

# SINGULAR SYMPLECTIC MODULI SPACES

YINBANG LIN

ABSTRACT. These are notes of a talk given at the NEU-MIT graduate student seminar. It is based on the paper by Kaledin-Lehn-Sorger, showing examples of singular symplectic moduli spaces of sheaves that do not admit a symplectic resolution.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a projective K3 surface and  $H$  be an ample divisor. Let  $v \in H^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  be the Mukai vector of a sheaf. Let  $M_v$  be the moduli space of Gieseker semistable sheaves with respect to the polarization  $H$ . Suppose

$$v = mv_0$$

for a primitive  $v_0$ , i.e. not an integral multiple of another Mukai vector, and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

When  $v$  is primitive, that is  $m = 1$ , and  $H$  is generic, we know that  $M_v$  is an irreducible symplectic manifold. This reflects the geometry of the surface. Barbara Bolognese [Bol16] has demonstrated an example that the moduli space is actually a K3 surface. When the moduli space has higher dimension, Isabel Vogt [Vog16] has explained that it is deformation equivalent to Hilbert scheme of points.

When  $v$  is not primitive, the moduli space  $M_v$  is singular. However, the stable locus  $M_v^s$  still admits a non-degenerate 2-form. We are interested in the question whether the 2-form can be extended to resolutions of singularities of  $M_v$ . (Actually, if it extends to one, it extends to all.) Bolognese [Bol16] has shown us O'Grady's example [O'G99] where the answer is positive. This article is primarily interested in the cases where the 2-form does not extend to a resolution of singularities.

These are summarized in Table 2.<sup>1</sup> In this article, we will concentrate on the case where  $v_0 = (r_0, c_0, a_0)$  and  $m$  satisfy the following conditions.

- (1) Either  $r_0 > 0$  and  $c_0 \in \text{NS}(X)$ , or  $r = 0$ ,  $c_0 \in \text{NS}(X)$  is effective, and  $a_0 \neq 0$ .
- (2)  $m \geq 3$  and  $\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle \geq 2$ , or  $m = 2$  and  $\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle \geq 4$ .

The first condition makes sure that  $v_0$  is the Mukai vector of a coherent sheaf. In the rest of this article, we will assume that  $v_0$  and  $m$  satisfy these conditions.

We aim to demonstrate the following result.

**Theorem.** *If either  $m \geq 2$  and  $\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle > 2$  or  $m > 2$  and  $\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle \geq 2$ , then  $M_{mv_0}$  is a locally factorial singular symplectic variety, which does not admit a proper symplectic resolution.*

---

<sup>1</sup>Similar statements also hold for abelian surfaces.

We have summarized the beautiful argument by Kaledin-Lehn-Sorger in Table 1. For the reader's convenience, we recall the Serre's condition  $(S_k)$  and regularity  $(R_k)$  in codimension  $k$ .

$(S_k)$ : A ring  $A$  satisfies condition  $S_k$  if for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ ,  $\operatorname{depth} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min\{k, \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})\}$ .

$(R_k)$ : A ring  $A$  satisfies condition  $S_k$  if for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  such that  $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq k$ ,  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Construction of moduli spaces.** Let  $v = v(E)$  be a Mukai vector and  $P_v$  be the corresponding Hilbert polynomial, i.e.  $P_v(m) = \chi(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mH))$ . Suppose  $k$  is sufficiently large,  $N = P_v(k)$ , and  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O}_X(-kH)^{\oplus N}$ . Let

$$R \subset \operatorname{Quot}_{X,H}(\mathcal{H}, P_v)$$

be the Zariski closure of the following subscheme

$$\{[q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow E] \mid q \text{ GIT-semistable, } H^0(q(kH)) \text{ isom.}\},$$

equipped with a  $\operatorname{PGL}(N)$ -linearized ample line bundle. Let

$$R^s \subset R^{ss} \subset R$$

be the open subscheme of stable points and semistable points. The moduli space  $M_v$  of semistable sheaves is the GIT quotient

$$\pi : R^{ss} \rightarrow R^{ss} // \operatorname{PGL}(N) \cong M_v.$$

The orbit of  $[q]$  is closed in  $R^{ss}$  if and only if  $E$  is polystable. In that case, the stabilizer subgroup of  $[q]$  in  $\operatorname{PGL}(N)$  is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{PAut}(E) = \operatorname{Aut}(E)/\mathbb{C}^*.$$

