

Lecture 11.

1) Localization of rings, cont'd

2) Localization of modules.

Refs: [AM], Intro to Chapter 3.

BONUS: Localization in non-commutative rings.

1.0) Definition:

Let A be a commutative ring, $S \subset A$ a multiplicative subset ($1 \in S$ & $s, t \in S \Rightarrow st \in S$).

Definition: (i) Consider $A \times S$ (product of sets), equip it w/ equivalence relation \sim defined by

$$(*) (a, s) \sim (b, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists u \in S \mid uta =usb.$$

Exercise: Check that \sim is indeed an equivalence relation.

(ii) $A[S^{-1}]$:= the set of equivalence classes.

(iii) the class of (a, s) will be denoted by $\frac{a}{s}$.

Exercise: TFAE: • $A[S^{-1}] = \left\{ \frac{0}{1} \right\}$.

$$\bullet 0 \in S.$$

Rem: if S contains no zero divisors, then $(*)$ simplifies: $ta = sb$. But, in general, the latter equality fails to give an equivalence relation.

Addition & multiplication in $A[S^{-1}]$ are introduced by:

$$\frac{a_1}{s_1} + \frac{a_2}{s_2} := \frac{s_2 a_1 + s_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2}, \quad \frac{a_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{a_2}{s_2} := \frac{a_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2}$$

Proposition: These operations are well-defined (the result depends only on $\frac{a_1}{s_1}, \frac{a_2}{s_2}$, not on $(a_1, s_1), (a_2, s_2)$) & equip $A[S^{-1}]$ w. structure of a commutative ring (w. unit $\frac{1}{1}$). Moreover, $\iota: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}], a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}$ is a ring homomorphism.

Proof: omitted in order not to make everybody very bored...

Def'n: The ring $A[S^{-1}]$ is called the **localization** of A (w.r.t. S).

Rem: We view $A[S^{-1}]$ as an A -algebra via ι .

1.1) Examples.

- 0) $0 \in S \iff A[S^{-1}]$ is the zero ring.
- 1) $S = \{\text{all invertible elements in } A\}$ is multiplicative; $A[S^{-1}] \simeq A$ b/c every equiv. class in $A^\times S$ has a unique representative of the form $(a, 1)$.
- 2) A is a domain, $S = A \setminus \{0\}$ is multiplicative; $A[S^{-1}]$ is the fraction field $\text{Frac}(A)$.

Exercise: Let A be general & $S = \{\text{all non-zero divisors in } A\}$. Then every non-zero divisor in $A[S^{-1}]$ is invertible.

- 2) Let $f \in A \rightsquigarrow S := \{f^n \mid n \geq 0\}$. The resulting localization is denoted by $A[f^{-1}]$.

Concrete example: $A = \mathbb{F}[x]$, $f = x$. Then $\mathbb{F}[x]_x = \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{x^n} \right\}$ is identified with the ring of Laurent polynomials.

$$\mathbb{F}[x^{\pm 1}] = \left\{ \sum_{i=-m}^n a_i x^i \right\}.$$

Lemma: Have an A -algebra isomorphism $A[f^{-1}] \cong A[t]/(tf^{-1})$.

Proof: As an A -algebra $A[f^{-1}]$ is generated by f^{-1} . So have a surjective homomorphism $\pi: A[t] \rightarrow A[f^{-1}]$, $g \mapsto g(\frac{1}{f})$. Clearly, $\pi(tf^{-1}) = 0$ so π factors through $\tilde{\pi}: A[t]/I \rightarrow A[f^{-1}]$, $I := (tf^{-1})$.

