

## Lecture 1 (Pavel)

- 1) Affine Lie algebras & their finite dim'l reps
- 2) Intro to quantum groups

1) of fin. dim. simple Lie alg.

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g}[t^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K, [\alpha(t), \beta(t)] = [\alpha, \beta](t) + \text{Res}_{t=0} (\alpha(t), \beta(t)) \frac{dt}{t} K$$

Q: what are fin. dim. reps of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ ?

Lem:  $K=0$  on every fin. dim. rep'n

Proof:  $\langle e_i, h_i, f_i \rangle \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  root  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple,  $i=0, \dots, \text{rk } \mathfrak{g}$

$$K = \sum k_i h_i; [e_i, f_i] = h_i \Rightarrow \text{tr}_V h_i = 0 \Rightarrow \text{tr}_V K = 0$$

But  $K$  is sum of commuting s/simple el.ts  $\Rightarrow K=0$ .  $\square$

So we reduce to studying fin. dim. reps of  $\mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}[t^{\pm 1}]$ .

$z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \rightsquigarrow \text{ev}_z: \mathcal{L}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \alpha(t) \mapsto \alpha(z)$ , it's surjective

$$V \in \text{Rep } \mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow V(z) = ev_z^*(V).$$

For  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$  let  $V_\lambda$  denote  $\mathfrak{g}$ -irrep. w. highest wt  $\lambda$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  irreps  $V_\lambda(z)$  of  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g}$ .

Prop 1:  $V_{\lambda_1}(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}(z_n)$  w.  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  is irrep  
 iff  $z_i$  are pairwise distinct.

Proof:  $\Rightarrow$ : need to prove:  $X, Y \neq \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow X \otimes Y$  is reducible

Observe:  $\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{g}$  are direct summands in  $X \otimes X^*, Y \otimes Y^*$  so

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X \otimes Y, X \otimes Y) = \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X \otimes X^*, Y \otimes Y^*) \geq 2$$

$\Leftarrow$ : exercise (hint:  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus k}$  via  $(ev_{z_1}, \dots, ev_{z_n})$ )  $\square$

Question: which of tensor products in Prop 1 are isomorphic?

Prop 2: These  $\otimes$ -products are pairwise non-iso.

$$\text{Proof: } h \in \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}, h_+(z) := -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (h \otimes t^{n-1}) z^n$$

Apply  $h_+(z)$  to  $v := v_\lambda \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\lambda_n} \in V_{\lambda_1}(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}(z_n)$ ,

unique up to scaling vector of wt  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$  for  $g \in \mathcal{L}$

$$h_+(z)v = \sum_{k,n} -\lambda_k(h) \left(\frac{z}{z_k}\right)^n = \sum_k \frac{\lambda_k(h)}{z - z_k}$$

has poles  $z_k$  & residues  $-\lambda_k(h)$ .

$$n_{ik} := \lambda_k(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ so } h_{i+}(z)v = \left( \sum_k \frac{n_{ik}}{z - z_k} \right)v = \frac{P'_i(z)}{P_i(z)}v, \text{ where}$$

$$P_i(z) := \prod_k (z - z_k)^{n_{ik}}$$

So the action of  $\mathfrak{h} \otimes t^\pm \mathbb{C}[t^\pm]$  is encoded by  $P_1, \dots, P_r$ , which yields the proof.  $\square$

**Prop 3:** Every fin. dim  $\mathcal{L}$ -irrep is isomorphic to some  $V_{\lambda_1}(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}(z_n)$ .

**Pf:** Let  $V$  be fin. dim. rep

$$\varphi: \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}] \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}, \text{End}(V))$$

$$\varphi(g)(a) := \pi_V(a \otimes g)$$

**Claim:**  $I = \ker \varphi$  is an ideal.

Pf of Claim:  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}, q \in I, p \in \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$

of Claim

$$\mathfrak{D}_V([a, b] \otimes pq) = [\mathfrak{D}_V(ap), \mathfrak{D}_V(bq)] = 0$$

Since  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}([a, b]) = \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_V(cpq) = 0 \nabla c \in \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow pq \in I$ .  $\square$

So  $I = (q)$ , w.  $q = \prod_{i=1}^d (t - z_i)^{n_i}$  so

$g[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$  factors through  $\mathcal{O} \otimes (\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]/(q)) =: \mathcal{O}$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{ss} \ltimes \text{Rad}(\mathcal{O})$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_{ss} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}$

Since  $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{O})$  is nilpotent, it acts by 0 on the irrep

$\Rightarrow V$  has to be  $\otimes$  of irreps of simple summands of  $\mathcal{O}_{ss} \Rightarrow$

$V \cong V_{\lambda_1}(z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda_k}(z_k)$  for some  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ .  $\square$

Rem: • Direct analog of the classification of irreps holds for  $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$  for any fin. gen'd commut.  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $A$ .

- $\otimes$  simples is s/simple.

- Indecomposable reps are of  $\mathcal{O}_{ss}$  are still interesting.

## 2) Intro to quantum groups

Presentation of Kac-Moody Lie algebras:

$a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $a_{ii}=2$ ,  $a_{ij}=0 \Leftrightarrow a_{ji}=0$  &

$a_{ij} \leq 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Assume:  $\exists d_i$  s.t.  $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$  (symmetrizable KM) & fix them.

