

Introduction to the quantized enveloping algebras

- References
- [Jantzen, Lectures on quantum groups, §4,5]
 - [Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups]
 - [Etingof-Gelaki-Nikshych-Ostrik, Tensor categories]

Part I: the algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$

- Notation
- \mathfrak{g} complex semisimple Lie algebra
 - \mathfrak{h} Cartan subalgebra
 - Φ root system, W Weyl group
 - Π set of simple roots
 - $(-, -)$ W -invariant scalar product on $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Z}\Phi$
defined on each irreducible component
by $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ for any short root.
(so we can have $(\beta, \beta) = 2, 4, 6$, for $\beta \in \Phi$)
 $\Rightarrow \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$
 - $\Lambda = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Z}\Phi \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \alpha \in \Phi \}$ wt lattice
 - $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, (\lambda, \alpha) = \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$
 - $\{ \omega_\alpha \}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$ fundamental weights.

Let k be a field, $\text{char } k \neq 2$
 (and $\neq 3$ if Φ has irred.
 comp. of type G_2)

Fix $q \in k^\times$ s.t. $q^{(\alpha, \alpha)} \neq 1 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi$

Set $d\alpha := \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2}$, $q_\alpha = q^{d\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha \in \Pi$

so the condition says $q_\alpha^2 \neq 1$

Quantum numbers and Gaussian binomial coefficients

$$a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad [a] := \frac{v^a - v^{-a}}{v - v^{-1}} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$$

$$n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \left[\begin{matrix} a \\ n \end{matrix} \right] := \frac{[a][a-1]\cdots[a-n+1]}{[n]\cdots[1]} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$$

$$\text{Let } \varphi: \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}] \xrightarrow{v \mapsto q} k, \quad [a]_{v=q} := \varphi([a])$$

$$\left[\begin{matrix} a \\ n \end{matrix} \right]_{v=q} := \varphi \left(\left[\begin{matrix} a \\ n \end{matrix} \right] \right)$$

We denote $[a]_v$ and $\left[\begin{matrix} a \\ n \end{matrix} \right]_v$, $[a]_{v=q_\alpha}$ and $\left[\begin{matrix} a \\ n \end{matrix} \right]_{v=q_\alpha}$ respectively.

Definition of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the associative k -algebra w/ generators

$$E_\alpha, \bar{F}_\alpha, K_\alpha, K_\alpha^{-1} \quad (\alpha \in \Pi)$$

and relations

$$1) \quad K_\alpha K_\alpha^{-1} = 1 = K_\alpha^{-1} K_\alpha, \quad K_\alpha K_\beta = K_\beta K_\alpha$$

$$2) \quad K_\alpha E_\beta K_\alpha^{-1} = q^{(\alpha, \beta)} E_\beta$$

$$3) \quad K_\alpha F_\beta K_\alpha^{-1} = q^{-(\alpha, \beta)} F_\beta$$

$$4) \quad E_\alpha F_\beta - F_\beta E_\alpha = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}}$$

and letting $\pi_{\alpha, \beta} = 1 - \langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle$, for $\alpha \neq \beta$ in Π

$$5) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\pi_{\alpha, \beta}} (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{\alpha, \beta} \\ i \end{bmatrix}_\alpha E_\alpha^i E_\beta E_\alpha^{\pi_{\alpha, \beta} - i} = 0$$

$$6) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\pi_{\alpha, \beta}} (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} \pi_{\alpha, \beta} \\ i \end{bmatrix}_\alpha F_\alpha^i F_\beta F_\alpha^{\pi_{\alpha, \beta} - i} = 0$$

e.g. for $\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 = \langle \alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle$ we get $[E_\alpha, \bar{E}_\beta] = 0$
 $[F_\alpha, \bar{F}_\beta] = 0$

$$\text{for } \langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = -1 \text{ we get } \begin{aligned} E_\alpha^2 E_\beta - [2]_\alpha (E_\alpha E_\beta E_\alpha + \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha^2) &= 0 \\ F_\alpha^2 F_\beta - [2]_\alpha (F_\alpha F_\beta F_\alpha + \bar{F}_\beta \bar{F}_\alpha^2) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The subalgebra U°

