

Representations of algebraic groups & Lie algebras, Pt. III

- 1) Weight decomposition.
- 2) Induced modules.
- 3) Completion of classification.
- 4) Remarks & complements.

1) Notation: $G = SL_2(\mathbb{F})$, $T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset G$. The weight decomposition we are after comes from the action of T . This is based on the following Lemma. Note that $T \cong \mathbb{F}^\times$ (via $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto t$).

Lemma: 1) Every rational representation of \mathbb{F}^\times is completely reducible, the irreducibles are 1-dimensional,

2) and are given by $t \mapsto t^i$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Proof: Set $S := \{z \in \mathbb{F}^\times \mid \exists l \text{ coprime to } p \text{ s.t. } z^l = 1\}$ - a subgroup.

Exercise: Let V be a finite dimensional S -representation. The elements of S act by pairwise commuting diagonalizable operators. Moreover, V has an S -eigenbasis (hint: every operator from S preserves eigenspaces for any other).

Now let V be a rational representation of \mathbb{F}^\times . Pick an S -eigenbasis v_1, \dots, v_k . The non-diagonal matrix coefficients vanish on S . But every polynomial function on \mathbb{F}^\times (i.e. Laurent polynomial) vanishing on S is 0. So v_1, \dots, v_k is an eigenbasis for the entire \mathbb{F}^\times . This proves (1).

To prove (2) note that a rational 1-dim'l rep'n of \mathbb{F}^\times is exactly

a Laurent polynomial, say f , s.t. $f(st) = f(s)f(t)$. Any such is $t \mapsto t^i$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$). \square

Definition: Let V be a rational representation of G . For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the i -weight space $V_i = \{v \in V : \begin{pmatrix} t^0 & \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} v = t^i v\}$.

By Lemma, $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$.

Example: for $V = M(n)$, we have $V_{n-2i} = \mathbb{F}x^{n-i}y^i$ ($i = 0, \dots, n$). The other weight spaces are zero. So the maximal (highest) weight is n , the minimal (lowest) weight is $-n$.

Exercise: 1) if V, W are rational representations of G , then

$$(V \otimes W)_i = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j \otimes W_{i-j}$$

2) For the Frobenius twist, $V^{(i)}$ of V (by the construction, $V^{(i)}$ & V are the same vector space) have $V_i = V_{pi}^{(i)}$ (hint: $\text{Fr} \begin{pmatrix} t^0 & \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^p & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-p} \end{pmatrix}$).

Definition: Let $\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^k n_i p^i$, $n_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Define

$$\langle \lambda \rangle := \bigotimes_{i=0}^k M(n_i)^{(i)} \text{ (Frobenius twist } i \text{ times).}$$

By Corollary in Sec 2 of Lec 10, $\langle \lambda \rangle$ is irreducible. By exercise, its highest (resp. lowest) weight is the sum of the highest (resp. lowest) weights of the factors, so is λ (resp., $-\lambda$).

The following theorem will complete the classification.

Thm: Two irreducible rational representations of $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$ w. the same lowest weight are isomorphic.

2) Induced modules.

We will see that every irreducible w. lowest weight $-\lambda$ embeds to $M(\lambda)$. For this we need to realize $M(\lambda)$ as an induced module.

Recall that if $H \subset G$ are finite groups & U is a representation of H , then the induced representation $\text{Ind}_H^G U$ is defined by

$$\text{Fun}_H(G, U) := \{f: G \rightarrow U \mid f(hg) = hf(g), \forall h \in H, g \in G\}$$

w. G -action given by $[gf](g') = f(g'g)$. We have Frobenius reciprocity:

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_H^G U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_H(V, U) \quad (1)$$

Now let $H \subset G$ be algebraic groups, and U be a rational representation of H .

Definition: The (algebraic) induced representation is

$$\text{Ind}_H^G U := \{ \text{polynomial } f: G \rightarrow U \mid f(hg) = hf(g) \}$$

w. action of G defined as above.

Fact: For rational V , (1) holds.

The proof is standard will be given in Complements section.

Now we explain how to construct V as an induced representation. Consider the subgroup $B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & u \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset SL_2$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{-\lambda}$ be its 1-dimensional representation where $\begin{pmatrix} t & u \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ acts by $t^{-\lambda}$

Proposition: $M(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{F}_{\lambda}$.

