

Lazy approach to categories \mathcal{O} , IV

1) Quantum categories \mathcal{O}

2) Highest weight structure.

3) Deformation & subgeneric behaviour.

4) Whittaker coinvariants.

1) Quantum categories \mathcal{O}

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . We can consider the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})/\mathbb{C}(q)$ and the mixed (a.k.a. hybrid) $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}]$ -lattice $\mathcal{U}_q^{\text{mix}}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by:

$$F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i^{(\ell)} = E_i^{(e)}/[\ell]_q! \quad (i \in I, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$$

indexing set for simple roots.

We can also consider De Concini-Kac lattice $\mathcal{U}_q^{\text{DK}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (generated by $F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i$) & Lusztig lattice $\mathcal{U}_q^L(\mathfrak{g})$ (generated by $F_i^{(\ell)}, K_i^{\pm 1}, E_i^{(e)}$).

For $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^\times \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{\text{mix}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{U}_q^{\text{mix}}(\mathfrak{g})/(q - \varepsilon)$, all these algebras are graded by the root lattice, Λ . Fix the G -invariant form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{h} w. $(\alpha^\vee, \alpha^\vee) = 2$ for all short coroots α . Set $n_\alpha := (\alpha^\vee, \alpha^\vee)/2$. Identify \mathfrak{h} w. \mathfrak{h}^* using (\cdot, \cdot) , so that $\alpha^\vee = n_\alpha \alpha$.

Def: Pick $p \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ w. $\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p)$ & $\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Category $\mathcal{O}_{p,\gamma}$
= full subcategory in Λ -graded fin. generated $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon^{\text{mix}}$ -modules
consisting of all $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda$ s.t.

- $\dim M_\lambda < \infty \ \forall \lambda$.
- $\{\lambda \mid M_\lambda \neq 0\}$ is bounded from above.
- K_i acts on M_λ by $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\lambda + \gamma, \alpha_i)/p)$.

The most interesting case is when $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($\Leftrightarrow \varepsilon = \sqrt{1}$) & γ
"has small denominator."

Rem: One can introduce Verma modules $\Delta_{p,\gamma}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, in
the same way as for the usual category \mathcal{O} . Their simple
quotients, $L_{p,\gamma}(\lambda)$ give a complete list of irreps in $\mathcal{O}_{p,\gamma}$.

If $p \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ & $\gamma = 0$ (we should be able to require just
that $p \in \mathbb{Q}$) all $L_{p,\gamma}(\lambda)$ are finite dimensional. In parti-
cular the objects $\Delta_{p,\gamma}(\lambda)$ have infinite length.

2) Highest weight structure.

In Sec 1 of Lec 1 we have introduced the notion of a

highest weight category with finite poset. The usual category \mathcal{O} doesn't quite fit this definition, but it splits as the direct sum of infinitesimal blocks that do. While $\mathcal{O}_{p,r}$ splits as the direct sum of infinitesimal blocks, for interesting parameters (p, r) , they are still infinite. So we need to generalize the definition of a highest weight category.

Def: Let \mathcal{T} be a poset. We say that \mathcal{T} is interval finite (resp. coideal finite) if $\nexists \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow [\tau_1, \tau_2] := \{\tau \mid \tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}$ is finite (resp., $\{\tau \geq \tau_1\}$ is finite)

Example:

- Λ w. the usual order is interval finite.
- If \mathcal{T} is interval finite, then $\mathcal{T}(\leq \tau_2) = \{\tau \in \mathcal{T} \mid \tau \leq \tau_2\}$ is coideal finite $\nexists \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}$.

$\mathcal{O}_{p,r}$ should be a highest weight category with poset Λ & standards $\Delta_{p,r}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ - we just need to say what this means formally.

Let \mathbb{F} be a field & \mathcal{C} be an abelian category w. objects $\Delta(\tau)$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, where \mathcal{T} is interval finite. To a poset ideal $\mathcal{T}_o \subset \mathcal{T}$ we assign the Serre span $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}_o}$ of $\Delta(\tau)$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_o$.

