

- 0) Reminder on duality.
- 1) Duality on  $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent modules.
- 2) Duality vs Kashiwara's lemma.
- 3) Classification of simple holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

0) Let  $X$  be a smooth variety. We have defined a triangulated functor  $\mathbb{D}: \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh } \mathcal{D}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh } \mathcal{D}_X^{\text{opp}})$  via  $M \mapsto K_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(M, \mathcal{D}_X)[\dim X]$  w. following properties:

i)  $\mathbb{D}$  maps  $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  to  $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{opp}}$  (i.e. all cohomology sheaves except 0th vanish & 0th homology is holonomic.)

$$\mathbb{D}^2 \simeq \text{id}$$

Rem: The proof of ii) implied that

$$\mathbb{D}(?) \simeq R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_X^{\text{opp}}}(K_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} ?, \mathcal{D}_X).$$

In this lecture we will establish two more important properties of  $\mathbb{D}$ :

1) If  $V$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent, then  $\mathbb{D}(V) \simeq V^\vee$ .

2) If  $Y \hookrightarrow X$  is a closed smooth subvariety, then

$$\mathbb{D}_X \circ i_* \simeq i_* \circ \mathbb{D}_Y$$

In the 3rd part we will apply the duality (together with a fact about push-forward to be proved later) to classify irreducible holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

1) To compute  $\mathbb{D}(V) = \underline{\text{Ext}}^{\dim X}(\mathcal{K}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} V, \mathcal{D}_X)$  we will first consider the case of  $V = \mathcal{O}_X$  and then deduce the general case. And for  $V = \mathcal{O}_X$ , we'll produce an explicit locally free resolution of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

1.1) De Rham complex of a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module. Let's pick  $M \in \mathbf{QCoh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ . It carries a natural map  $M \xrightarrow{\nabla} M \otimes \mathcal{S}_X^1, \langle \nabla(m), f \rangle := fm$ , where on the l.h.s. we pair the  $\mathcal{S}_X^1$ -factor w.  $\text{Vect}_X$ . Note that  $\nabla(fm) = f \nabla m + m^1 df$ . This  $\nabla$  is known as a connection form.

Def'n: The de Rham complex  $\mathcal{dR}(M)$  has terms  $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{S}_X^i$  & differential  $d: M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{S}_X^i \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{S}_X^{i+1}$  given by  $d(m \otimes \omega) = \nabla m^1 \omega + m \otimes d\omega$

Exer:  $d^2 = 0$ .

Of course,  $\mathcal{dR}(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is the usual algebraic de Rham complex.

Now we note that any (local) endomorphism of  $M$  gives rise to a (local) endomorphism of  $\mathcal{dR}(M)$ . We apply this to  $M = \mathcal{D}_X$  and see that  $\mathcal{dR}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  is a complex of right  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules (b/c

$$\mathcal{D}_X^{\text{opp.}} = \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_X).$$

Below we usually shift the complex putting  $\mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K_X$  in the homological degree 0.

1.2) Resolution of  $K_X$ .

Proposition 1. We have  $H_i(\mathcal{dR}(\mathcal{D}_X)) = \begin{cases} K_X, & i=0 \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$

Proof: Case  $i=0$ :

Note that, by the construction, the image of  $d: \mathcal{D}_X \otimes \mathcal{S}_X^{\dim X-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X \otimes K_X$  lies in  $(\text{Vect}_X \mathcal{D}_X) \otimes K_X$ . So  $H_0(\mathcal{dR}(\mathcal{D}_X)) \rightarrow K_X$

To check this is iso, it suffices to assume  $X$  has an étale coordinate chart  $x^1, \dots, x^n$ . There  $\partial m = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial^i m \wedge dx^i$  and the check is left as an exercise.

Case (70). Again, it's enough to assume that  $X$  has an étale coordinate chart  $x^1, \dots, x^n$ , so that  $d(m \otimes \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial^i m \otimes (dx^i \wedge \alpha) + m \otimes d\alpha$ . The terms in  $dR(D_X)$  inherit a filtration from  $D_X$ . The 1st summand increases the filtration degree by 1 and the 2nd preserves it.

