

Lecture 13, 2/24/25.

1) Optimal destabilizing subgroups.

2) Hesselink/Kirwan-Mess stratification.

Ref: [PV], Secs 5.5, 5.6.

1) Optimal destabilizing subgroups.

1.0) Reminder/notation.

Let G be a connected reductive group/ \mathbb{C} , V be its fin. dim. rational representation, $\pi: V \rightarrow V//G$ be the quotient morphism.

Choose a maximal torus $T \subset G$ & let \mathfrak{t} be its Lie algebra. Set $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{X}_*(T)$. For $h \in G$, $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0\}$ consider the \mathbb{Q} -gradings:

$$V = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Q}} V_a(h), \quad \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{g}_a(h), \text{ where say } V_a(h) = \ker(h - a \text{id}_V).$$

For $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ we set $V_{\geq b}(h) = \bigoplus_{a \geq b} V_a(h)$ & let $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq b}(h)$ have the similar meaning. Note that:

- $\mathfrak{g}_0(h) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}(h) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are subalgebras; \exists connected algebraic subgroups $G_0(h) \subset G_{\geq 0}(h) \subset G$ with these Lie algebras. The subgroup $G_0(h)$ (a Levi subgroup) is reductive, see Case 2 in Sec 1.1 of Lec 7, while $G_{\geq 0}(h)$ is a "parabolic" subgroup, which by definition means that it contains a Borel subgroup. The projection $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}(h) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0(h)$ integrates to $G_{\geq 0}(h) \rightarrow G_0(h)$.
- $V_a(h)$ is $G_0(h)$ -stable & $V_{\geq a}(h) \subset V_{\geq 0}(h)$ are $G_{\geq 0}(h)$ -stable $\forall a$.

Moreover, the action on $G_{\geq 0}(h)$ on $V_{\geq 0}(h)/V_0(h) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_0(h)$ factors through $G_0(h)$.

Fix a non-degenerate G -invariant symmetric form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{g} w. $(x, x) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \nmid x \in \mathfrak{h}_Q$. We said in Sec. 2 of Lec 12 that $h \in G \cdot \mathfrak{h}_Q$ is a **characteristic** of $v \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}(\pi(v)) \setminus \{0\}$ if $v \in V_m(h)$ & (h, h) is min. possible with this property, in particular, this notion depends on the choice of (\cdot, \cdot) . We have seen that a characteristic exists.

Here's a basic result linking the characteristic to the subgroups introduced above.

Exercise: if h is a characteristic of v & $g \in G_{\geq 0}(h)$, then

- h is a characteristic of gv (hint: this follows from the invariance of (\cdot, \cdot) & the claim that $G_{\geq 0}(h)$ preserves $V_m(h)$).
- gh is a characteristic of v .

We will see below any characteristic of v is of the form $g \cdot h$ for $g \in G_{\geq 0}(h)$ & h being a characteristic of v .

1.1) Main results.

Let $h \in G \cdot \mathfrak{h}_Q \setminus \{0\}$.

We need a certain normal subgroup of $G_0(h)$. Namely, h is in the center of $\mathfrak{g}_0(h)$. Set $\mathfrak{g}_0(h)^\circ = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_0(h) \mid (h, x) = 0\}$. This is an ideal. Moreover, it's a Lie algebra of a normal subgroup of $G_0(h)$: (h, \cdot) is a rational element of $(\mathfrak{g}_0(h)/[\mathfrak{g}_0(h), \mathfrak{g}_0(h)])^*$ hence some multiple of (h, \cdot) comes from a homomorphism

$$\chi: G_0(h) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$$

Set $\underline{G}_0(h) := (\ker \chi)^\circ$. Note that $\underline{G}_0(h)$ acts on $V_2(h)$, let $\pi_2: V_2(h) \rightarrow V_2(h)/\underline{G}_0(h)$ denote the quotient morphism. Finally, for $v \in V_{\geq 2}(h)$ we write v_2 for its component in $V_2(h)$.

Theorem (Kirwan; Ness)

1) Let $v \in V_{\geq 2}(h)$. TFAE

a) h is a characteristic of v .

b) $\pi_2(v_2) \neq \pi_2(0)$.

2) If h & h' are characteristics of v , then $h' \in G_{\geq 0}(h) \cdot h$.

Exercise: If h is a characteristic of v , then $\text{Stab}_{\mathfrak{g}}(v) \subset G_{\geq 0}(h)$.

Example: Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a nonzero nilpotent element. Include it into an \mathfrak{sl} -triple (e, h, f) , see Sec 2.3.1 in Lec 10. One can show that h is a characteristic of e : in fact, we will later see that

$\underline{G}_o(h) \cdot e$ is closed & since $e \in \underline{g}_o(h)$, (6) of Thm yields the claim.

