

Wakimoto modules

§0. Setup

$$\mathfrak{b}_+ = n_+ \oplus \mathfrak{f}$$

$\mathfrak{g} = \text{simple Lie alg.}, \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}/\text{inv. bilinear form} \rightsquigarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{X}}$

$\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Killing form}, \kappa_c = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}$

$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus \mathbb{C} \cdot J_a, \{J_a\}$ is a weighted basis of \mathfrak{g}

$$\begin{aligned} G \subset G_{N+} \supset B_{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow[H]{} \mathfrak{g} &\longrightarrow \text{Vect}(B_{\mathfrak{g}})^H = \text{Vect}(N_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}[N_+] \otimes \mathfrak{f} \\ &\simeq \text{Vect}(N_+) \oplus \mathbb{C}[n_+] \otimes \mathfrak{f} \\ &\simeq \text{Sym}^* n_+ \otimes n_+ \oplus \text{Sym}^* n_+ \otimes \mathfrak{f} \\ &\xrightarrow[\text{differential operators}]{} U(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow D(B_{\mathfrak{g}})^H = D(N_+) \otimes U(\mathfrak{f}) \end{aligned}$$

affine analogue

(*) Thm 6.2.1 \exists map of \mathbb{Z} -graded VA (satisfying some conditions)

$$w_{\kappa}: V_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes V_{\kappa-\kappa_c}(\mathfrak{f})$$

universal
enveloping alg

$$\widetilde{U}_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \widetilde{U}_{\kappa-\kappa_c}(\mathfrak{f})$$

Def of $M_{\mathfrak{g}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Gamma} &\longrightarrow \Gamma = n_+([t]) \oplus n_+^*([t]) dt \\ &\cup \quad [xf, yw] = \langle x, y \rangle \text{Res} f dw \cdot 1 \\ \Gamma_+ &= n_+([t]) \oplus n_+^*([t]) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} = \widetilde{U(\widehat{\Gamma})}_{(1,-1)} \subset M_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}1}^{\widehat{\Gamma}} \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$$

Def of $V_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{f})$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\nu} &\longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\nu}([t]) \\ &\cup \quad [xf, yg] = -\nu(x, y) \text{Res} f dg \cdot 1 \\ \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\nu}([t]) & \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \widetilde{U}_{\nu}(\mathfrak{f}) = \widetilde{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\nu})}_{(1,-1)} \subset V_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{f}) = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\nu}([t]) \oplus \mathbb{C}1}^{\widehat{\Gamma}} \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$$

$$\lambda \in \mathfrak{f}^* \rightsquigarrow \pi_{\nu}^{\lambda} := \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\nu}([t]) \oplus \mathbb{C}1}^{\widehat{\Gamma}} \mathbb{C}|\lambda\rangle \in \text{Mod}_{\widetilde{U}_{\nu}(\mathfrak{f})} \quad b \otimes t^n |\lambda\rangle = \delta_{n,0} \lambda(b) |\lambda\rangle \quad (b \in \mathfrak{f})$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \widetilde{U}_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \widetilde{U}_{\kappa-\kappa_c}(\mathfrak{f}) \subset M_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \pi_{\kappa-\kappa_c}^{\lambda} = W_{\lambda, \kappa} \in \text{Mod}_{\widetilde{U}_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g})}$$

this is called Wakimoto module of level κ , highest wt λ .

Example

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2, \kappa_0(X, Y) = \text{tr}(XY)$$

$$U_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\kappa})_{(1,-1)}$$

$$\widetilde{U}_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset V_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} = 4\kappa_0, \kappa_c = -2\kappa_0, \kappa = k \cdot \kappa_0$$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}e \oplus \mathbb{C}h \oplus \mathbb{C}f \quad \kappa(e, f) = 1, \kappa(h, h) = 2$$

copy of e

$$n_+ = \mathbb{C} \cdot a, n_+^* = \mathbb{C}a^*, f = \mathbb{C} \cdot b$$

$$\rightsquigarrow N_+ = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[a^*], a = \frac{\partial}{\partial a^*} \in \text{Vect}(N_+)$$