It remains to show $\ker \tilde{\pi} = 0$. Let $g = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i \in \ker \tilde{\pi} \Leftrightarrow g(\frac{1}{f}) = (\sum_{i=0}^n a_i f^{n-i})/f^n = 0 \text{ in } A[f^{-1}] \Leftrightarrow \exists m \geq n \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=0}^m a_i f^{m-i} = 0$ in A . Note that $f+I \in A[t]/I$ is invertible w. inverse $t+I$. So $\sum_{i=0}^m a_i f^{m-i} = 0$ in $A \Rightarrow$ in $A[t]/I$ have $0 = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (f+I)^{m-i} = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (t+I)^{i-m} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (t+I)^i = 0 \Rightarrow g+I = 0 \Rightarrow \ker \tilde{\pi} = \{0\}$. \square

Example (of computation): $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy)$, $f = x$ (zero divisor)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy))[x^{-1}] &= [\text{Lemma}] = (\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(xy))[t]/(tx-1) \\ &= \mathbb{C}[x, y, t]/(xy, tx-1) = [t(xy) = y(tx-1) + y \Rightarrow y \in (xy, tx-1)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[\text{so } (xy, tx-1) = (y, tx-1)] = \mathbb{C}[x, y, t]/(y, tx-1) \cong \mathbb{C}[x, t]/(tx-1)$$

$$[\text{Lemma}] \cong \mathbb{C}[x]_x = [\text{Concrete example}] = \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$$

1.2) Universal property of $A[S^{-1}]$

Recall the ring homomorphism $\iota: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}], a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}$ (Prop'n in Sec 1.1). Note that $\iota(s) = \frac{s}{1}$ is invertible in $A[S^{-1}]$.

Proposition: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism s.t. $\varphi(s) \in B$ is invertible $\forall s \in S$. Then the following hold:

1) $\exists!$ ring homom' $\varphi': A[S^{-1}] \rightarrow B$ that makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & \\ \downarrow \iota & \searrow \varphi & \\ A[S^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & B \end{array}$$

2) φ' is given by $\varphi'\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = \varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1}$

Sketch of proof:

Existence: need to show that formula in 2) indeed gives a well-defined ring homomorphism.

Well-defined: need to check $\frac{a}{s} = \frac{b}{t} \Rightarrow \varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1} = \varphi(b)\varphi(t)^{-1}$

Indeed: $\frac{a}{s} = \frac{b}{t} \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in S$ s.t. $uta = usb \Rightarrow \varphi(u)\varphi(t)\varphi(a) = \varphi(u)\varphi(s)\varphi(b)$. But $\varphi(u), \varphi(t), \varphi(s)$ are invertible. It follows that $\varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1} = \varphi(b)\varphi(t)^{-1}$. So φ' is well-defined!

Exercise - on addition & multiplication of fractions. Check that φ' is a ring homomorphism.

Uniqueness: φ' makes diagram comm've $\Leftrightarrow \varphi'\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = \varphi(a)$ $\forall a \in A$
 $\Rightarrow \varphi'\left(\frac{s}{1}\right) = \varphi(s)$ - invertible $\Rightarrow \varphi'\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) = \varphi(s)^{-1} \Rightarrow$
 $\varphi'\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = \varphi'\left(\frac{a}{1}\right)\varphi'\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) = \varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1}$ □

Corollary: Let A be a domain, $S \subset A$ be multiplicative subset s.t. $0 \notin S$. Then $A[S^{-1}] \cong \left\{ \frac{a}{s} \in \text{Frac}(A) \mid s \in S \right\}$, a ring isom'.

Proof: The inclusion $\varphi: A \hookrightarrow \text{Frac}(A)$ satisfies $\varphi(s)$ is invertible. Consider the resulting ring homomorphism $\varphi': A[S^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Frac}(A)$. Its image coincides w. $\left\{ \frac{a}{s} \mid s \in S \right\}$. It remains to show φ' is injective: $\varphi'\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi(a) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{a}{s} = 0$ \square

Exercise: Let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A$ & $S = \{f_1^{n_1}, f_2^{n_2}, \dots, f_k^{n_k} \mid n_i \geq 0\}$. Then we have a ring isomorphism $A[S^{-1}] = A[(f_1 f_2 \dots f_k)^{-1}]$.

2) Localization of modules.

2.1) Definition: A, S as before. Let M be an A -module.

Define its localization $M[S^{-1}]$ as the set of equivalence classes $M \times S / \sim$ w. \sim defined by:

$$(*) (m, s) \sim (n, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists u \in S \mid utm = usn$$

Equiv. class of (m, s) will be denoted by $\frac{m}{s}$.

