

EXERCISE SHEET. MICROLOCALIZATION

1. COMPLETED REES ALGEBRA

Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{Z} -filtered algebra. Set $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A}) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{\leq i} \hbar^i \subset \mathcal{A}[\hbar^{\pm 1}]$.

a) Show that $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})$ is a graded $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -subalgebra in $\mathcal{A}[\hbar^{\pm 1}]$ (the degree is with respect to \hbar). Identify $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})/(\hbar)$ with $\text{gr } \mathcal{A}$ and $R_{\hbar}(\mathcal{A})/(\hbar - a)$ with \mathcal{A} for $a \neq 0$.

We also consider the completed Rees algebra $R_{\hbar}^{\wedge}(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{\leq i} \hbar^i$ so that $R_{\hbar}^{\wedge}(\mathcal{A})$ is complete and separated in the \hbar -adic topology and carries a \mathbb{C}^{\times} -action by \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms with $t \cdot \hbar = t\hbar$. This action is rational on all quotients mod \hbar^k .

Now let \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebra that is complete and separated in the \hbar -adic topology that comes equipped with a \mathbb{C}^{\times} -action by \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms that is rational on all quotients $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}/(\hbar^k)$ and satisfying $t \cdot \hbar = t\hbar$. If $A := \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}/(\hbar)$ is commutative and finitely generated, we will call \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} a *graded formal quantization* of A . We define $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}$ as the span of all elements $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$ with $t \cdot a = t^i a$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

b) Prove that $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}$ is a graded subalgebra of \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} that is dense in the \hbar -adic topology and satisfies $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}/(\hbar) = A$.

c) Prove that $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}/(\hbar - 1)$ is a filtered quantization of A .

d) Prove that the maps $\mathcal{A} \mapsto R_{\hbar}^{\wedge}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}/(\hbar - 1)$ are mutually inverse bijections between filtered quantizations and graded formal quantizations.

2. (MICRO)LOCALIZATION FOR FORMAL QUANTIZATIONS

Let \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} be a formal quantization of A (we do not require the presence of \mathbb{C}^{\times} -actions/gradings, A is just required to be a finitely generated commutative algebra). We are going to sheafify \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} in the Zariski topology on $\text{Spec}(A)$.

a) Let $f \in A$ be a nonzero divisor and let $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{A}_k := \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}/(\hbar^k)$ be a lift of f . Show that $[\hat{f}, \cdot]^k = 0$ and deduce from here that every left fraction by \hat{f} is also a right fraction. Show that the localization $\mathcal{A}_k[\hat{f}^{-1}]$ (defined by the same universality property as in the commutative case) makes sense and is independent of the choice of the lift. We will denote this localization by $\mathcal{A}_k[f^{-1}]$.

b) Show that the algebras $\mathcal{A}_k[f^{-1}]$ form an inverse system. Further show that $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}[f^{-1}] := \varprojlim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_k[f^{-1}]$ is a formal quantization of $A[f^{-1}]$.

c) Establish a natural homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}[f^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}[(fg)^{-1}]$.

d) Show that \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} naturally sheafifies to a sheaf \mathcal{D}_{\hbar} on $\text{Spec}(A)$. Show that $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_{\hbar}) = \mathcal{A}_{\hbar}$.

Note that if \mathcal{A}_{\hbar} is graded, then $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar}[f^{-1}]$ is graded provided f is \mathbb{C}^{\times} -semiinvariant. So we can get the microlocalization of $\mathcal{A}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}/(\hbar - 1)$ by taking the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}/(\hbar - 1)$ that makes sense in the conical topology.

e) Work out the details.

3. COHERENT MODULES OVER FORMAL QUANTIZATIONS

Let \mathcal{D}_\hbar be a formal quantization of a Poisson scheme X . We say that a \mathcal{D}_\hbar -module M_\hbar is coherent if it is complete and separated in the \hbar -adic topology and $M_\hbar/\hbar M_\hbar$ is a coherent sheaf on X .

a) Suppose that there is an open covering $X = \bigcup_i X^i$ such that $M_\hbar|_{X^i}$ is coherent. Then M_\hbar is coherent.

Now suppose that X comes with a \mathbb{C}^\times -action as before and $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}_{\hbar, \text{fin}}/(\hbar - 1)$.

b) Show that a filtered \mathcal{D} -module M is coherent with a good filtration if and only if $R_\hbar^\wedge(M)$ is a coherent \mathcal{D}_\hbar -module.

4. MICROLOCALIZATION OF MODULES

Let \mathcal{A} be a filtered quantization of A and $f \in A$. Our goal here is to define the localization functor $M \mapsto M[f^{-1}]$.

a) Assume that M is equipped with a good filtration. Emulate the procedure in Exercise 2 to define $M[f^{-1}]$ and check that this space has a natural $\mathcal{A}[f^{-1}]$ -module structure. Furthermore check that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{A}[f^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} M \xrightarrow{\sim} M[f^{-1}]$ so that $M[f^{-1}]$ is independent of the choice of a filtration.

b) Check that the functor $M \mapsto M[f^{-1}]$ is exact.

c) Check that M sheafifies in the conical topology on $X := \text{Spec}(A)$.

5. QUOTIENT CATEGORIES

Let, in the notation of the previous exercise, X^0 be an open \mathbb{C}^\times -stable subset of X . Let $\mathcal{A}|_{X^0}$ denote the restriction of the microlocalization of \mathcal{A} to X^0 , a quantization of X^0 .

Show that $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{A}|_{X^0})$ is a quotient category of $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ by the full subcategory of modules supported on $X \setminus X^0$.

Recall the general definition. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category and \mathcal{C}_0 its Serre subcategory. By definition, the objects in the quotient category $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0$ are the same as in \mathcal{C} and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}_0}(M, N)$ is the direct limit of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M^0, N/N_0)$, where $M/M^0, N_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$.