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^* n_+ \oplus \text{Sym}^* n_+^* \otimes f \simeq \text{Vect}(B_{\mathfrak{g}})^H$$

$$e \longmapsto a$$

$$h \longmapsto -2a^* a + b$$

$$f \longmapsto -a^{*2} a + a^* b$$

$$([a, a^*] = 1)$$

$$\widehat{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \Gamma = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cdot a_n \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} a_m^* \quad [a_n, a_m^*] = \delta_{n, -m} \cdot 1$$

$$M_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{g}} \cdot |0\rangle \quad \text{where } \begin{cases} a_n |0\rangle = 0 & n \geq 0 \\ a_m^* |0\rangle = 0 & n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

a_m^* annihilating operators

$$\deg a_n = \deg a_m^* = -n$$

$$[T, a_n] = -na_{n-1}, [T, a_m^*] = -(m-1)a_{m-1}^*$$

$$Y(a_{-1}|0\rangle, j) = \sum a_n j^{-n-1} =: a(j)$$

$$Y(a_0^*|0\rangle, j) = \sum a_m^* j^{-m} =: a^*(j)$$

: monomial in a_n, a_m^* : move annihilating operators to the right

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{k+2} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{k+2}([t]) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} b_n$$

$$[b_n, b_m] = 2(k+2)_n \delta_{n, -m} \cdot 1$$

$$V_{k+2}(\mathfrak{f}) = \widehat{U}_{k+2}(\mathfrak{f}) \cdot |0\rangle \quad \text{where } b_n |0\rangle = 0 \quad n \geq 0$$

$$Y(b_{-1}|0\rangle, j) = \sum b_n j^{-n-1} =: b(j)$$

$$[T, b_n] = -n b_{n-1}$$

They will play essential role in the proof of FF center thm.

When $x = x_c$, $\widetilde{U}_c(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Fun}(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*(+)})$

$$w_{x_c}: \widetilde{U}_{x_c}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \hat{\otimes} \text{Fun}(\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*(+)})$$

$$\chi(+)\in \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*(+)}\hookrightarrow \widetilde{U}_{x_c}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset M_{\mathfrak{g}} =: W_{\chi(+)} \in \text{Mod}_{\widetilde{U}_{x_c}(\mathfrak{g})}$$

called Watimoto module of critical level

Rmk: $W_{\chi(+)}, W_{\lambda, \kappa} \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\mathcal{O} = \{ V \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}\text{-mod} \mid V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^*} V_\lambda \quad \text{weight space decom., } \dim V_\lambda < \infty \}$$

affine Kac-Moody alg. Cartan of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$

$$((\mathbb{C}1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\chi(+)})) \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_{m, \text{rot}} \cdot \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^* \text{ s.t. all weights } \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \widehat{\Phi}_+) \quad \text{positive affine roots}$$

- $W_{\chi(+)}$ are simple for some $\chi(+)$

§1. How to construct $V_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow V$?

Lem 6.1.1

Let $V = \mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex algebra, the following data are in bijection

- A \mathbb{Z} -graded vertex alg. hom. $V_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow V$
- $x_\alpha \in V$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}$, $\deg x_\alpha = 1$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} w \\ \downarrow \\ x_\alpha = w(J_{\alpha, -1}|0\rangle) \end{array}$$

$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\kappa \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$ defines a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha, n} &\mapsto x_{\alpha(n)} \\ 1 &\mapsto \text{id} \end{aligned}$$

Proof only " \Leftarrow " needs a proof

$$y(x_\alpha, j)|0\rangle \in V[[j]] \Rightarrow x_{\alpha(n)}|0\rangle = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 0$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{universal} \\ \text{property} \\ \text{of induced} \\ \text{module} \end{array} \rightsquigarrow V_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow V \quad \text{linear map}$$

$$J_{\alpha_1, n_1} \cdots J_{\alpha_m, n_m}|0\rangle \longmapsto x_{\alpha_1(n_1)} \cdots x_{\alpha_m(n_m)}|0\rangle$$

Ex Check this is a map of VA

□

§2. (★) for $\widehat{sl_2}$

Thm 6.2.1 for $\widehat{sl_2}$

Compare

$\exists w_k: V_k(sl_2) \longrightarrow M_{sl_2} \otimes V_{k+2}(f)$ map of VA s.t.

