

Lecture 2: Rings, ideals & modules II.

o) Quotient rings: cont'd.

1) Operations with ideals.

2) Maximal ideals.

3) Prime ideals

Ref's: [AM], Chapter 1, Sections 3 and 6;

BONUS: Non-commutative counterparts 2.

o) Recall Proposition & Exercise 1 from Sec 3.2 of Lec 1.

Examples (of quotient rings)

1) $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $I = (n)$ ($= n\mathbb{Z}$), $A/I = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ - residues mod n .

2) $A = \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ not a complete square, $I := (x^2 - d) \subset A$.

Claim: A/I is isomorphic to the subring

$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] := \{a + b\sqrt{d} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of \mathbb{C} .

Proof:

homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$, $f(x) := f(\sqrt{d})$

• $\varphi(x^2 - d) = 0 \Rightarrow I \subset \ker \varphi \hookrightarrow \varphi: \mathbb{Z}[x]/I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$

• $\varphi(a + bx) = a + b\sqrt{d}$ so φ is surjective \Rightarrow [Exer 1] φ is surjective.

• $\forall f \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \exists! a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ & } g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \mid f(x) = a + bx + g(x)(x^2 - d)$
(division w. remainder) $\Rightarrow \ker \varphi = I \Rightarrow$ [Exer 1] φ is injective.

So $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}[x]/I \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$, an isomorphism.

Exercise 1 (to be used below in this lecture)

Here we compare sets of ideals in A & in A/I . Namely show that the following maps are mutually inverse bijections:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(J) \in \{\text{ideals } J \subset A \mid J \supseteq I\} & \cong & J \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ J \in \{\text{ideals } J \subset A/I\} & \cong & \pi(J) = J/I \end{array}$$

Exercise 2: Let $F_y \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $y \in Y$, where Y is a set. Then there's a bijection between:

- (i) Ring homomorphisms $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(F_y \mid y \in Y) \rightarrow B$ and
- (ii) $\{\varphi, b_1, \dots, b_n\}$, where $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism & $b_i \in B$ are s.t. ${}^q F_y(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0 \quad \forall y \in Y$. Here ${}^q F_y \in B[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is obtained from $F_y \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by applying φ to the coefficients.

This generalizes Example 2 from Section 2 of Lec 1.

1) Operations with ideals

Def: A is commutative ring, pick ideals $I, J \subset A$. Then define:

The sum $I + J := \{a + b \mid a \in I, b \in J\} \subset A$,

The product $IJ := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}, a_i \in I, b_i \in J \right\}$,

The ratio $I : J := \{a \in A \mid aJ \subset I\}$,

The radical $\sqrt{I} := \{a \in A \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \text{ w. } a^n \in I\}$.

Proposition: $I \cap J$, $I+J$, IJ , $I : J$, \sqrt{I} are ideals.

Proof for \sqrt{I} (the other parts are **exercises**):

Need to check

$$(0) \quad \sqrt{I} \neq \emptyset.$$

$$(1) \quad a \in I, b \in \sqrt{I} \Rightarrow ab \in \sqrt{I} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{[} \\ \text{here take } a = -1. \end{array} \Rightarrow \sqrt{I} \text{ is abelian subgroup.}$$

$$(2) \quad a, b \in \sqrt{I} \Rightarrow a+b \in \sqrt{I}$$

$$(0) \Leftarrow \sqrt{I} \supseteq I,$$

b/c A is commutative

$$(1) : b \in \sqrt{I} \Rightarrow \exists n \text{ w. } b^n \in I \Rightarrow (ab)^n = a^n b^n \in I \Rightarrow ab \in \sqrt{I}.$$

$$(2) \quad a, b \in \sqrt{I} \Rightarrow \exists n \text{ w. } a^n, b^n \in I$$

$$(a+b)^{2n} = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{i} a^i b^{2n-i} \in I \Rightarrow a+b \in \sqrt{I}$$

again, use that A is comm'vve

$\in I \text{ if } i > n$

$\in I \text{ if } i \leq n$

□

Example (generators): $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, $J = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$. Then:

$$\cdot I + J = (f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, \dots, g_m) : 0 \in I, J \Rightarrow f_i, g_j \in I + J \Rightarrow$$

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, \dots, g_m) \subset I + J;$$

$I + J \subset (f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, \dots, g_m)$ is manifest.

