

Quantizations in char p, lecture 9.

Construction of Procesi bundle.

0) Recap We constructed a filtered Frobenius constant quantization \mathcal{D} of $X_F = H_1 \mathcal{C}_n(F^2)$, $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(R_F) \mathbin{\!/\mkern-5mu/\!\;}_0^\theta G_F$

Construction: based on commut. diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S(\mathcal{O}_F^{(1)}) & \longrightarrow & F[T^* R^{(1)}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_F) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(R_F) \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(R_F)^{\theta\text{-ss}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(R) \Big|_{(F^{(1)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta\text{-ss}}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(R) \Big|_{(F^{(1)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta\text{-ss}}} \mathbin{\!/\mkern-5mu/\!\;}_0^\theta G_1 \quad (1)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(R) \mathbin{\!/\mkern-5mu/\!\;}_0^\theta G := \left(\mathcal{D}(R) \Big|_{(F^{(1)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta\text{-ss}}} \mathbin{\!/\mkern-5mu/\!\;}_0^\theta G_1 \right)^{G^{(1)}}$$

Rem: 1) 2nd & 3rd sheaves are Morita equivalent (via bimodule $\mathcal{D}(R_F)^{\theta\text{-ss}} / \mathcal{D}(R_F)^{\theta\text{-ss}} \mathbin{\!/\mkern-5mu/\!\;}_{\mathcal{F}_R} F$) Azumaya algebras on $(F^{(1)})^{-1}(0)^{\theta\text{-ss}}$ (Bezrukavnikov-Finkelberg-Ginzburg).

2) Can also construct $\mathcal{D}(R_F) \mathbin{\!/\mkern-5mu/\!\;}_0^\theta G_F$ by similar procedure, in 3 steps

$$\mathcal{D}(R_F) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}(R) /_{(y^{(1)})^{-1}(0)} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}(R) /_{(y^{(1)})^{-1}(0)} \mathbin{\not\!/\!\!/\!} G_1 \quad (2)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}(R) \mathbin{\not\!/\!\!/\!}^{\theta} G := (\mathcal{D}(R) /_{(y^{(1)})^{-1}(0)} \mathbin{\not\!/\!\!/\!} G_1)^{G^{(1)}}$$

On each step, each of the algebras in (2) has homomorphism to global sections of the corresponding sheaf in (1), linear w.r.t. the algebra of function. In particular,

$$\mathcal{D}(Y_F)^{S_n} = \mathcal{D}(R) \mathbin{\not\!/\!\!/\!}^{\theta} G_F \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(\mathcal{D}(R) \mathbin{\not\!/\!\!/\!}^{\theta} G_F) \text{ is linear over } \mathbb{F}[y^{(1)}]^{S_n} = \mathbb{F}[Y_F^{(1)}]$$

1) Roadmap

We construct Procesi bundle P on X (over \mathbb{C}) in 4 steps:

1) The restriction \mathcal{D}^{1_0} of \mathcal{D} to $X_F^{(1)_0} := \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}[Y^{(1)}]^{1_0} \times_{Y_F^{(1)}} X_F^{(1)}$ splits, let E be a splitting bundle.

2) $\exists k > 0$, idempotent $\varepsilon \in \text{Mat}_k(\Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{1_0})) = \text{Mat}_k(\mathcal{D}(Y_F)^{1_0 S_n})$
s.t. $\varepsilon \text{Mat}_k(\mathcal{D}(Y_F)^{1_0 S_n}) \varepsilon \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{1_0} \# S_n$.

$\rightsquigarrow P'_F = \varepsilon(\varepsilon^{\oplus k}) : \text{Ext}^i(P'_F, P'_F) = 0 \forall i > 0$, $\text{End}(P'_F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{1_0} \# S_n$.

