

# LECTURES ON SYMPLECTIC REFLECTION ALGEBRAS

IVAN LOSEV

## 9. COMMUTATIVITY AND CENTERS

**9.1. Commutativity theorem: statement and scheme of proof.** It is a natural question to ask when the algebra  $eH_{t,c}e$  is commutative. This happens to have a very elegant answer that was already stated in Lecture 5 in the case when  $\dim V = 2$ .

**Theorem 9.1** ([EG]). *The algebra  $eH_{t,c}e$  is commutative if and only if  $t = 0$ .*

We will give a proof in the case when  $V$  is symplectically irreducible. The proof will be in three steps.

*Step 1.* We have the bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{t,c}$  of degree  $-2$  on  $S(V)^\Gamma$  induced by the filtered deformation  $eH_{t,c}e$ . We will see that this bracket depends on  $t$  and  $c$  linearly. The reason why we are interested in considering the bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{t,c}$  is that this bracket is zero when  $eH_{t,c}e$  is commutative.

*Step 2.* So we have a linear map from  $P^*$  to the space of brackets of degree  $-2$  on  $S(V)^\Gamma$ . We will see that such a bracket on  $S(V)^\Gamma$  is unique up to proportionality provided  $V$  is symplectically irreducible. Thanks to this we will have a hyperplane  $P_0 \subset P^*$  such that for  $p = (t, c) \in P_0$  we have  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p = 0$ .

A priori it may be that the algebra  $eH_p e$  is not commutative although  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p = 0$ . In this case,  $eH_{t,c}e$  still gives rise to a non-zero bracket on  $S(V)^\Gamma$  but the degree of that bracket will be  $-d$  with  $d > 2$ . We will see however that all brackets on  $S(V)^\Gamma$  of that degree are 0. So for  $(t, c) \in P_0$  the algebra  $eH_{t,c}e$  is indeed commutative.

*Step 3.* It remains to show that  $P_0$  is given by  $t = 0$ . For this it is enough to produce a representation of  $H_{t,c}$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  as a  $\Gamma$ -module. Indeed, if  $N$  is such a representation, then we have  $0 = \text{tr}_N[u, v] = \text{tr}_N(\omega(u, v)t + \sum_{s \in S} c_s \omega_s(u, v)s) = t \dim N\omega(u, v)$ . In fact, we will show that for  $N$  one can take  $H_{t,c}e/\mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a generic maximal ideal of  $eH_{t,c}e$ .

**9.2. Step 1.** We write  $p$  for  $(t, c) \in P^*$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  for  $eHe$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_p$  for  $eH_{t,c}e$ .

**Lemma 9.2.** (1) *We have  $[a, b] \in P\mathcal{A}$  for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

- (2)  $[\mathcal{A}^i, \mathcal{A}^j] \subset P\mathcal{A}^{i+j-2}$ ,
- (3) *and  $[\mathcal{A}_p^{\leq i}, \mathcal{A}_p^{\leq j}] \subset \mathcal{A}_p^{i+j-2}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) follows from  $\mathcal{A}/P\mathcal{A} \cong S(V)^\Gamma$  because  $S(V)^\Gamma$  is commutative. (2) follows from (1) and the condition that the degree of  $P$  is 2. (3) follows from (2).  $\square$

So we do have a bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p$  of degree  $-2$  on  $S(V)^\Gamma$ . Moreover, it is obtained as follows: take homogeneous  $a_0, b_0 \in S(V)^\Gamma$ . Then let  $a, b$  be homogeneous elements in  $eHe$  lifting  $a_0, b_0$ . Then  $[a, b] \in P\mathcal{A}$ . In particular, we can consider the projection  $\{a_0, b_0\}$  of  $[a, b]$  to  $P \otimes S(V)^\Gamma = P\mathcal{A}/P^2\mathcal{A}$  (recall that  $\mathcal{A} = S(P) \otimes S(V)^\Gamma$  as an  $S(P)$ -module). Then  $\{a, b\}_p$  is a specialization of  $\{a_0, b_0\}$  at  $p : P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . This implies the claim on linearity.

