

Lecture 9: Localization I.

- 1) Localization of rings, cont'd
- 2) Localization of modules.

Refs: [AM], Sec 3 (up to "Local properties")

BONUS: Localization in non-commutative rings.

1.0) Reminder.

Reminder: A is commutative ring, $S \subset A$ multiplicative subset
($1 \in S$; $s, t \in S \Rightarrow st \in S$)

\rightsquigarrow equivalence relation \sim on $A \times S$: $(a, s) \sim (b, t) \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in S \setminus \{0\} : usb = uat$.

$A[S^{-1}]$ = set of equiv. classes, $\frac{a}{s}$, that are added & multiplied as fractions.

$\iota: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]$, $a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}$, ring homomorphism.

Remarks: 1) if S contains no zero divisors, then the description of \sim simplifies: $ta = sb$. But, in general, the latter equality fails to give an equivalence relation.

2) We view $A[S^{-1}]$ as an A -algebra via ι .

1.1) Further examples

1) A is a domain, $S = A \setminus \{0\}$ is multiplicative; $A[S^{-1}]$ is a field: $(\frac{a}{b})^{-1} = \frac{b}{a}$ if $a, b \in A \setminus \{0\}$. It's called the fraction field of \overline{A} .

A and is denoted by $\text{Frac}(A)$. For example, $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Q}$.
 One can check that $\ker \iota = \{0\}$, so $A \hookrightarrow \text{Frac}(A)$.

Exercise: Let A be general & $S = \{\text{all non-zero divisors in } A\}$. Then

- i) S is multiplicative.
- ii) every non-zero divisor in $A[S^{-1}]$ is invertible.

2) Let $f \in A \rightsquigarrow S := \{f^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is multiplicative. The resulting localization is denoted by $A[f^{-1}]$. We'll give an alternative characterization of this ring a bit later.

1.2) Universal property of $A[S^{-1}]$

Let A be a commutative ring. Recall the ring homomorphism $\iota: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]$, $a \mapsto \frac{a}{1}$. Note that $\iota(s) = \frac{s}{1}$ is invertible in $A[S^{-1}]$.

Proposition: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism s.t. $\varphi(s) \in B$ is invertible $\nabla s \in S$. Then the following hold:

1) $\exists!$ ring homom' $\varphi': A[S^{-1}] \rightarrow B$ that makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & \\ \downarrow \iota & \searrow \varphi & \\ A[S^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & B \end{array}$$

2) φ' is given by $\varphi'\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = \varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1}$.

Sketch of proof:

Existence: need to show that formula in 2) indeed gives a well-defined ring homomorphism.

Well-defined: need to check $\frac{a}{s} = \frac{b}{t} \Rightarrow \varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1} = \varphi(b)\varphi(t)^{-1}$.

Indeed: $\frac{a}{s} = \frac{b}{t} \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in S \text{ s.t. } uta = usb \Rightarrow \varphi(u)\varphi(t)\varphi(a)$

$= \varphi(u)\varphi(s)\varphi(b)$. But $\varphi(u), \varphi(t), \varphi(s)$ are invertible. It follows that $\varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1} = \varphi(b)\varphi(t)^{-1}$. So φ' is well-defined!

Exercise - on addition & multiplication of fractions. Check that φ' is a ring homomorphism.

Uniqueness: φ' makes diagram comm'v $\Leftrightarrow \varphi'\left(\frac{a}{1}\right) = \varphi(a) \forall a \in A$
 $\Rightarrow \varphi'\left(\frac{s}{1}\right) = \varphi(s)$ - invertible $\Rightarrow \varphi'\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) = \varphi(s)^{-1} \Rightarrow$
 $\varphi'\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = \varphi'\left(\frac{a}{1}\right)\varphi'\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) = \varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1}$. \square

Corollary: Let A be a domain, $S \subset A$ be multiplicative subset s.t $0 \notin S$. Then $A[S^{-1}] \cong \left\{ \frac{a}{s} \in \text{Frac}(A) \mid a \in A, s \in S \right\}$, a ring isom'm

Proof: The inclusion $\varphi: A \hookrightarrow \text{Frac}(A)$ satisfies $\varphi(s)$ is invertible. Consider the resulting ring homomorphism $\varphi': A[S^{-1}] \rightarrow \text{Frac}(A)$. Its image coincides w. $\left\{ \frac{a}{s} \mid s \in S \right\}$. It remains to show φ' is injective: $\varphi'\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi(a)\varphi(s)^{-1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi(a) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{a}{s} = 0$. \square

Exercise 1: Let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A$ & $S = \{f_1^{n_1} \dots f_k^{n_k} \mid n_i \geq 0\}$. Then we have a ring isomorphism $A[S^{-1}] = A[S^{-1}] w.$ $\underline{S} = \{(f_1 \dots f_k)^n \mid n \geq 0\}$

Now we describe $A[f^{-1}]$, which is the shorthand for $A[\{f^n \mid n \geq 0\}^{-1}]$.