3) By Lec 4, P'_F has \mathbb{G}_m -equiv't structure. Can modify this s.t. $\text{End}(P'_F) \cong \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{1_0} \# S_n$ can be made \mathbb{G}_m -equiv't. The resulting \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant bundle, P_F , on $X_F^{(1)}$ satisfies:

$$\text{Ext}^i(P_F, P_F) = 0 \forall i > 0$$

4) View P_F as a bundle on $X_F \cong X_F^{(1)}$. We can lift P_F to char 0
 (Lec 4): $F \rightsquigarrow F_q \rightsquigarrow S^1 \rightsquigarrow \overline{\text{Frac}(S^1)} \cong \mathbb{C}$
 ring of "p-adic integers"

Need to show that the deformation to S^1 , P_{S^1} , satisfies
 $\text{End}(P_{S^1}) \cong S^1[\nu] \# S_n$.

We'll discuss 1) & 2) in this lecture & leave 4) (+ Macdonald positivity) for Lec 10 = the last lecture.

2) Splitting. Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ is resol'n of singularities.

Prop'n (Bezrukavnikov-Kaledin): \exists Azumaya algebra, \mathcal{A} , on $X_F^{(1)}$ s.t.
 \mathcal{D} & $p^*\mathcal{A}$ are Morita equivalent.

Cor: \mathcal{D}^{10} splits.

Rem: Prop'n is similar to the case of $T^*(G/B)$ (analog of \mathcal{f} was \mathcal{U}_{-p}).

Def'n (Brauer group): Z is a scheme, the Brauer group $\text{Br}(Z)$
 consists of Azumaya algebras up to Morita equivalence ($A \sim B$
 if $A \otimes B^{\text{opp}}$ splits) w/ addition induced by \otimes & opposite - by \cdot^{opp} .
 This is abelian group.

For Azumaya \mathcal{A} , let $[\mathcal{A}]$ be its class in $\text{Br}(Z)$.

Fact 0: Let Z_0 be smooth \mathbb{F} -variety, $Z = T^*Z_0 \rightsquigarrow$ Azumaya algebra \mathcal{D}_Z on $Z^{(n)}$. *Claim:* $p[\mathcal{D}_Z] = 0$ in $\text{Br}(Z^{(n)})$ ($p = \text{char } \mathbb{F}$).

Proof: Step 1: Consider Frobenius $\text{Fr}: Z \rightarrow Z^{(n)}$, $\text{Fr}_0: Z_0 \rightarrow Z_0^{(n)}$.

Claim: $[\text{Fr}^*\mathcal{D}_Z] = 0$ (i.e. $\text{Fr}^*\mathcal{D}_Z$ is split).

Consider commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z^{(n)} \times Z_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z_0 \\
 \downarrow \text{Fr} & \searrow & \downarrow \text{Fr}' & \swarrow & \downarrow \text{Fr}_0 \\
 Z^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z_0^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} &
 \end{array}$$

cotangent projections

Observation: $\text{Fr}'^*\mathcal{D}_Z$ is split ([BMR], Prop. 1.2.2.)

$\Rightarrow \text{Fr}^*\mathcal{D}_Z$ splits.

Step 2: $Z = Z^{(n)}$, the same scheme $\rightsquigarrow \text{Br}(Z) = \text{Br}(Z^{(n)})$.

Claim: under this identification Fr^* acts as multiplication by p .

On functions: $\text{Fr}^*(f) = f^p$.

Every Azumaya algebra is locally trivial in etale topology;

from Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow$ 2-cocycle valued in \mathbb{G}_m in etale topology.

$\rightsquigarrow \text{Br}(Z) \xhookrightarrow{(*)} H_{\text{et}}^2(Z, \mathbb{G}_m)$ (see Milne's Etale cohomology)

Multiplication by $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ in $H_{\text{et}}^2(Z, \mathbb{G}_m)$ comes from $z \mapsto z^a$ in \mathbb{G}_m .

Fr^* acts as taking p th powers on the cocycles, so as mult'n by

p in $\text{Br}(Z)$.

□

(*): from $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow GL_n \rightarrow PGL_n \rightarrow 1$

Fact 1: Let Z is irreducible & smooth, $Z^\circ \subset Z$ is open ($\neq \emptyset$).

$$\hookrightarrow Br(Z) \hookrightarrow Br(Z^\circ)$$

Proof: see Milne "Etale cohomology", Ch. 4. \square

Z is affine \mathbb{F} -variety, $\Gamma \curvearrowright Z$, finite group $\hookrightarrow \pi: Z \rightarrow Z/\Gamma$

$$\hookrightarrow \pi^*: Br(Z/\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} Br(Z)$$

For a prime ℓ , let $?[\ell]$ denote the ℓ -torsion part of abelian grp.?

