

Quantized symplectic singularities & applications to Lie theory, Lec 5.

- 1) Harish-Chandra bimodules
- 2) Classification, application & generalization.

1.1) Definition

Let A be a positively graded ($\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded w. $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}$) commutative algebra equipped w. a Poisson bracket of deg -d. Let \mathcal{A} be a filtered quantization of A : a filtered associative algebra graded Poisson algebra isomorphism $\text{gr } \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} A$.

Definition: Let B be an \mathcal{A} -bimodule.

1) By a good filtration on B we mean an ascending vector space filtration $B = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} B_{\leq j}$ with the following properties:

- (i) $B_{\leq j} = \{0\}$ for some j .
- (ii) $\mathcal{A}_{\leq i} B_{\leq j}, B_{\leq j} \mathcal{A}_{\leq i} \subset B_{\leq i+j} \forall i, j$. This shows, in particular, that $\text{gr } B$ is an A -bimodule.
- (iii) $[\mathcal{A}_{\leq i}, B_{\leq j}] \subset B_{\leq i+j-d} \forall i, j$. This shows that the left & right A -actions on $\text{gr } B$ coincide, so $\text{gr } B$ is A -module.
- (iv) $\text{gr } B$ is a finitely generated A -module.

2) B is Harish-Chandra (shortly, HC) if it admits a good filtration.

Examples: • The regular bimodule \mathcal{A} is HC.

• If \mathcal{B} is HC, then all of its subs & quotients are.

Remarks: 1) A good filtration is non-unique. Nevertheless, the following is true: if $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_j \mathcal{B}_{\leq j} = \bigcup_j \mathcal{B}'_{\leq j}$ are two good filtrations, then $\exists m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\mathcal{B}_{\leq j-m_1} \subset \mathcal{B}'_{\leq j} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\leq j+m_2} \nparallel j$. Left as **exercise**.

2) For $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U}(g)$ w. PBW filtration, the two definitions of being HC (above & in Sec 2 of Lec 4) agree. Compare to the proof of Lemma below.

1.2) HC bimodules over quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}]$.

Now suppose that \tilde{O} is a G -equivariant cover of a nilpotent orbit in g . Let \mathcal{A} be a filtered quantization of $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}]$. Recall, Exer 1 in Sec 1.1 of Lec 4, that we have a quantum comoment map $\Phi: \mathcal{U}(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. So every \mathcal{A} -bimodule can be viewed as a $\mathcal{U}(g)$ -bimodule.

Lemma: If \mathcal{B} is a HC \mathcal{A} -bimodule, then it's also HC when viewed as a $\mathcal{U}(g)$ -bimodule.

Proof: We have $\Phi(g) \subset \mathcal{A}_{sd}$. By (iii), $[\text{im } \Phi, \mathcal{B}_{\leq j}] \subset \mathcal{B}_{\leq j}$. Since A is positively graded & $\text{gr } \mathcal{B}$ is finitely generated over A , we see that $\dim(\text{gr } \mathcal{B})_j < \infty$. By (i), $\dim \mathcal{B}_{\leq j} < \infty \nparallel j$. So every element in \mathcal{B} is contained in a finite dimensional g -stable subspace. Also (iv)

implies B is finitely generated left \mathcal{A} -module. Since $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$ is finite over $\mathbb{C}[g]$, we see that \mathcal{A} is a finitely generated left $\mathcal{U}(g)$ -module. It follows that B is a finitely generated left $\mathcal{U}(g)$ -module, hence a HC $\mathcal{U}(g)$ -bimodule. \square

2) Classification, application & generalization

2.1) Classification.

Suppose $X := \text{Spec}(A)$ is a conical symplectic singularity.

We write $HC(\mathcal{A})$ for the full subcategory of $\text{Bimod}(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of HC bimodules. For $B \in HC(\mathcal{A})$, pick a good filtration on B and consider $\text{Supp}(\text{gr } B) \subset X$ i.e. the subvariety defined by the annihilator of $\text{gr } B$.