$$e_{-1}|0\rangle \mapsto a_{-1}|0\rangle$$

$$= : \tilde{e}_{-1}|0\rangle :$$

deg 1,

$\frac{\text{wt } 2}{\text{wt. } h}$

sl_2

α^*
a
a

b
a

$$h_{-1}|0\rangle \mapsto (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle$$

$$= : \tilde{h}_{-1}|0\rangle :$$

deg 1,

$\frac{\text{wt } 0}{\text{wt. } h}$

e

a

b

$$f_{-1}|0\rangle \mapsto (-a_0^{*2}a_{-1} + a_0^*b_{-1} + ka_{-1}^*)|0\rangle$$

$$= : \tilde{f}_{-1}|0\rangle :$$

deg 1,

$\frac{\text{wt } -2}{\text{wt. } h}$

h

$-2a^*a + b$

$-a^{*2}a + a^*b$

f

Rmk finite dim'l formulas + deg + wt pin down RHS

Proof Denote $Y(e_{-1}|0\rangle, j) = e(j)$, $Y(\tilde{e}_{-1}|0\rangle, j) = \tilde{e}(j)$

Use thm 6.1.1, suffices to check commutator relations of $\tilde{e}_n, \tilde{h}_n, \tilde{f}_n$, hence suffices to check

w_k preserves OPE for $e(j) \cdot f(w)$, $h(j) \cdot f(w)$, $h(j) \cdot e(w)$

Proof for "e · f"

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}(j) \cdot \tilde{f}(w) &\sim \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{Y(\tilde{e}_n, \tilde{f}_{-1}|0\rangle, w)}{(j-w)^{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{Y(a_n \cdot (-a_0^{*2}a_{-1} + a_0^*b_{-1} + ka_{-1}^*), |0\rangle, w)}{(j-w)^{n+1}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{only } n=0,1}{=} \frac{Y((-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle, w)}{j-w} + \frac{k}{(j-w)^2} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{h}(w)}{j-w} + \frac{k}{(j-w)^2} \\ e(j) \cdot f(w) &\sim \frac{h(w)}{j-w} + \frac{k}{(j-w)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Ex Do the same for h · f, h · e
more work easy

□

§3. Conformal structures in sl_2 -case

Assume $k \neq -2$

Recall $S_k = \frac{1}{2(k+2)}(e_{-1}f_{-1} + f_{-1}e_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}h_{-1}^2) |0\rangle \in V_k(sl_2)$ is a conformal vector, $S_k(j) := Y(S_k, j)$

$$\text{w/ central charge } c_k = \frac{3k}{k+2} \quad \text{i.e. } S_k(j)S_k(w) = \frac{c_k/2}{(j-w)^4} + O(\frac{1}{(j-w)^3})$$

Prop 6.2.2 $w_k: V_k(sl_2) \longrightarrow M_{sl_2} \otimes V_{k+2}(j)$ satisfies

$$w_k(S_k) = (a_{-1}a_{-1}^* + \frac{1}{4(k+2)}b_{-1}^2 - \frac{1}{2(k+2)}b_{-2}) |0\rangle$$

Proof $w_k(S_k)$ has deg -2 , wt 0 ($\deg a_i = i, \deg a_i^* = i, \deg b_i = i$)

$$\Rightarrow w_k(S_k) \in \text{Span}(b_{-1}^2, b_{-2}, a_{-1}a_{-1}^* \quad \text{wt } a_i = 2, \text{ wt } a_i^* = -2, \text{ wt } b_i = 0)$$

$$a_{-2}a_0^*, a_{-1}^2, a_0^{*2}, a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1}$$

only possible monomials s.t. $\deg = -2, \text{wt} = 0$

$$Y(w_k(S_k), j) = \sum L_n j^{-n-2}, \deg L_n = -n$$

Observation 1 $L_n \cdot P(a_0^*) |0\rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 0, P(a_0^*) \in \mathbb{C}[a_0^*]$