Exercise: Show that $IJ = (f_i g_j \mid i=1, \dots, n, j=1, \dots, m)$

Rem: For $I \cap J$, $I : J$, \sqrt{I} - generators may be tricky...

Example: $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $I = (a)$. Want to compute \sqrt{I} :

$$a = p_1^{d_1} \cdots p_k^{d_k}, p_i \text{ primes, } d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

$b \in \sqrt{I} \Leftrightarrow b^n : a \text{ for some } n \Leftrightarrow b : p_1 \dots p_k \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{(a)} = (p_1 \dots p_k)$.

divisible by

Exercise: for general A, I , show $\sqrt{\sqrt{I}} = \sqrt{I}$.

2) Maximal ideals

2.1) Definition

Def: An ideal $m \subset A$ is **maximal** if:

- $m \neq A$.

- If m' another ideal st $m \subseteq m' \neq A$, then $m' = m$.

i.e. maximal = maximal w.r.t. inclusion among ideals $\neq A$.

Lemma (equivalent characterization): TFAE:

(1) m is maximal

(2) A/m is a field

Proof: We claim that both (1) & (2) are equivalent to:

(3) The only two ideals in A/m are $\{0\}$ & A/m .

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3): b/c of bijection $\{ \text{ideals in } A \text{ containing } m \} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \{ \text{ideals in } A/m \}$, Exercise 1 in Sec 0.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (2): Remark & exercise in the end of Section 3.1
of Lecture 1. \square

2.2) Examples of maximal ideals.

1) $A = \mathbb{Z}$, so every ideal is of the form $(a) := a\mathbb{Z}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(a) is maximal $\Leftrightarrow a$ is prime. Indeed, the inclusion $(a) \subseteq (b)$ is equivalent to $b : a$.

2) $A = \mathbb{F}[x]$ (\mathbb{F} is field), (f) is maximal $\Leftrightarrow f$ is irreducible, for the same reason as in the previous example. For example, for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ (or any alg. closed field), the maximal ideals are exactly $(x - \alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

3) $A = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n \rightsquigarrow M_\alpha := \{f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid f(\alpha) = 0\}$ is an ideal (exercise). We claim it's maximal \Leftrightarrow ideal $I \neq M_\alpha$ contains 1.

$\exists f \in I$ w. $f(\alpha) \neq 0$. Write f as polynomial in $x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n \in M_\alpha$
 $\rightsquigarrow f = f(\alpha) + g$ w. $g \in M_\alpha \subset I \Rightarrow f(\alpha) \in I \Rightarrow 1 \in I$.

In fact, this way we get all max. ideals in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, if \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed. This claim, to be proved later in the class, is one of most basic connections between Commutative algebra & Algebraic geometry.

2.3) Existence.

Proposition: Every nonzero (commutative) ring has at least one maximal ideal.

We will prove this later for "Noetherian" rings (all ideals

are finitely generated), a justification is that essentially every ring we encounter in this course is Noetherian.

The general proof, based on Zorn's Lemma from Set theory (\Leftrightarrow axiom of choice) is provided below as a bonus.

Definitions: let X be a set.

- A partial order \leq on X is a binary relation s.t.

$$\begin{array}{l} - x \leq x, \\ - x \leq y \& y \leq x \Rightarrow x = y \\ - x \leq y \& y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z \end{array} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \forall x, y, z \in X$$

- $Y \subseteq X$ is linearly ordered (under \leq) if $\forall x, y \in Y$ have $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$.

- poset = a set equipped with partial order.

Example: $X := \{\text{ideals } I \subset A \mid I \neq A\}$, $\leq := \subseteq$

Zorn Lemma: Let X be a poset. Suppose that:

(*) \forall linearly ordered subset $Y \subseteq X \exists$ an upper bound in X , i.e. $x \in X$ s.t. $y \leq x \forall y \in Y$.

Then \exists a maximal element $z \in X$ (i.e. $x \in X \& z \leq x \Rightarrow z = x$).

Note that both the condition & the conclusion are essentially vacuous for finite sets.

Proof of Proposition: X, \leq are as in Example. Want to show (*): let Y be linearly ordered subset of X , being linearly ordered in our case means: $\forall I, J \in Y$ have $I \subseteq J$ or $J \subseteq I$. Set $\tilde{I} := \bigcup_{I \in Y} I$. We claim this is an ideal, $\neq A$ (note: unlike the intersection, the union of ideals may fail to be an ideal). Need to show:

(i) \tilde{I} is an ideal $\Leftrightarrow a+b \in \tilde{I}$ as long as $a, b \in \tilde{I}$.

