

Hecke algebra/category. Part VI

1) Projective objects, cont'd.

1.0) Recap/goal:

Last time we have introduced category $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{X \in \Lambda/W} \mathcal{O}^X$. The irreducible objects in \mathcal{O} (resp. \mathcal{O}^X) are precisely the $L(\lambda)$'s w. $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (resp. $\lambda \in X$). In particular, if X is free (the most interesting case), it contains a unique dominant weight (exercise), say λ . Then $W \hookrightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathcal{O}^X)$ via $w \mapsto L(w \cdot \lambda)$. See Sec 1.3 of Lec 22 for proofs.

We have stated the following theorem in Sec 2 of Lec 22.

Theorem: Let X be free (for simplicity). Then \mathcal{O}^X has enough projectives: every (irreducible) object is a quotient of a projective.

We have seen that $\Delta(\lambda)$ is projective, Prop'n in Sec 2 of Lec 22.

Goal: • for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, define a reflection functor $\mathbb{H}_i : \mathcal{O}^X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^X$.
For $w = s_i \dots s_e$ ($\ell = \ell(w)$) show that $\mathbb{H}_{i_2} \dots \mathbb{H}_{i_\ell} \Delta(\lambda)$ is projective w. epimorphism onto $\Delta(w \cdot \lambda)$, hence $L(w \cdot \lambda)$.

Accomplishing this will prove the theorem.

1.1) Biadjoint functors and projectives.

Pick $X_1, X_2 \in \Lambda/W$. For additive functors $F: \mathcal{O}^{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{X_2}$, $G: \mathcal{O}^{X_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{X_1}$,

we say that \mathcal{F} & \mathcal{G} are biadjoint if we have natural (functorial in M_1, M_2) isomorphisms of abelian groups.

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^{X_1}}(M_1, GM_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^{X_2}}(\mathcal{F}M_1, M_2), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^{X_2}}(M_2, FM_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^{X_1}}(GM_2, M_1)$$

The reason why we care is as follows, Prob 2 in HW6 in 380, F21.

Fact: biadjoint \mathcal{F} & \mathcal{G} are exact and send projectives to projectives.

Here is our main example.

Proposition: Let V be a finite dimensional g -rep. Then

$$\mathcal{F} := (V \otimes \cdot)^{X_2}: \mathcal{O}^{X_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{X_2}, \quad \mathcal{G} := (V^* \otimes \cdot)^{X_1}: \mathcal{O}^{X_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{X_1}$$

are biadjoint.

taking generalized eigenspace for \mathbb{Z} -action

Proof: Let $M_i \in \mathcal{O}^{X_i}$. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^{X_2}}((V \otimes M_1)^{X_2}, M_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{Prop. in Sec 1.2 of Lec 22}],$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(V \otimes M_1, M_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{Prob 2.2 in HW3}]$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M_1, V^* \otimes M_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^{X_1}}(M_1, (V^* \otimes M_2)^{X_1}).$$

The other adjunction is proved in the same way. \square

1.2) Translation functors.

These are an important special case of functors in the proposition.

Pick $X_i \in \Lambda/W$ ($i=1,2$). $\exists! \lambda_i \in X_i$ s.t. $\lambda_i + \rho \in \Lambda_+$. Further, let μ denote

the unique dominant element in $W(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)$.

Definition: $T_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2} := (\mathcal{L}(\mu) \otimes \cdot)^{\lambda_2} : \mathcal{O}^{\lambda_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\lambda_2}$ is **translation functor**

A justification for this choice of μ : in many cases we can control what the functor does to Verma modules. We need two special cases.

Proposition: Suppose λ_1 is free. Then

- (a) $T_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2}(\Delta(w \cdot \lambda_1)) = \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_2)$, $\forall w \in W$.
- (b) $T_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2}(\Delta(w \cdot \lambda_1))$ has a filtration by $\Delta(wu \cdot \lambda_1)$ where u runs over $\text{Stab}_{W_1}(\lambda_2) = \{u \in W \mid u \cdot \lambda_2 = \lambda_2\}$; each $\Delta(wu \cdot \lambda_1)$ for such u occurs once.

Example (of b): i) $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = -p$: this is Prob. 4.1 in HW3.

Proof of Proposition: We'll prove (b) — (a) is similar but easier, we leave it as an **exercise**. By Prob 2 in HW3, $T_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2} \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_1) = (\mathcal{L}(\mu) \otimes \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_1))^{\lambda_2}$ has a filtration by Vermas as follows: we take all weights μ_1, \dots, μ_k of $\mathcal{L}(\mu)$ (with multiplicities) s.t.

$$w \cdot \lambda_2 + \mu_i \in W \cdot \lambda_1$$

& $\mu_i > \mu_j \Rightarrow i < j$ and then get a filtration

$$\{0\} = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_k = (\mathcal{L}(\mu) \otimes \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_1))^{\lambda_2} \text{ w. } M_i/M_{i-1} \simeq \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_2 + \mu_i)$$

To show that only $\Delta(wu \cdot \lambda_1)$ appear we need to check that:

$$\frac{M_i}{M_{i-1}} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda_1 + p) - w(\lambda_2 + p) \text{ for some } w \in W \Leftrightarrow \mu_i = wu(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \text{ w. } u \in \text{Stab}_{W_1}(\lambda_2).$$

Each $\Delta(w\cdot \lambda_i)$ must then appear once b/c $\dim L(\mu)_{w\cdot \mu} = 1 \nmid x \in W$.