So we can pass to the associated graded complex  $\text{gr } dR(D_X)$  w. differential  $\text{gr } d(\underline{m} \otimes \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial^i \underline{m} \otimes (dx^i \wedge \alpha)$ . Here  $\underline{m} \in \mathbb{C}[T^*X]$ . What we get is the Koszul complex for the elements  $\partial^1, \dots, \partial^n \in \mathbb{C}[T^*X] (= \mathbb{C}[X][\partial^1, \dots, \partial^n])$ . It's a classical fact that, since  $\partial^1, \dots, \partial^n$  form a regular sequence, the Koszul complex has no higher homology. It's then an exercise to check that once  $(\text{gr } dR(D_X), \text{gr } d)$  doesn't have higher homology, neither does  $(dR(D_X), d)$ .  $\square$

### 1.3) Computation of $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ .

**Proposition 2:** We have  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Proof:**  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq R\mathbb{H}\text{om}_{D_X^\text{opp}}(K_X, D_X)[\dim X] = [K_X \xrightarrow[\text{q. is } dR(D_X)]{} R(D_X)]$   
 $= \mathbb{H}\text{om}_{D_X^\text{opp}}(dR(D_X), D_X)[\dim X]$ , the  $\mathbb{H}\text{om}$  is taken termwise so we get the complex of left modules w. terms  $D_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Lambda^i \text{Vect}_X$  (in homological degree  $i$ ). The homology is in  $\deg 0$  only, and is the cokernel of a homomorphism  $D_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{Vect}_X \xrightarrow{\varphi} D_X$ . We need to find the homomorphism. It is obtained from  $\nabla$ :

$D_X \rightarrow D_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{I}_X'$  by applying  $\mathbb{H}\text{om}_{D_X^\text{opp}}(?, D_X)$ . So take  $\xi \in \text{Vect}_X$ .

Let  $\tilde{\xi}$  denote the corresponding element of  $\mathbb{H}\text{om}_{D_X^\text{opp}}(D_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{I}_X', D_X)$ ,

$\tilde{\xi}(a \otimes b) = \langle \alpha \tilde{\xi} \rangle b$  (we multiply on the left b/c this is a right  $\mathcal{D}$ -module homomorphism). Then  $(\tilde{\xi} \circ \nabla)(b) = (\varphi(\tilde{\xi}))b \neq b \in \mathcal{D}_X$ .

$\Rightarrow \varphi(\tilde{\xi}) = (\tilde{\xi} \circ \nabla)(1)$ . By the definition of  $\nabla$ , we have  $\varphi(\tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{\xi}$ . We conclude that  $\varphi(b \otimes \tilde{\xi}) = b\tilde{\xi}$  (recall that  $\varphi$  is a left  $\mathcal{D}$ -module homom). And  $\text{coker } \varphi \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ .  $\square$

#### 1.4) Computation of $\mathbb{D}(V)$ for $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent $V$

We need to show  $\mathbb{D}(V) = V^\vee (= \underline{\text{Hom}}(V, \mathcal{O}_X))$ . This will follow from Proposition 2 combined with:

**Proposition 3:** We have  $\mathbb{D}(V \otimes ?) \cong V^\vee \otimes \mathbb{D}(?)$

**Proof:** Consider the  $\mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{D}_X^{K^{-1}} = \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} K_X^{-1}$ . This is analogous to  $\mathcal{D}_X^{\text{opp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{D}_X^{\text{opp}}$ -module  $\mathcal{D}_X^K = K_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{D}_X$  from Lec 12.

Now  $R\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(V \otimes ?, \mathcal{D}_X^{K^{-1}}) \cong R\underline{\text{Hom}}_D(?, V^\vee \otimes \mathcal{D}_X^{K^{-1}})$ ; here we've multiplied on the side of the original left action, where we take  $\text{Hom}$ . In Lecture 12, we've seen that  $(\mathcal{D}_X^K)^G \cong \mathcal{D}_X^K$ , where  $G$  corresponds to swapping the two right actions. This was done by analyzing the relations for the generating subsheaf  $K_X \subset \mathcal{D}_X$  and showing that they don't change when we swap the actions. We will use the same strategy to show  $(V^\vee \otimes \mathcal{D}_X^{K^{-1}})^G \cong V^\vee \otimes \mathcal{D}_X^{K^{-1}}$ . Take  $V \otimes K_X^{-1}$  as a generating subsheaf. Let  $\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\xi}^L$  denote the images of  $\xi \in \text{Vect}_X$  under the left & twisted right actions of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ . Then for  $v \in V^\vee$  &  $\gamma \in K_X^{-1}$  have  $\tilde{\xi}^L(v \otimes \gamma) = (\tilde{\xi}v) \otimes \gamma + v \otimes \tilde{\xi}\gamma$  (w.  $\tilde{\xi}\gamma = \tilde{\xi} \otimes \gamma \in \mathcal{D}_X \otimes K_X^{-1}$ ).