Proof: The right hand side is $\{f \in \mathbb{F}[G] \mid f\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & u \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g\right) = t^{-\lambda} f(g)\}$

Step 1: We claim that for f satisfying

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\right) = f(g), \quad \forall g \in SL_2, u \in \mathbb{F} \quad (2)$$

$f\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right)$ is a polynomial in $c \& d$. Indeed, since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+uc & b+ud \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

every polynomial in $c \& d$ satisfies (2)

Conversely, assume f satisfies (2). Consider the open subset $SL_2(\mathbb{F})_{\alpha}$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) in $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$. The latter is an irreducible variety so the restriction map $\mathbb{F}[SL_2(\mathbb{F})] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[SL_2(\mathbb{F})_{\alpha}]$ is injective. The multiplication map gives rise to an isomorphism (*exercise*)

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ c & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} SL_2(\mathbb{F})_{\alpha}$$

This identifies $\mathbb{F}[SL_2(\mathbb{F})_{\alpha}]$ with $\mathbb{F}[u, c, d^{\pm 1}]$ and (2) becomes "independent of u ". So the elements $f \in \mathbb{F}[SL_2(\mathbb{F})_{\alpha}]$ satisfying (2) are in $\mathbb{F}[c, d^{\pm 1}]$. If f extends to SL_2 , then it must be a polynomial in d , otherwise it has a pole on $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c^{-1} \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = SL_2(\mathbb{F}) \setminus SL_2(\mathbb{F})_{\alpha}$.

Step 2: We have $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ta & tb \\ t^{-1}c & t^{-1}d \end{pmatrix}$. So a polynomial in c, d lies in $\text{Ind}_B^G \mathbb{F}_{\lambda} \iff$ it's in $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}(c^{\lambda}, c^{\lambda-1}d, \dots, d^{\lambda})$.

The claim that SL_2 acts as needed is an *exercise*. \square

3) Completion of classification.

The following proposition implies Thm in Sec 1.

Proposition: Any irreducible rational representation V of $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$ with lowest weight $-\lambda$ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$.

Proof: Our key claim is that

(*) If rational representation M with $M_{\mu} = 0 \ \forall \mu < -\lambda$, we have $M_{-\lambda}^* \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_G(M, M(\lambda))$.

Let's explain how this implies what we want. Let M be a rational representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$. By its **socle**, denoted $\text{soc } M$, we mean the maximal semisimple subrepresentation (it exists b/c the sum of two semisimple subreps is semisimple: **exercise**). Note that the image of any homomorphism from any irrep to M is in the socle.

By (*), $\text{Hom}_G(V, M(\lambda))$, $\text{Hom}_G(L(\lambda), M(\lambda)) \neq 0$. This means $L(\lambda)$, V are direct summands in $\text{soc } M(\lambda)$. If $V \neq L(\lambda)$, then

$$V \oplus L(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \text{soc } M(\lambda) \subset M(\lambda) \Rightarrow V_{-\lambda} \oplus L(\lambda)_{-\lambda} \hookrightarrow M(\lambda)_{-\lambda}$$

Since $\dim M(\lambda)_{-\lambda} = 1$ and $\dim V_{-\lambda}, \dim L(\lambda)_{-\lambda} > 0$, this gives a contradiction.

So we need to prove (*). By Frobenius reciprocity & Proposition in Sec 2, we have, for any rational representation V ,

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, M(\lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_B(V, \mathbb{F}_{-\lambda}).$$

To prove $(*)$ we need the following claim:

$(**)$. Let M be a rational representation of G and $m \in M_k$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} m = m_k + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_{k+2i}(u)$, where m_{k+2i} is a polynomial map $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow M_{k+2i}$ (of degree i).

Proof of $(**)$: We have $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} m = \sum_j m_j(u)$ for $m_j: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow M_j$ is a polynomial map. Observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t^2 u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Apply both sides to m . Note that $\begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} m = t^{-k} m$, $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} m_j(u) = t^j m_j(u)$. So $\sum_j t^{j-k} m_j(u) = \sum_j m_j(t^2 u)$. To deduce $(**)$ from here is an exercise.