To prove " \Leftrightarrow " we identify \mathfrak{f}^* w. $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \right\}$ and consider the bilinear form $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ on \mathfrak{f}^* . It's W -invariant. Set $|x|^2 = (x, x)$. It's enough to check

$$|\mu_i|^2 \leq |\mu|^2, \text{ w. equality } \Leftrightarrow \mu_i \in W\mu. \quad (1)$$

$$|w(\lambda_i + \rho) - w(\lambda_i + \rho)|^2 \geq |\mu|^2, \text{ w. equality } \Leftrightarrow w \cdot \lambda_i = w \cdot \lambda_1. \quad (2)$$

Proof of (1): can assume μ_i is dominant by replacing it w. a W -conjugate.

Since $\mu_i \leq \mu \Rightarrow (\mu_i, \mu - \mu_i) \geq 0 \Rightarrow |\mu|^2 \geq |\mu_i|^2 + |\mu_i - \mu|^2$. This shows (1).

Proof of (2): This reduces to showing $(\lambda_i + \rho, \lambda_i + \rho - w(\lambda_i + \rho)) \geq 0$ w. equality iff the 2nd argument is 0. Note that $\lambda_i + \rho \geq w(\lambda_i + \rho)$ so

$$\lambda_i + \rho - w(\lambda_i + \rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} m_j \alpha_j \text{ w. } m_j \geq 0$$

On the other hand the entries of $\lambda_i + \rho$ are strictly decreasing $\Rightarrow (\lambda_i + \rho, \alpha_j) > 0 \ \forall j = 1, \dots, n-1$. This proves (2) \square

Remarks: 1) The proof shows $(L(\mu') \otimes \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_1))^{\chi_1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow |\mu'|^2 \geq |\mu|^2$. This is another justification for our choice of μ' .

2) Consider special case: $\text{Stab}_{W_1}(\lambda_1) = \{1, s_i\}$, i.e., $(\lambda + \rho)_j \geq (\lambda + \rho)_{j+1}$ w. equality iff $i = j$. (6) tells us we have a SES

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_1) \rightarrow T_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2} \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_2) \rightarrow \Delta(w' \cdot \lambda_1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\{w', w''\} = \{w, ws_i\}$. By the properties of the filtration of

$L(\mu) \otimes \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_2)$ recalled in the proof, we have $w' \cdot \lambda_1 < w \cdot \lambda_1$. Since

$w'' = w's$; we have $w''\lambda_i = w'\lambda_i - ((\lambda_i + \rho)_i - (\lambda_i + \rho)_{i+1})(\varepsilon_{w'(i)} - \varepsilon_{w'(i+1)})$.
 So $w''\lambda_i < w'\lambda_i \iff w'(i) < w'(i+1) \iff \ell(w') < \ell(w'')$.

1.3) Reflection functors

Pick $\lambda \in \Lambda_+$ $\Rightarrow \text{Stab}_{W_r}(\lambda) = \{1\}$. Further for $i=1, \dots, n-1$, pick $\lambda_i \in \Lambda_+ \mid \lambda_i + \rho \in \Lambda_+$
 w. $\text{Stab}_{W_r}(\lambda_i) = \{1, s_i\}$. Define the reflection (a.k.a. wall-crossing)
 functor $\mathbb{H}_i := T_{\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda} \circ T_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_i} : \mathcal{O}^X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^X$ ($X = W \cdot \lambda$).

The following proposition accomplishes the goal in Section 1.0 and so
 completes the proof of the theorem.

Proposition: Let $w = s_{i_e} \dots s_{i_1} w$. $\ell = \ell(w)$. Then $\mathbb{H}_{i_e} \dots \mathbb{H}_{i_1} \Delta(\lambda)$ is a projective
 object that surjects onto $\Delta(w \cdot \lambda)$.

Proof: Induction on ℓ . The base, $\ell=0$, follows b/c $\Delta(\lambda)$ is projective. For
 the induction step, we observe that each $T_{? \rightarrow ?}$ admits a biadjoint by
 Proposition in Sec 1.1, hence sends projectives to projectives by Fact there.
 The claim that \mathbb{H}_{i_e} sends projectives to projectives follows. Also,
 \mathbb{H}_{i_e} is exact, as a composition of exact functors. Apply \mathbb{H}_{i_e} to
 $\mathbb{H}_{i_{e-1}} \dots \mathbb{H}_{i_1} \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(ws_{i_e} \cdot \lambda)$ getting $\mathbb{H}_{i_e} \dots \mathbb{H}_{i_1} \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{i_e} \Delta(ws_{i_e} \cdot \lambda)$
 $s_{i_e} \dots s_{i_{e-1}}$