Now  $\tilde{\xi}^R(v \otimes \gamma) = v \otimes \tilde{\xi}^R\gamma$ . To compute  $\tilde{\xi}^R\gamma$  we note that for any  $\omega \in K_X$  we must have  $(\gamma\omega)\tilde{\xi} = -\gamma L_{\tilde{\xi}}\omega - (\tilde{\xi}^R\gamma)\omega \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\xi}(\gamma\omega) - L_{\tilde{\xi}}(\gamma\omega) = -\gamma L_{\tilde{\xi}}\omega - (\tilde{\xi}^R\gamma)\omega \Leftrightarrow [L_{\tilde{\xi}}(\gamma\omega) = (\tilde{\xi}\gamma)\omega + \gamma(L_{\tilde{\xi}}\omega)] \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\xi}\gamma\omega - (\tilde{\xi}L_{\tilde{\xi}}\omega) =$

$= (-\xi^R \gamma) \omega \iff \xi^R \gamma = -\xi \gamma + L_{\xi} \gamma$ . So the relation becomes:

$(\xi^L + \xi^R)(\gamma \otimes \gamma) = (\xi \gamma) \otimes \gamma + \gamma \otimes L_{\xi} \gamma$  - and it is symmetric.

So  $R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X} (? , V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{D}_X^{k-1}) \simeq R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X} (? , (V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{D}_X^{k-1})^{\epsilon}) \simeq$   
[now tensoring w.  $V^{\vee}$  doesn't interfere w. taking  $\mathbf{Hom}$ ]

$V^{\vee} \otimes R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X} (? , \mathcal{D}_X^{k-1})$ . We conclude that  $\mathbb{D}(V \otimes ?) \simeq V^{\vee} \otimes \mathbb{D}(?)$   $\square$

## 2) Duality, vs Kashiwara's lemma.

**Theorem 1:** Let  $Y \subset X$  be a closed irreducible smooth subvariety of  $X$ , and  $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$  be the inclusion. Then  $i_* \circ \mathbb{D}_Y \simeq \mathbb{D}_X \circ i_*$ .

Sketch of proof: we'll produce an iso of functors in the case when  $X$  is affine.

To show an isomorphism of functors for affine  $X$  we'll show that both sides are  $R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(Y)}$  to the same object in  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{D}(Y) \text{-} \mathcal{D}(X) \text{-} \text{bimod})$ . let's start with  $i_* \circ \mathbb{D}_Y$ .

$$i_* \circ \mathbb{D}_Y = \underbrace{\left( K_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} K_Y \right)}_{i_*} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(Y)} \underbrace{K_Y^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(Y)} (? , \mathcal{D}(Y)) [\dim Y]}_{\mathbb{D}_Y}$$

$$= K_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(Y)} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(Y)} (? , \mathcal{D}(Y)) [\dim Y] = [\mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}] = \mathbb{C}[Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \mathcal{D}(X)$$

is a flat  $\mathcal{D}(Y)$ -module, this follows from its explicit form in etale chart, so  $[\cdot \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(Y)} \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}] = [\cdot \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(Y)}^L \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}] = K_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(Y)} (? , \mathcal{D}(Y)) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(Y)}^L \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}) [\dim Y] = K_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(Y)} (? , \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}) [\dim Y]$ .