Proof $n > 0$ true for deg reason

$$\begin{aligned} n=0 \quad L_0 \cdot P(a_0^*) |0\rangle &= \frac{1}{2(k+2)}(e_0 f_0 + f_0 e_0 + \frac{1}{2}h_0^2 + \text{other terms}) \cdot P(a_0^*) |0\rangle \\ &\text{: deg 0 monomial: } P(a_0^*) |0\rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{monomial } \in \mathbb{C}[a_0^*, a_0] \Rightarrow "0" \\ &= \frac{1}{2(k+2)}(a_0 \cdot (-a_0^{*2}a_0) + (-a_0^{*2}a_0) \cdot a_0 + \frac{1}{2}(-2a_0^*a_0)^2) P(a_0^*) |0\rangle \\ &= 0 \quad \text{abuse of notation means putting annihilating to the right} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

However, the $()_{\text{wt}}$ part of above monomials acts on $\mathbb{C}[a_0^*] \cdot |0\rangle$ by
 $\begin{pmatrix} b_{-1}^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbb{C}[a_0^*] \cdot |0\rangle} \text{ similar for other terms}$

$$-a_0^*a_0, a_0^{*2}a_0^2, 0 \quad \text{viewed as differential operators on } \mathbb{C}[a_0^*]$$

$$\Rightarrow w_k(S_k) \in \text{Span}(b_{-1}^2, b_{-2}, a_{-1}a_{-1}^*, a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1}) |0\rangle$$

$\text{wt } 2, \deg \geq 0$

Observation 2 $L_n \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = 0 \quad n > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle &= a_{-1}|0\rangle \\ &= w_k(L_0 e_{-1}|0\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $(b_{-1}^2)_{(1)} \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = 0$

$$(b_{-2})_{(1)} \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = 0$$

$$(a_{-1}a_{-1}^*)_{(1)} \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = a_{-1}|0\rangle$$

$$(a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1})_{(1)} \cdot a_{-1}|0\rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow w_k(S_k) \in (a_{-1}a_{-1}^* + \text{Span}(b_{-1}^2, b_{-2}, a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1})) \cdot |0\rangle$$

$$w_k(L_n h_{-1}|0\rangle)$$

Observation 3 $L_n w_k(h_{-1}|0\rangle) = 0 \quad n > 0$

$$L_0 w_k(h_{-1}|0\rangle) = w_k(h_{-1}|0\rangle)$$

$$w_k(L_0 h_{-1}|0\rangle)$$

On the other hand,

$$w_k(h_{-1}|0\rangle) = (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle$$

L_0 -point

L_1 -point

$$Y(b_{-1}^2|0\rangle, \bar{z}) \cdot (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle = 4(k+2)b_{-1}|0\rangle \cdot \bar{z}^{-2} + 0 \cdot \bar{z}^{-3} + \dots$$

$$Y(b_{-2}|0\rangle, \bar{z}) \cdot (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle = -4(k+2)|0\rangle \cdot \bar{z}^{-3}$$

all non-zero terms

$$Y(a_{-1}a_{-1}^*|0\rangle, \bar{z}) \cdot (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle = -2a_0^*a_{-1}|0\rangle \cdot \bar{z}^{-2} - 2|0\rangle \cdot \bar{z}^{-3}$$

$$-a_0a_1^*\bar{z}^{-2} - a_0a_1^*\bar{z}^{-3}$$

$$Y(a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1}|0\rangle, \bar{z}) \cdot (-2a_0^*a_{-1} + b_{-1})|0\rangle = (2(k+2)a_0^*a_{-1} + 2b_{-1})|0\rangle \bar{z}^{-2} + 0 \cdot \bar{z}^{-3} + \dots$$

$$(a_{-1}a_0^*b_{-1} + a_0a_1^*b_{-1})\bar{z}^{-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow w_k(S_k) = (a_{-1}a_{-1}^* + \frac{1}{4(k+2)}b_{-1}^2 - \frac{1}{2(k+2)}b_{-2})|0\rangle$$

□

Rmk $a_{-1}^*a_{-1}|0\rangle \in M_{sl_2}$ is a conformal vector of M_{sl_2} w/ central charge 2