**9.3. Step 2.** We claim that every bracket on  $S(V)^\Gamma$  uniquely lifts to a  $\Gamma$ -invariant bracket on  $S(V)$ . To prove this we need to explore a geometric nature of brackets.

Let  $X$  be an affine algebraic variety. Suppose that  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is equipped with a bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ . Pick a smooth point  $x \in X$ . Then we can define a bivector  $\mathcal{P}_x \in \bigwedge^2 T_x X$  by setting  $\langle \mathcal{P}_x, df \wedge dg \rangle = \{f, g\}(x)$ . It is easy to see that this is well-defined. A bit more subtle observation (that is consequence of the fact that the tangent and cotangent sheaves on a smooth variety are locally free) is that the bivectors  $\mathcal{P}_x$  glue together to form a section  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\bigwedge^2 TX^{reg}$ , where  $X^{reg}$  denotes the smooth locus of  $X$ . Now assume that the variety  $X$  is normal so that, in particular,  $\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[X^{reg}]$ . Then a bivector  $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma(X^{reg}, \bigwedge^2 TX^{reg})$  gives rise to a bracket on  $\mathbb{C}[X^{reg}] = \mathbb{C}[X]$  – by  $\{f, g\} = \langle \mathcal{P}, df \wedge dg \rangle$ .

Another fact about brackets that we need is that a bracket can be pulled back by an étale morphism. Recall that a morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  of smooth varieties is called étale at  $y \in Y$  if  $d_y \varphi$  is an isomorphism. We say that  $\varphi$  is étale if it is étale at any point. So we can identify  $T_y Y \cong T_{\varphi(y)} X$  and therefore also  $\bigwedge^2 T_y Y$  with  $\bigwedge^2 T_{\varphi(y)} X$ . A more subtle claim again, is that one can pull-back  $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma(X, \bigwedge^2 TX)$  to get a well-defined element  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{P}) \in \Gamma(Y, \bigwedge^2 TY)$  (this follows from  $TY = \varphi^*(TX)$ ).

Finally, we need to characterize  $(V/\Gamma)^{reg}$  and find the locus, where the quotient morphism  $\pi : V \rightarrow V/\Gamma$  is étale. This is explained in the following lemma, where we assume that  $\Gamma$  is just some finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ . Recall the notation  $V^0 = \{v \in V | \Gamma_v = 0\}$ .

**Lemma 9.3.** *We have  $V^0/\Gamma \subset (V/\Gamma)^{reg}$ . The morphism  $\pi$  is étale at all points of  $V^0$ .*

We are not going to prove the lemma. It is clear if we work in the complex analytic, not algebraic category. It also fixes a gap in the proof of a technical lemma of Step 2 in the proof of the double centralizer property. Finally, let us remark that if  $\Gamma$  contains no *complex* reflections (this is always the case for  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ ), then the inclusions in the lemma are actually equalities.

Now we are ready to prove Step 2. Let  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  be a bracket on  $S(V)^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$  and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a corresponding bivector on  $V^0/\Gamma \subset (V/\Gamma)^{reg}$ . Then we get a bivector  $\pi^*(\mathcal{P})$  on  $V^0$  and hence a bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$  on  $\mathbb{C}[V^0] = \mathbb{C}[V]$ . The bivector and hence the bracket are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant by construction. Also by construction, the restriction of  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$  to  $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$  coincides with  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  and  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$  is a unique  $\Gamma$ -equivariant (the latter is not necessary) bracket with these properties. From here it follows that the degree of  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$  is the same as that of  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  (if  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$  has components of other degrees, then they restrict to 0 on  $\mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ ).

So now the question is: describe  $\Gamma$ -equivariant brackets of degree  $\leq -2$  on  $\mathbb{C}[V]$ . If the degree of  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$  is less than  $-2$ , then this bracket vanishes on  $V^*$ , the degree 1 component of  $\mathbb{C}[V]$ . So  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}'$  is identically 0. Similarly, the bracket of degree  $-2$  just comes from a skew-symmetric form on  $V^* \cong V$ . This form is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. If  $V$  is symplectically irreducible, then there is a unique such form up to proportionality.