Now we do the same for  $\mathbb{D}_X \circ i_*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_X \circ i_* &= K_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(X)} (i_*(?), \mathcal{D}(X)) [\dim X] = K_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \\ &R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(X)} (\mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(Y)} ?, \mathcal{D}(X)) [\dim X] = K_X^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(Y)} (? , \\ &R\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(X)} (\mathcal{D}_{X \leftarrow Y}, \mathcal{D}(X)) [\dim X]). \end{aligned}$$

So we need to establish an isomorphism

$$D_{Y \rightarrow X} \xleftarrow{\sim} R\text{Hom}_{D(X)}(D_{X \hookrightarrow Y}, D(X))[\text{codim}_X Y]$$

of objects in  $D^b(D(Y)\text{-}D(X)\text{-}\mathbf{bimod})$ . It will be more

convenient to prove an equivalent iso in  $D^b(D(X)\text{-}D(Y)\text{-}\mathbf{bimod})$ .

$$D_{X \hookrightarrow Y} \xleftarrow{\sim} R\text{Hom}_{D(X)^{\text{opp}}}(D_{Y \rightarrow X}, D(X))[\text{codim}_X Y] \quad (1)$$

In the proof of (1), we first assume that  $X$  is the total space of a vector bundle, say  $\mathcal{E}$  ( $= T_Y X$ , the normal bundle). Then

$\mathbb{C}[X] = S_{\mathbb{C}[Y]}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$  & we can write the Koszul resolution of  $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ :

$$\rightarrow \bigwedge^i \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$$

$$\text{Then } R\text{Hom}_{D(X)^{\text{opp}}}(D_{Y \rightarrow X}, D(X)) = R\text{Hom}_{D(X)^{\text{opp}}}(\mathbb{C}[Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]}^L D(X), D(X))$$

$$= R\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}[Y], D(X)) = (D(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} D(X) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^m \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} D(X)),$$

where  $m = \text{codim}_X Y$ . Our final expression is the Koszul complex for the  $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ -module  $\bigwedge^m \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[Y]} (\mathbb{C}[Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[X]} D(X))$ , hence is a resolution of that module. Note that  $\bigwedge^m \mathcal{E} = \bigwedge^m (T_X|_Y / T_Y) = K_X^{-1}|_Y \otimes K_Y$ . So,

we have an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ -modules  $R\text{Hom}_{D(X)^{\text{opp}}}(D_{Y \rightarrow X}, D(X))$

$\xrightarrow{\sim} D_{X \rightarrow Y}$ . That it is  $D(X)$  &  $D(Y)$ -linear can be checked in local coordinate charts and is left as a (premium) exercise.

Now we sketch how to deal with the case of general  $X$ .

Let  $X_0$  be the total space of  $T_Y X$ . Let  $I, I_0$  denote the ideals of  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{C}[X], \mathbb{C}[X_0]$ . Then we have an algebraic version of the tubular neighborhood theorem: the completions  $\varprojlim \mathbb{C}[X]/I^k, \varprojlim \mathbb{C}[X_0]/I_0^k$

are isomorphic. Let  $\hat{A}$  denote this algebra &  $\hat{X} := \text{Spec}(\hat{A})$ . We

have natural morphisms  $\hat{X} \rightarrow X, X_0$ , they are etale. We can still

consider the algebra  $D(\hat{X})$ ,  $\mathbf{bimodules}$   $D_{Y \rightarrow \hat{X}}, D_{\hat{X} \rightarrow Y}$  etc.

Then we deduce (1) for  $\hat{X}$  from (1) for  $X_0$ , and then (1) for  $X$  from (1) for  $\hat{X}$ .  $\square$

### 3) Classification of simple holonomic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

Here is the main result.

**Theorem 2:** 1) Let  $Z$  be an irreducible locally closed smooth subvariety in  $X$ ,  $V$  an irreducible  $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent  $\mathcal{D}_Z$ -module. Let  $U \subset X$  be open w.  $U \cap \bar{Z} = Z$  &  $i: Z \hookrightarrow U$  be the closed embedding, and  $j: U \hookrightarrow X$  be the open embedding. Then there is a unique irreducible holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $F$  s.t.  $j^* F \cong i_* V$ . Denote this  $F$  by  $IC(Z, V)$  ("IC" from "intersection cohomology")

2) If simple holonomic  $F$   $\exists Z, V$  as above w.  $F \cong IC(Z, V)$ .

