

Lecture 17, 03/24/25

1) GIT quotients

Refs: [PV], Sec 4.6; [MF], § 1.4.

1) GIT quotients

1.0) Introduction

Our base field is \mathbb{C} (more generally, we can work w/ any algebraically closed characteristic 0 field).

In this lecture we start studying GIT quotients. Here are two important features:

1) A GIT quotient is defined for an action of a reductive group G on an affine variety (or, more generally, affine finite type scheme) X together w/ an auxiliary datum: a character $\theta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. For trivial θ we recover the categorical quotient $X//G$ from Lec 3. The notation in the general case is $X//^\theta G$. The scheme $X//^\theta G$ will naturally be a projective scheme over $X//G$.

2) We have a G -stable open subset of " θ -semistable points" $X^{\theta\text{-ss}} \subset X$ & a G -invariant morphism $\pi^\theta: X^{\theta\text{-ss}} \rightarrow X//^\theta G$ (so that for trivial θ we have $X^{\theta\text{-ss}} = X$, $\pi^\theta = \pi$) that is surjective, affine & s.t. every fiber of π^θ contains a unique G -orbit that is closed in $X^{\theta\text{-ss}}$. In this way, $X//^\theta G$ parameterizes the G -orbits that are

closed in $X^{\theta_{ss}}$.

1.1) Reminder on relative Proj:

The construction of $X//\mathbb{G}$ is based on the relative Proj construction (and can be viewed as the noncommutative generalization of the latter). So we first recall the Proj construction.

Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded finitely generated commutative algebra, $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$. We write Y for $\text{Spec}(A)$. For a homogeneous element $f \in A_i$ w. $i > 0$ consider the principal open subscheme Y_f

Note that $\mathbb{C}[Y_f] = A[f^{-1}]$ is naturally \mathbb{Z} -graded (w. $\mathbb{C}[Y_f]_j = \left\{ \frac{h}{f^k} \mid h \in A_{j+k} \right\}$). The torus \mathbb{C}^\times acts on A by automorphisms (so that $t \cdot h = t^j h$ for $h \in A_j$). This gives rise to a \mathbb{C}^\times -action on Y & Y_f is \mathbb{C}^\times -stable.

Form $Y_f // \mathbb{C}^\times = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[Y_f]_0)$. Our goal is to glue these affine schemes together.

Observation: Let $f \in A_i, g \in A_j$. Then $Y_{fg} // \mathbb{C}^\times$ is identified w. the principal open subset in $Y_f // \mathbb{C}^\times$ defined by $g^i/f^j \in \mathbb{C}[Y_f]_0$. This is b/c the algebras $A[(fg)^{-1}]_0$ & $A[f^{-1}]_0[(g^i/f^j)^{-1}]$ are equal as subalgebras of $A[(fg)^{-1}]$

So we can glue the affine schemes $Y_f // \mathbb{C}^*$ together along the above identifications. In general such gluing (a special case of a colimit) fails to give a (separated) scheme but in our case it does. Namely, there is $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ s.t. $A_{(e)} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_{ie}$ is generated by A_e as an A_0 -algebra. Pick generators F_1, \dots, F_k of the A_0 -module A_e and consider the closed subscheme $\text{Proj}(A) \subset Y \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ defined by the following equations:

$P([y_1 : \dots : y_k]) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P \in A_0[x_1, \dots, x_k] \text{ w. } P(F_1, \dots, F_k) = 0 \text{ in } A_0 \text{, where}$
 y_1, \dots, y_k are the homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^{k-1} .

This subscheme is obtained by gluing $Y_f // \mathbb{C}^*$ as explained above. It comes w. a projective morphism $\text{Proj}(A) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(A) = Y // \mathbb{C}^*$.

Remark: The construction above identifies $\text{Proj}(A)$ & $\text{Proj}(A_{(e)}) \Leftrightarrow e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

1.2) Construction of GIT quotient.

Let G be a reductive group & $\theta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character.

Let \mathbb{C}_θ denote the 1-dimensional G -representation corresponding to

$\theta: g \cdot v = \theta(g)v \Leftrightarrow g \in G, v \in \mathbb{C}_\theta$. Let z denote the coordinate on \mathbb{C}_θ so that $g \cdot z = \theta(g)^{-1}z$. Consider the algebra $A := \mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta]^G$. Note that:

(i) A is finitely generated by Prop 1 in Sec 1.0 of Lec 3

(ii) A is graded (by degree in z). More precisely, set

$$\mathbb{C}[X]^{\mathbb{G}, n\theta} = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[X], g.f = \theta(g)^n f\}$$

(elements of $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\mathbb{G}, n\theta}$ are called $n\theta$ -semiinvariants). Then

$$\mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta]^\mathbb{G} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}[X]^{\mathbb{G}, n\theta} z^n$$

Moreover the grading on A comes from $\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta$, t. $(x, v) = (x, t^{-1}v)$ commuting w. G .

