1} = \text{Span}_A(b_i h_j \mid i=1, \dots, n, j=1, \dots, \ell)$. Finishes induction step - and the proof. \square

Corollary 1: Suppose A is Noeth'n ring. If (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) holds, then B is a Noeth'n ring.

- b/c B is a Noeth'n A -module \Rightarrow Noeth'n B -module

Corollary 2: If A is Noeth'n & $f(x) \in A[x]$ is monic, then $A[x]/(f(x))$ is integral over A .

This is b/c $A[x]/(f(x))$ is finite over A (see the proof). Here we can also remove Noeth'n assumption, see Rmk on page 6.

Corollary 3 (transitivity) Let B be an A -algebra, C be a B -algebra. Then:

(a) B fin. gen'd over A & C fin. gen'd over $B \Rightarrow C$ fin. gen'd over A .

(b) - finite - - - - finite - - - - finite - - -

(c) - integral - - - - integral - - - - integral - - -

(if A is Noeth'n) - this assumption can be removed.

Proof of (c): $y \in C$ integral over $B \rightsquigarrow \exists b_0, \dots, b_{k-1} \in B$ s.t.

$y^k - b_{k-1}y^{k-1} - \dots - b_0 = 0 \Rightarrow y$ is integral over $A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}]$. Since

b_0, \dots, b_{k-1} are integral over $A \Rightarrow A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}]$ is finite over A ;

$A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}, y]$ is finite over $A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}]$. By (b), $A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}, y]$

is finite over A , hence integral $\Rightarrow \gamma$ is integral

□

2) Integral closure.

Proposition 1: Let B be an A -algebra. Suppose A is Noeth'n. If $\alpha, \beta \in B$ are integral over A , then so are $\alpha + \beta, \alpha\beta, \alpha\alpha$ ($\forall \alpha \in A$). Again: can remove the Noeth'n assumption: Rmk on page 6.

Proof: Consider subalgebras $A[\alpha] \subset A[\alpha, \beta] \subset B$, $A[\alpha]$ is integral over A , $A[\alpha, \beta]$ is integral over $A[\alpha]$ \Rightarrow over A as well. Since $\alpha\beta, \alpha + \beta, \alpha\alpha \in A[\alpha, \beta]$, they are integral over A . □

Corollary / definition: The subset \bar{A}^B of all integral over A elements in B form an A -subalgebra. This subalgebra is called the integral closure of A in B .

Note that this is a direct generalization of algebraic closures of fields.

Prop 2: If A is Noeth'n, then the integral closure of \bar{A}^B in B is \bar{A}^B .

Proof: Let $\beta \in B$ be integral over \bar{A}^B . Need to show β is integral over A ($\Rightarrow \beta \in \bar{A}^B$). Let $f(x) = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots + b_0$ w. $f(\beta) = 0$. Then b_0, \dots, b_{k-1} are integral over $A \Rightarrow A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}]$ is finite over $A \Rightarrow A[b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}, \beta]$ is finite over A . Hence β is integral over A .

□

Once again, can remove the Noeth'n assumption.

Remark (added 11/6): we can remove the assumption that A is Noetherian throughout. This isn't particularly important, as most of rings we encounter are Noetherian.

It's enough to do this in Theorem from Section 1.2, the assumption that A is Noetherian propagates from there.

So let B be finite over A . We need to show that $\beta \in B$ is integral over A . This turns out to be a consequence of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

We can replace A w. its image in B and assume A is a subring of B . The multiplication by β is an A -linear operator on B , denote this operator by x . Let b_1, \dots, b_k be generators of the A -module B . Then $x(b_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} b_j$ for some $a_{ij} \in A$. Let $\Psi = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_{k \times k}(A)$. So x sends the collection $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ viewed as a column vector to $\vec{\Psi}\vec{b}$.

Now view B as an $A[x]$ -module. The matrix $\tilde{\Psi} := xI - \Psi \in \text{Mat}_{k \times k}(A[x])$ sends \vec{b} to 0. We know that for $\tilde{\Psi}'$ consisting of $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ minors of $\tilde{\Psi}$ (sometimes called the adjoint matrix of $\tilde{\Psi}$ - although this terminology is not the best) we have $\tilde{\Psi}'\tilde{\Psi} = \det(\tilde{\Psi})I$. It follows that the element $\det(\tilde{\Psi}) = \det(xI - \Psi) \in A[x]$ acts on B by 0.

Set $f(x) := \det(xI - \Psi)$, this is a monic polynomial. Recall that x acts on B as multiplication by $\beta \Rightarrow f(\beta) = 0$ in B . So β is integral over A .