$\frac{1}{4(k+2)}b_{-1}^2 - \frac{1}{2(k+2)}b_{-2}$ is a conformal vector of $V_{k+2}(\bar{z})$ w/ central charge $\frac{k-4}{k+2}$

§4. (*) for general gr

$\{\alpha_i\}$ = simple roots

$$\mathbb{C}[\alpha_i^* | \alpha \in \Delta_+] \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathbb{C}\alpha_i \quad (\alpha_i = \text{copy of } e_i) \\ \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}b_i \quad (b_i = \text{copy of } h_i)$$

Recall $g_j \longrightarrow V_{\text{Lie}(B_+)^H} = \text{Sym}^{n_+^*} \otimes n_+ \oplus \text{Sym}^{n_+^*} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_j$

$$e_i \longmapsto \alpha_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} P_\beta(\underline{\alpha^*}) \alpha_\beta$$

$$h_i \longmapsto - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \beta(h_i) \alpha_\beta^* \alpha_\beta + b_i$$

$$f_i \longmapsto \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} Q_\beta^i(\underline{\alpha^*}) \cdot \alpha_\beta + \alpha_{\alpha_i}^* b_i$$

Affine analogue $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ Thm 6.2.1 \exists map of VA

$$w_k: V_{k(g)} \longrightarrow M_g \otimes V_{x-k_c}(\mathfrak{h}_j)$$

$$e_{i,-1}|0\rangle \longmapsto (\alpha_{\alpha_{i,-1}} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} P_\beta(\underline{\alpha^*}) \alpha_{\beta,-1})|0\rangle \quad \text{deg } 1, \text{ wt } \alpha_i$$

$$h_{i,-1}|0\rangle \longmapsto (- \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \beta(h_i) \alpha_\beta^* \alpha_{\beta,-1} + b_{i,-1})|0\rangle \quad \text{deg } 1, \text{ wt } 0$$

$$f_{i,-1}|0\rangle \longmapsto (\sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} Q_\beta^i(\underline{\alpha^*}) \alpha_{\beta,-1} + \alpha_{\alpha_{i,-1}}^* b_{i,-1} + \underbrace{(c_i + (k-k_c)(e_i, f_i)) \alpha_{\alpha_{i,-1}}^*}_{\text{pin down RHS except }})|0\rangle \quad \text{deg } 1, \text{ wt } -\alpha_i$$

Rmk finite dim'l case + deg + wt pin down RHS except

§5. Conformal structures in general case

dual under $k-k_c$

Recall $S_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum J_{\alpha, -1} J_{\alpha, -1}^\alpha |0\rangle$ is a conformal vector of $V_{k(g)}$ ($k \neq k_c$)

$$Y(S_k|0\rangle, j) = \sum L_k j^{-k-2} \rightsquigarrow [L_k, J_{\alpha, n}] = -n J_{\alpha, n+m}$$

Prop 6.2.2

For $k \neq k_c$

$w_k: V_{k(g)} \longrightarrow M_g \otimes V_{x-k_c}(\mathfrak{h}_j)$ satisfies

$$w_k(S_k) = (\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha_{\alpha, -1} \alpha_{\alpha, -1}^* + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l b_{i, -1} b_{i, -1}^*}_{M_g \otimes 1} - g_{-2})|0\rangle \quad \text{dual under } k-k_c$$

dual under $k-k_c$ to $j \in \mathfrak{h}_j^*$

Proof Similar to sl_2 -case \square

§6. Quasi-conformal structures

$$\text{Der}_{+}\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{L_1, L_2, \dots\}$$

Recall $\text{Der}\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{L_{-1}, L_0, L_1, \dots\}$, $L_k = -t^{k+1} \partial_t$

\cap

$$\text{Vir} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} L_i \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot C$$

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \frac{n^3-n}{12} \delta_{m,-n} \cdot C$$

Def A quasi-conformal structure on a \mathbb{Z} -graded VA is

$$\text{Der}\mathcal{O} \subset V \text{ s.t. }$$

- $[L_m, A_{(k)}] = \sum_{n=-1}^{m+1} \binom{m+1}{n+1} (L_n \cdot A)_{(m+k-n)}$ for all $A \in V$