Definition (Mumford): The GIT quotient $X//\theta G$ is $\text{Proj}(A)$.

Note that $X//G = \text{Spec}(A_0)$ so we indeed get a projective morphism $X//\theta G \rightarrow X//G$.

Note also that, for $n > 0$, we have $X//^{n\theta} G = X//\theta G$ thx to the remark in Sec 1.1 (here we write $n\theta$ for the character sending g to $\theta(g)^n$).

Example: We recover the construction in the previous section as follows. Let $G = \mathbb{C}^\times$ act on X so that the induced grading on $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is nonnegative. Identify the character lattice $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ w/ \mathbb{Z} via $n \in \mathbb{Z} \mapsto [t \mapsto t^n] \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^\times)$. If $\theta = 1$, then $\mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X]$ as graded algebras (exercise). If $\theta = 0$, then $\mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = [\mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}_\theta]$

is trivial] = $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$, where the first factor is in degree 0.

$$\text{So } X//\theta G \simeq \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]) = X//\mathbb{C}^\times \times \underbrace{\text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[z])}_{\text{pt}} = X//\mathbb{C}^\times$$

And if $\theta < 0$, then both $\mathbb{C}[x]$ & $\mathbb{C}[z]$ are $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded, so

$$(\mathbb{C}[x] \otimes \mathbb{C}[z])^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \otimes \mathbb{C} \text{ (in deg 0). Here } \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) = \emptyset.$$

Exercise: For general G , show that $X//\theta G \stackrel{\text{id}}{\simeq} X//G$.

1.3) $X^{\theta\text{-ss}}$ & π^θ

Let $X^{\theta\text{-ss}} = \{x \in X \mid \exists n > 0 \text{ & } f \in \mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{C}, n\theta} \text{ s.t. } f(x) \neq 0\}$, equivalent-
ly $X^{\theta\text{-ss}} = \bigcup_f X_f$, where f runs over $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{C}, n\theta}$ w. $n > 0$. A point
in $X^{\theta\text{-ss}}$ is called θ -semistable

Exercise: Show that in the setting of the previous example:

- $X^{\theta\text{-ss}}$ is the complement of the zero set of the ideal $\bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}[x]_i$
 $\subset \mathbb{C}[x]$ if $\theta > 0$
- $X^{\theta\text{-ss}} = X$ if $\theta = 0$
- $X^{\theta\text{-ss}} = \emptyset$ if $\theta < 0$.

Note that for $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{C}, n\theta}$, we have $f(gx) = \theta(g)^{-n} f(x)$ so X_f
(and hence $X^{\theta\text{-ss}}$) is G -stable. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow X//G$ & $\pi_f: X_f \rightarrow X_f//G$
denote the quotient morphisms so that we have the following

commutative diagram (see Sec 1.3 in Lec 3):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_f & \hookrightarrow & X \\ \pi_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \quad (1) \\ X_f//G & \longrightarrow & X//G \end{array}$$

A relevance to the construction in Sec 1.1 is as follows. A homogeneous element of degree n in $A = \mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta^*]^G$ is of the form fz^n with $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\mathbb{C}^{n\theta}}$. We have $A[(fz^n)^{-1}] = (\mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta^*][(fz^n)^{-1}])^G = (\mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta^*][f^{-1}, z^{-1}])^G = \mathbb{C}[X_f \times \mathbb{C}_\theta^*]^G$. Now $A[(fz^n)^{-1}]_o = \mathbb{C}[X_f \times \mathbb{C}_\theta^*]^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*} = [\mathbb{C}^* \text{ acts trivially on } X_f \text{ & transitively on } \mathbb{C}_\theta^*] = \mathbb{C}[X_f]$. So $X_f = \text{Spec}(A[(fz^n)^{-1}]_o)$ embeds into $X//^\theta G = \text{Proj}(A)$ as an open subscheme. Moreover, the intersection of two subschemes, $X_f//G$ & $X_h//G$, is $X_{fh}//G$ by Observation in Sec 1.1.