Exercise: 1) Use Remark in Sec 1.1 to show that $\text{Supp}(\text{gr } B)$ is independent of the choice of a good filtration. We write $\text{Supp}(B)$ for $\text{Supp}(\text{gr } B)$

2*) Let I be the left annihilator of B . Then $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{A}I) = \text{Supp}(B)$.

Hint: $\mathcal{A}/I \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{opp}}}(\mathcal{B})$ & a good filtr'n of B induces one on the target.

3) If \mathcal{A} is simple, then $\text{Supp}(B) = X$ if $B \neq 0$.

Definition: The category of HC bimodules with **full support**, denoted by $\overline{HC}(\mathcal{A})$, is the Serre quotient

$$\overline{HC}(\mathcal{A}) := HC(\mathcal{A}) / \{B \mid \text{Supp}(B) \neq X\}$$

It turns out that $\overline{HC}(\mathcal{A})$ is equivalent to the category of representations of a finite group that is a quotient of the algebraic fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X^{\text{reg}})$. It can be defined as the pro-finite completion of the usual fundamental group $\pi_1(X^{\text{reg}})$. It controls the finite étale covers of X^{reg} in the same way $\pi_1(X^{\text{reg}})$ controls topological covers.

Fact: $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X^{\text{reg}})$ is finite (Namikawa). Hence $\pi_1(X^{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X^{\text{reg}})$ and every finite dimensional representation of $\pi_1(X^{\text{reg}})$ factors through $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X^{\text{reg}})$.

Examples: 1) Let $\tilde{O} = G/H$, where G is simply connected, be an equivariant cover of a nilpotent orbit, and $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}]$. Then $\pi_1(X^{\text{reg}}) = \pi_1(\tilde{O}) = H/H^\circ$ and $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X^{\text{reg}}) = \pi_1(X^{\text{reg}})$.

2) Let $\Gamma \subset \text{Sp}(V)$ be a finite subgroup & $X := V/\Gamma$. Then $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X^{\text{reg}}) = \pi_1(X^{\text{reg}}) = \Gamma$.

In the general case we'll write Γ for $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(X^{\text{reg}})$.

Thm (I.L. 18): There is a normal subgroup $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} \triangleleft \Gamma$ s.t.

$$\overline{HC}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(\Gamma/\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}})$$

Moreover, under mild assumptions on X , one can recover $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}$ from the quantization parameter of \mathcal{A} , an element of \mathbb{K}_X/W_X .

Example 2: Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_0$ be the canonical quantization, let \tilde{X}° be cover of X^{reg} corresponding to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{R}_0} \triangleleft \Gamma$. Set $\tilde{X} := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}^\circ]$ so that $\Gamma/\Gamma_{\mathcal{R}_0} \curvearrowright \tilde{X}$ w. $X = \tilde{X}/(\Gamma/\Gamma_{\mathcal{R}_0})$. Let L_1, \dots, L_k be all codim 2 leaves in X and set $X' := X^{\text{reg}} \coprod \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k L_i$ so that $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X' \geq 4$. Assume none of the slices Σ_i to L_i has type E_8 . Then \tilde{X} is the maximal cover of X unramified over X' ($\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ factors through every other such cover), (LMMB'21).

Let Γ' be the Galois group of $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. We construct a functor $\text{Rep}(\Gamma') \rightarrow \text{HC}(\mathcal{R})$ that then gives rise to the desired equivalence $\text{Rep}(\Gamma') \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{HC}}(\mathcal{R})$. The variety \tilde{X} is a conical symplectic singularity, let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ be its canonical quantization. The Γ' -action of $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}]$ extends to $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ & $\mathcal{R}_0 = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\Gamma'}$. To a representation τ of Γ' we assign the HC \mathcal{R}_0 -bimodule

$$\mathcal{B}_\tau := (\tau \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{R}})^{\Gamma'}$$

2.2) Application.

The main application (at this point) of the classification thm is to defining and studying unipotent HC bimodules for $\mathcal{U}(g)$ — those that should correspond to nilpotent orbits & their covers under the non-existing Orbit method.

