

Lecture 18.

1) Tensor products of modules, finished.

2) Tensor products of algebras.

3) Adjoint functors.

Refs: [AM], Sect. 2.11, [R], Section 4.1, [HS], Section 2.7.

1.1) "Algebra properties" of tensor products.

Theorem: Let M_1, M_2, M_3 be A -modules. Then:

1) There is a unique isomorphism $(M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \otimes_A M_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$ s.t. $(m_1 \otimes m_2) \otimes m_3 \mapsto m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$. (i.e. tensor product is associative).

2) $\exists!$ isom'm $M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2 \otimes_A M_1$ w. $m_1 \otimes m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_1$.

3) $\exists!$ isom'm $M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \oplus M_3) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \oplus M_1 \otimes_A M_3$ w. $m_1 \otimes (m_2, m_3) \mapsto (m_1 \otimes m_2, m_1 \otimes m_3)$

4) $\exists!$ unique isom'm $A \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ s.t. $a \otimes m \mapsto am$.

Proof: (1) We want an A -linear map

$\tilde{\beta}: (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \otimes_A M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$, $(m_1 \otimes m_2) \otimes m_3 \mapsto m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$
i.e. want a bilinear map $\beta: (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \times M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$
 $(m_1 \otimes m_2, m_3) \mapsto m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$

Fix $m_3 \rightsquigarrow$ a linear map $M_2 \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_A M_3$, $m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_3$. Define

$\beta_{m_3}: M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$ to be the tensor product

of $\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes [m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_3]$ so $\beta_{m_3}(m_1 \otimes m_2) = m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$

Note that β_{m_3} depends linearly on m_3

\leadsto bilinear map $\beta: (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \times M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$,

$\beta(x, m_3) := \beta_{m_3}(x) \leadsto \tilde{\beta}$ as needed.

But $\tilde{\beta}$ is an isom'nm: have $M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3) \rightarrow (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \otimes M_3$
 $m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3) \mapsto (m_1 \otimes m_2) \otimes m_3$. It's inverse of $\tilde{\beta}$ b/c this is so
on generators. \square of (1).

(2) - commutativity - is an exercise & (4) - unit - follows from our construction.

Proof of (3) - distributivity: consider the projection

$\pi_i: M_2 \oplus M_3 \rightarrow M_i$, $i=1, 2, 3$; & inclusion $\iota_i: M_i \hookrightarrow M_2 \oplus M_3$

$\leadsto \text{id}_{M_i} \otimes \pi_i: M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \oplus M_3) \xleftarrow{\sim} M_1 \otimes_A M_i: \text{id}_{M_i} \otimes \iota_i$

$(\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \pi_2, \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \pi_3): M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \oplus M_3) \xleftarrow{\sim} M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \oplus M_1 \otimes_A M_3: (\text{id}_{M_2} \otimes \iota_2, \text{id}_{M_3} \otimes \iota_3)$
 $\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_2(x) + \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_3(y) \xleftarrow{\sim} (x, y)$

Exercise: check that these maps are mutually inverse.

2) Tensor product of algebras.

2.1) Construction.

Let A be a commutative ring, B, C be A -algebras $\leadsto B \otimes_A C$, A -module.

Proposition: $\exists!$ A -algebra str're on $B \otimes_A C$ s.t.

$$(b_1 \otimes c_1) \cdot (b_2 \otimes c_2) = b_1 b_2 \otimes c_1 c_2 \text{ (w. unit } 1 \otimes 1\text{)}.$$

Proof: Uniqueness will follow b/c elements $b \otimes c$ span $B \otimes_A C$

& a bilinear map is uniquely determined by images of generators.

Need to show existence. The product map $B \times B \rightarrow B$ is A-bilinear $\rightsquigarrow \mu_B: B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$, $b_1 \otimes b_2 \mapsto b_1 b_2$. Similarly, have

$$\mu_C: C \otimes_A C \rightarrow C \rightsquigarrow$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_B \otimes \mu_C: (B \otimes_A B) \otimes_A (C \otimes_A C) & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & B \otimes_A C \\ \text{assoc. \& commut. of } \otimes \rightarrow \circlearrowleft & \nearrow & \searrow \\ x \otimes y \in (B \otimes_A C) \otimes_A (B \otimes_A C) & & \\ \uparrow & & \\ (x, y) \in (B \otimes_A C) \times (B \otimes_A C) & & \end{array}$$

(b, \otimes_C) \otimes (b, \otimes_C) \mapsto (b, b) \otimes (c, c)

our multiplication map

So we've shown existence of a bilinear product map. Associativity & unit axioms can be checked on tensor monomials, e.g. here is a part of unit axiom:

$$(1 \otimes 1)(b \otimes c) = (1 \otimes b) \otimes (1 \otimes c) = b \otimes c.$$

□

Rem: B, C are commutative \Rightarrow so is $B \otimes_A C$.

2.2) Coproduct.