Moreover, by Luna's slice theorem, there is a  $\operatorname{PAut}(E)$ -invariant subscheme  $[q] \in S \hookrightarrow R^{ss}$  such that

$$(\operatorname{PGL}(N) \times S) // \operatorname{PAut}(E) \rightarrow R^{ss} \quad \text{and} \quad S // \operatorname{PAut}(E) \rightarrow M_v$$

are étale and

$$T_{[q]}S \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(E, E).$$

**2.2. Kuranishi map and the key proposition.** Let  $\mathbb{C}[\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, E)]$  be the ring of polynomial functions on  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, E)$ . Let

$$A := \mathbb{C}[\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, E)]^\wedge$$

be the completion at the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of functions vanishing at 0. We denote the kernel of the trace map  $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E, E) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$  by  $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E, E)_0$ . The automorphism group  $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$  naturally acts on  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, E)$  and  $\operatorname{Ext}^2(E, E)_0$  by conjugation. Since scalars act trivially, this induces an action of  $\operatorname{PAut}(E)$ .

There is a linear map

$$\kappa : \mathrm{Ext}^2(E, E)_0^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E)]^\wedge,$$

called the *Kuranishi map*, with the following properties.

- (1) The map  $\kappa$  is  $\mathrm{PAut}(E)$ -equivariant.
- (2) Let  $I$  be the ideal generated by the image of  $\kappa$ . Then there are isomorphisms of complete rings

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,[q]} \cong A/I \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_{v,[E]}} \cong (A/I)^{\mathrm{PAut}(E)}.$$

- (3) For every linear form  $\phi \in \mathrm{Ext}^2(E, E)_0^*$  and  $e \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E)$ ,

$$\kappa(\phi)(e) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(e \cup e) + \text{higher order terms in } e.$$

Denote the quadratic part of the Kuranishi map by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_2 : \mathrm{Ext}^2(E, E)_0^* &\rightarrow S^2 \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E)]^*, \\ \phi &\mapsto (e \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\phi(e \cup e)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $J \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E)]$  be ideal generated by the image of  $\kappa_2$ . Then  $J$  is the defining ideal of  $F = \mu^{-1}(0)$  where  $\mu$  is the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : \mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2(E, E)_0, \\ e &\mapsto \frac{1}{2}(e \cup e). \end{aligned}$$

Ideals  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E)]^\wedge$  and  $J \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E)]$  are related as follows. First, notice the graded ring  $\mathrm{gr} A$  associated to the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic filtration of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E)]^\wedge$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E)]$ . For any ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ , let  $\mathrm{in}(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathrm{gr} A$  denote the ideal generated by the leading terms (lowest degree terms) in  $(f)$ , for all  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Then,

$$J \subset \mathrm{in}(I).$$

and we have the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \dim F &= \dim \mathrm{gr} A/J \\ &\geq \dim \mathrm{gr} A/\mathrm{in}(I) = \dim \mathrm{gr}(A/I) \\ (1) \quad &= \dim(A/I) \geq \mathrm{ext}^1(E, E) - \mathrm{ext}^2(E, E)_0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $v = mv_0$  where  $v_0$  and  $m$  satisfy the conditions in the introduction. Then, the inequalities above are all equalities:

**Proposition 1.** *The null-fiber  $F$  is an irreducible normal complete intersection of dimension  $\mathrm{ext}^1(E, E) - \mathrm{ext}^2(E, E)_0$ . Moreover, it satisfies  $R_3$ .*

This statement actually holds more generally for a class of symplectic moment map. This is the key proposition in the paper [KLS06].

### 3. NORMALITY, REGULARITY AND FACTORIALITY

In this section, we will show various regularity results.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $H$  be an arbitrary ample divisor. Let  $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s E_i^{\oplus n_i}$  be a polystable sheaf such that  $v(E_i) \in Nv_0$ . Consider  $[q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow E] \in R^{ss}$  and a slice  $S \subset R^{ss}$  to the orbit of  $[q]$ . Then,  $\mathcal{O}_{S,[q]}$  is a normal complete intersection domain of dimension*

$$(2) \quad \text{ext}^1(E, E) - \text{ext}^2(E, E)_0$$

that has property  $R_3$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 1,  $F = \mu^{-1}(0) = \text{Spec}(\text{gr}A/J)$  is a normal complete intersection variety of dimension (2). Thus, we have equalities at all places in (1). Therefore,  $J = \text{in}(I)$ . It follows that

$$(3) \quad \text{gr} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,[q]} = \text{gr}(A/I) = \text{gr}A/\text{in}(I) = \Gamma(F, \mathcal{O}_F)$$

is a normal complete intersection. In particular,  $\text{gr}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,[q]})$  is Cohen-Macaulay, hence satisfies  $S_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Moreover,  $\text{gr}(\mathcal{O}_{S,[q]}) = \text{gr}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,[q]})$  is smooth in codimension 3. Then by Proposition 3,  $\mathcal{O}_{S,[q]}$  itself is a normal complete intersection which satisfies  $R_3$ .  $\square$

Equalities (3) is crucial to the argument, relating the slice to the key proposition, Proposition 1.