Fact 2: Suppose $GCD(\ell, |\Gamma|) = 1$. Then $\pi^*: Br(Z/\Gamma)[\ell] \rightarrow Br(Z)[\ell]$.

Proof: Lemma 6.5 in [BK]. \square

Proof of Prop'n: $V^0 = \{v \in V \mid \text{Stab}_{S_n}(v) = \{1\}\}$ = pairwise distinct pts in \mathbb{F}^2

$$p: X_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}$$
 is iso over $V_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}/S_n$. So $D(Y_{\mathbb{F}})^{S_n} \Big|_{V_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}/S_n} \xrightarrow{\sim} D \Big|_{V_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}/S_n}$.

$[D(Y_{\mathbb{F}})] \in Br(V_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)})^{S_n}$, p torsion by Fact 0; $GCD(p, |S_n|) = 1$

Apply Fact 2 to $[D(Y_{\mathbb{F}})] \in Br(V^{(1)})[p]^{S_n}$. Take Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A} on $V_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}/S_n$ s.t $[\mathcal{A}]$ corresponds to $[D(Y_{\mathbb{F}})]$ under

isom'm from Fact 2. Note that $[\mathcal{A}] \Big|_{V_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}/S_n} = [D(Y_{\mathbb{F}})^{S_n}] \Big|_{V_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}/S_n} =$

$[D] \Big|_{V_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}/S_n}$. So the restr'n's of D & $p^*\mathcal{A}$ to $V_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}/S_n \subset X_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}$

are Morita equivalent. So D & $p^*\mathcal{A}$ are Morita equivalent \square

Rem: Altern. proof of Corollary (Betrutkarnikov - I.L. 13), works for more general Hamilt. reductions

Z_0 smooth \mathbb{F} -variety, $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}'(Z_0) \rightsquigarrow Z_0 \xrightarrow{\stackrel{(1)}{\hookrightarrow}} Z = T^*Z_0 \xrightarrow{\stackrel{(2)}{\hookrightarrow}} \mathcal{L}_\alpha^* \mathcal{D}_{Z_0}$, Azumaya alg'a on $Z_0^{(1)}$. There's criterion for such Azumaya algebra to split. We show that $[\mathcal{D}(R_{\mathbb{F}})] \mathbin{\!/\mkern-5mu/\!} {}^\theta \mathcal{C}$ comes from 1-form (contraction of the symplec form on $(\mu^{(1)})^{-1}(0)/G_{\mathbb{F}}^{(1)}$) & the vector field coming from \mathbb{F} -action). When restricting to neighbor of 0, get splitting (Sect. 7.2 in the paper).

3) Morita equivalences for $\mathcal{D}(Y)^{S_n}$ & relatives.

Let $e \in \mathbb{F} S_n$ be trivial idempotent.

$$e(\mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}}) \# S_n)e \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}})^{S_n}, \text{ isom'm of algebras}$$

$$ed = de \xleftarrow{\psi} d$$

$$(\mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}}) \# S_n)e \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightsquigarrow$$

$$\mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}}) \# S_n \text{ - } \mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}})^{S_n} \text{ bimodule structure on } \mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}})$$

Prop'n 1: This is Morita equivalence bimodule.

Proof: $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^1 = \mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}}) \# S_n$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^2 = \mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}})^{S_n}$, $B_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathcal{D}(Y_{\mathbb{F}})$

$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^1}(B_{\mathbb{F}}, \cdot) : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^1\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^1\text{-Mod}$ is isomorphic to $e \cdot$

so is Serre quotient functor w. right inverse is $B_{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^2} \cdot$.

To show these are equivalences $\Leftrightarrow \{M_{\mathbb{F}} \mid eM_{\mathbb{F}} = 0\} = 0 \Leftrightarrow$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^1 e \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^1 = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^1 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 e \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$$

exercise

So Prop'n will follow from the next lemma \square

Lemma: $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{D}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$. Then $\mathcal{A} \# S_n$ is a simple algebra.

