

Lecture 26.

Projective modules vs locally free modules | BONUS: What's next?

1) Main result. A is a Noeth'n ring.

Theorem: For a fin. gen'd A -module M TFAE:

(a) M is projective.

(b) \nexists max. ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$, the local'n $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module

(c) $\exists f_1, \dots, f_k \in A$ s.t. $(f_1, \dots, f_k) = A$ & M_{f_i} is free A_{f_i} -module

$\nexists i = 1, \dots, k$.

Def'n: Modules satisfying (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) are called locally free.

Example: $A = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$, $I = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$. In Prob 2 of HW3,

have seen that the A_2 -module I_2 , A_3 -module I_3 are free,

$(2, 3) = A$ (example of (a) \Rightarrow (c)).

Rem: $X \subset \mathbb{F}'$ (alg'c subset, where \mathbb{F} is alg. closed field),

$A = \mathbb{F}[X]$; $(f_1, \dots, f_k) = A \Leftrightarrow V(f_1, \dots, f_k) \subset X$ is empty

similar to Exer in Lec 22

\Updownarrow

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_{f_i}; \quad A_{f_i} = \mathbb{F}[X_{f_i}].$$

In Lec 25, we've argued that M_{f_i} should be thought of as the "restriction" of M to X_{f_i} . So (c) says that M is locally free in Zariski topology, i.e. M is "algebraic vector bundle."

Vector bundles are objects of primary interest in Geometry &

Topology.

Proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b): M is fin. gen'd & projective $\Leftrightarrow \exists n > 0$ & A -module M' s.t. $M \oplus M' \cong A^{\oplus n}$. Localize at \mathfrak{m} :

$M_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus M'_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong A_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus n} \Rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is fin. gen'd proj've $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module. The ring $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is local. By Thm in Sect. 1.2 of Lec 25, $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free \square

2) Technical Lemma: (to be used for both (b) \Rightarrow (c) & (c) \Rightarrow (a)).

Lemma: Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ be max. ideal, M is a fin. gen'd A -module. TFAE:

$$(1) M_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{0\}$$

$$(2) \exists f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{m} \text{ s.t. } M_f = \{0\}, \text{ equivalently, } \exists n > 0 \text{ s.t. } f^n M = \{0\}.$$

Proof: Let $S \subset A$ be some localizable subset.

$$M_S = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \frac{m}{t} = \frac{0}{1} \nmid m \in M, t \in S \Leftrightarrow \nmid m \in M \exists s = s(m) \in S \text{ s.t. } sm = 0.$$

Proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$ be generators. Then $\exists s_1, \dots, s_k \in A \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ s.t. $s_i m_i = 0$. Take $f = s_1 \dots s_k$. Since \mathfrak{m} is max'l \Rightarrow prime, $f \notin \mathfrak{m}$; $f m_i = 0$. Since m_1, \dots, m_k generate A -module $M \Rightarrow f M = \{0\}$. Discussion above in the proof $\Rightarrow M_f = \{0\}$.

Proofs of (2) \Rightarrow (1) & equiv. in (2) are exercise \square

3) Proof of (b) \Rightarrow (c): If $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free $\nmid \mathfrak{m} \Rightarrow \exists f_1, \dots, f_k \in A$ s.t. $(f_1, \dots, f_k) = A$ & M_{f_i} is free A_{f_i} -module $\nmid i$.

Lemma: Let M be fin. gen'd A -module, $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ be max'l ideal. If $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is free $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module, then $\exists f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ s.t.

M_f is free A_f -module.

Proof of (b) \Rightarrow (c) modulo the Lemma: By Lemma: $f \in A$ max. ideal $\exists f \in A \setminus I$ s.t. $M_{f^{-1}}$ is free $A_{f^{-1}}$ -module. Need to prove: can pick fin. many of f^{-1} 's that generate I as an ideal, these will be our f_1, \dots, f_k .

Let $I \subset A$ be the ideal generated by all f^{-1} 's. I isn't contained in any max. ideal $\Rightarrow I = A$. So $1 \in I$ is a finite A -linear combination of f^{-1} 's. We're done. \square

Proof of Lemma: Let m_1, \dots, m_k be generators of A -module $M \Rightarrow \frac{m_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{m_k}{1} \in M_{\frac{1}{m}}$ are generators of $A_{\frac{1}{m}}$ -module $M_{\frac{1}{m}}$. By Thm in Sect. 1.2 of Lec 25, can pick basis of $M_{\frac{1}{m}}$ among $\frac{m_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{m_k}{1}$, say it's $\frac{m_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{m_n}{1}$. Consider $\varphi: A^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M, (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto a_1 m_1 + \dots + a_n m_n$.

Know $\varphi_m: A_m^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_m$. We'll show $\exists f \in A \setminus I$ s.t.

φ_f is isomorphism $A_f^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_f$.

$K := \ker \varphi \subset A^{\oplus n}$, $C := \text{coker } \varphi (= M / \text{im } \varphi)$ - fin. gen'd modules.