Check: $a, b \in \tilde{I} = \bigcup_{I \in Y} I \Rightarrow \exists I, J \in Y$ s.t. $a \in I, b \in J$.

Can assume $I \subseteq J \Rightarrow a, b \in J \Rightarrow a+b \in J \subseteq \tilde{I}$. This shows (i).

(ii) $\tilde{I} \neq A \Leftrightarrow 1 \notin \tilde{I}$

\tilde{I} is an ideal

But $1 \notin I$ for every $I \in Y \Rightarrow \tilde{I} = \bigcup_{I \in Y} I \neq A$

Apply Zorn's lemma to finish the proof of Proposition. \square

3) Prime ideals

A is comm're ring.

Definitions: • $a \in A$ is a zero divisor if $a \neq 0$ & $\exists b \in A$ s.t. $b \neq 0$ but $ab = 0$.

• A is domain if A has no zero divisors.

• Ideal $\beta \subset A$ is prime if $\beta \neq A$ & A/β is domain.

Lemma: TFAE (the following are equivalent)

i) β is prime

ii) If $a, b \in A$ are s.t. $ab \in \beta \Rightarrow a \in \beta$ or $b \in \beta$ (note

that " \leq " is automatic).

iii) If $I, J \subset A$ are ideals, $IJ \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ or $J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof: $\pi: A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{p}$, $a \mapsto a + \mathfrak{p}$.

i) \Leftrightarrow ii): $a \notin \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \pi(a) = 0$, $ab \in \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \pi(a)\pi(b) = \pi(ab) = 0$.

ii) \Rightarrow iii): $I, J \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \exists a \in I \setminus \mathfrak{p}, b \in J \setminus \mathfrak{p} \stackrel{(ii)}{\Rightarrow} ab \notin \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow IJ \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.

iii) \Rightarrow ii): $I := (a)$, $J := (b)$. Then $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow a \notin \mathfrak{p}$; $IJ \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow ab \in \mathfrak{p}$. \square

Examples:

- $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ max'l $\Leftrightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$ is field (so domain) $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ is prime.

- $\{0\} \subset A$ is prime $\Leftrightarrow A$ is domain.

- $A = \mathbb{Z}$. Every ideal is (n) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; (n) is prime $\Leftrightarrow \pm n$ is prime or $n=0$. So every prime is max'l or $\{0\}$.

- Same conclusion for $A = F[x]$ if F is field.

- $A = F[x, y]$, (x) is prime (but not maximal):

$F[x, y]/(x) \cong F[y]$ (domain but not field)

- The ideal $(xy) \subset F[x, y]$ is not prime.

BONUS: Non-commutative counterparts part 2.

B1) Proper generalizations or what we discussed in this lecture will be for two-sided ideals. For two such ideals I, J it still makes sense to talk about $I \cap J, I+J, IJ, I : J -$ those are still 2-sided ideals. For \sqrt{I} the situation is more interesting: the definition we gave doesn't produce an ideal (look at $I = \{0\}$ in $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$). Under some addit'l assumptions, still can define a 2-sided ideal. We'll explain this for $I = \{0\}$, for the general case just take the preimage of $\sqrt{\{0\}} \subset A/I$ under $A \rightarrow N/I$.

Definition: A two-sided ideal $I \subset A$ is called nilpotent if $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid I^n = \{0\}$.

Exercise: The sum of two nilpotent ideals is a nilpotent ideal.

Under additional assumption: A is "Noetherian" for 2-sided ideals there's an automatically unique maximal nilpotent ideal. We take this ideal for $\sqrt{\{0\}}$.

B2) Now we discuss maximal ideals.

Definition: A ring A is called simple if it has only 2 two-sided ideals, $\{0\}$ & A .

Exercise: $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is simple for any field \mathbb{F} .

Premium exercise: $\text{Weyl} = \mathbb{F}\langle x, y \rangle / (xy - yx - 1)$ is simple if $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ & not simple if $\text{char } \mathbb{F} > 0$.

A two-sided ideal $m \subset A$ is maximal if A/m is simple.