Proof: $\mathcal{A} \# S_n = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in S_n} \mathcal{A}\sigma$, as \mathcal{A} -bimodule.

Claims: • $\mathcal{A}\sigma$ is simple \mathcal{A} -bimodule ($\Leftarrow \mathcal{A}$ is simple)

• $\mathcal{A}\sigma \not\cong \mathcal{A}\sigma'$ if $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ (follows from the next exercise)

Exercise: Centralizer of \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{A}\sigma = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, \sigma = 1 \\ \{0\}, \sigma \neq 1. \end{cases}$

Therefore every \mathcal{A} -sub-bimodule of $\mathcal{A} \# S_n$ is \bigoplus of some $\mathcal{A}\sigma$.

Right S_n -action permutes $\mathcal{A}\sigma$'s transitively \Rightarrow every 2-sided ideal of $\mathcal{A} \# S_n$ is $\mathcal{A} \# S_n$ or $\{0\}$ \square

Prop'n 2: $\exists k > 0$ & idempotent $\varepsilon \in \text{Mat}_k(\mathcal{D}(V_{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge_0 S_n})$ s.t.
 $\varepsilon \in \text{Mat}_k(\mathcal{D}(V_{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge_0 S_n})\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0} \# S_n$,
an isom'm of $\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)} / S_n]^{\wedge_0}$.

Proof:

Step 1: $\mathcal{D}(V_{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge_0}$ splits as Azumaya algebra over $\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}$
(from lifting of idempotents); S_n is reductive gr'p. So splitting can
choose S_n -equivariantly: pick splitting bundle \mathcal{F} of the form
 $Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}$, where Q is an S_n -module & $S_n \curvearrowright Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}$ is
diagonal $\hookrightarrow S_n$ -equivariant isom'm

$$\mathcal{D}(V_{\mathbb{F}})^{\wedge_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}}(Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}) \quad (i)$$

Step 2: Exercise: $\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0} \# S_n \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)} / S_n]^{\wedge_0}}(\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}) \quad (ii)$
is an isomorphism.

Step 3: Combine (i) & (ii):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(V_F)^{\wedge_0} \# S_n &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}}(Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}) \# S_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ (\text{End}(Q) \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}) \# S_n &\xrightarrow{\sim} [S_n \rightarrow \text{End}(Q)] \\ \text{End}(Q) \otimes (\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0} \# S_n) &\xrightarrow{\sim} (ii) \\ \text{End}(Q) \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}/S_n]^{\wedge_0}}(\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}/S_n]^{\wedge_0}}(Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: Use Prop'n 1: $\mathcal{D}(V_F)^{\wedge_0, S_n} = e(\mathcal{D}(V_F)^{\wedge_0} \# S_n)e \xrightarrow{\sim}$

$$e \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}/S_n]^{\wedge_0}}(Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0})e = \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}/S_n]^{\wedge_0}}(e(Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}))$$

What remains to show is that $\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0} \# e(Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0})$ have the same indecomposable summands (but w. different mult's)

Step 5: a) $\forall \tau \in \text{Irr}(S_n)$, $\text{Hom}_{S_n}(\tau, \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0})$ is indecomposable summand of $\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}$.

b) # indec. summands in $\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}$ is # Irr(S_n):

indec. summands \leftrightarrow indec. projectives in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}/S_n]^{\wedge_0}}(\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}) =$

$= \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0} \# S_n \leftrightarrow$ simple $\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0} \# S_n$ -modules = simple $\mathbb{F}S_n$ -modules.

c) Same reasoning for $\mathcal{D}(V_F)^{\wedge_0, S_n}$: indec. summands in

$e(Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0}) \leftrightarrow$ simple $\mathcal{D}(V_F)^{\wedge_0, S_n}$ -modules $\xleftrightarrow{\sim}$

simple $\mathcal{D}(V_F)^{\wedge_0} \# S_n$ -modules $\xleftrightarrow{\sim}$ simple $\mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{\wedge_0} \# S_n$ -modules

Morita equiv.

\leftrightarrow $\text{Irr}(S_n)$.

d) All indecomposables in $e(Q \otimes \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{1_0})$ are of the form

$$\text{Hom}_{S_n}(\tau, \mathbb{F}[V^{(1)}]^{1_0})$$

□