

Lecture 22

1) Quot schemes, cont'd.

2) Projective GIT.

Ref: [Ne], Secs 1.1, 1.2, 5.1-5.3; [HL], Sec 2.2, 4.2.

1) Quot schemes, cont'd.

1.0) Reminder

Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g ; $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ & $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. We assume $d > r(2g-1)$. This is not restrictive from the point of classifying semi/stable vector bundles as we can change the degree by a multiple of r via tensoring w. a line bundle.

We have seen in Sec 2.1 of Lec 21 that every semistable rank r degree d vector bundle \mathcal{F} on C has $H^1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ & $H^0(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Hence $\dim H^0(\mathcal{F}) = N := d + (1-g)r$ & the Hilbert polynomial of \mathcal{F} is $P(m) := \chi(\mathcal{F}(m)) = N + rd_0 \cdot m$, where $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is a very ample line bundle on C & $d_0 = \deg \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Fix a vector space V of dimension N . In Sec 2.2 we have stated the existence of a projective scheme $Q := \text{Quot}_C^{P, V}$ s.t. that $Q \times C$ comes w. a quotient \mathcal{F}^{un} of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Q \times C}$ satisfying

- $\mathcal{F}_{|_{Q \times C}}^{\text{un}}$ has Hilbert polynomial $P \nmid q \in Q$ & \mathcal{F}^{un} is flat/ Q .
- and for every scheme S & quotient \mathcal{F}^S of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S \times C}$ w. analog-

gous properties $\exists! \varphi: S \rightarrow Q$ & unique $F^c \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^* F^{un}$.

In particular, the C -points $q \in Q$ are in bijection w. quotients F of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ that have Hilbert polynomial P , equivalently, $\text{rk}(F) = r$, $\deg(F) = d$. We write F_q for the corresponding quotient of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ & ψ_q for the projection $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow F_q$. Note that F_q is nothing else but $F^{un}|_{\{q\} \times C}$.

We've introduced an action of $\text{PGL}(V)$ on Q (by acting on the first tensor factor in $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$). If F is semistable, then from an identification $\iota: V \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(F)$, we get a point $q(F, \iota) \in Q$ via $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\text{canon.}} F$. Lemma in Sec 2.2 of Lec 21 implies that the $\text{PGL}(V)$ -orbits of such points are in bijection w. iso. classes of semistable sheaves. So we are reduced to a problem of parameterizing orbits for a reductive group action on a scheme.

Rem: Part 3) of that lemma implies that $\text{Stab}_{\text{PGL}(V)}(q(F, \iota)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}(F)/\{\text{scalars}\}$. By property 4) of in Sec 1.1 of Lec 21, the right hand side is trivial if F is stable. So the action of $\text{PGL}(V)$ on the locus in Q corresponding to stable sheaves is free.

1.1) Open loci

Consider the following loci:

$$Q^\circ = \{q \in Q \mid \mathcal{F}_q \text{ is a vector bundle on } C\}$$

$$Q' = \{q \in Q^\circ \mid H^0(\psi_q) : V \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathcal{F}_q)\}$$

The main result of this section is as follows.

Lemma: $Q' \subset Q^\circ$ are Zariski open in Q .

Proof:

Let $\pi: Q \times C \rightarrow C$ be the projection, it's proper. Let $(Q \times C)^\circ$ be the locus, where the coherent sheaf \mathcal{F}^{un} is a vector bundle. A general result (based on the Nakayama lemma) tells us that $(Q \times C)^\circ$ is open in $Q \times C$, so $Q|Q^\circ = \pi((Q \times C) \setminus (Q \times C)^\circ)$ is closed b/c π is proper $\Rightarrow Q^\circ$ is open.

To prove Q' is open, we argue as follows. Let G denote the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_{Q \times C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{un}}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{Q \times C}, \mathcal{F}^{\text{un}}$ are flat over Q , so is G & have SES $0 \rightarrow G_q \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_q \rightarrow 0$ (exercise on Tor's). Note that $X(\mathcal{F}_q) = N \nmid q \in Q$. It follows that $\dim H^0(\mathcal{F}_q) = N \Leftrightarrow \dim H^1(\mathcal{F}_q) = 0$ and both are minimal possible values. Note that $Q' = \{q \in Q^\circ \mid \dim H^0(\mathcal{F}_q) = N, \dim H^1(\mathcal{F}_q) = 0\}$. This is open by the upper-semicontinuity theorem in [Ha], Ch. 3, Sec 12. \square

1.2) Smoothness & dimension

Lemma: Q' is smooth & every component has dimension $N^2 + r^2(g-1)$.

Sketch of proof:

For $k \geq 0$, let $A_k := \mathbb{C}[[\epsilon]]/(\epsilon^k)$, $Z_k := \text{Spec}(A_k)$

We have $T_q Q' = \{q: Z_2 \rightarrow Q \mid q(pt) = q\} \cong \{\text{A_2-flat quotients } \mathcal{F}'$
of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \otimes A_2$ that specialize to $\mathcal{F}_q\} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{L}_q, \mathcal{F}_q)$ (**exercise**: hint -
think about the tangent space to Grassmannian).

