

1) Equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules vs finite # of orbits.

2) Applications to Representation theory.

1.1) Equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules on G/H . Let G be an algebraic group, $H \subset G$ its closed subgroup. Let $H^\circ \subset H$ denote the connected (=irreducible) component of 1, this is an algebraic normal subgroup & H/H° is a finite subgroup.

Theorem 1: We have a category equivalence $\text{Coh}^G(G/H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(H/H^\circ)$.

Proof: Recall that $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$ is a principal H -bundle. Hence $\pi^*: \text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/H}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_G): \pi_!(?)^H$. In fact, the functors $\pi^*, \pi_!(?)^H$ lift to functors between $\text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{G/H}), \text{Coh}^{G \times H}(\mathcal{D}_G)$ (more precisely, they lift to functors between the categories of weakly G -equivariant modules - and then restrict to subcategories of strongly equivariant modules). This is an exercise. The lifts are again mutually quasi-inverse equivalences. Similarly, $\text{Coh}^{G \times H}(\mathcal{D}_G) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_{\text{pt}})$. What remains to show is that $\text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_{\text{pt}}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(H/H^\circ)$. For this we notice that for $M \in \text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_{\text{pt}})$, the equivariance condition just says $\sum_{g \in H} gM = 0$. So H° must act trivially. This establishes an equivalence we need. \square

Remark: The equivalence in the theorem should be compared to $\text{Coh}^G(G/H) \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(H)$. Also note that, for a G -variety X , one can talk about its G -equivariant fundamental group,

$\mathcal{D}^G(X)$ that controls G -equivariant covers. We have $\mathcal{D}^G(G/H) \simeq H/H^\circ$ (exercise). For reasons explained in the previous lecture, we should expect the irreducible \mathcal{O} -coherent G -equivariant $\mathcal{D}_{G/H}$ -modules to be classified by $\text{Irrep}(\mathcal{D}^G(G/H))$. And this is indeed the case: from $V \in \text{Rep}(H/H^\circ)$, we can explicitly construct the corresponding \mathcal{D} -module. This is done as follows: take \mathcal{O}_{G/H° . This is a $G \times H/H^\circ$ -equivariant \mathcal{D} -module on G/H° . Let $\pi: G/H^\circ \rightarrow G/H$ be the natural map. It's a cover w. Galois group H/H° . Then $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{G/H^\circ})$ is a $G \times H/H^\circ$ -equivariant \mathcal{D} -module on G/H . Our equivalence sends V to $(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{G/H^\circ}) \otimes V)^{H/H^\circ}$. In particular, this \mathcal{D} -module is \mathcal{O} -coherent. So, for $X = G/H$, every G -equivariant coherent \mathcal{D} -module is \mathcal{O} -coherent and hence holonomic.

1.2) Equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules on G -varieties w. fin. many orbits.

This is the case where we can completely describe irreducible G -equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules. Let $X = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k O_i$ be the decomposition into G -orbits & $O_i \cong_G G/H_i$.

Theorem 2: 1) Every coherent G -equivariant \mathcal{D}_X -module is holonomic.

2) Irreducible G -equivariant \mathcal{D}_X -modules are classified by $\prod_{i=1}^k \text{Irrep}(H_i/H_i^\circ)$: to $V \in \text{Irrep}(H_i/H_i^\circ)$ we assign $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_i, M_V)$, where M_V is the irreducible \mathcal{O} -coherent equivariant \mathcal{D} -module on O_i constructed in the remark.

Proof: 1): We can order the orbits O_i in such a way that

$\overline{O}_i \supseteq O_j \Rightarrow i \leq j$. In particular, O_1 is the open orbit. Then

$X_\ell = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} O_i$ is an open G -stable subvariety. We prove $\text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i}) = \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i})$ by induction on i . The case of $i=1$ follows from the remark above. Now suppose we know $\text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i}) = \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i})$ and want to prove $\text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_{i+1}}) = \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_{i+1}})$. Let $j: X_i \hookrightarrow X_{i+1}$ denote the inclusion map. Let $F \in \text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i})$. Then $j^* F \in \text{Coh}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i}) = \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i}) \Rightarrow j_* j^* F \in \text{Hol}^G(\mathcal{D}_{X_i})$ by preservation of holonomicity. The kernel of $F \rightarrow j_* j^* F$ is supported on $X_{i+1} \setminus X_i = O_{i+1}$. It's G -equivariant. By the equivariant version of Kashiwara's lemma, it's a pushforward of an equivariant coherent \mathcal{D} -module from O_{i+1} . The latter must be holonomic hence the kernel is holonomic, and therefore F is holonomic. This finishes the proof of 1).

