

## Lecture 17

1)  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminalizations from induction, II.

Ref: [B], Ch. 1; [L1], Sec 4;

### 1.0) Reminder

We work to prove the following theorem, Sec 1.1 of Lec 16.

Thm 1: Let  $L$  be a minimal Levi in  $\mathcal{G}$  s.t  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \text{Ind}_L^{\mathcal{G}}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_L)$

Then  $Y = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{G}}(X_L)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminalization of  $X$   
 $(= \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}])$ .

We have reduced this to proving:

Thm 2: If  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  is birationally rigid (i.e. cannot be induced from a cover in a proper Levi). Then  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial & terminal, equivalently,  $K_X = \{0\}$ .

A key to proving Thm 2 is Namikawa's result on the existence of a universal graded Poisson deformation  $X_{\mathfrak{g}_X/W_X}$

of  $X$  over  $\mathcal{F}_X/W_X$ .

### 1.1) Lifting Hamiltonian actions.

Our 1st step in the proof is the following general result that allows to extend Hamiltonian actions to deformations.

Proposition: Let  $X$  be a conical symplectic singularity,  $G$  is a simply connected semisimple group w. Hamiltonian action on  $X$  that commutes w. the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action. Let  $X_Z$  be a graded Poisson deformation of  $X$  ( $Z = \text{Spec}(B)$  from Sec 1.6 of Lec 16). Then the  $G$ -action extends to a Hamiltonian  $G$ -action on  $X_Z$  that commutes w.  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  & makes  $X_Z \rightarrow Z$   $G$ -invariant.

Proof: We can reduce to the case when  $g \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$  (exercise).  $G$  &  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  commute, so we see that  $\{g, \cdot\}$  preserves the grading, hence  $g \subset \mathbb{C}[X]_d$  (where  $d = -\deg \{\cdot, \cdot\}$ ). Note that  $\mathbb{C}[X_Z]_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]_d$ . We claim that the kernel,  $K$ , is a nilpotent Lie algebra.

Indeed, an element  $f \in K$  is of the form  $\sum_i b_i f_i$  w.

$b_i \in \mathbb{C}[Z]_{d_i}$ ,  $0 \leq d_i < d$ ,  $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[X_Z]_{d-d_i}$  (we can take a graded basis

in  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ , say  $f_i, i \in I$ , then lift them to homogeneous  $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[X]_z$ , these elements generate the  $\mathbb{C}[z]$ -module  $\mathbb{C}[X]_z$  by graded Nakayama and we take any decomposition of  $f$ ). The bounds on the degrees are b/c all gradings are positive.

The elements  $b_i$  are Poisson central in  $\mathbb{C}[X]_z$ , from the definition. So  $\{\sum_i b_i f_i, \sum_j b_j f'_j\} = \sum_{i,j} b_i b'_j \{f_i, f'_j\}$ . Note that  $\min\{\deg b_i b'_j\} > \min\{\deg b_i\}$ . From here we deduce that the  $d+1$ -fold brackets vanish on  $K$ .

Also note that  $\dim K < \infty$ . Let  $\tilde{g}$  be the preimage of  $g \subset \mathbb{C}[X]_d$  in  $\mathbb{C}[X]_z$ , so that we have a Lie algebra SES

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \tilde{g} \rightarrow g \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $K$  is nilpotent, Levi's thm ([B], Ch. 1, Sec 6.8) shows that the SES splits and so we have an embedding

$g \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]_z$  lifting  $g \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]_d$ . The representation of  $g$  in  $\mathbb{C}[X]_z$  by taking  $\{;\cdot\}$  preserves  $\mathbb{C}[X]_{\leq k} \# K$ . These spaces are finite dimensional again by the positivity of the grading.

So the  $g$ -action of  $\mathbb{C}[X]_z$  integrates to  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since  $g$  acts by derivations,  $\mathcal{L}$  acts by automorphisms giving  $\mathcal{L} \cap X_z$ . To show that this action is Hamiltonian & finish the proof is left as

on exercise.

□

Rem: The condition that  $G$  is simply connected can be removed (exercise).

## 1.2) Structure of deformation $X_\lambda$ .

Let  $\tilde{O}$  be a  $G$ -equivariant cover of a nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Suppose  $\mathfrak{h}_x \neq \{0\}$ . We pick a nonzero element  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_x^*$ .

Consider the natural morphism  $\mathbb{C}\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_x/W_x$ , it's  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant.

So, we can form the graded deformation  $X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}$  of  $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}]$ .