By a) of Proposition in Sec 1.2, have $T_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_i} \Delta(ws_{i_e} \cdot \lambda) = \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_i)$. So
 $\mathbb{H}_{i_e} \Delta(ws_{i_e} \cdot \lambda) = T_{\lambda_{i_e} \rightarrow \lambda} \Delta(w \cdot \lambda_{i_e})$. By Remark 2 in Sec 1.2, have SES
 $0 \rightarrow \Delta(ws_{i_e} \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{i_e} \Delta(ws_{i_e} \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(w \cdot \lambda) \rightarrow 0$

So $\mathbb{H}_{i_e} \dots \mathbb{H}_{i_1} \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(w \cdot \lambda)$ and we are done \square

Example: $\sigma = \mathcal{S}_2^L$: $\lambda_1 = -1$. Take $\lambda = 0$. Then $(\mathbb{H}, \Delta(\lambda)) = (\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Delta(-1))$, compare to Problem 4 in HW3.

1.4) Comments

1) To prove the theorem, an easier argument will do, see [H3], Sec. 3.8. However the endofunctors $(\mathbb{H})_i$ are very important and will be used later as well.

2) Here's another application of translation functors: if $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{N}/W$ s.t. the unique elements $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{X}_i$ w. $\lambda_i + \rho \in \Lambda_+$ satisfy $\text{Stab}_{W_i}(\lambda_i) = \text{Stab}_{W_2}(\lambda_2)$, then $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2}: \mathcal{O}^{X_1} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{X_2}$: $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_2 \leftarrow \lambda_1}$ are mutually quasiinverse category equivalences, [H3], Sec 7.8. We care mostly about the case where the orbit is trivial. The equivalence above allows us to restrict to the case when $\lambda = 0$ (principal block).

3) Let $w \cdot \lambda_1$ be free. Then one can show ([H3], Secs 7.9) that $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2}(\mathcal{L}(w \cdot \lambda_1)) = \mathcal{L}(w \cdot \lambda_2)$ if $\ell(wu) \geq \ell(w) + u \in \text{Stab}_{W_1}(\lambda_1)$ and 0 else. This allows to reduce the computation of multiplicities of irreps in Verma's to the case of principal block \mathcal{O}^0 .

1.5) Endomorphisms of a projective generator.

Let $X \in \mathcal{N}/W$ be free. By a projective generator in \mathcal{O}^X we mean a projective object P s.t. $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P, L) \neq 0 \nexists L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{O}^X)$. Since $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{O}^X)$ is finite, Theorem in Sec 1.0 shows that a projective generator exists.

Set $A := \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P)^{\text{opp}}$. This is a finite dimensional algebra:
recall that all objects in \mathcal{O}^X have finite length (Sec 1.2 of Lec 22)
so $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(M, N) < \infty \nLeftrightarrow M, N \in \mathcal{O}_X$ (**exercise**).

Here's one reason to care about A . Notice that $\forall M \in \mathcal{O}^X$,
the vector space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P, M)$ carries a natural A -module structure
- by composition - and so $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P, \cdot)$ can be viewed as a functor
 $\mathcal{O}^X \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$, the category of finite dimensional A -modules.

Proposition: The functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^X}(P, \cdot): \mathcal{O}^X \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$ is a category equivalence (w. quasi-inverse $P \otimes_A \cdot$)

The proof is based on three facts: the \mathcal{O}^X has finitely many irreducibles, P is a projective generator, and all objects in \mathcal{O}^X have finite length, see [E], Section 6.3.

Example: $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{S}^k_2$, $\lambda = 0$. Then, by Example in Sec 1.3, we can take

$P = \Delta(0) \oplus \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Delta(-1)$. We have SES $0 \rightarrow \Delta(0) \rightarrow P \rightarrow \Delta(-2) \rightarrow 0$.

Note that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^0}(\Delta(0), \Delta(-2)) = 0$, while $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}^0}(\Delta(-2), \Delta(0)) \cong \mathbb{C}$, a nonzero element is an inclusion $\Delta(-2) \hookrightarrow \Delta(0)$.

Let $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_{-2}$ denote the identity elements in $\text{End}(\Delta(0)), \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Delta(-1))$ viewed as elements of $\text{End}(P)$, they are idempotents. Also consider the elements $\alpha: \Delta(0) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Delta(-1)$ and $\beta: \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Delta(-1) \rightarrow \Delta(-2) \hookrightarrow \Delta(0)$, again viewed as elements of $\text{End}(P)$. Note that $\gamma = \alpha\beta \neq 0$.

Exercise: The elements $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_{-2}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ form a basis in $\text{End}(P)$ and the product is recovered from

$$\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_{-2} = 1, \quad \varepsilon_0 \alpha = \alpha \varepsilon_{-2} = \beta \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_{-2} \beta = \beta \alpha = 0 \quad (\Rightarrow \alpha^2 = \beta^2 = 0, \alpha \varepsilon_0 = \alpha, \text{etc.}).$$