Let us remark that for some  $p$  we do have  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p \neq 0$ . Indeed, consider the case when  $c = 0, t = 1$ . Then  $H_{t,c} = T(V)\# \Gamma / (u \otimes v - v \otimes u - \omega(u, v)) = W(V)\# \Gamma$  so that  $eH_{t,c}e \cong W(V)^\Gamma$ . As we have seen, the bracket on  $S(V)$  induced by  $W(V)$  coincides with the standard bracket. It follows that the bracket on  $S(V)^\Gamma$  induced by  $W(V)^\Gamma$  also coincides with the standard bracket on  $V^0$  hence is nonzero.

So we have a hyperplane  $P_0 \subset P^*$  such that  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_p = 0$  for all  $p \in P_0$  and hence  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is commutative.

**Exercise 9.1.** *Show that  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{t,c} = t\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ , where  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  is the standard bracket on  $S(V)^\Gamma$ .*

**Exercise 9.2.** Prove the commutativity theorem in the case when  $V$  is not necessarily symplectically irreducible.

9.4. **Step 3.** According to the next exercise  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is always finitely generated.

**Exercise 9.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -filtered algebra. If  $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$  is finitely generated, then so is  $\mathcal{A}$ .

So for  $p \in P_0$  one can consider  $C_p := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_p)$  (a problem below implies that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  has no zero divisors so that  $C_p$  is an irreducible variety). Recall that we have commuting actions of  $H_p$  and  $\mathcal{A}_p$  on  $H_p e$ . As we have seen, it is enough to prove the following claim. Let  $p \in P_0$ , then for a general point  $x \in C_p$  the  $H_p$ -module  $H_p e / \mathfrak{m}_x$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  as a  $\Gamma$ -module. This amounts to checking that for any  $\Gamma$ -irreducible  $L$  the dimension of the fiber of  $M_p^L := \text{Hom}_\Gamma(L, H_p e)$  at a general point  $x \in C_p$  equals  $\dim L$  (here the action of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  on  $\text{Hom}_\Gamma(L, H_p e)$  is induced from the action of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  on  $H_p e$  from the right). We remark that this holds for  $p = 0$ : for  $v \in V_0$  we have  $\mathbb{C}[V]_{\pi(v)} = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma v] \cong \mathbb{C}\Gamma$ .

Pick a nonzero parameter  $p \in P_0$ . To prove our claim we will need to include  $C_p$  and  $V/\Gamma$  into a single variety. For this let  $R$  be the one-dimensional subspace of  $P^*$  spanned by  $p$ . Consider the algebras  $H_R := \mathbb{C}[R] \otimes_{S(P)} H$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_R := eH_R e$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_R$  is a deformation of  $S(V)^\Gamma$  over  $\mathbb{C}[R]$  and hence  $\mathcal{A}_R$  is finitely generated. Set  $C_R := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_R)$ . This is an algebraic variety equipped with a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action coming from the grading on  $\mathcal{A}_R$  and also a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant morphism  $C_R \rightarrow R$ , whose zero fiber is  $V/\Gamma$ , while a nonzero fiber is naturally identified with  $C_p$  (the fiber over  $p$  is literally  $C_p$ , and all other fibers are translated to  $C_p$  using the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action). The  $\mathcal{A}_R$ -module  $H_R e$  is flat over  $\mathbb{C}[R]$ . Consider the module  $M_R^L := \text{Hom}_\Gamma(L, H_R e)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  denote the ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_R$  generated by  $R^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_R / \mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{C}[V]^\Gamma$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime. So we can consider the localization  $\mathcal{A}_{R,\mathfrak{p}}$ , a local ring of dimension 1 with a local parameter  $r$ , a basis element in  $R^*$ , and residue field  $\mathbb{C}(V/\Gamma)$ . We know that the localization  $M_{R,\mathfrak{p}}^L$  is flat over  $\mathbb{C}[r]$  and the fiber at  $r = 0$  has dimension  $\dim L$  over  $\mathbb{C}(V/\Gamma)$ . What we need to prove is that the dimension of the localization  $\mathbb{C}(C_R) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_R} M_R^L$  equals  $\dim L$  (this implies existence of a required point  $x$  on a general fiber of  $C_R \rightarrow R$  and, therefore, thanks to  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariance, on an arbitrary nonzero fiber). Thanks to flatness,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}(C_R)} \mathbb{C}(C_R) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_R} M_R^L = \dim_{\mathbb{C}(V/\Gamma)} M_{R,\mathfrak{p}}^L / (r)$ . The latter coincides with  $\dim L$ .