Moreover, Q' is smooth at $q \Leftrightarrow$ any morphism $Z_2 \rightarrow Q'$ sending
pt to q extends to Z_k , $\forall k \geq 0$. The obstructions to extend from
 Z_k to Z_{k+1} live in $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^1(\mathcal{L}_q, \mathcal{F}_q)$. This space fits into an exact
sequence: $0 = [H^1(\mathcal{F}_q) = 0 \nmid q \in Q'] = \text{Ext}^1(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{F}_q) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{L}_q, \mathcal{F}_q) \rightarrow$
 $\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{F}_q, \mathcal{F}_q)$ [$\dim C = 1$] = 0.

So $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{L}_q, \mathcal{F}_q) = 0$, hence q is a smooth point. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim T_q Q' &= \chi(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathcal{L}_q, \mathcal{F}_q)) = [\text{Riemann-Roch}] = \deg \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_q, \mathcal{F}_q) \\ &+ (1-g) \text{rk } \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_q, \mathcal{F}_q) = \text{rk } \mathcal{L}_q \cdot \deg \mathcal{F}_q - \text{rk } \mathcal{F}_q \cdot \deg \mathcal{L}_q + (1-g)(N-r)r \\ &= [N = d + (1-g)r] = N^2 + r^2(g-1). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Since $PGL(V)$ acts freely on the locus in Q' corresponding to
the stable bundles we expect the moduli space of $\dim = r^2(g-1) + 1$.

Remark: Stable bundles w. given rank & degree may fail to

exist. For example, Lemma implies that any stable bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 is a line bundle (of course, this follows from the classical fact that every vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 is the direct sum of line bundles)

For $g=1$, a stable bundle exists $\Leftrightarrow \text{CCD}(r, d) = 1$, the moduli space in this case is an elliptic curve. For $g > 1$, a stable bundle exists $\nexists r > 0 \& d$.

2) Projective GIT

Our setup is the following. Let G be a reductive group, V be a finite dimensional vector space. Suppose G acts on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ via a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a G -stable closed subscheme, so that we get a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on X .

2.1) GIT quotients.

Let H denote the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes \dim V}$. The choice is motivated by the following observation. Note that by the construction the action of $SL(V)$ on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ lifts to an $SL(V)$ -action on $\mathcal{O}(-1)$, in a more educated language, $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is an $SL(V)$ -equivariant line bundle. The action of $SL(V)$ on $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ doesn't factor through $\text{PGL}(V)$ (the center acts by a nontrivial character), but the action on $\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\otimes \dim V}$ does. So H is $\text{PGL}(V)$ -equivariant.

In particular, G acts on the homogeneous coordinate ring $\widetilde{A} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(X, H^{\otimes n})$. Set $\widetilde{X} := \text{Spec } \widetilde{A}$. So on \widetilde{X} we have an action of $\widetilde{G} := G \times \mathbb{C}^\times$, where \mathbb{C}^\times acts according to the grading.

Let $\theta: \widetilde{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, $(g, z) \mapsto z$, so that $\widetilde{X} //^\theta \mathbb{C}^\times = X$.

Definition: We define the **GIT quotient** $X //^H G := \widetilde{X} //^\theta \widetilde{G}$

For $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{X} \setminus \{0\}$, let $[\tilde{x}] := \mathbb{C}^\times \tilde{x} \in X$. Note that \mathbb{C}^\times acts on $\widetilde{X} \setminus \{0\}$ freely, & X is the space of orbits.

Definition: We say that

1) $[\tilde{x}]$ is **H -semistable** if \tilde{x} is θ -semistable

2) $[\tilde{x}]$ is **H -polystable** $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}^{H-\text{ss}}$ & $\widetilde{G}\tilde{x}$ is closed in $\widetilde{X}^{H-\text{ss}}$

3) $[\tilde{x}]$ is **H -stable** $\Leftrightarrow [\tilde{x}]$ is H -polystable & $\dim G[\tilde{x}] = \dim G$.

These can be described somewhat alternatively. To a section $g' \in \Gamma(X, H^{\otimes n})$ we assign its non-vanishing locus $X_g \subset X$, an open affine subvariety. If g' is G -invariant, X_g is G -stable

Exercise: 1) $X^{H-\text{ss}} = \bigcup_g X_g$, where the union is taken over all nonzero G -invariant sections of $H^{\otimes n}$ ($n > 0$).

- 2) $[\tilde{x}]$ is H -polystable $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}[\tilde{x}]$ is closed in $X^{H\text{-ss}}$ $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}[\tilde{x}]$ is closed in X_G for G as above, nonzero at $[\tilde{x}]$
- 3) $[\tilde{x}]$ is H -stable $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}[\tilde{x}]$ is closed in $\tilde{X}^{H\text{-ss}}$ & has $\dim = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$.

Remark: Let X be a projective scheme acted on by a reductive group G . It makes sense to speak about G -equivariant (a.k.a. G -linearized) line bundles on X (note that the equivariance is an additional structure: one can twist the action by a character of G). The construction above in this section makes sense of G -linearized ample line bundle on X .

