

Lecture 16: Tensor products, II.

1) Further discussion of tensor products.

2) Tensor-Hom adjunction.

Ref: [AM], Section 2.7

1) Further discussion of tensor products.

1.1) Tensor products of linear maps & functoriality.

Let M_1, M'_1, M_2, M'_2 be A -modules & $\varphi_i \in \text{Hom}_A(M_i, M'_i)$, $i=1,2$.

Goal: define A -linear map $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2: M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \rightarrow M'_1 \otimes_A M'_2$.

Consider: $M_1 \times M_2 \longrightarrow M'_1 \otimes_A M'_2$, $(m_1, m_2) \mapsto \varphi_1(m_1) \otimes \varphi_2(m_2)$

Exercise: This map is A -bilinear.

So it gives rise to an A -linear map $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2: M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \rightarrow M'_1 \otimes_A M'_2$ uniquely characterized by $\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2(m_1 \otimes m_2) = \varphi_1(m_1) \otimes \varphi_2(m_2)$ $\forall m_i \in M_i$.

Properties of tensor products of maps:

$$\bullet \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \text{id}_{M_2} = \text{id}_{M_1 \otimes_A M_2}$$

$$\bullet \text{Compositions: } M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M'_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi'_1} M''_1, M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} M'_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi'_2} M''_2$$

$(\varphi'_1 \varphi_1) \otimes (\varphi'_2 \varphi_2) = (\varphi'_1 \otimes \varphi'_2)(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2)$ b/c they coincide on generators (Sec 2.4 of Lec 15) $m_1 \otimes m_2$ of $M_1 \otimes_A M_2$.

So: we have the tensor product functor

$$A\text{-Mod} \times A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$$

Important exercise: Prove that $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \mapsto \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2$:

$$\text{Hom}_A(M_1, M'_1) \times \text{Hom}_A(M_2, M'_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M_1 \otimes_A M_2, M'_1 \otimes_A M'_2)$$

is A -bilinear (hint: check on generators of $M_1 \otimes_A M_2$)

1.2) "Algebra properties" of tensor products.

Theorem: Let M_1, M_2, M_3 be A -modules. Then:

- 1) There is a unique isomorphism $(M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \otimes_A M_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$ s.t. $(m_1 \otimes m_2) \otimes m_3 \mapsto m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$. (i.e. tensor product is associative).
- 2) $\exists!$ isom'm $M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2 \otimes_A M_1$ w. $m_1 \otimes m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_1$.
- 3) $\exists!$ isom'm $M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \oplus M_3) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \oplus M_1 \otimes_A M_3$ w. $m_1 \otimes (m_2, m_3) \mapsto (m_1 \otimes m_2, m_1 \otimes m_3)$
- 4) $\exists!$ unique isom'm $A \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ s.t. $a \otimes m \mapsto am$.

Proof: (1)

We want an A -linear map

$$\tilde{\beta}: (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \otimes_A M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3), (m_1 \otimes m_2) \otimes m_3 \mapsto m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$$

i.e. want a bilinear map $\beta: (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \times M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$

$$(m_1 \otimes m_2, m_3) \mapsto m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3).$$

Fix $m_3 \rightsquigarrow$ a linear map $M_2 \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_A M_3$, $m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_3$. Define

$\beta_{m_3}: M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$ to be the tensor product

of $\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes [m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_3]$ so $\beta_{m_3}(m_1 \otimes m_2) = m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3)$

Note that β_{m_3} depends linearly on m_3 (e.g. $\beta_{am_3} = a\beta_{m_3}$)

\rightsquigarrow A-bilinear map $\beta: (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \times M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3)$,
 $\beta(x, m_3) := \beta_{m_3}(x) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\beta}$ as needed.

$\tilde{\beta}$ is an isom'm: have $\tilde{\beta}' : M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \otimes_A M_3) \rightarrow (M_1 \otimes_A M_2) \otimes M_3$
 $m_1 \otimes (m_2 \otimes m_3) \mapsto (m_1 \otimes m_2) \otimes m_3$. It's inverse of $\tilde{\beta}$ b/c $\tilde{\beta}' \circ \tilde{\beta} = \text{id}$ &
 $\tilde{\beta} \circ \tilde{\beta}' = \text{id}$ on generators (tensor monomials). \square of (1).

(2) - commutativity - is an **exercise** & (4) - unit - follows from our construction.