i) $U^\circ := \langle K_\alpha^{\pm 1} \rangle_{\alpha \in \Pi}$ is commutative

denote $K_\gamma := \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi} K_\alpha^{m_\alpha}$ where $\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} m_\alpha \alpha$

then $K_\gamma K_\delta = K_{\gamma+\delta} \quad \forall \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}^\Phi$

ii) By relations (3) and (4) we get

$$K_\gamma E_\alpha K_\gamma^{-1} = q^{(\gamma, \alpha)} E_\alpha$$

$$K_\gamma F_\alpha K_\gamma^{-1} = q^{-(\gamma, \alpha)} F_\alpha$$

iii) One could extend the Cartan part U° by considering any subgroups $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^\Phi$ such that $(\gamma, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \alpha \in \Pi$ (e.g. $\Gamma = \Lambda$) and hence replacing the generators K_α 's with K_γ ($\gamma \in \Gamma$) and relation (1) by those in ranks (i) and (ii)

Hopf algebra structure on $U_q(g)$

We denote $U := U_q(g)$

Lemma There exist unique morphisms of k -algebras

$$\Delta : U \rightarrow U \otimes U$$

$$S : U \rightarrow U^{\text{opp}}$$

$$\varepsilon : U \longrightarrow k$$

such that, $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$

$$\Delta(E_\alpha) = E_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha$$

$$\Delta(\bar{F}_\alpha) = 1 \otimes \bar{F}_\alpha + \bar{F}_\alpha \otimes K_\alpha^{-1}$$

$$\Delta(K_\alpha) = K_\alpha \otimes K_\alpha \quad \Delta(K_\alpha^{-1}) = K_\alpha^{-1} \otimes K_\alpha^{-1}$$

$$S(E_\alpha) = -K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha$$

$$\varepsilon(E_\alpha) = 0$$

$$S(\bar{F}_\alpha) = -\bar{F}_\alpha K_\alpha$$

$$\varepsilon(\bar{F}_\alpha) = 0$$

$$S(K_\alpha) = K_\alpha^{-1}$$

$$\varepsilon(K_\alpha) = 1$$

$$S(K_\alpha^{-1}) = K_\alpha$$

$$\varepsilon(K_\alpha^{-1}) = 1$$

Proposition (Δ, ε, S) defines a Hopf algebra structure on U

Pf One can check on generators that

i) Δ is coassociative, i.e.

$$(\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$$

ii) ε satisfies counit axiom, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & U \otimes U \\ & \searrow c_{U,U} & \downarrow \varepsilon \otimes 1 \\ & & k \otimes U \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & U \otimes U \\ & \searrow c_{U,U} & \downarrow 1 \otimes \varepsilon \\ & & U \otimes k \end{array}$$

commute

iii) S satisfies the antipode axiom i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & U \otimes U \\ \wr \circ \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow S \otimes 1 \\ U & \xleftarrow{m} & U \otimes U \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & U \otimes U \\ \wr \circ \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \otimes S \\ U & \xleftarrow{m} & U \otimes U \end{array}$$

commute, where m is the multiplication

and $\wr: k \longrightarrow U$ is the morphism

$$x \mapsto x \cdot 1_U$$

so $\wr \circ \varepsilon$ sends u to $\varepsilon(u) \cdot 1_U$

Proof of Lemma (sketch)

We have to check relations:

Relation 1) easy

Relation 2) and 3) One can use a natural

$\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ -grading on V , given by

$$\deg(E_\alpha) = \alpha, \deg(F_\alpha) = -\alpha, \deg(K_\alpha) = 0$$

and check that

$$(*) \quad K_r u K_r^{-1} = q^{(\lambda, r)} u \quad \text{if } \deg(u) = \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi$$