2): We know that the irreducible holonomic G -equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules on X are precisely $\text{IC}(\mathbb{Z}, V)$, where \mathbb{Z} is a locally closed G -irreducible smooth subvariety in X and V is an irreducible O -coherent equivariant \mathcal{D} -module on \mathbb{Z} . The subvariety \mathbb{Z} has a unique open G -orbit, say O_i . The \mathcal{D} -module $V|_{O_i}$ is still irreducible so comes from $V \in \text{Irr}(H_i/H_i^\circ)$. It's easy to see (O_i, V) is uniquely recovered from the irreducible \mathcal{D} -module. This gives the required bijection. \square

Rem: This theorem generalizes to the case when X is a closed (not necessary smooth) subvariety in a smooth variety \tilde{X} w. a G -action, and still the number of G -orbits in X is finite.

2) Applications to Representation theory.

We are going to consider specific examples of $H \backslash X$ (w. fin. many orbits) that are of relevance for Representation theory. There will be two families of examples.

1) G is a connected semisimple algebraic group, $X = G/B$, its flag variety & $H \subset G$ is a connected subgroup acting on X w. finitely many orbits (such subgroups are called "spherical"). Two examples of H we consider are: $H=N$, the unipotent radical of B , and $H=(G^6)^\circ$, where $\delta: G \rightarrow G$ is an involution.

2) $X=N$ is the nilpotent cone (= the subvariety of all nilpotent matrices) in $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_n$ & $G=PG_n$ or SL_n acting by conjugations.

2.1) Localization theorems.

It turns out that the \mathcal{D} -modules on G/B are closely related to representations of $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$. This is the content of the localization theorem(s) due to Beilinson & Bernstein.

Recall that a representation of \mathfrak{g} is the same thing as a representation of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = T(\mathfrak{g})/(x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{g})$, the universal enveloping algebra. The Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(G/B)$ coming from $G \curvearrowright G/B$ extends to a homomorphism of associative algebras $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/B) := \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$. It turns out one can describe $\mathcal{D}(G/B)$ using this homomorphism.

For this we need a description of the center of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ due to Harish-Chandra. We can decompose $B = T \backslash N$, where T is

a maximal torus and N is the maximal unipotent subgroup.

Let $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{n}$ be the Lie algebras. Let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the

Weyl group. Finally, consider the system of positive roots

$\Delta^+ \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ - the weights of T in \mathfrak{n} . Set $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$.

Harish-Chandra proved that the center $Z \subset U(g)$ is identified with the algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}^*]^{(W, \cdot)}$ for the shifted action of W on \mathfrak{t}^* : $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$. For $z \in Z$, let f_z denote the corresponding element. Note that Z acts by scalars on every irreducible module. For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ $\exists!$ g -irrep $L(\lambda)$ w. highest wt. λ . By the constr'n of f_z , $z \in Z$ acts on $L(\lambda)$ by $f_z(\lambda)$.

Set $m_0 := \{z \in Z \mid f_z(0) = 0\}$ ($= Z \cap U(g)_0$), $U_0 := U(g)/(m_0)$.

This is the quotient of $U(g)$ whose repr'n theory is the most interesting. Here's the first of localization theorems:

Theorem 2: The homomorphism $U(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G/B)$ descends to an isomorphism $U_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(G/B)$.

We write U_0 -mod for the category of finitely generated U_0 -modules. We have the global section functor

$$\Gamma: \text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}) \longrightarrow U_0\text{-mod}.$$

As with every global section functor, we have $\Gamma := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{G/B}}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}, \cdot)$.

So Γ has left adjoint: $\text{Loc}: U_0\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$ given by $\mathcal{D}_{G/B} \otimes_{U_0} \cdot$?

Here's the "main" Localization theorem:

Theorem 3: a) Every object in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$ has no higher cohomology & is generated by its global sections.

6) $\Gamma: \text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}) \iff \mathcal{U}_o\text{-mod:Loc}$ are mutually quasi-inverse equivalences.

Rem: For an algebraic subgroup $H \subset G$, Γ & Loc give mutually quasi-inverse equivalences between $\text{Coh}^H(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$ & $\mathcal{U}_o\text{-mod}^H$. It's the latter category we'd like to understand and we do this by understanding the former.

2.2) Category \mathcal{O} . Consider the case when $H=N$, the maximal unipotent subgroup of G . We have the Bruhat decomposition: $G = \coprod_{w \in W} N w B$ (where w is a lift of w to $N_G(T)$). This gives rise to $G/B = \coprod_{w \in W} N w B/B$. Note that $N w B/B$ is identified with $N/(N \cap w B w^{-1})$ as an N -variety (in fact, this is an affine space). Every closed subgroup of a unipotent algebraic group is unipotent, in particular, connected. Using Theorem 1, we see that the irreducibles in $\text{Coh}^N(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$ are classified by the elements of W . More precisely, let's write X_w for $N w B/B$ & \mathcal{O}_w for the \mathcal{D} -module \mathcal{O}_{X_w} on X_w . Then the irreducibles in $\text{Coh}^N(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$ are precisely $\text{IC}(X_w, \mathcal{O}_w)$.

