

## Lecture 3. Rings, ideals & modules III.

- 1) Prime ideals, continued
- 2) Modules & homomorphisms.

References: [AM], Chapter 1, Section 4; Chapter 2, Sections 1, 4.

BONUS: Non-commutative counterparts, 3.

- 1) Prime ideals, continued

Here's a motivation to care about prime ideals from Number theory.  
Let  $A$  be a domain.

Def: • an element  $a \in A$  is called **irreducible** if it's not invertible and  $a = a_1 a_2 \Rightarrow$  one of  $a_i$  is invertible.  
•  $p \in A$  is called **prime** if  $(p)$  is prime, i.e.  $ab : p \Rightarrow a : p$  or  $b : p$ .

Exercise:  $(a) = (b) \Leftrightarrow \exists$  invertible  $\varepsilon \in A$  s.t.  $b = \varepsilon a$ .

• prime  $\Rightarrow$  irreducible

• TFAE: (i)  $\forall$  irreducible element is prime

(ii)  $A$  is a UFD (unique factorization domain), i.e.  
 $\forall a \in A \exists$  irreducible elements  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  w.  $a = a_1 \dots a_k$  unique  
up to permutation & multiplication by invertible el'ts.

Examples (of UFD):  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  ( $\mathbb{F}$  is field),  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ .

Non-example:  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ :  $2, 3, 1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$  are irreducible with  
 $2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$ .

An especially important case for Number theory is when  $A$  is a "ring of algebraic integers" (to be defined later in the course). Examples of such include

$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$  ( $d \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}$ ) where  $d$  is square free (case  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  requires a modification to be explained later)

A very important observation, due to Dedekind, is that while the unique factorization in a ring of algebraic integers (and somewhat more general rings now called Dedekind domains) may fail on the level of elements, it always holds on the level of ideals: every nonzero ideal uniquely decomposes as the product of nonzero prime ( $\Leftrightarrow$ , for these rings, maximal) ideals. So the failure of being UFD is the failure of ideals to be principal.

## 2) Modules & homomorphisms.

### 2.1) Definitions (of modules & homomorphisms).

$A$  is a commutative ring.

Definitions:

- 1) By an  $A$ -module we mean abelian group  $M$  together w. map  $A \times M \rightarrow M$  (multiplication or action map) s.t. the

following axioms hold:

- Associativity :  $(ab)m = a(bm) \in M$
  - Distributivity :  $(a+b)m = am + bm,$   
 $a(m+m') = am + am' \in M$
  - Unit :  $1m = m \in M$
- $\forall a, b \in A, m, m' \in M.$

2) Let  $M, N$  be  $A$ -modules. A **homomorphism** (a.k.a  **$A$ -linear map**) is (abelian) group homomorphism  $\psi: M \rightarrow N$  s.t.  
 $\forall a \in A, m \in M \Rightarrow \psi(am) = a\psi(m).$

## 2.2) Examples.

0)  $A = \mathbb{Z}.$  Then  $A \times M \rightarrow M$  can be recovered from  $+$  in  $M,$  thx to unit & distributivity. So  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module = abelian group. And a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism is the same thing as group homomorphism.

1) If  $A$  is a field, then  $A$ -module = vector space over  $A,$  and homomorphism = linear map.

For the next examples & also below, we will need:

Observation: Let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism.

I) If  $M$  is a  $B$ -module, then we can view  $M$  as  $A$ -

module w.  $A \times M \rightarrow M$  given by  $(a, m) \mapsto \varphi(a)m$ . Every  $B$ -linear map  $M \rightarrow N$  is also  $A$ -linear.

II) If  $B = A/I$  &  $\varphi$  is proj'n  $\pi: A \rightarrow A/I$  then a  $B$ -module =  $A$ -module, where  $I$  acts by 0 ( $am = a \nmid m \in M, a \in I$ ).  
 Let  $M, N$  be  $B$ -modules;  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  is  $A$ -linear ( $\Leftrightarrow \varphi(\pi(a)m) = \pi(a)\varphi(m) \nmid a \in A, m \in M \Leftrightarrow \varphi(bm) = b\varphi(m) \nmid b \in B, m \in M \Leftrightarrow B$ -linear).

## 2) Modules vs Linear algebra

i)  $A = \mathbb{F}[x]$  ( $\mathbb{F}$  is field)

By Observation I applied to  $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[x]$ , every  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module is  $\mathbb{F}$ -module = vector space;  $xm = Xm$  for an  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear operator  $X: M \rightarrow M$ ; from  $X$  we can recover  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module str're  $f(x)m = [f(X): M \rightarrow M] = f(X)m$ .

So  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module =  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space w. a linear operator.

An  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module homomorphism  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  is the same thing as a linear map  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  st.  $X_N \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ X_M$ , where  $X_M: M \rightarrow M$ ,  $X_N: N \rightarrow N$  are operators coming from  $x$ .

ii)  $A = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . An  $A$ -module = vector space w.  $n$  operators  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  (coming from  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ) st.  $X_i X_j = X_j X_i \nmid i, j$

iii)  $A = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(G_1, \dots, G_k)$ ,  $G_i \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Use of Observation II w.  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\pi} A$  shows that  $A$ -module

$= \mathbb{F}[x_1 \dots x_n]$ -module s.t.  $(G_1 \dots G_k)$  acts by  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{F}$ -vector space w.  $n$  commuting operators  $X_1 \dots X_n$  s.t.  $G_i(X_1 \dots X_n) = 0$  as operators  $M \rightarrow M$   $\forall i = 1 \dots k$ .