Thm/definition (LMMB'21): Let \tilde{O} be an equivariant cover of a nilpotent orbit in g^* & \mathcal{R} is the canonical quantization of $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}]$. Then \mathcal{R} is semisimple as a $\mathcal{U}(g)$ -bimodule. The simple direct summands are called **unipotent bimodules**.

One can say a lot about unipotent bimodules using the general theory. One can describe the annihilators = kernels of $P: \mathcal{U}(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. By Thm in the end of Sec 1.2 of Lec 4, they are maximal ideals. One can compute their "infinitesimal characters," i.e. the corresponding points of \mathfrak{g}^*/W . One can show that the unipotent bimodules w. given annihilator are classified by irreducible representations of a certain finite group - this is where the classification thm comes into play. Finally, one can show that most of unipotent bimodules are unitarizable.

2.3) Techniques of proof.

There are two key steps:

Step i): Produce a full embedding $\overline{HC}(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \text{Rep}(\Gamma)$. Both categories are monoidal & so is the functor. It will follow that the image is $\text{Rep}(\Gamma/\Gamma_f)$ for a unique $\Gamma_f \triangleleft \Gamma$.

We will only explain what the functor does to objects. Pick $B \in HC(\mathfrak{g})$ and equip it w. a good filtration. The $\text{gr } B$ is a finitely generated A -module. But it comes w. an additional structure, a Poisson bracket map $\{, \cdot\}: A \times B \rightarrow B$ given by

$$\{a + B_{\leq i}, b + B_{\leq j}\} := [a, b] + B_{\leq i+j-d+1}$$

It satisfies usual axioms. So $\text{gr } B$ becomes a "Poisson A -module". We view $\text{gr } B$ as a coherent sheaf on X . Consider the restriction $\text{gr } B|_{X^{\text{reg}}}$. Recall that X^{reg} is a (smooth) symplectic variety.

Fact: Let Z be a smooth symplectic variety & M be a Poisson \mathcal{O}_Z -module. Then M has the unique \mathcal{D}_Z -module structure s.t. for a local function f , the vector field $\{f, \cdot\}$ on Z acts as the bracket w.r.t. the Poisson module structure.

So $(\text{gr } \mathcal{B})|_{X^{\text{reg}}}$ becomes an \mathcal{O} -coherent \mathcal{D} -module, i.e. a vector bundle w. a flat connection. Pick $x \in X^{\text{reg}}$. The fiber $(\text{gr } \mathcal{B})_x$ carries a representation of $\mathcal{R}(X^{\text{reg}}, x)$ that must factor through $\mathcal{R}^{\text{alg}}(X^{\text{reg}})$. On objects, our functor is $\mathcal{B} \mapsto (\text{gr } \mathcal{B})_x$.

It's not clear from this construction why it's a functor. The actual construction of the full embedding is more involved.

Step ii: We need to figure out when $V \in \text{Rep } \Gamma$ lies in the image. This can be reinterpreted as follows. We can "microlocalize" \mathcal{A} to X^{reg} getting, roughly speaking, a sheaf of filtered algebras on X^{reg} , to be denoted by \mathcal{A}^{reg} . We can still talk about HC bimodules over \mathcal{A}^{reg} . Moreover, $V \in \text{Rep } \Gamma$ gives rise to an \mathcal{O} -coherent \mathcal{D} -module on X^{reg} , hence to a Poisson $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\text{reg}}}$ -module. One can uniquely quantize it to a sheaf of \mathcal{A}^{reg} -bimodules on X^{reg} to be denoted by $\mathcal{B}_V^{\text{reg}}$.

Our question is when $\mathcal{B}_V^{\text{reg}}$ comes as the restriction (= "microlocalization") of a HC \mathcal{A} -bimodule. In other words, we need to know when $\mathcal{B}_V^{\text{reg}}$ extends to a HC \mathcal{A} -bimodule.