Then one can check that the definition of Δ , E and S preserve the grading (on $V \otimes V$ we have an induced grading and a relation analogous to $(*)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Relation 4)} \quad & [\Delta(E_\alpha), \Delta(F_\beta)] = [E_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha, 1 \otimes F_\beta + F_\beta \otimes K_\beta^{-1}] \\ &= [E_\alpha \otimes 1, 1 \otimes F_\beta] + [E_\alpha, F_\beta] \otimes K_\beta^{-1} + K_\alpha \otimes [E_\alpha, F_\beta] + \\ &\quad \cancel{[K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha, F_\beta \otimes K_\beta^{-1}]}^{\circ} \quad \text{(using rel. 2, 3)} \\ &= \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}} \otimes K_\beta^{-1} + K_\alpha \otimes \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}} \\ &= \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_\alpha \otimes K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1} \otimes K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}} = \Delta \left(\delta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Rmk If $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha$, we have

$$(2\rho, \alpha) = 2(\rho, \alpha) = \langle \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle (\alpha, \alpha) = (\alpha, \alpha)$$

$$\text{So } K_{2\rho}^{-1} E_\alpha K_{2\rho} = q^{-(2\rho, \alpha)} E_\alpha = q_{\alpha}^{-2} E_\alpha$$

$$K_{2\rho}^{-1} F_\alpha K_{2\rho} = q^{+(2\rho, \alpha)} F_\alpha = q_{\alpha}^2 F_\alpha$$

Hence one can check that

$$S^2(u) = K_{2\rho}^{-1} u K_{2\rho} \quad \forall u \in U.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [S(E_\alpha), S(F_\beta)] &= [-K_\alpha^{-1}\bar{E}_\alpha, -F_\beta K_\beta] = \\
 &= K_\alpha^{-1} [E_\alpha, F_\beta] K_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} K_\alpha^{-1} \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}} K_\beta \\
 &\quad \text{again using rel. 2,3} \\
 &= \delta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}} = -S \left(\delta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[E(E_\alpha), E(F_\beta)] = 0 = E \left(\delta_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}} \right)$$

Relations 5) and 6) We will treat (5) in the A_2 -case to give an idea. We have $\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = -1$, so $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta} = 2$:

$$\sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_\alpha \bar{E}_\alpha^i \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha^{2-i} = 0$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Delta(E_\alpha)^2 \Delta(E_\beta) - [2]_\alpha \Delta(\bar{E}_\alpha) \Delta(\bar{E}_\beta) \Delta(\bar{E}_\alpha) + \Delta(\bar{E}_\beta) \Delta(\bar{E}_\alpha)^2 \\
 &= (E_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha)^2 (E_\beta \otimes 1 + K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\beta) + \\
 &\quad - [2]_\alpha (E_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha) (\bar{E}_\beta \otimes 1 + K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\beta) (\bar{E}_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha) \\
 &\quad + (\bar{E}_\beta \otimes 1 + K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\beta) (\bar{E}_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha)^2 = \\
 &= (E_\alpha^2 \otimes 1 + (1+q_\alpha^2) E_\alpha K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha + K_\alpha^2 \otimes E_\alpha^2) (E_\beta \otimes 1 + K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\beta) \\
 &- [2]_\alpha (E_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha \otimes 1 + \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha + E_\alpha K_\beta E_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\beta + E_\alpha K_\beta K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha \\
 &\quad + K_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta E_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha + K_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha^2 + K_\alpha K_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta + K_\alpha K_\beta K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha) \\
 &\quad + (E_\beta \otimes 1 + K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\beta) (E_\alpha^2 \otimes 1 + (1+q_\alpha^2) E_\alpha K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha + K_\alpha^2 \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \underline{E_\alpha^2 \bar{E}_\beta \otimes 1} + \underline{E_\alpha^2 K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\beta} + \underline{(1+q_\alpha^2) q^{(\alpha,\beta)} \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha} \\
&+ \underline{(1+q_\alpha^2) E_\alpha K_\alpha K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta} + \underline{\bar{q}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \bar{E}_\beta K_\alpha^2 \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha^2} + \\
&\quad + \underline{K_\alpha^2 K_\beta \otimes E_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta} \\
&- [2]_\alpha E_\alpha E_\beta E_\alpha \otimes 1 - [2]_\alpha E_\alpha E_\beta K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha - q^{(\alpha,\beta)} [2]_\alpha \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta K_\beta \otimes E_\beta \\
&- [2]_\alpha \bar{E}_\alpha K_\alpha K_\beta \otimes E_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha - q^{(\alpha,\alpha+\beta)} [2]_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta E_\alpha K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha + \\
&- q^{(\alpha,\beta)} [2]_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta K_\alpha^2 \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha^2 - q^{(\alpha,\alpha+\beta)} [2]_\alpha E_\alpha K_\alpha K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta + \\
&- [2]_\alpha K_\alpha^2 K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha + \underline{E_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha^2 \otimes 1} + (1+q_\alpha^2) \bar{E}_\beta E_\alpha K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha \\
&+ \underline{E_\beta K_\alpha^2 \otimes E_\alpha^2} + \underline{q^{2(\alpha,\beta)} E_\alpha^2 K_\beta \otimes E_\beta} + (1+q_\alpha^2) q^{(\alpha,\beta)} \underline{\bar{E}_\alpha K_\alpha K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha} \\
&+ \underline{K_\alpha^2 K_\beta \otimes E_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha^2} = \\
&= (E_\alpha^2 \bar{E}_\beta - [2]_\alpha E_\alpha E_\beta E_\alpha + E_\beta E_\alpha^2) \otimes 1 + \\
&+ \underline{E_\alpha^2 K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\beta} (1 - q^{(\alpha,\beta)} ([2]_\alpha + q^{2(\alpha,\beta)})) + \\
&+ \underline{E_\alpha E_\beta K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha} ((1+q_\alpha^2) q^{(\alpha,\beta)} - [2]_\alpha) + \\
&+ \underline{\bar{E}_\alpha K_\alpha K_\beta \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta} ((1+q_\alpha^2) - q^{(\alpha,\alpha+\beta)} [2]_\alpha) + \\
&+ \underline{E_\beta K_\alpha^2 \otimes E_\alpha^2} (q^{2(\alpha,\beta)} - q^{(\alpha,\beta)} [2]_\alpha + 1) + \\
&+ \underline{K_\alpha^2 K_\beta \otimes (E_\alpha^2 \bar{E}_\beta - [2]_\alpha \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha + \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha^2)} + \\
&+ \underline{E_\alpha K_\alpha K_\beta \otimes E_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha} ((1+q_\alpha^2) q^{(\alpha,\beta)} - [2]_\alpha) + \\
&+ \underline{E_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha K_\alpha \otimes \bar{E}_\alpha} (1+q_\alpha^2 - q^{(\alpha,\alpha+\beta)} [2]_\alpha) = \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(\bar{E}_\beta) S(\bar{E}_\alpha)^2 - [z]_\alpha S(\bar{E}_\alpha) S(\bar{E}_\beta) S(\bar{E}_\alpha) + S(\bar{E}_\alpha)^2 S(\bar{E}_\beta) \\
= & (-K_\beta^{-1} \bar{E}_\beta) (-K_\alpha^{-1} \bar{E}_\alpha)^2 - [z]_\alpha (-K_\alpha^{-1} \bar{E}_\alpha) (-K_\beta^{-1} \bar{E}_\beta) (-K_\alpha^{-1} \bar{E}_\alpha) \\
& + (-K_\alpha^{-1} \bar{E}_\alpha)^2 (-K_\beta^{-1} \bar{E}_\beta) = \\
= & -q^{+(\alpha, \beta) + (\alpha, \alpha+\beta)} K_\alpha^{-2} K_\beta^{-1} \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha^2 + \\
& + [z]_\alpha q^{(\alpha, \beta) + (\alpha, \alpha+\beta)} K_\alpha^{-2} K_\beta^{-1} \bar{E}_\alpha \bar{E}_\beta \bar{E}_\alpha + \\
& - q^{(\alpha, \beta) + (\alpha, \alpha+\beta)} K_\alpha^{-2} K_\beta^{-1} \bar{E}_\alpha^2 \bar{E}_\beta = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and for E it is obvious

