

## Lecture 7: Irreducible & completely reducible representations, pt 3.

- 1) Decomposition into irreducibles, cont'd.
- 2) Decomposition of regular representation & applications.
- 3) Skew-fields.

Ref: Secs 11.1, 11.2, 11.4, 11.6 in [V].

### 0) Recap and goals.

In Sec 1.2 of Lec 6 we have proved the Maschke thm that states, in particular, that every finite dimensional representation  $V$  of a finite group  $G$  over a characteristic 0 field  $\mathbb{F}$  is completely reducible. This motivates us to consider completely reducible representations - even in the situations when not all representations are completely reducible.

Let  $A$  be an associative algebra, and  $V$  its completely reducible representation. In Sec 2 of Lec 6 we've stated that

$$(1) \quad V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i^{\oplus m_i}, \quad m_i = \dim \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V) / \dim \text{End}_A(U_i),$$

where  $U_i$ 's are some pairwise nonisomorphic irreducible rep's of  $A$ .

Note that in (1) we allow some  $m_i$  to be 0.

We'll deduce (1) today using the Schur Lemma (proved in Sec 2 of Lec 6)

Thm: Let  $U, V$  be irreducible representations of  $A$ .

(a) Any  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$  is either 0 or is invertible.

(b) Suppose  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed, and  $\dim U < \infty$ . Then any  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\varphi: U \rightarrow U$  is scalar.

We'll deduce (1) from this. In Section 2 we will compute the multiplicities  $m_i$  for  $A = V = \mathbb{F}G$ : we'll show that

$$m_i = \dim U_i / \dim \text{End}_A(U_i) \text{ if irreducibles } U_i.$$

This gives a tool to classify finite dimensional irreducible representations of a finite group  $G$  - one of the main objectives of the theory (in some cases). We'll do this for  $G = S_3, S_4$ .

In the last section we'll address a question: what kind of algebra can  $\text{End}_A(U)$  be.

## 1.1) Proof of (1)

Lemma: Let  $U, V_1, V_2$  be  $A$ -modules. Then we have a natural isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_A(U, V_1 \oplus V_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(U, V_1) \oplus \text{Hom}_A(U, V_2)$

Proof: Every linear map  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V_1 \oplus V_2$  is of the form  $\varphi(u) = (\varphi_1(u), \varphi_2(u))$  for uniquely defined linear maps  $\varphi_i: U \rightarrow V_i$  ( $\varphi_i$  is just the  $i$ -th coordinate of  $\varphi$ ). Using the definition of the module structure on  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  –  $a(v_1, v_2) = (av_1, av_2)$  – we see that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism iff  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are so. So the isomorphism in the lemma is  $\varphi \mapsto (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ .  $\square$

Proof of (1): Applying Lemma several times, we get:

$$\text{Hom}_A(U, V) = \text{Hom}_A(U, \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i^{\oplus m_i}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \text{Hom}_A(U, U_i)^{\oplus m_i}$$

(a) of the Schur Lemma implies that when  $U$  is irreducible,  $\dim \text{Hom}_A(U, U_j) = 0$  if  $U$  and  $U_j$  are not isomorphic. In particular, if  $U = U_i$ , then  $\dim \text{Hom}_A(U_i, V) = m_i \dim \text{Hom}_A(U_i, U_i)$ . This implies (1).  $\square$

Remark: Note that for  $A$ -modules  $U, V_1, V_2$  we also have

$$\text{Hom}_A(V_1 \oplus V_2, U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(V_1, U) \oplus \text{Hom}_A(V_2, U), \varphi \mapsto (\varphi|_{V_1}, \varphi|_{V_2}).$$

From here we see that  $m_i = \dim \text{Hom}_A(V, U_i) / \dim \text{End}_A(U_i)$ .

Details are left as an exercise.