By Proposition in Sec 1.1, we have a Hamiltonian action of

$G$  on  $X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}$  commuting w. the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action & the moment map

$\mu: X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant, where  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by

$t \cdot \alpha = t^2 \alpha$ . Let  $\gamma: X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\lambda$  be the natural morphism. Our next goal is to describe  $X_\lambda$ .

Lemma 1)  $\forall z \neq 0$ ,  $X_{z\lambda}$  has a unique open  $G$ -orbit of the same dimension as  $\dim \tilde{O}$ , denote it by  $\tilde{O}_z$ .

2)  $(\mu, \rho): X_{C^\lambda} \rightarrow g^* \times \mathbb{C}\lambda$  is finite, hence  $\mu: X_\lambda \rightarrow g^*$  is finite;  
 moreover,  $\mu(\tilde{O}_\lambda) =: O_\lambda$  is an orbit &  $\mu: \tilde{O}_\lambda \rightarrow O_\lambda$  is a cover.

3)  $O_\lambda$  is not nilpotent.

Proof: 1): repeats that of Case 3 in Sec 2.2 of Lec 14:  
 use that  $\dim X = \dim X_{z_\lambda}$  as the deformation is flat & that the  
 locus of points in  $X_{C^\lambda}$  w. maximal orbit dimension is open, &  
 that  $X_{z_\lambda}$  is irreducible, equiv.  $\mathbb{C}[X_{z_\lambda}]$  is a domain - the  
 latter follows from  $\text{gr } \mathbb{C}[X_{z_\lambda}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X]$ .

2):  $\mu: X \rightarrow g^*$  is finite  $\Rightarrow$  [graded Nakayama, exercise]  
 $(\mu, \rho): X_{C^\lambda} \rightarrow g^* \times \mathbb{C}\lambda$  is finite  $\Rightarrow \mu: X_\lambda \rightarrow g^*$  is finite.

And  $\mu(\tilde{O}_\lambda)$  is a  $G$ -orbit &  $\tilde{\mu}: \tilde{O}_\lambda \rightarrow \mu(\tilde{O}_\lambda)$  is a cover b/c  $\tilde{O}_\lambda$  carries  
 a transitive Hamiltonian action, see Sec 1.2 of Lec 3.

3) Assume  $O_\lambda$  is nilpotent  $\Rightarrow O_{z_\lambda} = z_\lambda O_\lambda = O_\lambda \Rightarrow O \subset \mu(X_{C^\lambda}) = \tilde{O}_\lambda$ :  
 $\dim O = \dim X = \dim X_\lambda = \dim O_\lambda \Rightarrow O = O_\lambda$ . By 2), the morphism  
 $X_{C^\lambda} \xrightarrow{(\mu, \rho)} \tilde{O}_\lambda \times \mathbb{C}\lambda$  is finite; it's  $G \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant & Poisson.

**HW problem 1:** We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times \mathbb{C}\lambda & \longrightarrow & X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{C}\lambda & & \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrow is a  $\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant Poisson isomorphism.

So  $X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \simeq \mathbb{C}\lambda \times_{\mathcal{O}_X/W_X} X_{\mathcal{O}_X/W_X}$  for the zero map  $\mathbb{C}\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X/W_X$ . But the map  $\mathbb{C}\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X/W_X$  s.t.  $\exists$  isom'm  $X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\lambda \times_{\mathcal{O}_X/W_X} X_{\mathcal{O}_X/W_X}$  is unique by Namikawa's thm in Sec 1.6 of Lec 16. Since  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we arrive at a contradiction.  $\square$

We proceed to giving an explicit description of  $X_\lambda$ .

**HW problem 2:** The inclusion  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda \hookrightarrow X_\lambda$  yields  $\mathbb{C}[X_\lambda] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda]$ .

We'll need an equivalent description. We use a construction from Sec 1.3 in Lec 15. Take  $\bar{s} \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$  and let

$L := Z_G(\bar{s})$  &  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_L := \mu^{-1}(\bar{s} + \mathcal{O}_L)$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_L \subset L^*$  is the nilpotent

orbit corresponding to  $\tilde{O}_\lambda$ .