Exercise 2: Show that $A[f^{-1}] \cong A[t]/(tf-1)$ as follows:

1) Let φ be the composition $A \hookrightarrow A[t] \rightarrow A[t]/(tf-1)$.

Then $\varphi(f)$ is invertible $\rightsquigarrow \varphi': A[f^{-1}] \rightarrow A[t]/(tf-1)$.

2) Consider the homomorphism $A[t] \rightarrow A[f^{-1}], F(t) \mapsto F(\frac{1}{f})$.

Show that it factors through the unique

$$\varphi'': A[t]/(tf-1) \rightarrow A[f^{-1}]$$

3) Show that φ' and φ'' are mutually inverse.

2) Localization of modules.

2.1) **Definition:** A, S as before. Let M be an A -module.

Define its **localization** $M[S^{-1}]$ as the set of equivalence classes $M \times S / \sim$ w. \sim defined by:

$$(*) (m, s) \sim (n, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists u \in S \mid utm = usn$$

Equiv. class of (m, s) will be denoted by $\frac{m}{s}$.

Proposition: $M[S^{-1}]$ has a natural $A[S^{-1}]$ -module structure (w. addition of fractions) & $A[S^{-1}] \times M[S^{-1}] \rightarrow M[S^{-1}]$ given by $\frac{a}{s} \frac{m}{t} := \frac{am}{st}$.

Proof: for the same price as the ring structure on $A[S^{-1}]$. \square

2.2) Properties of $M[S^{-1}]$

The ring homomorphism $\iota: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]$ gives an A -module structure on $M[S^{-1}]$: $a \frac{m}{s} = \frac{am}{s}$. The map $M \xrightarrow{\iota_M} M[S^{-1}]$, $m \mapsto \frac{m}{1}$, is A -module homomorphism ($\iota = \iota_A: A \rightarrow A[S^{-1}]$ is a special case).

Proposition:

1) $\ker \iota_M = \{m \in M \mid \exists u \in S \text{ s.t. } um = 0\}$. In particular, ι is injective iff $um = 0 \Rightarrow m = 0$ (S acts by non-zero divisors on M).

2) $\text{im } \iota_M$ generates $M[S^{-1}]$. In particular, $M[S^{-1}] = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \iota_M = 0 \Leftrightarrow \ker \iota_M = M \Leftrightarrow [(i)] \nmid m \in M \exists u \in S \text{ w. } um = 0$.

3) Universal property of ι_M : let N be $A[S^{-1}]$ -module and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$. Then $\exists! \tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_{A[S^{-1}]}(M[S^{-1}], N)$ making the following commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ \iota_M \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{\varphi} & \\ M[S^{-1}] & \dashrightarrow & N \end{array}$$

Proof:

1) $\ker \iota_M = \{m \in M \mid (m, 1) \sim (0, 1) \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in S \mid um = 0\}$. The other claims in 1) follow.

2) $\text{Span}_{A[S^{-1}]} \text{im } \iota_M \ni \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{t} = \frac{m}{st}$ so coincides w. $M[S^{-1}]$. The remaining claims in 2) follow.

3) We should have

$$\tilde{\jmath}\left(\frac{m}{s}\right) = \left[\frac{1}{s} \in A[S^{-1}]\right] = \frac{1}{s} \tilde{\jmath}\left(\frac{m}{1}\right) = \frac{1}{s} \tilde{\jmath}(\iota_M(m)) = \frac{1}{s} \tilde{\jmath}(m).$$

which recovers $\tilde{\jmath}$ uniquely assuming it's well-defined & $A[S^{-1}]$ -linear (these two checks give the existence)