- $L_{-1} = T$

- $L_0 = \text{grading}$

- $\text{Der}_{+}\mathcal{O}$ acts nilpotently

e.g. A conformal vector $w \in V \rightsquigarrow Y(w, j) = \sum L_n j^{-n-2}$

$\rightsquigarrow L_n \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \quad n = -1, 0, 1, \dots$

\rightsquigarrow quasi-conformal structure on V

e.g. For $V = V_{\kappa(\eta)}$ ($\kappa \neq \kappa_c$)

$$w = S_{\kappa} \rightsquigarrow L_n \cdot J_{a,m}|_0 = -m J_{a,m+n}|_0 \quad (*)$$

When $\kappa = \kappa_c$, S_{κ_c} doesn't exist, but $(*)$ still makes sense

and defining $\text{Der}\mathcal{O} \subset V_{\kappa_c(\eta)}$, which is a quasi-conformal structure

§7. Coordinate independent version

V	Conformal vector ($K \neq K_c$)	$\text{Der}(G)^\circ V$	Coordinate-free ver
$V_K(\sigma)$	$\zeta_K = \frac{1}{2} \sum J_{\alpha,-} J_{-,\alpha}^0$ dual under $K \leftrightarrow K_c$	$L_n \cdot J_{\alpha,m} 0\rangle = -m J_{\alpha,m+n} 0\rangle$	$V_K(\sigma)_x = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{K,x} \otimes \mathbb{C}^1}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{K,x}} \mathbb{C} 0\rangle$
$V_{K-K_c}(\beta)$	$w = \frac{1}{2} \sum b_{i,-} b_{-i}^0 0\rangle$ dual under $K \leftrightarrow K_c$	$L_n \cdot b_{i,m} 0\rangle = -m b_{i,m+n} 0\rangle$	$V_{K-K_c}(\beta)_x = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{K-K_c,x} \otimes \mathbb{C}^1}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{K-K_c,x}} \mathbb{C} 0\rangle$
$V_{K-K_c}(\beta)$	$w = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum b_{i,-} b_{-i}^0 - \beta_{-2} \right) 0\rangle$ dual under $K \leftrightarrow K_c$	$L_n \cdot b_{i,m} 0\rangle = (-m b_{i,m+n} + n \delta_{n,-m}) 0\rangle$	$V_{K-K_c}(\beta)_x = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{K-K_c,x} \otimes \mathbb{C}^1}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{K-K_c,x}} \mathbb{C} 0\rangle$
M_σ	$w = \sum \alpha_{\alpha,-} \alpha_{\alpha,-}^*$	$L_n \cdot \alpha_{\alpha,m} 0\rangle = -m \alpha_{\alpha,m+n} 0\rangle$ $L_n \cdot \alpha_{\alpha,m}^* 0\rangle = -(m+n) \alpha_{\alpha,m+n} 0\rangle$	$M_{\sigma,x} = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{+,x} \otimes \mathbb{C}^1}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{+,x}} \mathbb{C} 0\rangle$ focus on this!

Where $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{K,x} \xrightarrow[\mathfrak{g}_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_x]{\cup} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes K_x)$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_x \xrightarrow[\mathfrak{f}_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_x]{\cup} \mathfrak{f}_x = (n_+ \otimes K_x \oplus n_+^* \otimes \Omega_{K_x})$

Def of $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{K-K_c,x}^{\widehat{\Omega}}$

$\widehat{\Omega}_{D_x}^{\beta} := \widehat{\Omega}_{D_x^0}^{G_m, \beta^{-1}} H^V = (\Omega_{D_x^0}^{G_m} H^V) / (w \cdot h) \sim (w, \beta^{-1}(t) h) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{C}^* \quad \text{is a } H^V \text{-bundle on } D_x^0$

Concretely

For any two coordinates $D_x \xrightarrow[s]{t} D$ where $s = \varphi(t)$ for $\varphi \in \text{Aut } D$

we get two sections of $\Omega_{D_x^0}$: dt and $ds = \varphi'(t) dt$

i.e. two trivializations of $\Omega_{D_x^0}$ w/ transition function $\varphi'(t)$