The following statement in commutative algebra allows us to recover regularity properties of a local ring from those of its associated graded ring.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $(B, \mathfrak{m})$  be a noetherian local ring with residue field  $B/\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\text{gr } B$  be the graded ring associated to the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic filtration. Then,  $\dim B = \dim \text{gr } B$  and if  $\text{gr } B$  is an integral domain, normal or a complete intersection, then the same is true for  $B$ . Moreover, if  $\text{gr } B$  satisfies  $R_k$  and  $S_{k+1}$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $B$  satisfies  $R_k$ .*

The following result of  $R^{ss}$  being local factorial will be the basis to apply Drezet's result to prove the  $M_v$  is local factorial.

**Proposition 4.** (1) *Let  $H$  be a  $v$ -general ample divisor. Then  $R^{ss}$  is normal and locally a complete intersection of dimension  $\langle v, v \rangle + 1 + N^2$ . It satisfies  $R_3$  and hence is locally factorial.*  
(2) *Suppose that  $E = E_0^{\oplus m}$  for some stable sheaf  $E_0$  with  $v(E_0) = v_0$ . Let  $H$  be an arbitrary ample divisor. There is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $[E] \in M_v$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset R^{ss}$  is locally factorial of dimension  $\langle v, v \rangle + 1 + N^2$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $[q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow E] \in R^{ss}$  be a point with closed orbit, and let  $S \subset R^{ss}$  be a  $\text{PAut}(E)$ -invariant slice through  $[q]$ . By Proposition 2, the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{S,[q]}$  is a normal complete intersection satisfying  $R_3$ . Being normal, locally a complete intersection, or having property  $R_3$  are open properties [Gro61, 19.3.3, 6.12.9]. Hence there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $[q]$  in  $S$  that is normal, locally a complete intersection and has property  $R_3$ .

The natural morphism  $\text{PGL}(N) \times S \rightarrow R^{ss}$  is smooth. Therefore, every closed orbit in  $R^{ss}$  has a neighborhood that has the same properties.

Finally, every  $\mathrm{PGL}(N)$  orbit of  $R^{ss}$  meets such an open neighborhood. Then,  $R^{ss}$  has the same properties. Hence,  $R^{ss}$  is locally factorial due to the following theorem of Grothendieck [Gro62, XI Corollary 3.14].

(2) The second assertion follows analogously. □

**Theorem 1** (Grothendieck). *Let  $B$  be a noetherian local ring. If  $B$  is a complete intersection and regular in codimension  $\leq 3$ , then  $B$  is factorial.*

Then, a result of Drezet [Dre91, Theorem A] implies that

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $H$  be a  $v$ -general ample divisor. The moduli space  $M_v$  is locally factorial.*

*Remark.* This is the property that distinguishes the examples studied here from O’Grady’s examples. The examples studied here do not admit symplectic resolution.

#### 4. IRREDUCIBILITY

Before showing the irreducibility, let us first state the following preparatory result: if the moduli space has a “nice” connected component, then the component will be all of the moduli space.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be a projective K3 or abelian surface. Suppose  $Y \subset M_v$  be a connected component parametrizing only stable sheaves. Then  $M_v = Y$ .*

The idea of the proof of this theorem is as follows. Fix a point  $[F] \in Y$  and suppose that there is a point  $[G] \in M_v \setminus Y$ . We can assume that there is a universal family  $\mathbb{E} \in \mathrm{Coh}(Y \times X)$ . Let  $p : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$  and  $q : Y \times X \rightarrow X$  be the projections. Since  $F$  and  $G$  are numerically equal, the same is true for the relative Ext-sheaves  $\mathrm{Ext}_p^\bullet(q^*F, \mathbb{E})$  and  $\mathrm{Ext}_p^\bullet(q^*G, \mathbb{E})$ , by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch. This will lead to a contradiction. For details of the argument, see [KLS06].