In a bonus section we'll discuss conditions ensuring that a line bundle is linearizable (i.e. admits a G -linearization).

2.2) Hilbert-Mumford type theorems.

We need a version of Theorem from Sec 1.1 of Lec 18 in the projective setup. Let $\gamma: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G$ be a 1-parameter subgroup, & $x \in X$. Since X is projective, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t)x$ exists, denote this point by x' . Consider the fiber $H_{x'}$. Since H is G -equivariant, it's \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant & hence $H_{x'}$ is a 1-dimensional representation of \mathbb{C}^\times , so $\exists! r \in \mathbb{Z}$ w. $\gamma(t)h = t^r h \quad \forall h \in H_{x'}$.

$$\mu^H(x, \gamma) := r$$

Thm: x is semistable (resp. stable) if $\mu^H(x, \gamma) \geq 0$ (resp. $\mu^H(x, \gamma) > 0$ for each nontrivial γ).

Proof:

We can assume that \tilde{A} is generated as an algebra by its deg 1 component. Let $V = \tilde{A}^*$, so that $\tilde{X} \hookrightarrow V$, a closed \tilde{G} -equivariant embedding, where $\mathbb{C}^\times \triangleleft \tilde{G}$ acts on V by $(z, v) \mapsto z^{-1}v$.

Set $V_n(\gamma) = \{v \in V \mid \gamma(t)v = t^n v\}$ & decompose \tilde{X} as $\sum_n \tilde{X}_n$ w. $\tilde{X}_n \in V_n(\gamma)$. Let $n_0 = \min \{n \mid \tilde{X}_n \neq 0\}$. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \gamma(t)[\tilde{x}] = [\tilde{X}_{n_0}]$ & $C\tilde{X}_{n_0} = H^{-1}|_{x_0}$, so $r = n_0$. Note that for $\tilde{\gamma}: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ of the form $t \mapsto (\gamma(t), t^\ell)$ TFAE

1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\gamma}(t)\tilde{x}$ exists

2) $\langle \theta, \tilde{\gamma} \rangle = \ell \leq n_0$ (note that $\tilde{\gamma}(t)\tilde{x} = t^{-\ell}\gamma(t)\tilde{x}$)

Let's now prove the semistability part of the theorem. By definition, x is H -semistable $\Leftrightarrow \tilde{x}$ is θ -semistable. And by Thm in Sec 1.1 of Lec 18, \tilde{x} is θ -semistable $\Leftrightarrow \nexists \tilde{\gamma}: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ s.t.

1) holds, have $\langle \theta, \tilde{\gamma} \rangle \leq 0$. By equivalence of 1) & 2), we are done.

We proceed to the stability part. By Thm in Sec 1.1 of Lec 18, $\tilde{G}\tilde{x}$ is closed in $\tilde{X}^{\theta-ss} \Leftrightarrow \nexists \tilde{\gamma}: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ satisfying

$\langle \theta, \tilde{\gamma} \rangle = 0$ & $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\gamma}(t)\tilde{x}$ exists in \tilde{X} , we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\gamma}(t)\tilde{x} \in C\tilde{x}$.

Note that $\text{im } \tilde{\gamma}$ stabilizes the limit, impossible if $\dim \tilde{G}\tilde{x} = \dim \tilde{G}$. So we arrive at the equivalence of the following two claims

a) $\tilde{G}\tilde{x}$ is closed in $\tilde{X}^{\theta-ss}$ & has $\dim = \dim G$.

b) If $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{g}(t)\tilde{x}$ exists in \tilde{X} , then $\langle \theta, \tilde{g} \rangle < 0$.

To finish the proof of the stability part is **exercise** \square

2.2) Bonus: more on linearizability (Ref: [MF], Sec I.3)

We start with the following result

Theorem: Let X be a normal variety & L be a line bundle.

Let G be a factorial (as a variety) connected algebraic group acting on X . Then L is G -linearizable.

Examples: 1) Any unipotent group is factorial.

2) Any torus is factorial.

3) Any simply connected semisimple group G is factorial.

Indeed, the open Bruhat cell $G^\circ = N^- \times T \times N \subset G$ is factorial.

Any then one can show that every divisor in the complement of G° is principal.

4) The Levi decomposition then implies that if connected $G \ni$ factorial G' s.t. $G' \rightarrow G$ w. finite central kernel. This implies the following claim:

Corollary: Let $X \& L$ be as in Theorem & G be an arbitrary connected algebraic group. Then $L^{\otimes n}$ is linearizable if n divides $\#\ker[G' \rightarrow G]$

Now we are going to give an application of Thm. Let G be factorial & H be an algebraic subgroup. Consider the homogeneous space G/H , it's normal. Let $\text{Pic}(G/H)$ & $\text{Pic}^G(G/H)$ denote the Picard groups of ordinary & linearized G -bundles. The following exercise allows to compute $\text{Pic}(G/H)$.

Premium exercise: 1) Establish an isomorphism $\text{Pic}^G(G/H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}(H)$.
2) Establish an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{X}(G) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathcal{X}(H) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G/H)$$