↪ two trivializations of $\widehat{\Omega}_{D_x^0}^{\beta}$ w/ transition function $\beta^{-1}(\varphi'(t))$

e.g. Recall Def 3.7 in Kotya's notes

For any $(F, \nabla, F_B^V) \in \mathcal{O}_{G^V}(X)$

i.e. F is a G^V -bundle

∇ is a connection on G^V

F_B^V is a B -reduction of G^V s.t. ∇, F_B^V are transversal.

i.e. $\nabla - \underline{\nabla}_{\underline{B}^V}' \in H^0(X, (\mathcal{F}_B^{B^V} \otimes \Omega_{X/B}) \otimes \Omega_X)$ gives non-zero sections of
 any connection
 of \mathcal{F}_B $(\mathcal{F}_B^{B^V} \otimes \Omega_{X/B}) \otimes \Omega_X$, α : simple roots

we have $\mathcal{F}_B^{B^V} \otimes H^V \cong \Omega_X^\rho$

Def $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow \text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta}) = \{ \lambda\text{-connections on } \Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta} \}$

Concretely Choose coordinate $D_x \xrightarrow[t]{s=\varphi(t)}$ D
 using corr. t

$$\text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta}) \cong \{ \lambda d + A(t)dt \mid A(t) \in \mathfrak{f}_t^V(t) \cong \mathfrak{f}_t^*(t) \}$$

using
coor. s

$$\{ \lambda d + A(s)ds \mid A(s) \in \mathfrak{f}_s^*(s) \}$$

For $\nabla = \lambda d + A(s)ds \in \text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0})$, $s = \varphi(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\partial_t}(dt) &= \nabla_{\partial_t}(\varphi'(t)^{-1}ds) = -\lambda \frac{\varphi''}{(\varphi')^2} \cdot ds + \varphi'^{-1} \cdot \nabla_{\varphi' \partial_s} ds \\ &= -\lambda \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'} dt + A \cdot ds = -\lambda \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'} dt + A \cdot \varphi' dt \\ \Rightarrow \nabla &= \lambda d - \lambda \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'} dt + A \cdot \varphi' dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda d + \lambda \varphi \cdot \frac{\varphi''(t)}{\varphi'(t)} dt + A(\varphi(t)) \varphi'(t) dt$$

\uparrow
 $\lambda d + A(s)ds$

In another word, we get $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta}) \cong \mathfrak{f}_t^*(t) dt$

$$\varphi(t) \cdot A(t) = \lambda \varphi \cdot \frac{\varphi''(t)}{\varphi'(t)} + A(\varphi(t)) \varphi'(t)$$

Varying $\lambda \mapsto \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta}) \in \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ fibered over \mathbb{C}

$$L_n \cdot (\lambda d + b_{i,m}^*) = -(m+n) b_{i,m+n}^* - n(n+i) \cdot \lambda \cdot g_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_t^* &\Rightarrow b_{i,m}^* \otimes t^{m-i} dt & g \otimes t^{n-i} dt \\ \mathfrak{f}_t &\Rightarrow \text{dual} & \mathfrak{f}_t^* \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \mathfrak{f}_t^*(t) dt & & \lambda d & \leftarrow & \lambda & \leftarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow & \text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta}) & \rightarrow & \text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta}) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & \downarrow & \\ & & & \{ (\)^* \text{ (continuous dual)} & & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow & \text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta})^* & \rightarrow & \text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta})^* & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & \mathbf{1} \cdot s + \mathbf{1}(\lambda d + \dots) = \lambda & & \mathfrak{f}_t^*(t) & \end{array}$$

Rmk Coordinate t gives splittings of both exact seq. (as vector spaces)

$\hookrightarrow \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \text{Fun}(\text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta}))$ (1)

$$L_n \cdot (\mu \cdot \mathbf{1} + b_{i,m}) = -m b_{i,m+n} + \delta_{n,-m} n(n+1) \cdot \mathbf{1}$$

$b_i \otimes t^m$

Under previously chosen coordinate t

Def $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{v,x}^{\Omega^{-\beta}} := \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{v,x} \approx \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_v$ equipped w/ $\text{Der}(\mathcal{O})$ action given by

$$\cdot L_n \cdot \mathbf{1} = 0, \quad L_n \cdot b_{i,m} = -m b_{i,m+n} + \delta_{n,-m} n(n+1) \cdot \mathbf{1} \quad (2)$$