Def: We say that \mathcal{C} is **highest weight** w. poset \mathcal{T} & standard objects $\Delta(\tau)$ if the following hold:

(I) - properties of \mathcal{C} itself:

(I.1) \mathcal{C} is Noetherian,

(I.2) Hom's are finite dimensional

(II) - highest wt. structure for $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}_o}$ - familiar axioms:

(II.1) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Delta(\tau_1), \Delta(\tau_2)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$

(II.2) $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Delta(\tau)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}$

(II.3) $\nexists M \in \mathcal{C}, \neq 0 \quad \exists \tau \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Delta(\tau), M) \neq 0$

(II.4) \nexists coideal finite poset ideal $\mathcal{T}_o \subset \mathcal{T} \quad \nexists \tau \in \mathcal{T}_o \exists$

projective object P_τ in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}_o}$ w. $P_\tau \rightarrowtail \Delta(\tau)$ & ker filtered by $\Delta(\tau')$ w. $\tau' \in \mathcal{T}_o, \tau' > \tau$ (a finite filtration)

Premium exercise: $\mathcal{O}_{p,r}$ is highest weight w. poset Λ &

standards $\Delta_{p,r}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

3) Deformation & subgeneric behaviour.

We want to analyze $O_{p,r}$ using the same techniques as we used for the BGG cat. O , namely

- construct a deformation over a formal power series algebra.
 - understand the subgeneric behavior.
 - construct a "nice" functor to a "combinatorial" category

3.1) Deformation.

The formal power series ring will be in $r+1$ (where $r=rk\mathfrak{g}$) variables, r corresponding to deforming \mathfrak{g} and one corresponds to deforming p . Namely, let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the affine Cartan, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}\hat{t}$ (where we use \hat{t} to denote a central element in the Kac-Moody algebra corresp. to (\cdot, \cdot)). Set $R = \mathbb{C}[[\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^*]]$.

We can consider the deformation $O_{p,r,R}$ similarly to $O_{r,R}$ in Sec 1.3 in Lec 1.

Set $\hat{\epsilon} = \exp(\sqrt{-1}/(p+h)) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \subset R$ and form the algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\hat{\epsilon}}^{\text{mix}} / \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. We still have the natural inclusion $\iota: \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow R$.

By def'n, $\mathcal{O}_{p,\gamma,R}$ consists of certain 1-graded fin. generated $\mathcal{U}_{\hat{\epsilon}}^{\text{mix}}$ -modules (cf. $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma,R}$ in Sec 1.3). The condition for the action of K_i 's on M_λ is that it acts by the following element of R :

$$\exp(\sqrt{-1}[(\lambda + \gamma, \alpha_i) + (\alpha_i)]/(p+h))$$

The category $\mathcal{O}_{p,\gamma,R}$ is highest weight over R , the details of the definition are left as an **exercise**.

3.2) Subgeneric behavior.

We consider the affine root system $\{\alpha + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Def: The **integral roots** for (γ, p) are the affine roots $\alpha + n\delta$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ & $n_\alpha((\alpha, \gamma) + np) \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $n_\alpha = \frac{(\alpha^\vee, \alpha^\vee)}{2}$.

Expectation: 1) $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma, p}$ is semisimple \Leftrightarrow there are no integral roots.

2) When the integral root system consists of exactly two (mutually opposite) roots, $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma, p}$ is equivalent to \bigoplus of blocks of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. This is the subgeneric behavior.

Remark: In the full generality this is not in the literature but in interesting (and sufficiently broad) special cases it is.

The case when ε is not a root of 1 should be done using a suitable version of twisting functors (constituting an action of Br_W) and their t -exactness morally similar to I.L.'s

work with Dhillon. With this, the proof reduces to the case when $\varepsilon = \sqrt[3]{1}$: we expect that for generic γ , $\mathcal{O}_{p, \gamma}$ is simple.

This is known when the order of ε is odd (& coprime to 3

if γ is G_2), and is based on understanding the Azumaya locus in $Z(U_\varepsilon^{\text{DK}})$. An informal reason why $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$ w.r.t generic should be simple is as follows.

Let $L(\gamma)$ be a Levi. Suppose that $(\gamma, \alpha) + np$ is suff.

generic for all α that are not roots of L & all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then we expect that the parabolic induction functor
 $\mathcal{O}_{p,\vee}(\mathfrak{l}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{p,\vee}(g)$ is an equivalence. But $\mathcal{O}_{p,\vee}(\mathfrak{h})$ is semisimple.

4) Whittaker coinvariants.

We now assume:

- $\sqrt{d} = 0$
- $\varepsilon = \text{primitive } \sqrt[2d]{1}$ w. d odd (& coprime to 3 for $g = G_2$).