Theorem: Let B, C be commutative. Then $B \otimes_A C$ is the coproduct of $B \& C$ in $A\text{-CommAlg}$ (category of commutative A -algebras),

i.e. the functors $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(B \otimes_A C, \cdot)$, $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(B, \cdot) \times \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(C, \cdot)$: $A\text{-CommAlg} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ are isomorphic, equiv'ly we have algebra homomorphisms $c^B: B \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$, $c^C: C \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$ s.t. \nexists alg. homom's $\varphi^B: B \rightarrow D$, $\varphi^C: C \rightarrow D$, where D is a commutative A -algebra, $\exists!$ A -alg. homom. $\varphi: B \otimes_A C \rightarrow D$

Making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & B & & C & \\
 & \downarrow \varphi^B & \searrow c^B & \swarrow c^C & \downarrow \varphi^C \\
 B \otimes_A C & \xrightarrow{\quad \varphi \quad} & D & &
 \end{array}$$

Proof: Constr'n of c^B, c^C : $c^B(b) = b \otimes 1$, $c^C(c) = 1 \otimes c$. Commutativity

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \varphi(b \otimes 1) &= \varphi^B(b), \quad \varphi(1 \otimes c) = \varphi^C(c) \Rightarrow \varphi(b \otimes c) = [b \otimes c = (b \otimes 1)(1 \otimes c)] \\
 &= \varphi(b \otimes 1) \varphi(1 \otimes c) = \varphi^B(b) \varphi^C(c), \text{ in turn implying commutativity.}
 \end{aligned}$$

The map $B \times C \rightarrow D$, $(b, c) \mapsto \varphi^B(b) \varphi^C(c)$ is A -bilinear, so

$\exists!$ A -linear map $\varphi: B \otimes_A C \rightarrow D$ w. $\varphi(b \otimes c) = \varphi^B(b) \varphi^C(c)$

What remains to check is: φ respects ring multiplication (unit is clear), enough to do this on tensor monomials

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(b_1 \otimes c_1 \cdot b_2 \otimes c_2) &= \varphi(b_1 b_2 \otimes c_1 c_2) = \varphi^B(b_1 b_2) \varphi^C(c_1 c_2) = \\
 &= \varphi^B(b_1) \varphi^B(b_2) \varphi^C(c_1) \varphi^C(c_2) = [\text{D is comm'v}] = (\varphi^B(b_1) \varphi^C(c_1)) \cdot \\
 &(\varphi^B(b_2) \varphi^C(c_2)) = \varphi(b_1 \otimes c_1) \varphi(b_2 \otimes c_2) \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Example: $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_k]/(F_1, \dots, F_{k'})$, $C = A[y_1, \dots, y_e]/(G_1, \dots, G_{e'})$.

Then $B \otimes_A C = A[x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_e]/(F_1, \dots, F_{k'}, G_1, \dots, G_{e'})$, denote the right hand side by D .

on x_1, \dots, x_k on y_1, \dots, y_e .

Will show isomorphism of functors:

$$\text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(D, \cdot), \quad \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(B, \cdot) \times \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(C, \cdot)$$

$\mathcal{F}_B := \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Alg}}(B, \cdot)$, define another functor $\mathcal{F}'_B : A\text{-CommAlg} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$:

$\mathcal{F}'_B : \text{comm'v alg'a } E \mapsto \{(e_1, \dots, e_k) \in E^k \mid F_i(e_1, \dots, e_k) = 0, i=1, \dots, k'\}$

for $\varphi : E \rightarrow E' \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}'_B(\varphi) : \mathcal{F}'_B(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_B(E')$, $(e_1, \dots, e_k) \mapsto (\varphi(e_1), \dots, \varphi(e_k))$.

-well-defined map b/c $\varphi(e_1), \dots, \varphi(e_k)$ satisfy rel'n's of e_1, \dots, e_k .

Then $\mathcal{F}_B \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_B$. (b/c a homom'm from B is uniquely determined by images of generators x_1, \dots, x_k & exists as long as the images satisfy relations, F_1, \dots, F_k).

Similarly, we have $\mathcal{F}_C \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_C$, $\mathcal{F}_D \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_D$. From descriptions of B, C, D by generators & rel'n's see $\mathcal{F}'_D \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_B \times \mathcal{F}'_C$.

This completes the example.

Exercise: Let G_i^B be the image of $G_i \in A[x_1, \dots, x_e]$ in $B[x_1, \dots, x_e]$. Note the $B \otimes_A C$ is a B -algebra via C^B . Show that

$$B \otimes_A C \simeq B[x_1, \dots, x_e]/(G_1^B, \dots, G_e^B)$$

3) Adjoint functors.

Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be cat's, $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be functors.

Definition: F is left adjoint to G if

$\forall X \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C})$, $Y \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D})$ \exists bijection $\eta_{X,Y} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, G(Y))$ s.t.

(1) $\forall X, X' \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C})$, $Y \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D})$, $X' \xrightarrow{\varphi} X$ the following is comm'v:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), Y) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X,Y}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, G(Y)) \\
 \downarrow ? \circ F(\varphi) & & \downarrow ? \circ \varphi \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X'), Y) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X',Y}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X', G(Y))
 \end{array}$$

(2) $\forall Y, Y' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}), Y \xrightarrow{\psi} Y', X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{E})$, the following is
comm're

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), Y) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X,Y}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, G(Y)) \\
 \downarrow \psi \circ ? & & \downarrow G(\psi) \circ ? \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), Y') & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{X,Y'}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, G(Y'))
 \end{array}$$

Remarks: 1) Fix X & consider composition of functors

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F_X = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, \cdot)} \text{Sets}$$

If F is left adj't to G , then $F(X)$ represents this comp'n
i.e. $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), \cdot)$ is isom'c to this comp'n via $\gamma_{X,\cdot}$, which is
a functor isomorphism by diagram (2).

2*) Can view $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, ?)$ as a functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{opp}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$

Similarly for $\mathcal{D} \rightsquigarrow$ compositions $\mathcal{C}^{\text{opp}} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(\cdot), ?), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(\cdot, G(?))$$

Diagrams (1) & (2) combine to show that [F is left adj't
to G] \Leftrightarrow the two functors above are isomorphic (via $\gamma_{\cdot,?}$)