This completes the proof of the commutativity theorem.

## 9.5. Satake isomorphism.

**Theorem 9.4** ([EG]). Let  $Z_c$  be the center of  $H_{0,c}$ . The map  $z \mapsto ez$  is an isomorphism of  $Z_c$  and  $eH_{0,c}e$ .

*Proof.* We are going to find an inverse homomorphism. We write  $p$  for  $(0, c)$ . Recall that the action of  $H_p$  on  $H_p e$  gives rise to an isomorphism  $H_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}_p}(H_p e)$ . Since the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is commutative the map  $m \mapsto mb$  is an endomorphism of the  $\mathcal{A}_p$ -module  $H_p e$  for any  $b \in \mathcal{A}_p$ . Such an endomorphism commutes with any other. Let  $\hat{b}$  denote a unique element of  $H_p = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}_p}(H_p e)$  such that  $\hat{b}m = mb$ . Clearly,  $b \mapsto \hat{b}$  is an algebra homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow Z_p$ . The claim that this homomorphism is inverse to  $z \mapsto ez$  means  $\hat{e}z = z$ ,  $\hat{e}\hat{b} = b$ . We have  $\hat{e}\hat{z}m = mez = mz = zm$  and so  $\hat{e}z = z$ . On the other hand,  $m\hat{e}\hat{b} = m\hat{b} = \hat{b}m = mb$ . Plugging  $m = e$ , we get  $e\hat{b} = eb = b$ .  $\square$

**Problem 9.4.** Let  $p \in P_0$ . Equip  $Z_p$  with a filtration restricted from  $H_p$ . Show that  $\text{gr } Z_p = S(V)^\Gamma$ . Deduce that  $H_p$  is a finitely generated module over  $Z_p$ .

**Problem 9.5.** Now let  $p \notin P_0$ . Show that the center of  $H_p$  coincides with  $\mathbb{C}$  as follows:

- (1) Let  $z$  lie in the center of  $H_p$ . Show that  $\text{gr } z \in \text{gr } H_p = S(V)\#\Gamma$  actually lies in  $S(V)^\Gamma$ .
- (2) Show that  $\text{gr } z$  lies in the Poisson center of  $S(V)^\Gamma$ , meaning that  $\{\text{gr } z, S(V)^\Gamma\} = 0$ .
- (3) Show that the Poisson center of  $S(V)^\Gamma$  coincides with  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Problem 9.6.** In this problem we are going to equip  $Z_c$  with a structure of a Poisson algebra. Fix  $c$  and consider  $H_{t,c}$  as an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  by making  $t$  an independent variable.

- (1) Let  $a, b \in Z_c$ . Lift  $a, b \in H_c = H_{t,c}/(t)$  to elements  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in H_{t,c}$ . Show that  $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \in tH_{t,c}$  and that the element  $\frac{1}{t}[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$  modulo  $t$  depends only on  $a, b$  and lies in  $Z_c$ . Let  $\{a, b\}$  be that element. Show that  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  is the Poisson bracket.
- (2) Show that  $\{Z_c^{\leq i}, Z_c^{\leq j}\} \subset Z_c^{i+j-2}$ . Show that the induced bracket on  $\text{gr } Z_c = S(V)^\Gamma$  is a nonzero multiple of the standard bracket. Can you identify the scalar factor?