Proof of (3) - distributivity: consider the projection

$\pi_i: M_2 \oplus M_3 \rightarrow M_i$, $i=2,3$; & inclusion $\iota_i: M_i \hookrightarrow M_2 \oplus M_3$
 $\rightsquigarrow \text{id}_{M_i} \otimes \pi_i: M_i \otimes_A (M_2 \oplus M_3) \xleftarrow{\sim} M_i \otimes_A M_i: \text{id}_{M_i} \otimes \iota_i$
 $(\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \pi_2, \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \pi_3): M_1 \otimes_A (M_2 \oplus M_3) \xleftarrow{\sim} M_1 \otimes_A M_2 \oplus M_1 \otimes_A M_3: (\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_2, \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_3)$
 $\text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_2(x) + \text{id}_{M_1} \otimes \iota_3(y) \longleftrightarrow (x, y)$

Exercise: check that these maps are mutually inverse. \square

2) Tensor-Hom adjunction.

The goal of this section is to prove that tensor product functors are left adjoint to Hom functors.

2.1) Basic setting.

Let L be an A -module. We can consider the following functors
 $A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}:$

1) $L \otimes_A \cdot$: that sends an A -module M to $L \otimes_A M$ & an A -linear map

$\psi: M \rightarrow M'$ to $\text{id}_L \otimes \psi: L \otimes_A M \rightarrow L \otimes_A M'$, also A -linear.

2) $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \cdot)$ defined exactly as $\text{Hom}_A(L, \cdot): A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ but viewed as a functor to $A\text{-Mod}$, which makes sense b/c for an A -linear map $\psi: M \rightarrow M'$, the map $\psi \circ ?: \text{Hom}_A(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(L, M')$ is A -linear (Prob 6 in HW1). Formally, $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \cdot) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{For} \circ \underline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \cdot)$, where For is the forgetful functor $A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$.

Preliminary Thm (tensor-Hom adjunction): $L \otimes_A \cdot$ is left adjoint to $\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(L, \cdot)$ (as functors $A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$).

2.2) General setting

It turns out that the same method gives left (and right) adjoint functors to pullback functors $\varphi^*: B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ (Sec 1.1 of Lec 12) for $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$, a homomorphism of commutative rings. These adjoints are important so we need to explore a more general setup.

Let L be a B -module (so also an A -module) & M be an A -module $\rightsquigarrow A$ -module $L \otimes_A M$.

Lemme: 1) There is a unique B -module str're on $L \otimes_A M$ s.t.
 $b(l \otimes m) = (bl) \otimes m \quad \forall b \in B, l \in L, m \in M$

2) If $\psi: M \rightarrow M'$ is an A -linear map, then $\text{id}_L \otimes \psi$ is a B -linear map $L \otimes_A M \rightarrow L \otimes_A M'$.

Proof: 1) Consider the map $\beta_b: L \times M \rightarrow L \otimes_A M$, $(l, m) \mapsto (bl) \otimes m$.

It's A -bilinear (*exercise*) so $\exists!$ A -linear map $\tilde{\beta}_b: L \otimes_A M \rightarrow L \otimes_A M$ s.t. $\tilde{\beta}_b(l \otimes m) = (bl) \otimes m$ ($\forall b \in B, l \in L, m \in M$). Define a map

$$B \times (L \otimes_A M) \rightarrow L \otimes_A M, (b, x) \mapsto \tilde{\beta}_b(x).$$

We claim that it defines a B -module structure on $L \otimes_A M$. This is a boring check of axioms using that $\tilde{\beta}_b$ is A -linear & $\text{Span}_A(l \otimes m) = L \otimes_A M$ (Sec 2.4 of Lec 15). For example, to check associativity, $(b_1 b_2)x = b_1(b_2x)$ it's enough to assume that $x = l \otimes m$. Then $(b_1 b_2)x = (b_1 b_2 l) \otimes m = b_1(b_2(l \otimes m)) = b_1(b_2x)$.

2) is left as an *exercise*. \square

This lemma gives us a functor $L \otimes_A: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$. On the other hand, we have a functor $\varphi^* \underline{\text{Hom}}_B(L, \cdot): B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$.

Thm (Tensor-Hom adjunction): The functor $L \otimes_A: A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ is left adjoint to $\varphi^* \underline{\text{Hom}}_B(L, \cdot): B\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$.