To handle the general case, some modification may be needed.

It will be convenient to modify the Cartan part: let Λ_w^\vee be the coweight lattice: we replace $\text{Span}(K_\mu | \mu \in \Lambda)$ w. $\text{Span}(K_\mu | \mu \in \Lambda_w^\vee)$. It still naturally acts on modules from $\mathcal{O}_{p,\vee,R}$.

Our functor will still be Whittaker coinvariants.

Note that the quantum Serre relations imply that there's no homomorphism $\bar{\mathcal{U}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{C}$ w. $\psi(F_i) \neq 0 \forall i$ (**exercise**).

However, Sevostyanov proved that there are elements

$\tilde{v}_i \in P^\vee$ s.t. $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}^-$ generated by the elements $\tilde{F}_i = K_{\tilde{v}_i} F_i$ admits $\psi: \tilde{\mathcal{U}}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ w. $\psi(\tilde{F}_i) = 1$. Then we can consider the functor $Wh: \mathcal{O}_{p,\gamma,R} \rightarrow R$, $M \mapsto M/\sum_{i \in I} \text{im}(\tilde{F}_i - 1)$.

The properties of this functor are similar to its non-quantum counterpart, the main difference is that the finite Weyl group is replaced w. $W^{a,v} = W \ltimes \Lambda$. Namely,

I) $Wh: \mathcal{O}_{p,\gamma}^\Delta \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ is faithful.

II) The center $Z(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon^{\text{DK}})$ acts on modules from $\mathcal{O}_{p,\gamma,R}$ commuting w. $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon^{\text{DK}}$ & making Wh a functor

$$\mathcal{O}_{p,\gamma,R} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon^{\text{DK}}) \otimes R\text{-mod}$$

It is fully faithful on $\mathcal{O}_{p,\gamma,R}^\Delta$.

III) We have $Z(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon^{\text{DK}}) \simeq \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}[[t]]}(K_{2\mu} |_{\mu \in P})^{(W, \cdot)}$ via HC isomorphism. This implies infinitesimal block decomposition for

$\mathcal{O}_{p,0}$ (& $\mathcal{O}_{p,0,R}$). Namely consider the action of $W \ltimes \Lambda$ on Λ , where W acts by the dot-action & Λ acts by $t_\lambda \cdot \mu = \mu + d\lambda$ (where d is the order of ϵ). Then $\mathcal{O}_{p,0} = \bigoplus_{\Sigma} \mathcal{O}_{p,0,\Sigma}$, where

Σ runs over the set of $W \ltimes \Lambda$ -orbits in Λ . This can be

deduced, for example from Sec 3.2. Every orbit has unique point in the anti-dominant alcove: minimal coroot

$$A_- = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \leq 0, \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle \geq -d\}$$

Let λ_- be the unique point of $A_- \cap \Sigma$ & $W^0 \subset W \rtimes \Lambda$ be its stabilizer, a finite reflection group.

IV) $W \rtimes \Lambda$ acts on $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}h$ s.t. W acts by the default action & $t_\mu(\xi + zh) = \mu + (z + \langle \mu, \xi \rangle)h$ ($\mu \in \Lambda, \xi \in \mathfrak{h}$). For $\lambda \in \Sigma$ let χ_λ denote the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}(U_{\hat{\varepsilon}}^{\Delta_k}) \rightarrow R$ given by action on $\Delta_{p,0,R}(\lambda)$. Then χ_{λ_-} gives an identification of the completion $\mathbb{Z}(U_{\hat{\varepsilon}}^{\Delta_k})^{\wedge \Sigma}$ at the maximal ideal corresponding to Σ & R^{W^0} . Moreover, for $x \in W$, $\chi_{x \cdot \lambda_-} = x \chi_{\lambda_-}$ giving an identification of $\Delta_{p,0,R}(\lambda)$ w. R^{W^0} - R -bimodule R_x .

Rem: One can ask to describe the order on $(W \rtimes \Lambda)/W^0$ coming from the highest wt structure on $\mathcal{O}_{p,0,\Sigma}$ in terms of the Bruhat order, \leq . Note that it cannot coincide w \leq b/c the highest wt. order is preserved under left multiplication by the t_λ 's. It turns out that we have the following

well-defined order: $x \leq^{\text{st}} y$ if $t_\mu x \leq t_\mu y$ for all μ sufficiently dominant. This is the highest wt. order for $O_{P,0,\Xi}$.