**Problem 9.7.** Show that the scheme  $C_p$  is irreducible and normal (and, well, Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein, if you know what these words mean).

**9.6. Further algebraic properties.** Perhaps, the first question about the structure of an irreducible normal (and also Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein) algebraic variety you can ask is whether it is smooth.

First of all, one can describe the smooth points  $x \in C_p$  in terms of the representation theory of the algebra  $H_p/H_p\mathfrak{m}_x$ .

**Theorem 9.5** ([EG]). *The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $x \in C_p^{\text{reg}}$ .
- (2)  $H_p/H_p\mathfrak{m}_x \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{C}\Gamma)$  (a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant algebra isomorphism).
- (3) Any simple  $H_p/H_p\mathfrak{m}_x$ -module is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  as a  $\Gamma$ -module.

**Problem 9.8.** Show that if  $C_p$  is smooth, then  $H_p e$  is a locally free  $H_p$ -module.

The proof is based on properties of PI (polynomial identity) rings and we are not going to provide it.

Let us explain what is known about smoothness of the varieties  $C_p$ . First, of all existence of  $p$  such that  $C_p$  is smooth is a very restrictive assumption on  $\Gamma$ .

If  $\Gamma = \Gamma_n$  is a wreath-product  $\mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes \Gamma_1^n$ , where  $\Gamma_1 \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $C_p$  is smooth if and only if  $p$  lies outside the union of explicitly described hyperplanes. This follows from the interpretation of  $C_p$  as affine quiver varieties to be covered later in this course.

In the class of complex reflection groups, the answer is also known. Besides the groups  $G(\ell, 1, n)$  that belong also to the previous list, there is only one complex reflection group such that there is a smooth  $C_p$ . It is the group  $G_4$  that appeared in one of the problems of Lecture 6. This was proved by Bellamy in [B].

The situation with the groups that do not belong to one of these families is unknown. Recently, Bellamy and Schedler, [BS], found an example of such a group that does admit smooth  $C_p$ .

This question has to do with sphericity of parameters discussed last time. Namely,  $C_p$  is smooth iff  $p$  is spherical (meaning that  $H_p e H_p = H_p$ ). Indeed, the smoothness of  $C_p = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_p)$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}_p$  having finite global dimension. The following problem implies that  $H_p$  has finite global dimension (for an arbitrary  $p \in P^*$ ).

**Problem 9.9.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a filtered algebra. Show that if  $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$  has finite global dimension, then  $\mathcal{A}$  does.

As we have discussed earlier,  $S(V)\#\Gamma$  has finite global dimension (equal  $\dim V$ ) and so the global dimension of  $H_p$  is finite. Now the global dimension is an invariant of the category of modules, and  $H_p e H_p = H_p$  means that the categories of modules for  $H_p$  and  $eH_p e$  are equivalent. So if  $p$  is spherical, then  $C_p$  is smooth.

**Problem 9.10.** *Conversely, prove that if  $C_p$  is smooth, then  $p$  is spherical (we deal here with  $p \in P_0$ ).*

In fact,  $p \in P^*$  is spherical iff the global dimension of  $eH_p e$  is finite even if the latter is not commutative. This is a result of Bezrukavnikov, [E2].

#### REFERENCES

- [B] G. Bellamy. *On singular Calogero-Moser spaces.* arXiv:0707.3694.
- [BS] G. Bellamy, T. Schedler. *A new symplectic quotient of  $\mathbb{C}^4$  admitting a symplectic resolution.* arXiv:1109.3015.
- [E1] P. Etingof. *Lectures on Calogero-Moser systems.* arXiv:math/0606233.
- [E2] P. Etingof, *Symplectic reflection algebras and affine Lie algebras,* arXiv:1011.4584.
- [EG] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg. *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism,* Invent. Math. **147** (2002), 243–348. arXiv:math/0011114.