

EXERCISE SHEET. MICROLOCALIZATION

1. COMPLETED REES ALGEBRA

Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{Z} -filtered algebra. Set $R_h(\mathcal{A}) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{\leq i} \hbar^i \subset \mathcal{A}[\hbar^{\pm 1}]$.

a) Show that $R_h(\mathcal{A})$ is a graded $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -subalgebra in $\mathcal{A}[\hbar^{\pm 1}]$ (the degree is with respect to \hbar). Identify $R_h(\mathcal{A})/(\hbar)$ with $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$ and $R_h(\mathcal{A})/(\hbar - a)$ with \mathcal{A} for $a \neq 0$.

We also consider the completed Rees algebra $R_h^\wedge(\mathcal{A})$, the \hbar -adic completion of $R_h(\mathcal{A})$, so that $R_h^\wedge(\mathcal{A})$ is complete and separated in the \hbar -adic topology and carries a \mathbb{C}^\times -action by \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms with $t \cdot \hbar = t\hbar$. This action is rational on all quotients mod \hbar^k .

Now let \mathcal{A}_h be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra that is complete and separated in the \hbar -adic topology that comes equipped with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action by \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms that is rational on all quotients $\mathcal{A}_h/(\hbar^k)$ and satisfying $t \cdot \hbar = t\hbar$. If $A := \mathcal{A}_h/(\hbar)$ is commutative and finitely generated, we will call \mathcal{A}_h a *graded formal quantization* of A . We define $\mathcal{A}_{h,fin}$ as the span of all elements $a \in \mathcal{A}_h$ with $t \cdot a = t^i a$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

b) Prove that $\mathcal{A}_{h,fin}$ is a graded subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_h that is dense in the \hbar -adic topology and satisfies $\mathcal{A}_{h,fin}/(\hbar) = A$.

c) Prove that $\mathcal{A}_{h,fin}/(\hbar - 1)$ is a filtered quantization of A .

d) Prove that the maps $\mathcal{A} \mapsto R_h^\wedge(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}_h \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{h,fin}/(\hbar - 1)$ are mutually inverse bijections between filtered quantizations and graded formal quantizations.

2. (MICRO)LOCALIZATION FOR FORMAL QUANTIZATIONS

Let \mathcal{A}_h be a formal quantization of A (we do not require the presence of \mathbb{C}^\times -actions/gradings, A is just required to be a finitely generated commutative algebra). We are going to sheafify \mathcal{A}_h in the Zariski topology on $\text{Spec}(A)$.

a) Let $f \in A$ be a nonzero divisor and let $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{A}_k := \mathcal{A}_h/(\hbar^k)$ be a lift of f . Show that $[\hat{f}, \cdot]^k = 0$ and deduce from here that every left fraction by \hat{f} is also a right fraction. Show that the localization $\mathcal{A}_k[\hat{f}^{-1}]$ (defined by the same universality property as in the commutative case) makes sense and is independent of the choice of the lift. We will denote this localization by $\mathcal{A}_k[f^{-1}]$.

b) Show that the algebras $\mathcal{A}_k[f^{-1}]$ form an inverse system. Further show that $\mathcal{A}_h[f^{-1}] := \varprojlim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_k[f^{-1}]$ is a formal quantization of $A[f^{-1}]$.

c) Establish a natural homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_h[f^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_h[(fg)^{-1}]$.

d) Show that \mathcal{A}_h naturally sheafifies to a sheaf \mathcal{D}_h on $\text{Spec}(A)$. Show that $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_h) = \mathcal{A}_h$.

Note that if \mathcal{A}_h is graded, then $\mathcal{A}_h[f^{-1}]$ is graded provided f is \mathbb{C}^\times -semiinvariant. So we can get the microlocalization of $\mathcal{A}_{h,fin}/(\hbar - 1)$ by taking the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{h,fin}/(\hbar - 1)$ that makes sense in the conical topology.

e) Work out the details.

f) Prove that $\mathcal{A}[f^{-1}]$ is a flat module over \mathcal{A} .

3. COHERENT MODULES OVER FORMAL QUANTIZATIONS

Let \mathcal{D}_\hbar be a formal quantization of a Poisson scheme X . We say that a \mathcal{D}_\hbar -module M_\hbar is coherent if it is complete and separated in the \hbar -adic topology and $M_\hbar/\hbar M_\hbar$ is a coherent sheaf on X .

a) Suppose that there is an open covering $X = \bigcup_i X^i$ such that $M_\hbar|_{X^i}$ is coherent. Then M_\hbar is coherent.

Now suppose that X comes with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action as before and $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}/(\hbar - 1)$.

b) Show that a filtered \mathcal{D} -module M is coherent with a good filtration if and only if $R_\hbar^\wedge(M)$ is a coherent \mathcal{D}_\hbar -module.

4. MICROLOCALIZATION OF MODULES

Let \mathcal{A} be a filtered quantization of A and $f \in A$. Our goal here is to define the localization functor $M \mapsto M[f^{-1}]$.

a) Assume that M is equipped with a good filtration. Emulate the procedure in Exercise 2 to define $M[f^{-1}]$ and check that this space has a natural $\mathcal{A}[f^{-1}]$ -module structure. Furthermore check that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{A}[f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} M \xrightarrow{\sim} M[f^{-1}]$ so that $M[f^{-1}]$ is independent of the choice of a filtration.

b) Check that the functor $M \mapsto M[f^{-1}]$ is exact.

c) Check that M sheafifies in the conical topology on $X := \text{Spec}(A)$.