Proposition: $M[S^{-1}]$ has a natural $A[S^{-1}]$ -module structure (w. addition of fractions) & $A[S^{-1}] \times M[S^{-1}] \rightarrow M[S^{-1}]$ given by $\frac{a}{s} \frac{m}{t} := \frac{am}{st}$.

Proof: the same price as the ring structure on $A[S^{-1}]$.

\square

Remark: $M[S^{-1}]$ is an $A[S^{-1}]$ -module, ring homom'm $\iota: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]$

gives an A -module structure on $M[S^{-1}]$: $a \frac{m}{s} = \frac{am}{s}$.

The map $M \xrightarrow{\iota} M[S^{-1}]$, $m \mapsto \frac{m}{1}$, is A -module homomorphism
($\iota: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]$ is a special case).

Important exercise: For $\iota: M \rightarrow M[S^{-1}]$, $\ker \iota = \{m \in M \mid \exists s \in S$
s.t. $sm = 0\}$. - use (*).

Example: Suppose M is an A -module where all elements of S act by invertible operators. Then $\iota: M \rightarrow M[S^{-1}]$ is bijective:
every equivalence class contains a unique element of the form
($m, 1$) - compare to Example 1 in Sec. 1.

BONUS: Localization in noncommutative rings.

When we define the ring structure on A_S it's important that the elements of S commute w. all elements of A . Otherwise, assume for simplicity that all elements of S are invertible.

We are trying to multiply right fractions as^{-1} and bt^{-1} and get a right fraction. We get $as^{-1}bt^{-1}$ - and we are stuck...

How to do localization in noncommutative rings was discovered by Ore (who was a faculty at Yale 1927-1968)

Let S be a subset of a (noncommutative) ring A such that $0 \notin S$, $1 \in S$; $s, t \in S \Rightarrow st \in S$ as before. There are so called Ore conditions that guarantee that there is a localization A_S consisting of right, equivalently, of left fractions. Namely if S doesn't contain zero divisors we need to require:

(O1) $\forall a \in A, s \in S \exists b \in A, t \in S$ s.t. $ta = bs$ (think, $as^{-1} = t^{-1}b$).

+ its mirror analog (left \leftrightarrow right)

When S contains zero divisors we also should require:

(O2) if $sa = 0$ for $a \in A, s \in S$, then $\exists t \in S$ w. $at = 0$ - and its mirror condition.

In fact, (O2) allows to reduce to the case when there are no zero divisors in S : $J := \{a \in A \mid \exists s \in S \text{ s.t. } sa = 0\}$ is a two-sided ideal

thx to $(02) + \text{its mirror}$, so we replace A w. A/J , and S with its image in A/J . So we can just assume there are no zero divisors in S & (01) and its mirror.

Then we can define the set A_S of equivalence classes in A_S :
 $(a, s) \sim (a', s')$ (think $as^{-1} = a's'^{-1}$): we find b, t w. $ta = bs$ (think $as^{-1} = t^{-1}b$) and declare $(a, s) \sim (a', s')$ if $ta' = bs'$.

Here we already see that everything becomes more painful:
even to see that this doesn't depend on the choice of b, t
requires a check. And there's more of this. Eventually, one gets
the localization A_S consisting of right fractions (equivalently left)
fractions) w. natural ring structure. It has a universal
property similar to what we have in the commutative case.

Checking the Ore conditions is hard. And they are not always satisfied. For example, they aren't satisfied when $A = \mathbb{F}\langle x, y \rangle$ is a free \mathbb{F} -algebra & $S = A \setminus \{0\}$.

Still, they are satisfied in a number of examples. Namely,
recall that A is prime if for any two-sided ideals I, J we
have $IJ = \{0\} \Rightarrow I \neq \{0\}$ or $J \neq \{0\}$. We say A is Noetherian
if all left & right ideals are finitely generated.

Theorem (Goldie) Let A be a prime Noetherian ring. Then
the set S of all non-zero divisors in A satisfies the Ore
conditions. The localization A_S is of the form $\text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{D})$,
where $n > 0$ & \mathcal{D} is a skew-field (a.k.a. division ring).

In particular, A has no zero divisors $\Leftrightarrow n=1$.