Completion of proof of $(*)$: Set $M_{\geq k} = \bigoplus_{i \geq k} M_i$. This is a T -subrepresentation. It's stable under $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ by $(**)$. Since $T \& \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ generate B , $M_{\geq k}$ is B -stable. By $(**)$, on $M_{\geq k}/M_{\geq k+1}$, $\begin{pmatrix} t & u \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in B$ acts by t^k . In particular, if $M_\mu = 0 \nmid \mu \leq -\lambda$, we have $M/M_{\geq 1-\lambda} \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{F}_{-\lambda} \otimes M_{-\lambda}$, where $M_{-\lambda}$ is the multiplicity space. So $M_{-\lambda}^* = \text{Hom}_B(M/M_{\geq 1-\lambda}, \mathbb{F}_{-\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_B(M, \mathbb{F}_{-\lambda}) = \text{Hom}_G(M, M(\lambda))$. This finishes the proof of $(*)$ and of the proposition \square

Exercise: $\text{soc } M(\lambda) = L(\lambda)$. In particular, $M(\lambda)$ is not completely reducible for $\lambda \geq p$.

4) Remarks & complements.

4.1) Remarks:

1) Set $W(\lambda) = M(\lambda)^*$. Its universal property is:

$$\text{Hom}_G(W(\lambda), M) = \text{Hom}_B(F_\lambda, M)$$

Note that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, the universal property of the Verma module $\Delta(\lambda)$ (over \mathbb{C}) is (Sec 1.5 of Lec 8)

$\text{Hom}_G(\Delta(\lambda), M) = \text{Hom}_B(C_\lambda, M)$, where C_λ is the 1-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{b} , where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{b}$ acts by λa .

The two universal properties are parallel.

Another common feature: the module $W(\lambda)$ has the unique irreducible quotient (the previous exercise), the same is true for $\Delta(\lambda)$.

In fact, both $W(\lambda)$ & $\Delta(\lambda)$ are examples of "standard objects" in "highest weight categories".

2*) For those familiar w. Algebraic geometry:

The modules $M(\lambda)$ & $W(\lambda)$ are quite geometric in nature: we have

$M(\lambda) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(\lambda))$ - notice that $C/B = \mathbb{P}^1$ - while $W(\lambda) = H^1(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}(-\lambda-2))$

The pairing $M(\lambda) \times W(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(\lambda)) \times H^1(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}(-\lambda-2)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}(-2))$ - Serre duality.

3) We have considered various algebraic aspects of the representation theory of \mathfrak{sl}_2 & SL_2 . There's one we haven't considered, the most recent one, - representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2 in categories. This could be addressed in a future bonus lecture.

4.2) Complements.

The goal of this section is to prove Fact from Sec 2:
the algebraic induction satisfies Frobenius reciprocity:

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_H^G U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_H(V, U)$$

The map is as follows: let $\psi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_H^G U)$ so that

$\psi(v)$ is an H -equivariant map $G \rightarrow U$, and

$$[\psi(gv)](g') = [\psi(v)](g'g)$$

We send ψ to $\alpha_\psi \in \text{Hom}(V, U)$ defined by $\alpha_\psi(v) = [\psi(v)](1)$.

Exercise: $\alpha_\psi(v)$ is H -equivariant.

Now take $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_H(V, U)$. We need to define $\psi_\alpha \in \text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_H^G U)$

In particular, $\psi_\alpha(v)$ is a map $G \rightarrow U$. Set $[\psi_\alpha(v)](g) := \alpha(gv)$.

This is a polynomial map. It is H -equivariant: $[\psi_\alpha(v)](hg) = \alpha(hgv)$

$= [\alpha \text{ is } H\text{-equivariant}] = h\alpha(gv) = h[\psi_\alpha(v)](g)$. So ψ_α is indeed a

linear map $V \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(U)$. Now we check it is G -equivariant:

$$\psi_\alpha(gv)[g'] = \alpha(g'gv) = \psi_\alpha(v)[g'g].$$

Finally, we need to check that $\alpha \mapsto \psi_\alpha$ & $\psi \mapsto \alpha_\psi$ are inverse to each other. This is left as an **exercise**.