

Lecture 20.

1) TDO's vs Quantum Hamiltonian reduction

2) Quantizations of induced varieties.

1.0) **Introduction:** In this section we'll give an example of computation of Quantum Hamiltonian reduction. Consider the following situation. Let Y_0 be a smooth variety, H be an algebraic group and \tilde{Y}_0 is a principal H -bundle on Y_0 . Then H acts on $T^*\tilde{Y}_0$ and also on the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0}$. Both actions are Hamiltonian w. classical/quantum comoment map $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}} \mapsto \tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_{\tilde{Y}_0} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(\tilde{Y}_0)$ (note that we haven't discussed quantum Hamiltonian actions on sheaves. The claim of Example 2 in Sec 2.1 of Lec 19 is still true. In our case it reduces to the affine case b/c the morphism $\tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is affine (so $U \subset Y_0$ affine \Rightarrow so is $\pi^{-1}(U)$). The following proposition generalizes Example 1 in Sec 1.2 of Lec 13 (that deals w. $Y_0 = G/H$, $\tilde{Y}_0 = G$). We'll comment on a proof later.

Proposition: There's a natural symplectomorphism $T^*\tilde{Y}_0 \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H \xrightarrow{\sim} T^*Y_0$. Moreover, $\mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow T^*Y_0$ is a principal H -bundle.

Define a g -coh't sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H$ on Y_0 as follows: for $U \subset Y_0$ open affine set $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H(U) := \mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H$ & for inclusion $V \subset U$, the restriction map $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H(V)$ is induced by the restriction map $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0}(\pi^{-1}(V))$.

Lemma in Sec 2.3 of Lec 19 applies and so $\nexists \lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^H$, $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H$ is a filtered quantization of $T^*\tilde{Y}_0 \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H = T^*Y_0$, hence a sheaf of TDO. We want to identify this sheaf of TDO for $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}(H) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} (\subset \mathfrak{h}^{*H}$ via $d_i: \mathcal{X}(H) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}^*)^H$). Write $\lambda = z_1 x_1 + \dots + z_k x_k$. Then x_ℓ gives a line bundle on Y_0 , \mathcal{L}_{x_ℓ} , whose total space is $(\tilde{Y}_0 \times \underline{\mathbb{C}_{x_\ell}})/H$. Set $\mathcal{D}_{Y_0}^\lambda := \bigotimes_{\ell=1}^k \mathcal{L}_{x_\ell}^{\otimes z_\ell}$.

Theorem: We have an isomorphism of filtered quantizations

$$\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_0} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{Y_0}^\lambda.$$

We won't need the theorem, so we'll only prove it in the special case $\lambda = 0$.

1.1) Vector fields on Y vs \tilde{Y} .

Let $\pi: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be the natural morphism. Then $\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}})^H$.

We write $V_Y, V_{\tilde{Y}}$ for the sheaves of vector fields. We want to describe the former in terms of the latter, similarly to the description of functions above. Set $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{Y}} := \{ \xi_{\tilde{Y}} \mid \xi \in \mathcal{F} \} \subset V(\tilde{Y}) \rightsquigarrow$ subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{Y}} \subset V_{\tilde{Y}}$, these are exactly the vector fields tangent to the fibers of π . Note that for $U \subset Y$ (open affine), elements from $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{Y}}(\pi^{-1}(U))$ annihilate $\mathbb{C}[\pi^{-1}(U)]^H = \mathbb{C}[U] / (b/c \xi_{\tilde{Y}})$.

So, for $\xi \in V_{\tilde{Y}}(\pi^{-1}(U)) / \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{Y}}(\pi^{-1}(U))$, the differentiation $\xi: \mathbb{C}[U] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\pi^{-1}(U)]$ is well-defined. And H naturally acts on the quotient. For H -invariant ξ , we have $\xi(\mathbb{C}[U]) \subset \mathbb{C}[U]$. And $\xi: \mathbb{C}[U] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[U]$ is a derivation ([exercise](#)).

This gives rise to an \mathcal{O}_Y -linear map

$$r_Y: \pi_* (V_{\tilde{Y}} / \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{Y}})^H \longrightarrow V_Y, \xi \mapsto \xi|_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \quad (1)$$

Lemma: (1) is an isomorphism.

Sketch of proof: This is easy when $\tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} H \times Y$: here

$\pi'_* V_{\tilde{Y}} \simeq V_Y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[H] \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_H(H)$. In general, it's enough to check

(1) on an open (Zariski/etale) cover, where π trivializes. The case when $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is Zariski locally trivial is easy, this is the case in our applications, where $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is $G \rightarrow G/P$ or $G \rightarrow G/U$.

In general, let $\varphi: Z \rightarrow Y$ be an etale cover s.t.

$Z \times_Y \tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times H$. Then one checks that:

$V_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^* V_Y, (V_{Z \times H} / \mathcal{O}_{Z \times H})_{Z \times H}^H \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^*(V_{\tilde{Y}} / \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}})_{\tilde{Y}}^H$ &
 $R_Z = \varphi^* R_Y$. Then we use that φ^* is faithful & exact so if R_Z is an isomorphism, then so is R_Y . Details are *exercise*. \square

Now note that the Lie bracket on V_Y gives rise to a well-defined bracket on the l.h.s. of (1). Then (1) is an isom'm of Lie algebras. Details are also left as an *exercise*.

1.2) Theorem for $\lambda=0$

We now prove the theorem in the case when $\lambda=0$. Our goal is to construct a \mathcal{O}_Y -linear sheaf of algebras homomorphism $\mathcal{D}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} // H$, which turns out to be an isomorphism.

