

# Representations of symmetric groups, I.

1) Introduction / motivation.

2) Centralizer algebras.

## 1.1) Reps of finite (almost) simple groups.

Big goal: given a finite group,  $G$ , understand its representations

Reasons to care:

- rep. theory + structure theory =  $\mathbb{G}$ .
- applications.

Which  $G$  do we care about?

An answer: simple (or "almost simple") groups  $G$ .

exactly 2 normal subgroups,  $\{1\}$  &  $G$ ; +  $G$  is not abelian.

Finite simple groups have been classified:

- Alternating groups  $A_n = \{g \in S_n \mid \text{sgn}(g) = 1\}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ .
- 26 sporadic groups.
- The majority: finite groups of Lie type, e.g.  $SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)/\text{center}$ , simple for most  $(n, q)$ .

## 1.2) Case of symmetric groups

$A_n \triangleleft S_n$  of index 2 - very close

Rep. th'y of  $S_n$  is nicer & can recover rep. th'y of  $A_n$  from that of  $S_n$

Other reasons to care about reps of  $S_n$ :

- i) Connection to Combinatorics: of partitions & of symmetric

polynomials.

- (ii) Connection to reps of  $GL_m$  via Schur-Weyl duality.
- (iii) Connection to representations of affine Lie algebras.

Mostly care about base field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

General things about reps of finite group  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ :

i) Rep'n of  $G$  = rep'n of group algebra  $\mathbb{C}G$ .

ii)  $\mathbb{C}G$  is semisimple,  $\mathbb{C}G = \bigoplus_v \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$

sum is over irreducible reps of  $\mathbb{C}G$  (up to isomorphism).

So every rep'n of  $\mathbb{C}G$  is completely reducible so we only need to understand irreps.

iii) # irreps of  $G$  = # conj. classes in  $G$ .

For  $G = S_n$ : conj. classes in  $S_n \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{partitions of } n\}$   
 $\{g \tilde{g}^{-1}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{lengths of cycles})$

e.g.  $g = (135)(24) \in S_6 \rightsquigarrow (3,2,1)$ , partition of 6.

Notation: for conj. classes  $(***) (***) \subset S_{m+s}$  (w. m fixed pts)

for partitions:  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  w.  $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k$  -partition of  $n = \sum n_k$ .

or  $(m_1^{d_1}, \dots, m_\ell^{d_\ell})$  where  $m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_\ell$  &  $d_1, \dots, d_\ell$  are multiplicities

e.g.  $(2,2,1,1) = (2^2, 1^2)$  -partition of 6.

Goal: establish bijection between  $\{\text{partitions of } n\}$  &

$\text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}S_n) = \{\text{isom. classes of irreducible } \mathbb{C}S_n\text{-modules}\}$ .

following Okounkov-Vershik.

Example: for  $S_4$ : irreps

$\text{triv}_4$

(4)

$\text{sgn}_4$

(1<sup>4</sup>)

$$\text{refl}_4 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0\}$$

(3, 1)

$\text{sgn}_4 \otimes \text{refl}_4$

(2, 1<sup>2</sup>)

$\mathbb{C}^2$

(2, 2)

Rem: for rep'n  $V$  of  $S_n$ ,  $V \otimes \text{sgn}_n$  is same vector space but the action of each permutation is multiplied by its sign.

## 2) Centralizer algebra

Basic idea: "induction"  $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset S_3 \subset \dots \subset S_k \subset S_{k+1} \subset \dots$

$$S_k = \{g' \in S_{k+1} \mid g'(k+1) = k+1\}$$

Want: study  $S_n$ -irreps by restricting to  $S_m$ .

### 2.1) Centralizer algebra & restriction of reps:

Question: Given  $V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}S_n)$ , decompose it into  $\bigoplus$  of  $S_m$ -irreps ( $m < n$ ). We'll need  $m = n-1$  but also  $m = n-2$ .

More general: for finite  $H \subset G$ , finite grps, decompose  $V \in \text{Irr}(\mathbb{C}G)$  into  $\bigoplus$  of  $H$ -irreps.

$\mathbb{C}H \subset \mathbb{C}G$  – semisimple assoc. algebras.

Even more general: given  $B \subset A$  fin. dim'l s/simple assoc. alg's &  $V \in \text{Irr}(A)$  decompose  $V$  as  $\bigoplus$  of  $B$ -irreps.

And yet more general:  $B, A$  fin. dim'l s/simple assoc.  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras,  $\tau: B \rightarrow A$  alg. homom.,  $V \in \text{Irr}(A)$  (so also  $B$ -module)

Then the same question.