1.2) Proof of Theorem

We can assume $T \subset \underline{G}_o(h) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \subset \underline{g}_o(h)$. Then $\mathfrak{h}' := \mathfrak{h} \cap \underline{g}_o(h)$ is a Cartan subalgebra in $\underline{g}_o(h)$. We write $|x|$ for $(x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ($x \in \mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$)

Step 1: We prove (a) \Rightarrow (6). Suppose $\underline{x}_2(v) = \underline{x}_2(0)$. By Hilbert-Mumford, $\exists h' \in \underline{G}_o(h), \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ s.t. $v_2 \in V_{2, \geq 2}(h')$. Note that $[h, h'] = 0$. It follows that for $\varepsilon > 0$ & $\varepsilon \ll 1$, $v \in V_{\geq 2}((1-\varepsilon)h + \varepsilon h')$.

Exercise: $| (1-\varepsilon)h + \varepsilon h' | < |h|$. Hint: $(h, h') = 0$ &

This gives a contradiction w. (a).

Step 2: We want to give a "combinatorico-geometric" consequence of (6).

We start by introducing a bit more notation. The form (\cdot, \cdot) induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ to be denoted by c . We carry (\cdot, \cdot) to \mathfrak{h}^* using c . Set $X = \frac{2c(h)}{(h, h)}$. This is the closest to 0 point on the hyperplane $\langle h, \cdot \rangle = 2$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$.

To nonzero $u \in V$ we assign the polytope $\text{Conv}(u) \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ from the

action of $T \wr V$, see Sec. 1.1.1 of Lec 11.

Similarly, for nonzero $u \in V_2$ we have $\underline{\text{Conv}}_2(u) \subset \underline{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ (for the action of T , the max. torus of $\underline{G}_o(h)$ w. $\text{Lie}(T) = \underline{\mathfrak{h}}$, on $V_2(h)$) & $\text{Conv}_2(u) \subset \underline{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ for $T \wr V_2(h)$. Our goal is to prove the following:

Claim: Suppose (6) holds. Then

$$(1) \quad x \in \text{Conv}(gv) \nvdash g \in G_{\geq 0}(h).$$

Proof: By Hilbert-Mumford, $0 \in \underline{\text{Conv}}_2(g_o v_2) (\subset \underline{\mathfrak{h}}^*(\mathbb{R})) \nvdash g \in G_o(h)$.

Note that since h acts on $V_2(h)$ by 2 & $\underline{\mathfrak{h}} = \underline{\mathfrak{h}}^\perp$, we have

$$\text{Conv}_2(u) = x + \underline{\text{Conv}}_2(u) \quad \nvdash u \in V_2 \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow$$

$$(2) \quad x \in \text{Conv}_2(g_o v_2) \nvdash g \in G_o(h).$$

Now let $g \in G_{\geq 0}(h)$ & g_o be its projection to $G_o(h)$. Then the projection of gv to $V_2(h)$ is $g_o v_2$. Since $V_2(h)$ & $V_{\geq 2}(h)$ are sums of weight spaces for T , we see $\text{Supp}(g_o v_2) \subset \text{Supp}(gv)$. This and (2) prove the claim. \square

Step 3: Here we prove that h satisfying (1) is G -conjugate to any characteristic, h' , of v . This will finish the proof of (6) \Rightarrow (a).

We will need some more notation. Let $u \in V \setminus \{0\}$ be s.t.

$0 \notin \text{Conv}(u)$. We write X_u for the point in $\text{Conv}(u)$ closest to 0, $X_u \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$. By Step 2, $X \in \text{Conv}(gv) \subset \{x \mid \langle h, x \rangle \geq 2\} \nvdash g \in G_{\geq 0}(h)$. Since X is the closest point to 0 in the ambient half-space, $X = X_{gv}$.

Set $h_u := \frac{2\langle h, X_u \rangle}{\langle X_u, X_u \rangle}$. This is the vector of minimal length among those that take values ≥ 2 on $\text{Conv}(u)$ (**exercise**). By the construction of a characteristic in Sec 2 of Lec 12 we have $h' = h_{v\sigma}$ where $v' = gv$ for some $g \in G$.

Consider the parabolic subgroups $G_{\geq 0}(h), G_{\geq 0}(h')$. They both contain Borel subgroups, B, B' , containing T . The Bruhat decomposition tells us that $\exists! w \in W$ s.t. $g \in B'wB$, where w is a lift of w to $N_G(T)$. In particular, $\exists p' \in G_{\geq 0}(h'), p \in G_{\geq 0}(h)$ s.t. $g = (p')^{-1}w p$.