Recall that \mathcal{D}_Y is generated by \mathcal{O}_Y, V_Y . So we'll first construct \mathcal{O}_Y -linear maps $\mathcal{O}_Y, V_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} // H$.

Consider open affine $U \subset Y$. Consider the composition

$$\mathbb{C}[U] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\pi^{-1}(U)] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U))/\mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U))_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_Y}.$$

It's H -equivariant so the image is in $\mathcal{D} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H(U)$. This gives rise to the desired map $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H$.

Similarly, we have the map $V_{\tilde{Y}}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U))/\mathcal{D}(\pi^{-1}(U))_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_Y}$. It vanishes on $\mathbb{C}[\pi^{-1}(U)]_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_Y}$ and is H -equivariant. Using (1), we get the desired map $V_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H$.

Exercise: This is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Now that we've constructed maps $\mathcal{O}_Y, V_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H$

we need to check that they satisfy the relations of \mathcal{D}_Y .

That the sections of \mathcal{O}_Y multiply as in \mathcal{O}_Y is straightforward.

That $[\ell(\xi), \ell(f)] = \ell([\xi, f])$ follows from the construction of (1).

And $[\ell(\xi), \ell(\eta)] = \ell([\xi, \eta])$ follows from the previous exercise.

So we get an \mathcal{O}_Y -linear algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}} \mathbin{/\mkern-6mu/} H. \tag{2}$$

A check that it's an isomorphism we follow the same idea as in the proof of Lemma in the previous section.

• Case 1: $\tilde{Y}_o = Y_o \times H$. Then $\pi_* \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_o} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Y_o} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}(H)$,

$$\pi_* (\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_o} / \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_o} \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{Y}_o}) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Y_o} \otimes \mathcal{D}(H) / \mathcal{D}(H) \mathcal{H}_H \text{ &}$$

$\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{Y}_o} // H \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Y_o} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}(H) // H \simeq \mathcal{D}_{Y_o}$, w. (2) being the inverse of
the resulting identification.

• Case 2: general - we argue as in the proof of Lemma in
Sec 1.1.

Rem: Proposition in Sec 1.0 can be proved along the same lines.

2) Quantizations of induced varieties.

Recall that the induced variety $\text{Ind}_P^G(X_L)$ (w. $X_L = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[\tilde{Q}_L]]$)
is obtained as follows. Let $P = L \times U$ be a Levi decomposition.

We consider the action of L on G/U : $l \cdot (gU) = (gl^{-1}U)$, then

induced action on $T^*(G/U)$ and the diagonal action on

$T^*(G/U) \times X_L$. It's Hamiltonian w. moment map $\mu_L: ([g, \alpha], x) \mapsto -d|_P + \mu(x)$, where $\mu: X_L \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}^*$ is the moment map. Then

$$Y = \text{Ind}_P^G(X_L) := \mu_L^{-1}(0)/L = G \times_P \{(\alpha, x) | d|_P = \mu(x)\}.$$

Note that we have the projection $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} G/P$ and the
fiberwise \mathbb{C}^\times -action: $t \cdot [g, (\alpha, x)] = [g, (t^2 \alpha, t \cdot x)]$. The morphism π is

affine \rightsquigarrow we can replace Y w. the sheaf $\mathcal{R}_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ of graded Poisson algebras w. $\deg \{, \cdot\} = -2$. And we can talk about its filtered quantizations just as in Sec 2 of Lec 18 in the case of $T^* Y$. Our goal is: for $\lambda \in (\mathbb{C}/[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}])^*$ construct a quantization \mathcal{D}_λ of $\mathcal{R}_* \mathcal{O}_Y$.

We'll use quantum Hamiltonian reduction. For this, we need to start w. a quantization of $T^*(G/U) \times X_\lambda$ to be reduced.

The first factor is quantized by $\mathcal{D}_{G/U}$. Assume from now on that X_λ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial & terminal. Here's a fact whose proof we may give at some point later.

Fact: $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda]$ has a unique filtered quantization. Denote it by \mathcal{A}_λ

So consider $\mathcal{D}_{G/U} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ viewed as a sheaf of filtered algebras on G/U . We are going to equip it w. a Hamiltonian L -action lifting that on $\mathcal{R}_* \mathcal{O}_Y$. The group L acts on $\mathcal{D}_{G/U}$ w. quantum comoment map $\xi \mapsto \xi_{G/U}$. Now we need to establish a Hamiltonian action of L on \mathcal{A}_λ .

Its existence follows from:

Proposition: Let A be a positively graded Poisson algebra w. $\deg [;] = -d$. Let H be a simple group w. Hamiltonian action on A & comoment map $\varphi: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow A_d$. Let \mathcal{A} be a filtered quantization of A . Then $L \cap \mathcal{A}$ lifts to a Hamiltonian action w. quantum comoment map $\Phi: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$ w. $\Phi + \mathcal{A}_{\leq d-1} = \varphi$.

Proof: Essentially repeats its classical counterpart, Sec 1.1 of Lec 17. We can replace H w. a quotient to assume that $\varphi: \mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow A_d$. Then consider $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} :=$ preimage of \mathfrak{h} in $\mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$, a Lie subalgebra that fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\leq d-1} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow 0.$$

The ideal $\mathcal{A}_{\leq d-1}$ is nilpotent b/c $\deg [;] \leq -d$. So the SES splits. This gives a locally finite representation of \mathfrak{h} in \mathcal{A} by derivations. It lifts to a rational representation of a simply connected cover \tilde{H} of H on \mathcal{A} by automorphisms. Note that, by the construction, $\text{gr } \mathcal{A} \cong A$ is \tilde{H} -equivariant. Since $\tilde{H} \cap A$ factors through H , the same is true for $\tilde{H} \cap \mathcal{A}$. \square