Comparing (1), (2), we get a $\text{Der}(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant isom.

$$\text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta})^* \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} \hat{\mathfrak{f}}_v^{\Omega^{-\beta}}$$

Ex. The Lie algebra structure on $\text{Conn}_X(\Omega_{D_X^0}^{-\beta})$ induced from α is independent of coordinate t

Note we have an $\text{Der}(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{O}_x} &\subset \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\mathcal{O}_x}^{\Omega^{-3}} \\ \text{Conn}, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ})^\perp &\subset \text{Conn}, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ})^* \\ \hookrightarrow V_v(\mathfrak{f})_x &:= \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{O}_x} \oplus \mathbb{C}1}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\mathcal{O}_x}^{\Omega^{-3}}} \cdot \mathbb{C}|1\rangle, \quad \widetilde{U}_v(\mathfrak{f})_x := \widetilde{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\mathcal{O}_x}^{\Omega^{-3}})_{(1-)} \end{aligned}$$

With the chosen coordinate t , we have an $\text{Der}(\mathcal{O})$ -equiv. isom.

$$V_v(\mathfrak{f})_x \xrightarrow{\sim} V_v(\mathfrak{f})$$

Cor If $v=0$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_0^{\Omega^{-3}} \simeq (\text{Conn}, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ})^*)^*$ are abelian Lie alg.
(at critical level)

$$\widetilde{U}_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\mathcal{O}_x}^{\Omega^{-3}})_x = \text{Fun}(\text{Conn}, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ})^*)_{(1-)} = \text{Fun}(\text{Conn}, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ})^*) \quad (*)$$

$\nwarrow \mathbb{1}((\nabla, \lambda)) = \lambda$

Relation to Opers (Miura map)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: \text{Conn}, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ})^* &\simeq \text{Conn}, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ})^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P_G}(D_x^\circ) \\ \nabla &\longmapsto (\mathcal{F} := \Omega_{D_x^\circ}^{\Omega^{-3}} \times^{H^*} G^*, \nabla + p_{-1}, \mathcal{F}_B := \Omega_{D_x^\circ}^{\Omega^{-3}} \times^{H^*} B^*) \\ \text{where } p_{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^* \otimes K_x &\simeq H^*(D_x^\circ, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^* \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\circ}^{-1} \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\circ}) \simeq H^*(D_x^\circ, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ}^{\Omega^{-3}} \times^{H^*} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*) \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\circ}) \subset H^*(D_x^\circ, (\mathcal{F} \times^{G^*} \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_{D_x^\circ}) = \text{Conn}, (\mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_{P_G}(D_x^\circ)) \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} \widetilde{U}_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\mathcal{O}_x}^{\Omega^{-3}})_x$$

Watimoto modules

$$\text{We get } w_{k,x}: V_{k,x}(\mathfrak{g})_x \longrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{g},x} \otimes V_{k-k_c}(\mathfrak{f})_x \overset{\Omega^{-3}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{C}^{\pi_{k-k_c,x}^{\lambda, \Omega^{-3}}} := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{O}_x} \oplus \mathbb{C}1}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{\mathcal{O}_x}^{\Omega^{-3}}} \mathbb{C}|1\rangle =: W_{\lambda, k, x} \in \text{Mod}_{\widetilde{U}_x(\mathfrak{g})_x}$$

this is the Watimoto module of level k , highest weight λ

When $k=k_c$, $(*) \Rightarrow$

$$w_{k_c} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{U}_{k_c}(\mathfrak{g})_x \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_x^{\Omega} \otimes \text{Fun}(\text{Conn}, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ})^*)$$

For each $\nabla \in \text{Conn}, (\Omega_{D_x^\circ})^*$, we get

$$\widetilde{U}_{k_c}(\mathfrak{g})_x \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_x^{\Omega} \mathcal{C} M_{\mathfrak{g},x} =: W_{\nabla, x} \in \text{Mod}_{\widetilde{U}_{k_c}(\mathfrak{g})_x}$$

these are Watimoto modules at critical level