Well-defined: $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{n}{t} \Leftrightarrow utm = usn \Rightarrow ut\tilde{\jmath}(m) = us\tilde{\jmath}(n) \Rightarrow$
[u, t, s are invertible on N] $\frac{1}{s}\tilde{\jmath}(m) = \frac{1}{t}\tilde{\jmath}(n)$. \checkmark

$A[S^{-1}]$ -linear: exercise. \square

We apply 3) to produce, from an A -module homomorphism $\psi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, an $A[S^{-1}]$ -linear map $\psi[S^{-1}]: M_1[S^{-1}] \rightarrow M_2[S^{-1}]$, take $\tilde{\jmath}: = \iota_{M_2} \circ \psi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2[S^{-1}]$, and set $\psi[S^{-1}]: = \tilde{\jmath}$, explicitly $\psi[S^{-1}]\left(\frac{m_1}{s}\right) = \frac{\psi(m_1)}{s}$, $\forall m_1 \in M_1, s \in S$.

Important exercise: Check that

0) $\text{id}_M[S^{-1}] = \text{id}_{M[S^{-1}]}$.

1) For $\psi_1: M_1 \rightarrow M_2, \psi_2: M_2 \rightarrow M_3$, have $(\psi_2 \circ \psi_1)[S^{-1}] = \psi_2[S^{-1}] \circ \psi_1[S^{-1}]$.

2) For $\psi, \psi': M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, have $(\psi + \psi')[S^{-1}] = \psi[S^{-1}] + \psi'[S^{-1}]$.

BONUS: Localization in noncommutative rings.

When we define the ring structure on A_S it's important that the elements of S commute w. all elements of A . Otherwise, assume for simplicity that all elements of S are invertible.

We are trying to multiply right fractions as^{-1} and bt^{-1} and get a right fraction. We get $as^{-1}bt^{-1}$ - and we are stuck...

How to do localization in noncommutative rings was discovered by Ore (who was a faculty at Yale 1927-1968)

Let S be a subset of a (noncommutative) ring A such that $0 \notin S$, $1 \in S$; $s, t \in S \Rightarrow st \in S$ as before. There are so called Ore conditions that guarantee that there is a localization A_S consisting of right, equivalently, of left fractions. Namely if S doesn't contain zero divisors we need to require:

(O1) $\forall a \in A, s \in S \exists b \in A, t \in S$ s.t. $ta = bs$ (think, $as^{-1} = t^{-1}b$).

+ its mirror analogy (left \leftrightarrow right)

When S contains zero divisors we also should require:

(O2) if $sa = 0$ for $a \in A, s \in S$, then $\exists t \in S$ w. $at = 0$ - and its mirror condition.

In fact, (O2) allows to reduce to the case when there are no zero divisors in S : $J := \{a \in A \mid \exists s \in S \text{ s.t. } sa = 0\}$ is a two-sided ideal

fix to (02) + its mirror, so we replace A w. A/J , and S with its image in A/J . So we can just assume there are no zero divisors in S & (01) and its mirror.

Then we can define the set A_S of equivalence classes in A_S :
 $(a, s) \sim (a', s')$ (think $as^{-1} = a's'^{-1}$): we find b, t w. $ta = bs$ (think $as^{-1} = t^{-1}b$) and declare $(a, s) \sim (a', s')$ if $ta' = bs'$.

Here we already see that everything becomes more painful:
even to see that this doesn't depend on the choice of b, t
requires a check. And there's more of this. Eventually, one gets
the localization A_S consisting of right fractions (equivalently left)
fractions) w. natural ring structure. It has a universal
property similar to what we have in the commutative case.

Checking the Ore conditions is hard. And they are not
always satisfied. For example, they aren't satisfied when
 $A = \mathbb{F}\langle x, y \rangle$ is a free \mathbb{F} -algebra & $S = A \setminus \{0\}$.

Still, they are satisfied in a number of examples. Namely,
recall that A is prime if for any two-sided ideals I, J we
have $IJ = \{0\} \Rightarrow I \neq \{0\}$ or $J \neq \{0\}$. We say A is Noetherian
if all left & right ideals are finitely generated.

Theorem (Goldie) Let A be a prime Noetherian ring. Then
the set S of all non-zero divisors in A satisfies the Ore
conditions. The localization $A[S^{-1}]$ is of the form $\text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{D})$,
where $n > 0$ & \mathcal{D} is a skew-field (a.k.a. division ring).

In particular, A has no zero divisors $\Leftrightarrow n = 1$.