Proof: Let M be an A -module & N be a B -module. Our goal is to construct a natural bijection:

$$\eta_{M,N}: \underline{\text{Hom}}_B(L \otimes_A M, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Hom}}_A(M, \underline{\text{Hom}}_B(L, N)).$$

Take $\tilde{\tau} \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_B(L \otimes_A M, N)$. For $m \in M$, define $\psi_{\tilde{\tau}}(m): L \rightarrow N$, $l \mapsto \tilde{\tau}(l \otimes m)$; $\psi_{\tilde{\tau}}(m)$ is B -linear, e.g. $[\psi_{\tilde{\tau}}(m)](bl) = [\text{def'n of } \tilde{\tau}] = \tilde{\tau}(bl \otimes m) = [\text{def'n of } B\text{-action on } L \otimes_A M] = \tilde{\tau}(b(l \otimes m)) = [\tilde{\tau} \text{ is } B\text{-linear}] = b\tilde{\tau}(l \otimes m) = b([\psi_{\tilde{\tau}}(m)](l))$.

This gives a map $M \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_B(L, N)$, $m \mapsto \psi_{\tilde{\tau}}(m)$,

that is A -linear (*exercise*) so $\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_B(L, N))$. Define $\gamma_{M,N}$ by $\tilde{\tau} \mapsto \varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}$.

Now we produce an inverse. Take $\psi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_B(L, N))$. Consider the map $\tau_\psi: L \times M \rightarrow N$ by $\tau_\psi(l, m) = [\psi(m)](l)$. Then $\exists!$ A -Linear $\tilde{\tau}_\psi: L \otimes_A M \rightarrow N$. We claim that $\tilde{\tau}_\psi$ is actually B -linear: $\tilde{\tau}_\psi(bx) = b\tilde{\tau}_\psi(x) \forall b \in B, x \in L \otimes_A M$. Since $\text{Span}_A(L \otimes M) = L \otimes_A M$ & $\tilde{\tau}_\psi$ is A -linear, it's enough to assume $x = l \otimes m \Rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_\psi(b(l \otimes m)) = \tilde{\tau}_\psi((bl) \otimes m) = \tau_\psi(bl, m) = [\psi(m)](bl) = b([\psi(m)](l)) = b\tilde{\tau}_\psi(l, m) = b\tilde{\tau}_\psi(l \otimes m)$. So $\tilde{\tau}_\psi \in \text{Hom}_B(L \otimes_A M, N)$.

Now we show that the maps $\tilde{\tau} \mapsto \varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}$ & $\psi \mapsto \tilde{\tau}_\psi$ are inverse to each other:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}} = \tilde{\tau} &\Leftrightarrow \tilde{\tau}_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}(l \otimes m) = \tilde{\tau}(l \otimes m) \quad \forall l \in L, m \in M; \\ &\tilde{\tau}_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}(l \otimes m) = \tau_{\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}}(l, m) = \\ &= [\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}}(m)](l) = \tilde{\tau}(l \otimes m) \end{aligned} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\tilde{\tau}_\psi} = \psi &\Leftrightarrow [\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}_\psi}(m)](l) = [\psi(m)](l) \quad \forall l \in L, m \in M; \\ &[\varphi_{\tilde{\tau}_\psi}(m)](l) = \\ &\tilde{\tau}_\psi(l \otimes m) = \tau_\psi(l, m) = [\psi(m)](l) \end{aligned} \quad \checkmark$$

Let's check that the bijections $\gamma_{M,N}$'s make one diagram in the definition of adjoint functors (Sec 2.1 of Lec 14) commutative (the other is an *exercise*). Pick $\zeta \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M')$. We need to show the following

is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_B(L \otimes_A M', N) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{M',N}} & \text{Hom}_A(M', \text{Hom}_B(L, N)) \\ \downarrow ? \circ (\text{id}_L \otimes \zeta) & & \downarrow ? \circ \zeta \\ \text{Hom}_B(L \otimes_A M, N) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{M,N}} & \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_B(L, N)) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \xrightarrow{\quad} : \tilde{\tau} \mapsto [m \mapsto [\ell \mapsto \tilde{\tau} \circ (\text{id}_\ell \otimes \tilde{\gamma})(\ell \otimes m) = \tilde{\tau}(\ell \otimes \tilde{\gamma}(m))]] \\
 \xrightarrow{\quad} \downarrow \quad \parallel \\
 \downarrow : \tilde{\tau} \mapsto [m \mapsto [\rho_{M, N}(\tilde{\tau})](\tilde{\gamma}(m))] \quad \square
 \end{array}$$

71