The functor Γ identifies $\text{Coh}^N(\mathcal{D}_{G/B})$ with $\mathcal{U}_o\text{-mod}^N$. The irreducibles in $\mathcal{U}_o\text{-mod}^N$ are precisely $L(\lambda)$ w. $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. In fact, $\Gamma(\text{IC}(X_w, \mathcal{O}_w)) = L(w \cdot (-2\rho))$.

Rem: Let j_w be the inclusion $X_w \subset G/B$. The objects $\Gamma(j_{w*}\mathcal{O}_w)$, $\Gamma(j_{w!}\mathcal{O}_w)$ are the dual Verma and Verma modules w. highest wt $w \cdot (-2\rho)$.

- The equivalence $\text{Coh}^N(\mathcal{D}_{G/B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}_o\text{-mod}^N$ is the

first step in the proof of Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture on the characters of the modules $L(w \cdot (-z_0))$ (by Beilinson-Bernstein & Brylinski-Kashiwara).

2.3) Harish-Chandra moduli. The classification of irreducibles in $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-mod}^N$ can be done by elementary representation theoretic tools. Here's another example of an important representation theoretic category, where the classification of irreducibles requires to use equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules: categories of Harish-Chandra (HC) \mathcal{U}_0 -moduli. Let G be a connected reductive alg'c group.

Definition: A symmetric subgroup of G is a subgroup of the form $(G^\theta)^\circ$, where $\theta: G \rightarrow G$ is an involution of G .

Example: Let $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. The inner involutions θ' are conjugate to $A \mapsto \sum A \Sigma^{-1} w \Sigma = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$. The corresponding symmetric subgroups are $GL_k \times GL_{n-k}$ (block-diagonal matrices). The outer involutions are $A \mapsto (A^*)^{-1}$ w.r.t. orthogonal or (for even n) symplectic forms. The corresponding subgroups are SO_n & Sp_n (the latter for even n).

Let $G = K \times K$, where K is a connected reductive group. Then $(k_1, k_2) \mapsto (k_2, k_1)$ is an involution & the corresponding symmetric subgroup is K embedded into G diagonally.

Definition: Let $K \subset G$ be a symmetric subgroup. By a HC (\mathcal{U}_0, K) -module we mean an object in $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-mod}^K$.

Such moduli appear in the study of infinite dimensional representations of reductive real Lie groups.

Here's a key fact for geometric understanding of irreducible HC modules.

Fact: K acts on G/B with finitely many orbits.

Example: Let $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$. It has a unique involution: conjugation with $\text{diag}(1, -1)$. The subgroup K is T , the one dimensional torus of diagonal matrices. We have $G/B = \mathbb{P}^1$ & 3 orbits for T : $\{0\}$, $\{\infty\}$ & the complement.

Premium exercise (on Linear algebra!): check the finiteness claim for the action of K on G/B for $G = GL_3$ & K listed above.

So the localization theorem allows to classify the irreducible (U_0, K) -modules in geometric terms.

Example: Let's see what happens in the PGL_2 example. We have 2 T -fixed points, 0 and ∞ - with connected stabilizers.

They contribute two irreducible HC modules (positive & negative anti-dominant Verma modules). The complement is a free orbit.

It contributes one irreducible HC module.

Anti-premium exercise: what is this module?

In general, however, there will be disconnected stabilizers, for example for the open SO_n -orbit on $F\mathcal{P} = SL_n/B$, the stabilizer is $\{\pm 1\}^{n-1}$ (exercise).

2.4) Equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules on the nilpotent cone.

Let N be the subvariety of nilpotent matrices in SL_n .

The group GL_n acts on SL_n by conjugation stabilizing N . The orbits in N are labelled by the partitions of n - via JNF.

The stabilizers in G_n^L are all connected: for $e \in N$ its stabilizer is all non-degenerate matrices in the centralizer: $\{A \in S_n^L \mid [A, e] = 0\}$. So the irreducible G_n^L -equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules on N are naturally labelled by the partitions of n . This is closely related to the Springer theory that we tried to study at the seminar.

Since the center of G_n^L acts trivially on N (& on S_n^L) the G_n^L -equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules are the same as PGL_n -equivariant. If we replace PGL_n with SL_n , we get more equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules. To an irreducible SL_n -equivariant \mathcal{D} -module we can assign a residue mod n that encodes the scalar by which the center of SL_n ($\cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$) acts on that \mathcal{D} -module.

The most interesting case is when the residue is coprime to n . Denote the residue by a .

Premium exercise: There is a unique such \mathcal{D} -module, it's associated to the principal nilpotent orbit (i.e. the one with a single block). Denote it by $M_{a,n}$.

The \mathcal{D} -modules in the exercise (one for each $a \bmod n$ coprime to n) are very interesting - and there are still things to be understood about objects closely related to them. For example, the irreducible representation $L(\mu)$ of SL_n with highest weight μ appears in $M_{a,n} \iff \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n \equiv a \bmod n$ (\Rightarrow is a tautology) and the multiplicity is $\frac{1}{n} \dim L(\mu)$ (which is an integer - an exercise).