By Exercise in Sec 1.0, h' is a characteristic of $p'v'$ \Rightarrow $h' = h_{p'v'}$. And $h = h_{v\sigma}$ by a previous part of this step. So we can replace v, v' w. p, p' & assume that $g = w$. Since w normalizes \mathfrak{h} , we have $\text{Conv}(v') = w \cdot \text{Conv}(v)$ & since w acts on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ by isometries, $X_{v'} = wX_v \Rightarrow h' = h_{v'} = wh_v = wh$ implying the claim.

Step 4: Here we prove part (2) of Thm. By Step 1, any characteristic of σ satisfies (6), so by Step 2 it satisfies (1). It follows from Step 1 that $\exists g \in G$ $gh' = h$. It follows that h is a characteristic for both v, gv . Applying the last paragraph of Step 3,

we see that $g = (p')^{-1} w p$ w. $p, p' \in G_{\geq 0}(h)$ & $h = wh$. It follows that w is in the Weyl group of $G_0(h)$ hence $w \in G_0(h) \Rightarrow g \in G_{\geq 0}(h) \quad \square$

2) Hesselink/Kirwan-Ness stratification.

2.0) Definition of strata.

The notion of a characteristic allows to stratify $\pi^{-1}(\pi(0))$ into the union of smooth G -stable locally closed subvarieties.

Namely, for an orbit $Gh \subset G/\mathbb{G}_m$ we define a subset $V_{Gh} \subset \pi^{-1}(\pi(0))$ of all elements whose characteristic is in Gh . We want to describe V_{Gh} . In the notation of Sec 1 set $V_z(h)^0 = V_z(h) \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi_z(0))$ & let $V_{z_2}(h)^0$ denote the preimage of $V_z(h)^0$ in $V_{z_2}(h)$. By Theorem in Sec 1.1, we have $V_{z_2}(h)^0 \subset V_{Gh}$. Also V_{Gh} is G -stable. So we get the action homomorphism whose image is in V_{Gh} .

$$\alpha: G \times V_{z_2}(h)^0 \rightarrow V, (g, \sigma) \mapsto g\sigma.$$

Set $P := G_{\geq 0}(h)$. Note that $V_{z_2}(h)^0$ is P -stable: $V_{z_2}(h) \subset V$ is $G_{\geq 0}(h)$ -stable & $V_z(h) \subset V_{z_2}(h)$ is $G_0(h)$ -stable by Sec 1.0. The subset $V_z(h)^0 \subset V_z(h)$ is $G_0(h)$ -stable & since $G_{\geq 0}(h)$ acts on $V_{z_2}(h)/V_{z_2}(h) \cong V_z(h)$ by the projection to $G_0(h)$, we see that $V_{z_2}(h)^0$ is P -stable.

So P acts on $G \times V_{z_2}(h)^0$ by $p \cdot (g, \sigma) = (gp^{-1}, p\sigma)$. Note that α is P -invariant by construction.

The following proposition is a key ingredient in showing that $V_{\zeta h}$ form a stratification (in a weaker sense): $\overline{V}_{\zeta h}$ is not a union of $V_{\zeta h}$'s.

Proposition: $\text{im } \alpha = V_{\zeta h}$. Each scheme-theoretic fiber of α is a single P -orbit.

Proof: By Thm in Sec 1.1, h is a characteristic of $v \Leftrightarrow v \in V_{\gamma_2}(h)^\circ$. This implies $V_{\zeta h} = G V_{\gamma_2}(h)^\circ = \text{im } \alpha$.

We now prove the claim about fibers on the level of subsets.

It's enough to prove that for $u, v \in V_{\gamma_2}(h)^\circ, g \in G$, the equality $u = gv \Rightarrow g \in P$. For this observe that $h, g.h$ are characteristics of u and use 2) of Thm.

To prove that the scheme-theoretic fibers are P -orbits (with their reduced scheme structures) it's enough to show that

$\ker \alpha_{(g,v)} = T_{(g,v)} P(g,v)$. By G -equivariance we can assume that $g = 1$. Then $T_{(1,v)}(G \times V_{\gamma_2}(h)^\circ) = \mathfrak{o}_v \oplus V_{\gamma_2}(h)^\circ$ & $\alpha_{(1,v)}(x, u) = x.v + u$.

We need to show $x.v = -u \Rightarrow x \in P$.

Let us write $x = \sum_{a \in Q} x_a, v = \sum_{b \in \gamma_2} v_b$, where $x_a \in \mathfrak{o}_a(h), v_b \in V_b(h)$.