Proposition: $\exists!$ morphism $\pi^\theta: X^{\theta-\text{ss}} \longrightarrow X//^\theta G$ making the following diagram commutative $\forall n > 0$ & $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\mathbb{C}, n\theta}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_f & \hookrightarrow & X^{\theta-\text{ss}} & \hookrightarrow & X \\ \pi_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi^\theta & & \downarrow \pi \\ X_f//G & \hookrightarrow & X//^\theta G & \longrightarrow & X//G \end{array}$$

Moreover,

(a) π^θ is surjective

(b) $(\pi^\theta)^{-1}(X_f//G) = X_f \Leftrightarrow$ the left square is Cartesian.

(c) every fiber of π^θ contains a unique G -orbit that is closed in $X^{\theta-\text{ss}}$.

Proof:

The uniqueness of π^θ will follow since the open subsets X_f cover $X^{\theta-\text{ss}}$ & $\pi^\theta|_{X_f}$ must be π_f . To show that π^θ exists it's enough to show that $\pi_f|_{X_f \cap X_h} = \pi_h|_{X_f \cap X_h}$ $\forall f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{G, n\theta}$ & $h \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{G, m\theta}$ ($n, m > 0$). But $X_f \cap X_h = X_{fh}$. So we just need to show that the following is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{fh} & \hookrightarrow & X_f \\ \pi_{fh} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_f \\ X_{fh}/\!/G & \hookrightarrow & X_f/\!/G \end{array}$$

but this follows from the general result in Sec 1.3 in Lec 3.

(a): The morphism π^θ is surjective b/c each π_f is surjective & the open subsets $X_f/\!/G$ cover $X/\!/\theta G$ (by the general results in Sec 1.1).

(b): Suppose $\pi^\theta(x) \in X_f/\!/G$ but $x \notin X_f \Leftrightarrow f(x) = 0$. Pick $h \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{G, m\theta}$ w. $x \notin X_h$. Then $\pi^\theta(x) \in X_f/\!/G \cap X_h/\!/G = X_{fh}/\!/G$. But $\pi^\theta(x) = \pi_h(x)$ & $\frac{f^m}{h^n} \in \mathbb{C}[X_h]^G$ vanishes on x . This shows $\pi_h(x) \notin X_{fh}/\!/G$, a contradiction.

(c): Let $x \in X/\!/\theta G$. Note that $(\pi^\theta)^{-1}(x)$ contains an orbit of minimal dimension. Such an orbit is closed in $(\pi^\theta)^{-1}(x)$, hence in $X^{\theta-\text{ss}}$. On the other hand, let $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{G, n\theta}$ be s.t. $x \in X_f/\!/G$. By (b), $(\pi^\theta)^{-1}(x) \subset X_f/\!/G$, so $(\pi^\theta)^{-1}(x) = (\pi_f)^{-1}(x)$. From Lec 3 we know that $(\pi_f)^{-1}(x)$ contains a unique closed G -orbit \square

Remark: (6) shows that π^θ is an affine morphism.

The following lemma gives an equivalent condition for semi-stability. We will use it in the next lecture for a Hilbert-Mumford type statement.

Lemma: a) For $x \in X$ TFAE:

$$(1) x \in X^{\theta\text{-ss}}$$

$$(2) \overline{G(x, 1)} \cap (X \times \{0\}) = \emptyset \text{ in } X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta.$$

Proof:

(1) \Rightarrow (2): $x \in X^{\theta\text{-ss}} \Leftrightarrow \exists f \in \mathbb{C}[x]^G, n \geq 0$ w. $n > 0$ & $f(x) \neq 0$. Let $F = f z^n \in \mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta]^G$. Then $F(x, 1) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $F|_{X \times \{0\}} = 0$ & (2) follows.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $\tilde{\pi}: X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta \rightarrow (X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta)/G$ denote the quotient morphism. By Sec 1.4 of Lec 3, if $Y_1, Y_2 \subset X \times \mathbb{C}^\theta$ are closed G -stable subvarieties w. $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \emptyset$, then $\tilde{\pi}(Y_1) \cap \tilde{\pi}(Y_2) = \emptyset$. Apply this to $Y_1 = \overline{G(x, 1)}$ & $Y_2 = X \times \{0\}$. We get that $\tilde{\pi}(x, 1) \notin \tilde{\pi}(X \times \{0\})$. So we can find $F \in \mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}_\theta]^G$ w. $F|_{X \times \{0\}} = 0$ & $F(x, 1) \neq 0$. We can write F as $\sum f_n z^n$ w. $f_n \in \mathbb{C}[x]^G, n \geq 0$. Since $F|_{X \times \{0\}} = 0$, we see that $f_0 = 0$. From $F(x, 1) \neq 0$ we deduce $\exists n > 0$ s.t. $f_n(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow x \in X^{\theta\text{-ss}}$ \square