

## MICROLOCALIZATION EXERCISES

### 1. COMPLETED REES ALGEBRA

- a) Show that  $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})$  is a graded  $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -subalgebra in  $\mathcal{A}[\hbar^{\pm 1}]$ . Identify  $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})/(\hbar)$  with  $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$  and  $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})/(\hbar-a)$  with  $\mathcal{A}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ .

*Hint: This is straightforward. Identifying  $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})/(\hbar)$  with  $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$  is easier if you do it by graded components.*

- b) Prove that  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar,fin}$  is a graded subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  that is dense in the  $\hbar$ -adic topology and satisfies  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar,fin}/(\hbar) = A$ .

*Hint: All of this follows from the fact that  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$  acts rationally on  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}/(\hbar^k)$ .*

- c) Prove that  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar,fin}/(\hbar-1)$  is a filtered quantization of  $A$ .

*Hint: Note that the filtration on  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar,fin}/(\hbar-1)$  is induced by the grading on  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar,fin}$ . A very important fact here is that  $t.\hbar = t\hbar$ , that is,  $\hbar$  is of degree 1.*

- d) Prove that the maps  $\mathcal{A} \mapsto R_{\hbar}^{\wedge}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{\hbar,fin}/(\hbar-1)$  are mutually inverse equivalences between filtered quantizations and graded formal quantizations.

### 2. (MICRO)LOCALIZATION FOR FORMAL QUANTIZATIONS

- a) Let  $f \in A$  be not a zero divisor, and let  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{A}_k := \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}/(\hbar^k)$  be a lift of  $f$ . Show that  $[\hat{f}, \cdot]^k = 0$  and deduce from here that every left fraction by  $\hat{f}$  is also a right fraction. Show that the localization  $\mathcal{A}_k[\hat{f}^{-1}]$  makes sense and is independent of the choice of the lift. We will denote this localization by  $\mathcal{A}_k[f^{-1}]$ .

*Hint: The fact that  $\text{ad}_{\hat{f}}^k = 0$  follows because  $\mathcal{A}_k/(\hbar)$  is commutative. The fact that the localization is independent of the choice of lift is a consequence of (a suitable adaptation of) the following commutative algebra lemma: the sum of an invertible element and a nilpotent element is again invertible.*

- b) Show that  $\mathcal{A}_k[\hat{f}^{-1}]$  form an inverse system. Further, show that  $\mathcal{A}[f^{-1}] := \varprojlim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_k[f^{-1}]$  is a formal quantization of  $A[f^{-1}]$ .

*Hint: Use universal properties.*

- c) Establish a natural homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}[f^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[(fg)^{-1}]$ .

*Hint: Use universal properties.*

- d) Show that  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  naturally sheafifies to a sheaf  $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar}$  on  $\text{Spec}(A)$ . Show that  $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{\hbar}) = \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ .

*Hint: Reduce modulo  $\hbar^k$  for all  $k$ .*

- e) Note that if  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$  is graded then  $\mathcal{A}[f^{-1}]$  is graded provided  $f$  is  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -semiinvariant. So we can take the microlocalization of  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar,fin}/(\hbar-1)$  by taking the sheaf  $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,fin}/(\hbar-1)$  that makes sense in the conical topology. Work out the details.

*Hint: As in Exercise 1, assume that the weight of  $\hbar$  is 1. Then all quotient maps modulo  $\hbar$  are graded. Note that the condition of  $f$  being semiinvariant means that it is homogeneous under the grading, so all non-commutative localizations are graded. So there is a  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -action on  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}[f^{-1}]$ . Now you have to check that sections in  $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}[g_i^{-1}]_{\hbar,fin}$  that agree on intersections glue together to a locally finite section.*

## 3. COHERENT MODULES OVER FORMAL QUANTIZATIONS

a) Suppose that there is an open covering  $X = \bigcup_i X^i$  such that  $M_\hbar|_{X^i}$  is coherent. Then  $M_\hbar$  is coherent.  
*Hint: This is an exercise on inverse limits of sheaves.*

b) Show that a filtered  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $M$  is coherent with a good filtration if and only if  $R_\hbar^\wedge M$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{D}_\hbar$ -module.

## 4. MICROLOCALIZATION OF MODULES

a) Assume that  $M$  is equipped with a good filtration. Emulate the procedure in Exercise 2 to define  $M[f^{-1}]$  and check that this space has a natural  $\mathcal{A}_\hbar[f^{-1}]$ -module structure. Furthermore check that there is a natural isomorphism  $\mathcal{A}_\hbar[f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_\hbar} M \longrightarrow M[f^{-1}]$  so that  $M[f^{-1}]$  is independent of the choice of a filtration.

*Hint: To check the properties, first check them at the level of (completed) Rees algebras/modules.*

b) Check that the functor  $M \rightarrow M[f^{-1}]$  is exact.

*Hint: Again work with Rees algebras. Use that the functor is exact modulo  $\hbar$ .*

c) Check that  $M$  sheafifies in the conical topology on  $\text{Spec}(A)$ .

*Hint: Imitate what is done in Exercise 2.*

## 5. QUOTIENT CATEGORIES

Show that  $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{A}|_{X^0})$  is a quotient category of  $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$  by the full subcategory of modules supported on  $X \setminus X^0$ .

*Hint: Use Lemma 1.3 in Lecture 3.*