Recall:

$$A \simeq \bigoplus_{V \in \text{Irr}(A)} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \quad (1)$$

$$B \simeq \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Irr}(B)} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$$

For  $V \in \text{Irr}(A)$ ,  $U \in \text{Irr}(B) \rightarrow$  "multiplicity space"

$M_{V,U} := \text{Hom}_B(U, V)$  -vector space

$$\text{Irr}(B) = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$$

Know:

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_{V,U_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} V, \quad \sum_{i=1}^k u_i \otimes \varphi_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(u_i)$$

$B$ -linear

Point: Nonzero spaces  $M_{V,U}$  = irreducible reps of a certain algebra

Definition: Centralizer algebra  $Z_B(A) = \{a \in A \mid a\tau(b) = \tau(b)a \forall b \in B\}$

E.g.  $B=A$ ,  $\tau=\text{id}$ , then  $Z_B(A)$  is the center  $Z(A)$

**Exercise:**  $Z_B(A) \subset A$  is subalgebra.

**Lemma:**  $\exists$  algebra isom'm  $Z_B(A) = \bigoplus \text{End}(M_{V,U})$ , where  $\bigoplus$  is over pairs  $V \in \text{Irr}(A)$ ,  $U \in \text{Irr}(B)$  s.t.  $M_{V,U} \neq 0\}$ .

i.e.  $Z_B(A)$  is semisimple & its irreps are the nonzero spaces  $M_{V,U}$ .

**Example:**  $A = \text{Mat}_4(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \text{Mat}_3(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $B = \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$   
 $\tau(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\text{diag}(x_1, x_2, x_2), \text{diag}(x_1, x_3))$

$$\dim M_{V_1, U_2} = 2, \dim M_{V_1, U_1}, M_{V_2, U_1}, M_{V_2, U_3} = 1; M_{V_3, U_3} = M_{V_2, U_2} = \{0\}.$$

Lemma predicts  $Z_B(A) = \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 3}$

Check:

$$Z_B(A) = \{(y_1, y_2) \mid y_1 \in \text{Mat}_4(\mathbb{C}) \text{ commutes w/ } \text{diag}(x_1, x_2, x_2), y_2 \in \text{Mat}_3(\mathbb{C}) \text{ - - - - commutes w/ } \text{diag}(x_1, x_3)\}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & d & e \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ af & f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

$$Z_B(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 3}: (y_1, y_2) \mapsto ((\begin{pmatrix} b & c \\ d & e \end{pmatrix}, a, f, g)).$$

Proof of Lemma: By (1),  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_v \text{End}(V)$

$\tau = (\tau_v)$ , where  $\tau_v: B \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ .

$$Z_B(A) = \left\{ (a_v) \mid a_v \in \text{End}(V) \mid a_v \tau_v(b) = \tau_v(b) a_v \right\}$$

$$= \bigoplus_v Z_B(\text{End}(V)) = \bigoplus_v \text{End}_B(V)$$

part 0

$$= [V \simeq \bigoplus_u U \otimes M_{V,U} \Rightarrow \text{End}_B(V) \simeq \bigoplus_{U \mid M_{V,U} \neq 0} \text{End}(M_{V,U})]$$

$$= \bigoplus_{V,U} \text{End}(M_{V,U}).$$

□

Rem: How to define  $Z_B(A)$ -module structure on  $M_{V,U} = \text{Hom}_B(U, V)$

w/o referring  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_v \text{End}(V)$ .

$$z \in Z_B(A), \varphi \in \text{Hom}_B(U, V)$$

$$[z\varphi](u) = z[\varphi(u)]$$

Exercise (or see Rem 2.5 in PT1): this is the module structure implied by lemma.

Corollary (of Lemma): TFAE

$$(a) \nexists V \in \text{Irr}(A), U \in \text{Irr}(B), \dim M_{V,U} \leq 1$$

(b)  $Z_B(A)$  is commutative

Proof: By Lemma,  $Z_B(A) \simeq \bigoplus_{V,U} \text{End}(M_{V,U})$  is comm'v  $\Leftrightarrow$

$\text{End}(M_{V,U})$  is comm'v  $\nexists V, U \Leftrightarrow \dim M_{V,U} \leq 1 \nexists V, U$ . □

Under assump's of Cor have  $V = \bigoplus_{U \mid \dim M_{V,U} \leq 1} U$  - decomposition into  $\bigoplus$  of  $B$ -submodules, where  $U$  is uniquely

determined as the image of any nonzero el't of  $\text{Hom}_B(U, V)$ .