3) Let  $Z_i, V_i, i=1, 2$ , be as above. Then TFAE

$$a) IC(Z_1, V_1) \cong IC(Z_2, V_2)$$

$$b) Z := Z_1 \cap Z_2 \text{ is open \& dense in both } Z_1, Z_2 \text{ \& } V_1|_Z \cong V_2|_Z$$

$$c) \mathbb{D}(IC(Z, V)) \cong IC(Z, V^\vee).$$

#### 3.1) $j_*$ of simple holonomic module.

Large part of Thm 2 has to do with extending simple holonomic modules from  $U$  to  $X$ . We start with a fact to be proved in the next lecture.

**Fact:**  $j_*$  maps  $Hol(\mathcal{D}_U)$  to  $Hol(\mathcal{D}_X)$

**Proposition 4:** a) The adjunction counit  $j^* j_* \rightarrow id_{Coh(\mathcal{D}_U)}$  is an iso.

b) Let  $F_U$  be a simple holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_U$ -module. Then there is a unique irreducible submodule  $F \subset j_* F_U$ . We have  $j^* F \cong F_U$  &  $j^*(j_* F_U / F) = 0$ .

Proof: a) is a general result about quasi-coherent sheaves. To prove b) note that  $j_* \mathcal{F}_u$  is holonomic (by Fact) hence has finite length.

So  $\exists$  an irreducible submodule. To prove the uniqueness, note that

$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{F}, j_* \mathcal{F}_u) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_u}(j^* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_u)$ . Assume that we have two distinct (possibly isomorphic) irreducible submodules  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \subset j_* \mathcal{F}_u$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}' \hookrightarrow j_* \mathcal{F}_u \Rightarrow [j^* \text{ is exact}] \quad j^* \mathcal{F} \oplus j^* \mathcal{F}' \hookrightarrow j^* j_* \mathcal{F}_u = [(a)] = \mathcal{F}_u$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_u$  is irreducible, we conclude that, say,  $j^* \mathcal{F}' = 0$ . But then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{F}', j_* \mathcal{F}_u) = 0$ , a contradiction.

The isomorphism  $j^* \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}_u$  has been established in the proof of uniqueness of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $j^*(j_* \mathcal{F}_u / \mathcal{F}) = 0$  by (a).  $\square$

### 3.2) Functors $j_!$ & $j_{!*}$ .

**Definition:**  $j_! : \mathbb{D}_X \circ j_* \circ \mathbb{D}_u : \text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_u) \rightarrow \text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  (makes sense b/c of the fact).

Properties of  $j_!$ : I)  $j^* j_! \simeq \text{id}_{\text{Coh}(\mathcal{D}_u)}$  - b/c of (a) of Proposition 4 & observation that  $j^* \circ \mathbb{D}_X \simeq \mathbb{D}_u \circ j^*$ .

II)  $j_!$  is right exact - b/c  $j_*$  is left exact &  $\mathbb{D}_X, \mathbb{D}_u$  are exact & contravariant.

III) We have a functor morphism  $j_! \xrightarrow{\alpha} j_{!*}$  w.  $j^*(\alpha) = \text{id}$ : follows from II) &  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\underline{?}, j_!(\underline{?})) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_u}(j^*(\underline{?}), \underline{?})$ .

**Definition:** Define  $j_{!*} : \text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_u) \rightarrow \text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  as  $\text{im } \alpha$ .

This functor is neither left nor right exact but has the following important property. *See example on last page*

**Proposition 5:** Let  $\mathcal{F}_u \in \text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_u)$  be simple. Then  $j_{!*}(\mathcal{F}_u)$  is simple.

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  is simple &  $j^*\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_U$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \cong j_{!*}(\mathcal{F}_U)$

Proof: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the unique simple submodule of  $j_*\mathcal{F}_U$ , so that

$j^*(j_*\mathcal{F}_U / \mathcal{F}) = 0$ . We claim that  $j_!\mathcal{F}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  &  $j^*(\ker) = 0$ . Since both  $\mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{D}_U$  are contravariant abelian equivalences, we see that

$j_!\mathcal{F}_U$  has a unique simple quotient, say  $\mathcal{F}^\circ$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{D}_U \circ j^* = j^* \circ \mathcal{D}_X$   
 $\Rightarrow j^*(\ker[j_!\mathcal{F}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\circ]) = 0$ . It follows that  $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}_U}: \mathcal{F}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ .  
And  $j^*(\alpha) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_U} \Rightarrow \alpha: \mathcal{F}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . We conclude that  $j_{!*}(\mathcal{F}_U) (\cong \mathcal{F})$  is simple.

