

## Lecture 26: Connections to Algebraic Number theory II

- 1) Unique factorization for ideals, cont'd
- 2) Class group.

[N] Sec 1.3, Sec 1.6.

- 1) Unique factorization for ideals, cont'd

### 1.0) Reminder.

Recall (Sec 1.1 of Lec 25) that a Dedekind domain is a normal Noetherian domain where every nonzero prime ideal is maximal. Our goal in this section is to prove.

**Theorem:** Let  $A$  be a Dedekind domain &  $I \subset A$  a nonzero ideal. Then  $\exists$  prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k$  unique up to permutation |  $I = \mathfrak{p}_1 \dots \mathfrak{p}_k$ .

Here is a tool from last time. Set  $K = \text{Frac}(A)$ . For ideals  $I, J \subset A$  w.  $I, J \neq \{0\}$ , define:

$$J^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xJ \subset A\}, IJ^{-1} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i \mid a_i \in I, b_i \in J^{-1} \right\}.$$

Then  $J^{-1}$  &  $IJ^{-1}$  are  $A$ -submodules of  $K$  &  $J^{-1} = AJ^{-1}$ .

We have proved:

**Proposition:** We have  $I \not\subset \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$  for every nonzero prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Corollary:**  $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{-1} = A$  & for any ideal  $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$  we have  $I\mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subset A$  (hence  $I\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$  is an ideal).

Proof:  $I\beta^{-1} \subset \beta\beta^{-1}$  b/c  $I \subset \beta$  &  $\beta\beta^{-1} \subset A$  by definition of  $\beta^{-1}$ . By Proposition,  $\beta \neq \beta\beta^{-1}$  and since  $\beta$  is maximal,  $\beta\beta^{-1} = A$ .  $\square$

### 1.1) Proof of Theorem

Existence: assume the contrary: there's a nonzero ideal  $I \subset A$  that is not a product of primes. Since  $A$  is Noetherian we can choose  $I$  to be maximal w. this property. We can find a nonzero prime (=maximal)  $\beta$  w.  $I \subset \beta$ .

Take  $I' := I\beta^{-1}$ . By Corollary  $I'$  is an ideal & by Proposition  $I' \supseteq I$ . By the choice of  $I$ ,  $I' = \beta_1 \dots \beta_e$  for some primes  $\beta_1 \dots \beta_e \Rightarrow I'\beta = \beta_1 \dots \beta_e \beta$ . So the following claim yields the proof of existence.

Claim:  $I = I'\beta$ .

Proof of Claim:  $I'\beta = (I\beta^{-1})\beta = [\sum_j a_j b_j c_j \mid a_j \in I, b_j \in \beta^{-1}, c_j \in \beta] = I(\beta^{-1}\beta) = [p^{-1}\beta = A \text{ by Corollary}] = I$   $\square$

Uniqueness: suppose  $\beta_1 \dots \beta_e = q_1 \dots q_k$ , where  $\beta_1 \dots \beta_e, q_1 \dots q_k$  are maximal ideals. Since  $\beta_1 \dots \beta_e = q_1 \dots q_k \subset q_k$  &  $q_k$  is prime  $\Rightarrow \beta_i \subset q_k \Rightarrow \beta_i = q_k$  for some  $i$ . W.l.o.g.  $i = l$ . Then we have  $\beta_1 \dots \beta_{l-1} \beta_l^{-1} = q_1 \dots q_k q_k^{-1}$ , ideals in  $A$  by Corollary.

We claim that if ideal  $I \subset A$  we have  $(I\beta)\beta^{-1} = I$  - this is proved as Claim & is left as an exercise. So we get

$$\beta_1 \dots \beta_{l-1} = \beta_1 \dots \beta_l \beta_l^{-1} = q_1 \dots q_k q_k^{-1} = q_1 \dots q_{k-1}.$$

Now we can proceed by induction on  $l$  - cancelling out factors.  $\square$

## 2) Class groups

An important consequence of Thm in Sec 1.0 is that it paves a way to "measure" the failure of being a UFD for a Dedekind domain,  $A$ , via the so called class group,  $\text{Cl}(A)$ .

### 2.1) Fractional ideal.

**Definition:** By a **fractional ideal** for  $A$  we mean a finitely generated submodule of  $\text{Frac}(A)$ . We say that a fractional ideal is **principal** if it's generated by one element.

For example, the ideals are exactly the fractional ideals contained in  $A$ .

**Lemma:** Every fractional ideal  $I$  is contained in a principal one, hence is isomorphic to an ideal of  $A$  (as an  $A$ -module).

**Proof:** Let  $I = \text{Span}_A \left( \frac{a_1}{b_1}, \dots, \frac{a_k}{b_k} \right)$  w.  $\frac{a_i}{b_i} \in \text{Frac}(A)$ . Then  $I \subset A\beta$  w.  $\beta = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{b_i}$ . The map  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha\beta^{-1}$  embeds  $I$  into  $A$ , identifying  $I$  w. ideal.  $\square$

### 2.2) Group structure

Let  $\text{FI}(A)$  denote the set of nonzero fractional ideals for  $A$  (not the common notation). Let  $\text{PFI}(A)$  denote the subset of all (nonzero) principal fractional ideals.

For  $I, J \in FI(A)$  we set

$$IJ := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \beta_i \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}, \alpha_i \in I, \beta_i \in J \right\}, \quad J^{-1} = \{\lambda \in \text{Frac}(A) \mid \lambda J \subset A\}$$

Lemma:  $IJ$  &  $J^{-1}$  are fractional ideals, principal if  $I$  &  $J$  are.