Recall, Sec 1.3 of Lec 15, that  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}_\lambda] \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_{\tilde{\xi}_\lambda} = G \times^L (\tilde{\xi}_\lambda \times X_\lambda) (= G \times^P \{(d, x) \in (g/h)^* \times X_\lambda \mid d|_p = f(x) + \tilde{\xi}_\lambda\})$ . This is a  $G$ -equivariant Poisson isomorphism intertwining the moment maps. So we have  $X_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_{\tilde{\xi}_\lambda}$  w. the same properties. Here's the description of  $X_\lambda$  that we need:

$$Y_{\tilde{\xi}_\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_\lambda \tag{1}$$

### 1.3) Identification of $\tilde{O}$ w. $\text{Ind}_L^G(\tilde{O}_\lambda)$ .

Finally, we identify  $\tilde{O}$  w.  $\text{Ind}_L^G(\tilde{O}_\lambda)$ . Identify  $\mathbb{C}\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$  w.  $\mathbb{C}\lambda$  by sending  $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda$  to  $\lambda$ . Thx to (1), we have a  $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant Poisson morphism that intertwines the maps to  $g^* \times \mathbb{C}\lambda$

$$\mathbb{C}^* \times_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} Y_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \longrightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \tag{2}$$

More precisely, we use  $\mathbb{C}^* \times Y_{\tilde{\xi}_\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^* \times X_\lambda$  & the actions of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (and  $G$ ) on  $Y_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}, X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}$  to deduce  $\mathbb{C}^* \times_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} Y_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^* \times_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}$ , yielding (2) (there are some technicalities swept under rug).

By 2) of Lemma,  $X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \rightarrow g^* \times \mathbb{C}\lambda$  is finite, hence

proper. Apply the valuative criterium of properness (Hartshorne's book, Chapter 2, Sec. 4) to the rational map

$$Y_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \dashrightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda} \quad (3)$$

We see that (3) extends (uniquely) to a morphism  $p$ :

$Y_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}^o \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}$ , where  $Y_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}^o \subset Y_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}$  is the domain of def'n of (3), it's open & the complement has  $\text{codim} \geq 2$ .  $G$ -equivariance of (2)  $\Rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}^o$  is  $G$ -stable  $\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}' := \text{Ind}_L^G(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) \subset Y_{\mathbb{C}\lambda}^o$ . Also  $p$  intertwines the morphisms to  $g^* \times \mathbb{C}\lambda$ . Both  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'$  are covers of orbits of  $\dim = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$  via  $\mu$ . So  $\dim \tilde{\mathcal{O}}' = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ .

Hence  $p: \tilde{\mathcal{O}}' \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  is a cover  $\hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}] \xrightarrow{G} \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}']$ .

On the other hand, we have  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}] \cong \text{gr } \mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda}]$  (Sec. 1.6 of Lec 16) &  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'] \cong [\text{Sec 2 of Lec 14}] \cong \text{gr } \mathbb{C}[Y_{\lambda}]$ . These are  $G$ -linear isomorphisms. By (1),  $\mathbb{C}[Y_{\lambda}] \cong \mathbb{C}[X_{\lambda}]$ . So  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}] \cong_G \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}']$ . Note that  $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}], \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}']$  are rational. Now  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'$  follows from

*Claim:* Let  $H \subset G$  be an algebraic subgroup. Then  $\mathbb{C}[G/H]$  is finite dimensional.  $G$ -irrep  $V$  have  $\text{Hom}_G(V, \mathbb{C}[G/H]) \cong (V^*)^H$ , so is finite dimensional.

Sketch of proof: By the algebraic version of the Peter-Weyl thm,  $\mathbb{C}[G] \xrightarrow{\sim}_{\mathbb{C} \times G} \bigoplus_{U} U \otimes U^*$ , where the sum is taken over all  $G$ -irreps  $U$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}[G/H] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[G]^H \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{U} U \otimes (U^*)^H$  and the claim follows.  $\square$

Conclusion: Our assumption was  $\mathcal{K}_X \neq \{0\}$ . We see that  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  is not birationally rigid. So, if  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  is birationally rigid, then  $\mathcal{K}_X = \{0\}$ . This finishes the proof of Thm 2.

Remark: The morphism  $Y_{\mathbb{C}^2}^\circ \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}^2}$  extends to  $Y_{\mathbb{C}^2} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}^2}$  b/c  $\mathbb{C}[Y_{\mathbb{C}^2}] = \mathbb{C}[Y_{\mathbb{C}^2}^\circ]$  (by Hartogs) &  $X_{\mathbb{C}^2}$  is affine. Once we know that  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}$ , we see that  $Y_{\mathbb{C}^2}^\circ \hookrightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}^2}$  (as sets), in fact, this is an open embedding. From here we deduce  $X_{\mathbb{C}^2} \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[Y_{\mathbb{C}^2}]$ .