Choose min. $a \in Q$ w. $x_a \neq 0; x \notin P \Leftrightarrow a < 0$. Then $x.v \in x_a.v_2 + \bigoplus_{b > a+2} V_b(h)$.

So $x.v = -u \Rightarrow x_a.v_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_a \in \text{Lie}(\text{Stab}_G(v_i))$. But $v_i \in V_i(h)^\circ$ and

so by Thm in Sec 1.1, h is a characteristic of v_i . Exercise in Sec 1.1 implies $\text{Stab}_G(v_i) \subset P \Rightarrow x_a \in P$ leading to a contradiction.

Rem: In favorable situations, V_{Gh} is a single G -orbit. For example, consider $V = \mathfrak{o}_g$. We know, see Example in Sec. 1.1 that if (e, h, f) is an \mathfrak{S}_L^L -triple, then h is a characteristic of e . By Malcev's thm (see [CM], Sec. 3.4) if $(e, h, f), (e', h', f')$ are \mathfrak{S}_L^L -triples, then they are conjugate. It follows that each non-empty \mathfrak{o}_{Gh} is a single nilpotent orbit.

2.1) Bonus: homogeneous bundles

It turns out that the previous proposition together with a construction in this section is sufficient to fully describe V_{Gv} & get some info re the closure.

A general construction of a homogeneous bundle is as follows.

Let G be an algebraic group, H its algebraic (= Zariski closed) subgroup & Y be a quasi-projective variety with an H -action. Then $G \times H$ acts on $G \times Y$ via:

$$(g, h) \cdot (g', y) = (gg'h^{-1}, hy)$$

It turns out that there is a variety $G \times^H Y$ with the following properties (see [PV], Sec. 4.8) & their easy corollaries.

1) It comes with a morphism $G \times Y \rightarrow G \times^H Y$ that is a principal H -bundle in étale topology, i.e. $G \times^H Y$ is a quotient of $G \times Y$ by H in the strongest sense.

2) $G \curvearrowright G \times^H Y$ uniquely so that $G \times Y \rightarrow G \times^H Y$ is G -equivariant.

3) $G \times^H Y \rightarrow G/H$, $H.(g,y) \mapsto gh$, is locally trivial (in étale topology) with fiber Y over $1H \subset G/H$.

4) The construction is functorial in Y : if $\varphi: Y \rightarrow Y'$ is an H -equivariant morphism, then $\tilde{\varphi}: G \times^H Y \rightarrow G \times^{H'} Y'$, $H.(g,y) \mapsto H.(g, \varphi(y))$ is a G -equivariant morphism. If φ is a closed (resp. open) embedding, then so is $\tilde{\varphi}$.

5) If the action of H on Y extends to an action of G , then

$$G \times^H Y \xrightarrow{\sim} G/H \times Y \text{ via } H.(g,y) \mapsto (gh, gy).$$

In particular, we can apply this construction to connected reductive G , $H = P$ & $Y = V_{\mathbb{A}_2}(h)^\circ$. Since Y is smooth, 1) (or 3)) implies that $G \times^P V_{\mathbb{A}_2}(h)^\circ$ is smooth. Now we have a morphism

$$\underline{\alpha}: G \times^P V_{\mathbb{A}_2}(h)^\circ \longrightarrow V, \quad P.(g,v) \mapsto gv.$$

Proposition means that the scheme-theoretic fibers of $\underline{\alpha}$ are points. So $\underline{\alpha}$ is a locally closed embedding. And the image is $V_{\mathbb{A}_h}$, showing it's a locally closed smooth subvariety.

We can also study $\overline{V}_{\mathbb{A}_h}$ using this construction. Namely, we get an open inclusion $G \times^P V_{\mathbb{A}_2}(h)^\circ \hookrightarrow G \times^P V_{\mathbb{A}_2}(h)$ & $\underline{\alpha}$ factors as this inclusion followed by $\tilde{\alpha}: G \times^P V_{\mathbb{A}_2}(h) \rightarrow V$

Lemma: $\tilde{\alpha}$ is projective.

Proof: $\tilde{\alpha}$ factors as $G \times^P V_{\pi_2}(h) \hookrightarrow G \times^P V \xrightarrow{\sim} G/P \times V \xrightarrow{pr_2} V$

4)

5)

projection, projective! \square

Exercises: 1) $\text{im } \tilde{\alpha} = \overline{V_{Gh}}$ & $\tilde{\alpha}: G \times^P V_{\pi_2}(h) \rightarrow \overline{V_{Gh}}$ is a resolution of singularities.

$$2) \overline{V_{Gh}} \setminus V_{Gh} \subset \bigcup_{h' | (h', h') < (h, h)} V_{Gh'}.$$