## 2) Decomposition of regular representation & applications.

### 2.1) Main result.

Theorem: Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field such that  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$  or  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} > 0$  but  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \nmid |G|$ . Then for every irreducible representation  $U$  of  $G$ , its multiplicity in  $\mathbb{F}G$  is

$$m_U := \dim U / \dim \text{End}_G(U).$$

Thx to Remark in Sec 1.1, Theorem follows from

Lemma: Let  $A$  be an associative algebra &  $V$  be an  $A$ -module. We have a vector space isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_A(A, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} V$

Proof: A map  $\text{Hom}_A(A, V) \rightarrow V, \varphi \mapsto \varphi(1)$  has inverse

$V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(A, V), v \mapsto \varphi_v$  w.  $\varphi_v(a) = av$ . The claim that

the two maps are inverse to each other is an exercise.  $\square$

Corollary: There are only finitely many irreducible representations (of  $G$ ) up to isomorphism. If  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  are all (pairwise non-isomorphic) irreducible representations, then

$$(2) \quad |G| = \sum_{i=1}^k (\dim U_i)^2 / \dim \text{End}_A(U_i)$$

Proof:

We can write  $\mathbb{F}G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i^{\oplus m_i}$  (w some  $m_i$ , perhaps, 0). Then by

Thm,  $m_i = \dim U_i / \dim \text{End}_G(U_i) > 0$ . So every irreducible occurs in  $\mathbb{F}G$  w. nonzero multiplicity, hence there are finitely many of them.

(2) follows by comparing the dimensions in  $\mathbb{F}G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k U_i^{\oplus m_i}$ .  $\square$

Note that (6) in the Schur lemma implies that if  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed, then  $\dim \text{End}_G(U_i) = 1 \forall i$ . So (2) becomes

$$(3) \quad |G| = \sum_{i=1}^k (\dim U_i)^2$$

Remark: Theorem generalizes to finite dimensional associative algebras  $A$  s.t. the regular module  $A$  is completely reducible.

## 2.2) Example: classification of irreducibles for $S_3$ & $S_4$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be algebraically closed and of  $\text{char } 0$  (for simplicity)

1)  $S_3$ . Here we know three irreducibles:  $\text{triv}, \text{sgn}$  (1-dimensional) & non-isomorphic by the first exercise in Sec 1.2 of Lec 5) &  $\mathbb{F}_0^3$  (2-dimensional). We have  $1^2 + 1^2 + 2^2 = 6 = |S_3|$ , so we have exhausted all irreducibles thx to (3).

2)  $S_4$ : we already know 4 different irreducibles:  $\text{triv}, \text{sgn}$  ( $\dim 1$ ),  $\mathbb{F}_0^4, \text{sgn} \otimes \mathbb{F}_0^4$  ( $\dim 3$ , non-isomorphic by 5) of Lemma in Sec 1.2 of Lec 5). We have  $|S_4| = 24$ , so the sum of dimensions squared of the remaining irreducibles is  $24 - 2(1^2 + 3^2) = 4$ .

But  $\text{triv}$  &  $\text{sgn}$  exhaust all 1-dimensional rep's of  $S_4$ . So the dimensions of the remaining irreducibles are  $\geq 2$ . We conclude that there's exactly one 2-dimensional irreducible.

Let's construct it. Recall that in  $S_4$  we have order 4 normal subgroup  $K = \{e, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$ . The quotient

$S_4/K$  is identified w.  $S_3$  thx to  $S_3 \times K = S_4$ , where  $S_3$  is

embedded into  $S_4$  as  $\{g \in S_4 \mid g^3(4) = 4\}$ . Let  $V_2$  be the pullback of  $\mathbb{F}_0^3$  under  $S_4 \rightarrow S_3$ . Since  $S_4 \rightarrow S_3$ , an  $S_3$ -stable subspace in  $\mathbb{F}_0^3$  is the same as an  $S_4$ -stable subspace in  $V_2$ , hence  $V_2$  is irreducible.

For larger symmetric groups these easy methods won't work – and we'll need some theory to be developed later in the course.

### 3] Skew-fields.

**Definition:** An associative (unital) ring  $R$  is called a **skew-field** (or **division ring**) if any nonzero element is invertible.

Of course, every field is a skew-field. On the other hand, (a) of Schur Lemma shows that if  $U$  is an irreducible  $A$ -module, then  $\text{End}_A(U)$  is a skew-field. In fact, any finite dimensional algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  that is a skew-field is  $\text{End}_A(U)$  for suitable  $A$  &  $U$ . For this, we need a definition & a lemma.

Definition: For an  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra  $A$ , let  $A^{\text{opp}}$  denote the opposite algebra: the same vector space as  $A$  but with opposite product:  $a \cdot^{\text{opp}} b = ba$ .