A classical fact about coherent sheaves in Algebraic geometry is that one can always extend such a sheaf from an open subvariety to an ambient variety. Sadly, this is not the case for coherent modules over quantizations: it may happen that $\Gamma(B_V^{\text{reg}}) = \{0\}$.

To study the question of when one can extend B_V^{reg} to X we'll need constructions from Sec 3.2 of Lec 3 recalled in Exemple 2 in Sec 2.1: $X' = X^{\text{reg}} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k L_i$.

Let $\iota: X^{\text{reg}} \hookrightarrow X'$ be the inclusion. We need to see for which V the following holds

(*) B_V^{reg} extends "nicely" to X' , i.e. that the sheaf-theoretic pushforward $\iota_* B_V^{\text{reg}}$ is coherent in a suitable sense.

Once this is known, set $B_V = \Gamma(\iota_* B_V^{\text{reg}})$. Then we have $B_V|_{X^{\text{reg}}} \cong B_V^{\text{reg}}$.

To address (*) we show that the question of when $\iota_* B_V^{\text{reg}}$ is coherent around L_i can be reduced to a slice Σ_i to L_i , the neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^2/Γ_i . In more detail, let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_X$ be the parameter of \mathcal{A} . By Sec 3.2 of Lec 3, $\mathfrak{h}_X = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k \mathfrak{h}_j$ w.

$\mathfrak{h}_j \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\Gamma_j} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma_j}$ & $j = 1, \dots, k$. Let λ_i be the \mathfrak{h}_i -component of λ , and $\mathcal{A}_{i,\lambda_i}$ the corresponding quantization of \mathbb{C}^2/Γ_i .

Note that the inclusion $\Sigma_i \hookrightarrow X$ gives rise to the group homomorphism $\Gamma_i = \mathfrak{H}^{\text{alg}}(\Sigma_i \setminus \{0\}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \mathfrak{H}^{\text{alg}}(X^{\text{reg}}) = \Gamma$. Then B_V^{reg} is coherent around L_i iff there's a HC $\mathcal{A}_{i,\lambda_i}$ -bimodule mapping to $\varphi_i^*(V)$ under our functor. One can analyze the latter as long as Γ_i is not of type E_8 (I.L. 2018).

2.4) Generalization.

One could try to generalize the classification thm in Sec 2.1 to the problem of "quantizing singular Lagrangians." Namely, let X be as before. Consider a subvariety $Y \subset X$ satisfying the following:

- (i) \forall symplectic leaf $L \subset X \Rightarrow Y \cap L \subset L$ is isotropic (i.e the restriction of the symplectic form from L to $(Y \cap L)^{\text{reg}}$ is zero).
 - (ii) $\overline{Y \cap X^{\text{reg}}} = Y$.
 - (iii) Y is stable under the contracting \mathbb{C}^\times -action.
- We often impose an additional condition:
- (iv) Y is irreducible & $\text{codim}_X Y^{\text{sing}} \geq 2$.

Example: Consider the Poisson variety $X \times X^{\text{opp}}$, where "opp" means that we multiply $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ by -1 . Then $X_{\text{diag}} \subset X \times X^{\text{opp}}$ satisfies (i)-(iv).

One could ask to quantize Y (equipped with an additional structure) to a module over a fixed quantization \mathfrak{A} .

If (iv) holds, then for the additional structure we can take a vector bundle w. a twisted flat connection on Y^{reg} (e.g. in the example there's no twist). We are looking for \mathfrak{A} -modules M s.t. \nexists "good filtration" on M , the restriction $\text{gr } M|_{Y^{\text{reg}}}$ is the fixed vector bundle w. twisted flat connection. Such M is expected to be unique if it exists and the question is when it exists. This is expected to be reduced to the case when $\dim X = 4$ (and hence $\dim Y = 2$).

Using this ideology, I.L. & S.Yu have classified HC modules over quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$, where $\text{codim}_{\mathcal{O}} \partial\mathcal{O} \geq 4$. If we remove this condition, then (iv) no longer holds and the situation becomes complicated...