□

The adjoint representation

Given any Hopf algebra $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$
 one can give A the structure of a
 $(A \otimes A)$ -module via

$$(a_1 \otimes a_2) \cdot b := a_1 b S(a_2)$$

This gives, via Δ , an A -module structure
 called the adjoint representation ad

If $\Delta(a) = \sum_i a_i \otimes a_i'$, then

$$\text{ad}(a) b = \sum_i a_i b S(a_i')$$

If we apply this to U , we get

$$\text{ad}(E_\alpha) u = E_\alpha u - K_\alpha u K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha$$

$$\text{ad}(F_\alpha) u = (u F_\alpha - F_\alpha u) K_\alpha$$

$$\text{ad}(K_\alpha) u = K_\alpha u K_\alpha^{-1}$$

Rmk Relations (5) and (6) can be rewritten

$$\text{ad}(E_\alpha^*) E_\beta = 0 \quad \text{ad}(F_\alpha^*) (F_\beta K_\beta) = 0$$

$$\text{where } \tau = \tau_{\alpha, \beta} = 1 - \langle \beta, \alpha^* \rangle$$

Triangular decomposition

Recall $U^\circ := \langle K_\alpha^{\pm 1} \rangle_{\alpha \in \Pi}$, and set

$$U^+ := \langle F_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha \in \Pi} \subset U$$

$$U^- := \langle F_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha \in \Pi} \subset U$$

then we have

Theorem The multiplication map

$$U^- \otimes U^\circ \otimes U^+ \xrightarrow{m} U$$

is an isomorphism (of $\mathfrak{g}\text{-s}$)

Rmk One can define an automorphism

$$\omega: U \xrightarrow{\sim} U \quad \text{given by}$$

$$\omega(F_\alpha) = \bar{F}_\alpha, \quad \omega(F_\alpha) = \bar{F}_\alpha, \quad \omega(K_\alpha) = K_\alpha^{-1}$$

$$\text{then clearly } \omega(U^-) = U^+, \quad \omega(U^+) = U^-$$

so we get also the opposite decomposition

$$U^+ \otimes U^\circ \otimes U^- \xrightarrow{\sim} U$$

(sketch)

Step 1 Consider \tilde{U} , the algebra with same generators as U but only relations (1) to (4).
 Let $\tilde{U}^0 = \langle K_\alpha^{\pm 1} \rangle$, $\tilde{U}^+ = \langle E_\alpha \rangle$, $\tilde{U}^- = \langle F_\alpha \rangle$ inside \tilde{U}

Here one can show that monomials of the form

$$F_{\alpha_1} \cdots F_{\alpha_k} K_\mu E_{\beta_1} \cdots E_{\beta_n} \quad (\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \Pi, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}\phi)$$

form a basis of \tilde{U}

Step 2 Let $I := \ker(\tilde{U} \rightarrow U)$, so I is the two-sided ideal generated by all RHS's of relations (5)-(6).

Now let $I^+ \subset \tilde{U}^+$ (resp. $I^- \subset \tilde{U}^-$) be the two-sided ideal in \tilde{U}^+ (resp. \tilde{U}^-) generated by all RHS's of relation (5) (resp. (6)).

Then one can show

$$1) \tilde{U}^+ / I^+ \cong U^+$$

$$2) \tilde{U}^- / I^- \cong U^-$$

3) The image by the multiplication map of

$$\tilde{U}^- \otimes \tilde{U}^0 \otimes I^+ + I^- \otimes \tilde{U}^0 \otimes \tilde{U}^+ \text{ is } I$$

So, observing that $\tilde{U}^0 \cong U^0$, we get

$$U = \tilde{U} / I \xleftarrow{\sim} \frac{\tilde{U}^- \otimes \tilde{U}^0 \otimes \tilde{U}^+}{(\tilde{U}^- \otimes \tilde{U}^0 \otimes I^+ + I^- \otimes \tilde{U}^0 \otimes \tilde{U}^+)} \cong \frac{\tilde{U}^-}{I^-} \otimes \frac{\tilde{U}^0}{I^0} \otimes \frac{\tilde{U}^+}{I^+}$$

12

$$U^- \otimes U^0 \otimes U^+$$

□