Now  $j^*\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}_U \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{F}', j_*\mathcal{F}_U) \neq 0$ . If  $\mathcal{F}'$  is simple it must be isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}$   $\square$

Rem: The functor  $j_{!*}$  is called the intermediate (or minimal) extension.

### 3.3) Proof of Theorem 2.

1): Note that  $i_* V$  is simple thx to Kashiwara's Lemma. Now 1) follows from Proposition 5.

2): We had this argument as a motivation to consider push-forwards: Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  be simple. Let  $Z'$  be an irreducible component of  $\text{Supp}_X(\mathcal{F})$ . Let  $U' \subset X$  be open such that  $U' \cap \text{Supp}_X(\mathcal{F}) = Z'^{\text{reg}}$ . Then  $\text{Supp}_{U'}(j'^*\mathcal{F}) = Z'^{\text{reg}}$ . Let  $G \in \text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_{Z'})$  be the image of  $j'^*(\mathcal{F})$  under the Kashiwara equivalence  $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_{Z'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hol}_{Z'}(\mathcal{D}_U)$ . Then  $\text{Supp}_{Z'^{\text{reg}}}(G) = Z'^{\text{reg}}$ , hence  $Z'^{\text{reg}} \subset T^*Z'^{\text{reg}}$  is an irreducible component. We can find an open subvariety  $Z \subset Z'^{\text{reg}}$  s.t  $SS(G|_Z) = Z$ . It follows that  $V := G|_Z$  is  $\mathcal{O}$ -coherent. By the construction,  $j^*\mathcal{F} \cong i_* V$ . It remains to show that  $V$  is

irreducible. Assume the contrary, let  $V_0 \subsetneq V$  be an irreducible submodule. Then we have a nonzero monomorphism  $i_* V_0 \hookrightarrow i_* V$  nonzero homomorphism  $j_{!*}(i_* V_0) \rightarrow j_{!*}(i_* V)$ . It's nonzero b/c applying  $j^*$  we get  $i_* V_0 \hookrightarrow i_* V$  back. For the same reason, it's not an isomorphism.

But  $\mathcal{F} = j_{!*}(i_* V)$  is simple. A contradiction.

3) Set  $\mathcal{F}_k := IC(Z_k, V_k)$ . Assume  $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}_2$ . Note that

$\bar{Z} = \text{Supp}_X(\mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \bar{Z} = \bar{Z}_2 \Rightarrow Z := Z_1 \cap Z_2$  is open and dense in both  $Z_1, Z_2$ . Let  $U$  be open in  $X$  s.t.  $U \cap \bar{Z}_i = Z$ . Then

$\mathcal{F}_i|_U = i_*(V_k|_Z)$ . From Kashiwara's Lemma we deduce  $V_k|_Z \simeq V_2|_Z$ .

Now suppose we have  $Z \not\subset V := V_k|_Z$  as in the statement. We claim  $\mathcal{F} \simeq IC(Z, V)$ . This is a direct consequence of the uniqueness part in 1).

4) We have  $IC(Z, V) = j_{!*}(i_* V)$ . Then  $\mathbb{D} IC(V, Z) = [\mathbb{D}_X j_{!*} \simeq j_{!*} \mathbb{D}_U - \text{exercise}] = j_{!*} \mathbb{D}_U i_* V = [\text{section 2: } \mathbb{D} i_* = i_* \mathbb{D}] = j_{!*} i_* \mathbb{D} V = [\text{section 1}] = j_{!*} i_* V^\vee = IC(Z, V^\vee)$ .

Example for  $j_!, j_{!*}$ : Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $U = \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_U = \mathcal{O}_U$  ( $= \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$ ),

then  $j_* \mathcal{F}_U = \mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$  fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow 0$$

Note that  $\mathbb{D}_U \mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_U$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_X \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_X \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}$ . So we get the following exact sequence for  $j_! \mathcal{O}_U$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow j_! \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

End  $j_! \mathcal{O}_U$  is the composition  $j_! \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_U$  hence

$$\boxed{10} \quad j_{!*} \mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_X$$