Lemma: We have an algebra isomorphism  $\text{End}_A(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{\text{opp}}$

Proof: Let  $V = A$ , so we get a vector space isomorphism  $A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(A, A)$  ( $= \text{End}_A(A)$ ), Lemma in Sec 2.1. It sends  $b \in A$  to  $\varphi_b: A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto ab$ . Note that  $\varphi_{b_1 b_2}(a) = ab_1 b_2 = \varphi_{b_2} \circ \varphi_{b_1}(a)$  (opposite order!) so that  $\text{End}_A(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^{\text{opp}}$ .  $\square$

Exercise: Let  $B$  be a skew-field. Then so is  $A := B^{\text{opp}}$ , and the regular representation of  $A$  is irreducible. Hence  $B$  indeed arises as the endomorphism algebra of an irreducible  $\text{End}_A(A)$ .

Rem: The failure of  $\text{End}_A(U) \simeq \mathbb{F}$  for an irreducible  $A$ -module  $U$  is one of the main reasons why the representation theory over non-closed fields is more difficult than over closed ones.

### 3.1) Quaternions.

The most famous (and historically first) example of a skew-field which is not a field is the quaternions.

Definition (of  $\mathbb{H}$ ): • Consider the following elements of  $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, i := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}, j := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, k := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Let  $\mathbb{H} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(1, i, j, k)$ .

Exercise:  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ ,  $ij = k = -ji$ ,  $jk = i = -kj$ ,  $ki = j = -ik$ .

In particular,  $\mathbb{H}$  is closed under multiplication and hence is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -subalgebra in  $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

Lemme:  $\mathbb{H}$  is a skew-field.

Proof: For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\alpha = a + bi + cj + dk$ , set  $\bar{\alpha} := a - bi - cj - dk$ . Then a direct check shows  $\alpha \bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha} \alpha = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ . So for  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,

$$\text{we have } \alpha^{-1} = \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} \bar{\alpha}. \quad \square$$

$\mathbb{H}$  is the (skew-field of) quaternions.

**Exercise:** Show  $\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} = \bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha} \nmid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$ .

**Fact** (to be proved much later in the course):  $\mathbb{H}$  is the only noncommutative  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra that is a skew-field.

For a brief article about Hamilton and his discovery of the quaternions, see Sec 4.13 in [E].

3.2) Bonus: Schur lemma for infinite dimensional representations.

The assumption in (b) of Schur's lemma that  $\dim U < \infty$  is crucial. Here we investigate what happens when this condition is removed. We will be interested in sufficient condition on  $A$  so that for every irreducible  $A$ -module  $U$  we have

(Alg) Every  $\varphi \in \text{End}_A(U)$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Here and below  $U$  is an arbitrary irreducible  $A$ -module.

**Problem 1:**  $\dim A < \infty \Rightarrow \dim U < \infty \Rightarrow (\text{Alg})$

**Problem 2:** Show that if  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed, then  $(\text{Alg}) \Rightarrow (6)$  of Theorem.

**Problem 3:** Suppose that  $A$  is commutative & finitely generated.  
Prove that the following claims are equivalent:

- (1) "weak Nullstellensatz" (every quotient of  $A$  by a maximal ideal is a finite field extension over  $\mathbb{F}$ ).
- (2) Every irreducible representation of  $A$  is fin. dim'l.

One interesting example of an infinite dimensional associative algebra is the universal enveloping algebra  $U(g)$  of a Lie algebra  $g$  (see Bonus to Lec 3).

**Fact (Quillen's Lemma):** Let  $\dim g < \infty$ . Then every irreducible representation of  $U(g)$  satisfies  $(\text{Alg})$ .

Finally, one can prove  $(\text{Alg})$  for all finitely generated associative algebras over uncountable algebraically closed

fields by an argument similar to the "quick and dirty" proof of the weak Nullstellensatz.

**Problem 4:** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an uncountable field,  $A$  be a finitely generated associative algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $U$  be an irreducible  $A$ -module. Prove the following:

(a)  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} A$  is at most countable.

(b)  $U = A\sigma \neq 0$ . Deduce that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} U$  is at most countable.

(c) An endomorphism  $\varphi \in \text{End}_A(U)$  is uniquely recovered from  $\varphi(\sigma)$ . Deduce that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{End}_A(U)$  is at most countable.

(d) Suppose  $\varphi \in \text{End}_A(U)$  has no eigenvectors. Prove that the elements  $(\varphi - a \text{Id}_U)^{-1}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{F}$  are linearly independent and arrive at a contradiction.