Proof:

We will prove that  $J^{-1} \in FI(A)$ , everything else is an **exercise**.

To show that  $J^{-1}$  is an  $A$ -submodule is also an **exercise**. To show  $J^{-1}$  is finitely generated, pick  $\alpha \in J \setminus \{0\}$ . We have  $\beta \in J^{-1} \Rightarrow \alpha\beta \in A \Leftrightarrow \beta \in A\alpha^{-1}$ . So  $J^{-1} \subset A\alpha^{-1}$  and is finitely generated as a submodule in a finitely generated module.  $\square$

Theorem: The operation  $(I, J) \mapsto IJ$  turns  $FI(A)$  into an abelian group with unit  $A$  and inverse given by  $I \mapsto I^{-1}$ .

Proof: It is immediate to check that the product is associative, commutative & has  $A$  as a unit (**exercise**). It remains to show  $II^{-1} = A$ . Note that  $\forall \lambda \in \text{Frac}(A) \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $(\lambda I)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} I^{-1}$  so we can assume  $I \subset A$ . Note that  $II^{-1} \subset A$ . Thx to Thm in Sec 1.0, we can write  $I = \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$  for maximal ideals  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \subset A$ . We prove  $II^{-1} = A$  by induction on  $k$ . The base,  $k=1$ , is Corollary in Sec 1.0.

In the general case, set  $\beta := \beta_k$ ,  $J = I\beta^{-1}$ . By Claim in Section 1.1,  $I = J\beta$ . The uniqueness part of Thm shows  $J = \beta, \dots, \beta_{k-1}$ . Therefore, we can apply the inductive assumption to see

that  $JJ^{-1} = A$ . Note that  $IJ^{-1}\beta^{-1} = JJ^{-1}\beta\beta^{-1} = A \Rightarrow J^{-1}\beta^{-1} \subset I^{-1} \Rightarrow II^{-1} \supset IJ^{-1}\beta^{-1} = A$ . Hence,  $II^{-1} = A$  finishing the proof.  $\square$

Note that  $PFI(A) \subset FI(A)$  is a subgroup.

**Definition:** The **class group** of  $A$  is  $FI(A)/PFI(A)$ .

**Lemma:** TFAE:

- (a)  $A$  is UFD.
- (b)  $A$  is PID.
- (c)  $Cl(A) = \{0\}$ .

**Proof:** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Thx to Thm in Sec 1.0, it's enough to show that maximal ideal  $\beta$  is principal. Pick  $a \in \beta$ , and decompose it into the product of prime elements:  $a = p_1 \dots p_k$ . Then  $\exists i \mid p_i \in \beta$ . Since  $(p_i)$  is a nonzero prime,  $(p_i)$  is a maximal ideal so  $\beta = (p_i)$  finishing the proof.

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c): is an **exercise** (use that any fractional ideal is isomorphic to an ideal as an  $A$ -module, Lemma in Sec 2.1), and (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is standard.  $\square$

So,  $Cl(A)$  measures how far  $A$  is from being UFD/PID.

2.3) Bonus: Class groups of rings of algebraic integers.

The following is Theorem 6.3 in [N], Chapter I.

Theorem: Let  $L$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $|\text{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}^L)| < \infty$ .

To get a better understanding of  $\text{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}^L)$  is an important problem in Number theory, even for  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  (which goes back to Gauss), where even some basic things are not known. For a survey of recent developments one can check

A. Bhend, M.R. Murty "Class numbers of quadratic fields,"  
Hardy-Ramanujan journal 42 (2019), 1-9.

2.4) Bonus: Class groups of algebras of functions on smooth affine curves.

Another class of Dedekind domains is the algebras  $\mathbb{F}[X]$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is an algebraically closed field &  $X \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  is an irreducible algebraic subset, which is a "smooth curve" (to be elaborated on in a bonus lecture). The class group of  $\mathbb{F}[X]$  behaves very differently from the case of algebraic integers (e.g. if it's nonzero, then it's not finitely generated). We'll discuss more of this in the remaining bonus lecture.

2.5) Bonus: Generalization - Picard group.

One question is how to generalize  $\text{Cl}(A)$  from the case when  $A$  is

a Dedekind domain to the case of more general rings. There are two possible generalizations:

- The class group  $\text{Cl}(A)$  that makes sense for general normal Noetherian domain  $A$  that measures the failure of  $A$  to be a UFD, and is a more direct generalization.
- The Picard group  $\text{Pic}(A)$  that makes sense for a general ring (and even generalizes to noncommutative rings), that looks quite differently but coincides with  $\text{Cl}(A)$  for "regular domains" of which Dedekind domains are a special case.

The group  $\text{Pic}(A)$  nicely connects to our study of tensor products, and, to an extent, to Category theory, so we are going to sketch necessary definitions. We say that an  $A$ -module  $M$  is **invertible**  $\exists$  an  $A$ -module  $M'$  s.t.  $M' \otimes_A M \simeq A$ . Let  $\text{Pic}(A)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of invertible  $A$ -modules. The tensor product operation makes  $\text{Pic}(A)$  into an abelian group.

Here's a categorical significance of  $\text{Pic}(A)$ . Tensoring with an  $A$ -module gives a functor  $A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ . This functor is a category equivalence (see Bonus to Lec 13) iff  $M$  is invertible. Moreover, all category equivalences of  $A\text{-Mod}$  preserving a suitable structure (the  $A$ -linear structure, a variant of the additive structure, see Bonus to Lec 18) come from tensoring w. an invertible module. Oversimplifying a bit, one can say that  $\text{Pic}(A)$  is the group of symmetries of the  $A$ -linear category  $A\text{-Mod}$ .