Generators:  $h_i, e_i, f_i$

$$[h_i, h_j] = 0, [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij}e_j, [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij}f_j$$

$$[e_i, f_j] = S_{ij} h_i$$

$$\text{Serre relns: } (\text{ad } e_i)^{1-a_{ij}} e_j = 0 = (\text{ad } f_i)^{1-a_{ij}} f_j = 0$$

Define  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(\Lambda)$  by same w/o Serre relns.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(\Lambda) = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_-$$

free in generators  $e_i$  for  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_+$  &  $f_i$  for  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_-$

$\exists! I \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(\Lambda)$  largest graded ideal w.  $I \cap \mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$

$\mathfrak{g}(\Lambda) = \mathfrak{h}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_-$  is identified w.  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(\Lambda)/I$  (Gabber-Kac thm).

$q$ -deformation: take  $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  not a root of 1 (or work over  $\mathbb{C}(q)$ )

Formally set  $g_i = q^{a_i}$  &  $K_i = "q_i^{h_i}"$

$$\mathcal{U}_q(g(\Lambda)) = \langle K_i^{\pm 1}, e_i, f_i \rangle / \text{rel'n's:}$$

$$\text{Rel'n: } [K_i, K_j] = 0, \quad K_i e_j K_i^{-1} = q_i^{a_{ij}} e_j$$

$$K_i f_j K_i^{-1} = q_i^{-a_{ij}} f_j$$

$$[e_i, f_j] = S_{ij} \frac{K_j - K_j^{-1}}{q_j - q_j^{-1}}$$

$$(\text{ad}_{q_i} e_i)^{1-a_{ij}} e_j = 0 - q \cdot \text{Serre relation w. } (\text{ad}_q X)(y) = xy - qyx.$$

Same recipe as before allows to bypass Serre rel'n's:

$$\mathcal{U}_q(\tilde{g}(\Lambda)) = \mathcal{U}_q(\tilde{h}_+) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\tilde{h}_-)$$

Mod out similar ideal to  $I$  to get  $\mathcal{U}_q(g(\Lambda))$ .

Prop.  $\mathcal{U}_q(g(\Lambda))$  is Hopf algebra:  $\Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes K_i + 1 \otimes e_i$

$$\Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes 1 + K_i^{-1} \otimes f_i$$

$$\Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i$$

$$\text{Antipode } S(e_i) = -e_i K_i^{-1}, \quad S(f_i) = -K_i f_i, \quad S(K_i) = K_i^{-1}$$

Important observation:

$\mathcal{U}_q(g(\Lambda))$  is almost Drinfeld double of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{h}_+)$ .

Recall: quantum double. Let  $H$  be (fin. dim.) Hopf algebra

Then  $\mathcal{D}(H) = H \otimes H^{*, \text{cop}}$  (opposite coproduct) as coalgebra

multiplication:  $H, H^{*, \text{cop}}$  are subalgebras

commutation law:  $b \in H^{*, \text{cop}}, a \in H \rightsquigarrow ba$

$$\Delta_3 a = a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3, \Delta_3 b = b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3$$

$$ba := (S^{-1}(a_1), b_1)(a_3, b_3)a_2 b_2$$

Point:  $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{D}(H))$  is braided

Def: If  $\mathcal{C}$  is monoidal category, then its Drinfeld center  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  is category w. objects  $(X, \varphi_X)$  w  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\varphi_X: X \otimes \bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \bullet \otimes X$$

st

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes M \otimes N & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{X,M \otimes N}} & \\ \downarrow \varphi_{X,M} \otimes 1 & \searrow & \leftarrow \text{hexagon rel'n.} \\ M \otimes X \otimes N & \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes \varphi_{X,N}} & M \otimes N \otimes X \end{array} + \text{the other way around.}$$

Then  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  is a monoidal category, in fact, braided

Thm (Drinfeld):  $Z(\text{Rep } H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep } \mathcal{D}(H)$ , where braiding on  $\text{Rep } \mathcal{D}(H)$  is defined using universal  $R$ -matrix

$$\sum_i a_i \otimes a^i, \text{ where } a_i \text{ is basis of } H \text{ & } a^i \text{ is dual basis}$$

$$c_{X,Y} (= \varphi_{X,Y}) = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{permutation}}}{P \circ R|_{X \otimes Y}} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X.$$

Important properties: •  $R\Delta(x) = \Delta^{\text{op}}(x)R \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D}(H)$ .

$$\bullet \text{ Hexagon rel.-ns} \Rightarrow (\Delta \otimes 1)(R) = R_{13}R_{23}, (1 \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12}$$

The Drinfeld double construction can be carried to some inf. dim. cases but now  $R \in \mathcal{D}(H) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}(H)$

Example ( $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  as almost Drinfeld double)

$$H := \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_+) = \langle K^{\pm}, e \rangle$$

$$KeK^{-1} = q^2e, \Delta(K), \Delta(e) \text{ as before}$$

$$\text{Take restricted dual } H^* = \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{b}_-) = \langle \tilde{K}, f \rangle$$

$$\tilde{K}f\tilde{K}^{-1} = q^{-2}f, \Delta(\tilde{K}) = \tilde{K} \otimes \tilde{K}, \Delta(f) = f \otimes 1 + \tilde{K}^{-1} \otimes f.$$

$$\mathcal{D}(H) = H \otimes H^{*, \text{cop}} = \langle e, f, K, \tilde{K} \rangle$$

but  $C := \tilde{K} K^{-1}$  is central  $\rightsquigarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}(H) = \mathcal{D}(H)/(C-1) \simeq \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

$$\text{Drinfeld commutation rel'n: } [e, f] = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

Get R-matrix for free.

$$R = q^{h \otimes h/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(R-1)/2} \frac{(q - q^{-1})^k}{[k]_q!} e^k \otimes f^k.$$

Rem:  $R$  gives braiding on cat.  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -reps.

The Drinfeld double construction extends to all  
KM algebras (starting w.  $\mathcal{U}_q(b_+)$ )