This theorem has the following important consequence.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $v = mv_0$  and  $H$  be a  $v$ -general ample divisor. Then,  $M_v$  is a normal irreducible variety of dimension  $2 + \langle v, v \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4,  $R^{ss}$  is normal, therefore  $M_v$  is normal.

If  $m = 1$ ,  $M_v = M_{v_0}$  parametrizes stable sheave and hence  $M_v$  is smooth. Theorem 3 implies that  $M_v$  is irreducible.

By induction, assume now  $m \geq 2$  and assertions have been proved for  $1 \leq m' < m$ . For every partition  $m = m' + m''$ , such that  $1 \leq m' \leq m''$ , consider

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(m', m'') : M_{m'v_0} \times M_{m''v_0} &\rightarrow M_{mv_0}, \\ ([E'], [E'']) &\mapsto [E' \oplus E''], \end{aligned}$$

and let  $Y(m', m'') \subset M_v$  denote its image. Then,  $Y(m', m'')$  are irreducible components of strictly semistable locus of  $M_v$ . Since all  $Y(m', m'')$  are irreducible (by induction) and

intersect in the points of the form  $[E_0^{\oplus m}]$ ,  $[E_0] \in M_{v_0}$ , the strictly semistable locus is connected. Since  $M_v$  is normal, connected components are irreducible. In particular, there is a unique irreducible component that meets the strictly semistable locus. Theorem 3 excludes the possibility of other components. Therefore,  $M_v$  is irreducible.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We will first show that the moduli space is indeed singular, and the singular locus has high codimension.

**Proposition 5.** *The singular locus  $M_{v,\text{sing}}$  of  $M_v$  is nonempty and equals to the locus of strictly semistable sheaves. The irreducible components of  $M_{v,\text{sing}}$  correspond to integers  $m'$ ,  $1 \leq m' \leq m/2$ , and have codimension  $2m'(m-m')\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle - 2$ , respectively. In particular,  $\text{codim } M_{v,\text{sing}} \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that the strictly semistable locus is the union of  $Y(m', m'')$ , (4). Also notice that

$$\phi(m', m'') : M_{m'v_0} \times M_{m''v_0} \rightarrow Y(m', m'')$$

is finite and surjective. A simple dimension calculation shows that they have the desired codimension.

Since  $M_v$  is smooth at stable points, it suffices to show that strictly semistable points are singular. It is enough to show that  $M_v$  is singular at a generic

$$[E' \oplus E''] \in Y(m', m''),$$

where  $E'$  and  $E''$  are stable. In this case,  $\text{PAut}(E) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $\text{Ext}^2(E, E) \cong \mathbb{C}$ , and the Kuranishi map is completely determined by an invariant  $f \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Ext}^1(E, E)]^\wedge$ . Moreover, according to properties of Kuranishi map,

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_v, [E]} \cong (\mathbb{C}[\text{Ext}^1(E, E)]^\wedge)^{\mathbb{C}^*}/(f).$$

The group  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on

$$\text{Ext}^1(E, E) \cong \text{Ext}^1(E', E') \oplus \text{Ext}^1(E', E'') \oplus \text{Ext}^1(E'', E') \oplus \text{Ext}^1(E'', E'')$$

with weights 0, 1, -1, and 0. Then

$$\text{Ext}^1(E, E) // \mathbb{C}^* = \text{Ext}^1(E', E') \times C \times \text{Ext}^1(E'', E'')$$

where  $C \subset M(d, \mathbb{C})$  is the cone of matrices of rank  $\leq 1$  and  $d = \text{ext}^1(E', E'') = m'm''\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle \geq 2$ . In particular,  $C$  is singular. The quotient of a singular local ring by a non-zero divisor cannot become regular. Therefore,  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M_v, [E]}$  is singular.  $\square$

A more precise statement of the main theorem is as follows

**Theorem 5.** *The moduli space  $M_v$  is a locally factorial symplectic variety of dimension  $2 + \langle v, v \rangle$ . The singular locus is non-empty and has codimension  $\geq 4$ . All singularities are symplectic, but there is no open neighborhood of a singular point in  $M_v$  that admits a projective symplectic resolution.*

Symplectic singularities are in the sense of Beauville [Bea00]. A normal variety  $V$  has *symplectic singularities* if the nonsingular locus  $V_{\text{reg}}$  carries a closed symplectic 2-form whose pull-back in any resolution  $Y \rightarrow V$  extends to a holomorphic 2-form on  $Y$ . In particular, this last condition is automatic if the singular locus  $V_{\text{sing}}$  has codimension  $\geq 4$ , by Flenner [Fle88].