3) Any ring  $B$  is a module over itself (via multiplication  $B \times B \rightarrow B$ ). This is often called the **regular module**.

### 2.3) $A$ -algebras.

**Definition:** • Let  $L, M, N$  be  $A$ -modules. A map  $\beta: L \times M \rightarrow N$  is called  **$A$ -bilinear** if it's  $A$ -linear in both arguments:

$\beta(l + l', m) = \beta(l, m) + \beta(l', m)$ ,  $\beta(al, m) = a\beta(l, m)$   $\forall l, l' \in L, a \in A, m \in M$ . & similarly in the  $m$ -argument

• Let  $A$  be a commutative ring. By an  **$A$ -algebra** we mean an  $A$ -module  $B$  w.  $A$ -bilinear map  $B \times B \rightarrow B$  that is a ring multiplication (in particular,  $B$  is a ring).

Note that we have  $1_B \in B$  &  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\varphi(a) := a1_B$  is ring homomorphism. Conversely, if  $B$  is commutative &  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism, then (by Ex 3 & Observation I),  $B$  is  $A$ -module & mult'n  $B \times B \rightarrow B$  is  $A$ -bilinear. So  $B$  is an  $A$ -algebra. Details are an **exercise**.

Usually, when  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  using some construction,

it becomes an  $A$ -algebra. E.g.  $A/I$  &  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are  $A$ -algebras.

## 2.4) Constructions with modules: Direct sums & products.

$M_1, M_2$   $A$ -modules  $\rightsquigarrow$

$$M_1 \oplus M_2 \text{ (direct sum)} = M_1 \times M_2 \text{ (direct product)} = \text{product}$$

$M_1 \times M_2$  as abelian groups w.  $a(m_1, m_2) := (am_1, am_2)$ .

More generally, for a set  $I$  (possibly infinite) & modules  $M_i, i \in I$ , define direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i = \{(m_i)_{i \in I} \mid m_i \in M_i\}$  w. componentwise operations.

Direct sum:  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i = \{(m_i)_{i \in I} \mid \text{only fin. many } m_i \neq 0\}$

Have  $A$ -module inclusion:

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M_i$$

which is an isomorphism  $\Leftrightarrow M_i = \{0\}$  only for finitely many  $i$ .

## BONUS: Noncommutative counterparts, part 3.

B1) Prime & completely prime ideals: For a comm. ring  $A$  & an ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  we have two equivalent conditions:

- For  $a, b \in \mathfrak{p}$ :  $ab \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow a \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$

- For ideals  $I, J \subset A$ :  $IJ \subset \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow I \subset \mathfrak{p}$  or  $J \subset \mathfrak{p}$ .

For noncommutative  $A$  and a two-sided ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ , these conditions are no longer equivalent.

Definition: Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  be a two-sided ideal.

• We say  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime if for two-sided ideals  $I, J \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , have  $IJ \subset \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow I \subset \mathfrak{p}$  or  $J \subset \mathfrak{p}$ .

• We say  $\mathfrak{p}$  is completely prime if for  $a, b \in A$ , have  $ab \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow a \in \mathfrak{p}, b \in \mathfrak{p}$ .

completely prime  $\Rightarrow$  prime but not vice versa.

Exercise: 1)  $\{0\} \subset \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$  is prime but not completely prime (if  $n > 1$ ).

2)  $\{0\} \subset \text{Weyl, } (= \mathbb{F}\langle x, y \rangle / (yx - xy - 1))$  is completely prime.

B2) Modules over noncommutative rings. Here we have left & right modules & also bimodules. Let  $A$  be a ring.

Definition: • A left  $A$ -module  $M$  is an abelian group w. multiplication map  $A \times M \rightarrow M$  subject to the same axioms as in the commutative case.

• A right  $A$ -module is a similar thing but with multiplication map  $M \times A \rightarrow M$  subject to associativity ( $(ma)b = m(ab)$ ), distributivity & unit axioms.

• An  $A$ -bimodule is an abelian group  $M$  equipped w. left & right  $A$ -module structures s.t. we have another associativity axiom:  $(am)b = a(mb) \quad \forall a, b \in A$ .

When  $A$  is commutative, there's no difference between left & right modules and any such module is also a bimodule. Note also that for two a priori different rings  $A, B$  we can talk about  $A$ - $B$ -bimodules.

Example: 1)  $A$  is an  $A$ -bimodule.

2)  $\mathbb{F}^n$  (the space of columns) is a left  $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ -module, while its dual  $(\mathbb{F}^n)^*$  (the space of rows) is a right  $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ -module. None of these has a bimodule structure.

Exercise: Construct a left  $\text{Weyl}_2$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{F}[x]$   
(hint:  $y$  acts as  $\frac{d}{dx}$ ).