Claim: $(K \oplus C)_m = \{0\}$: use that \bullet_m is an exact functor so

$$(\ker \varphi)_m = \ker (\varphi_m) = \{0\}, \quad (\text{coker } \varphi)_m = \text{coker } (\varphi_m) = \{0\}$$

b/c φ_m is isom'

Applying (1) \Rightarrow (2) of Techn. Lemma (Sect 2) see $\exists f \in A \setminus I$ s.t. $(K \oplus C)_f = \{0\}$.

Reversing the argument proving Claim, see $\varphi_f: A_f^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_f$. \square

4) Proof (c) \Rightarrow (a): $\exists f_1, \dots, f_k \in A$ s.t. $(f_1, \dots, f_k) = A$ & M_{f_i} is free A_{f_i} -module $\forall i \Rightarrow M$ is projective.

Recall (Section 3.1 of Lec 19) TFAE:

- M is projective
- \nexists surjective A -linear map $N^1 \rightarrow N^2 \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Hom}_A(M, N^1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N^2)$

Recall (Problem 5 in HW3): \nexists localizable $S \subset A$ have natural isomorphism $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{A_S}(M_S, N_S)$ (for M fin gen'd & A Noeth'n). Apply to $S = \{f_i\}$

Get comm'v diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_A(M, N^1)_{f_i} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(M, N^2)_{f_i} \\ \downarrow S & & \downarrow S \\ \text{Hom}_{A_{f_i}}(M_{f_i}, N^1_{f_i}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{A_{f_i}}(M_{f_i}, N^2_{f_i}) \end{array}$$

Since M_{f_i} is free, bottom arrow is surjective, so the top arrow is surjective. Let C be coker of $\text{Hom}_A(M, N^1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N^2)$. Need to show $C = \{0\}$. By above, $C_{f_i} = \{0\}$. By Techn. Lemma from Sect 2, $\exists n_i > 0$ $f_i^{n_i} C = \{0\}$.

Note $(f_1^{n_1}, \dots, f_k^{n_k}) \supset (f_1, \dots, f_k)^n$ for $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$.

$$A, \quad \text{so } (f_1^{n_1}, \dots, f_k^{n_k}) = A$$

Since $f_i^{n_i} C = \{0\} \Rightarrow (f_1^{n_1}, \dots, f_k^{n_k}) C \Rightarrow C = \{0\}$.

End of proof of Thm!

□

5) Constant dimensions of fibers \Rightarrow projective

X be affine variety, $A = \mathbb{F}[X]$, M a fin. gen'd A -module.

$\alpha \in X \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_\alpha \subset A$ max. ideal \rightsquigarrow fiber $M(\alpha) := M/\mathfrak{m}_\alpha M$, a vector space over $\mathbb{F} (\cong A/\mathfrak{m}_\alpha)$.

Thm: If all $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} M(\alpha)$ have the same dimension, then M is projective.

Proof (a bit sketchy): We'll check M is locally free. Pick $\alpha_0 \in X$, let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis in $M(\alpha_0)$. Fix lifts $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$ be lifts of v_1, \dots, v_n . Let \mathfrak{m} be the unique max. ideal in $A_{M_{\alpha_0}}$. Take $M' := M_{\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_0}}$. Consider $M'(\mathfrak{m}) = M'/\mathfrak{m} M'$, \mathbb{F} -vector space.

Similarly to Sect 2.2 in Lec 25, $M'(\mathfrak{m}) \xleftarrow{\sim} M(\alpha_0)$.

In particular, the images of $\frac{m_1}{\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, \frac{m_n}{\mathfrak{m}}$ generate $M'(\mathfrak{m}) \Rightarrow$ [corollary of Nakayama Lemma] $\frac{m_1}{\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, \frac{m_n}{\mathfrak{m}}$ generate $M' = M_{\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_0}}$.
Equiv. the corresp. map $A_{M_{\alpha_0}}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_0}}$ is surj've.

We can argue similarly to proof of (b) \Rightarrow (c) of prev. Thm to see $\exists f \notin \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha_0}$ s.t. $A_f^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M_f$. Want to show it's isomorphism.

Assume contrary: $\exists 0 \neq (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \ker$ of this map.

functions on X_f

$\exists \alpha \in X_f \mid (g_1(\alpha), \dots, g_n(\alpha)) \neq 0; A_f^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M_f \rightsquigarrow A_f(\alpha)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M_f(\alpha)$
has $(g_1(\alpha), \dots, g_n(\alpha))$ in the kernel. So $\dim M_f(\alpha) < n = \dim M(\alpha_0)$

Lec 25, Sect 2.2 $\longrightarrow \parallel \dim M(\alpha)$

Conclude $\dim M(\alpha) < \dim M(\alpha_0)$.

By assumption, $\dim M(x) = \dim M(x_0)$. Contradiction. \square

BONUS: What's next (in studying Commutative Algebra)?

A short answer: a whole lot, see Eisenbud's book

- we've discussed finite ring extensions a bit. There's more to it, like going up & going down theorems. These are best understood geometrically.

- we've briefly touched upon completions. There's more to it, incl. the Artin-Rees Lemma, Hensel Lemma etc.

- we haven't discussed the dimension theory at all, but it's very important. Neither we talked about regular rings, algebraic counterparts of smooth (a.k.a. nonsingular) affine varieties.

- various homological algebra considerations starting with Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem.

- and so on.