*Proof.* We have seen that  $M_v$  is locally factorial.

Mukai constructed a closed non-degenerate 2-form on  $M_v^s$ . We also know that the singular locus has codimension  $\geq 4$ . Therefore, singularities are symplectic.

Let  $[E] \in M_v$  be a singular point and  $U \subset M_v$  a neighborhood of  $[E]$ . Suppose there is a projective symplectic resolution  $\sigma : U' \rightarrow U$ . A result of Kaledin [Kal06] implies that  $\sigma$  is semismall. Let  $E$  be the exceptional locus and  $d = \dim E - \dim U_{\text{sing}}$ . Then  $\dim U_{\text{sing}} + 2d \leq \dim U'$ . This, combined with  $\text{codim } U_{\text{sing}} = 4$ , implies

$$\text{codim } E \geq 2.$$

On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{O}_{M_v, [E]}$  is factorial, the exceptional locus has codimension 1 (see [Deb01]), contradiction.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Bea00] A. Beauville, *Symplectic singularities*, Invent. Math. 139(3), 541–549, 2000.
- [Bol16] B. Bolognese, *Examples of hyperkähler manifolds as moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces*, preprint.
- [Deb01] O. Debarre, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, xiv+233 pp., 2001.
- [Dre91] J.-M. Drezet, *Points non factoriels de variétés de modules de faisceaux semi-stables sur une surface rationnelle*, J. Reine. Angew.Math. 413, 99–126, 1991.
- [Fle88] *Extendability of differential forms on non-isolated singularities*, Invent. Math. 94(2), 317–326, 1988.
- [Gro61] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I.*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 11, 1961, 167 pp.
- [Gro62] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*. Sminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie, 1962. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1968.
- [Kal06] D. Kaledin, *Symplectic singularities from the Poisson point of view*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 600, 135–156, 2006.
- [KLS06] D. Kaledin, M. Lehn, and Ch. Sorger, *Singular symplectic moduli spaces*, Invent. Math. 164 (3), 591–614, 2004.
- [O'G99] K. O'Grady, *Desingularized moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 512, 49–117, 1999.
- [Vog16] I. Vogt, *Deformation types of moduli spaces of stable sheaves on a K3 surface*, preprint.

TABLE 1. Road map

A key estimate (Prop. 1)

$\downarrow$

Prop. 2

$S$  (étale slice) normal

$\downarrow$

Prop. 4

$R^{ss}$  normal, loc. factorial

$\downarrow$

$R^{ss}$  loc. factorial and Drezet's result

$M_v$  loc. factorial

$\downarrow$

$M_v = R^{ss} // \text{PGL}$  normal

$\downarrow$

$M_v$  conn. (Thm. 3)

$M_v$  irreducible

$\downarrow$

$M_v$  singular,

does not admit a symplectic resolution

TABLE 2.  $M_{mv_0}$ 

	$m = 1$	$m \geq 2$
$\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle = -2$	(Mukai) $M_{v_0} = \{[E_0]\}$	$M_v = \{[E_0^{\oplus m}]\}$
$\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle = 0$	(Mukai) $X = \text{K3 or abelian surface}$ $\Rightarrow M_{v_0} = \text{K3 or abelian surface}$	$M_v = S^m(M_{v_0})$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• sing. in codim. 2</li> <li>• admits symp. resolution <math>M_{v_0}^{[n]} \rightarrow M_v</math></li> </ul>
$\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle \geq 2$	$(\text{Mukai, Huybrechts, O'Grady, Yoshioka})$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>X = \text{K3} \Rightarrow M_{v_0}</math> def. equ. to <math>X^{[1 + \frac{1}{2}\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle]}</math></li> <li>• <math>X = \text{ab. surf.} \Rightarrow M_{v_0}</math> def. equ. to <math>\text{Pic}_0(X) \times X^{[\frac{1}{2}\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle]}</math></li> </ul>	$m = 2 \ \& \ \langle v_0, v_0 \rangle = 2$ (O'Grady, Rapagnetta, Lehn-Sorger) $M_v$ admits symp. desing. by blowing up reduced singular locus <hr/> else (Kaledin-Lehn-Sorger) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>M_{mv_0}</math> loc. fact. sing. symp. var.</li> <li>• does not admit proper symp. resolution</li> </ul>