

## Lecture A2: Root systems.

### 0) Motivation:

In Lecture A1, we have talked about reflection groups. It turns out that many of them arise from another object of combinatorial nature: root systems. Those that arise in this way are precisely reflection groups that preserve a lattice, they are also known as Weyl groups. Root systems & Weyl groups are very important for various things in Math, for example, in the study of semisimple Lie groups & their Lie algebras. And representations of Weyl groups are important in the general Representation theory as well - but this is yet more advanced.

### 1) Root systems

#### 1.1) Definition & examples.

Let  $V$  be a Euclidian space w. scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . For

$\alpha \in V \setminus \{0\}$  consider the reflection  $s_\alpha$  w.r.t.  $\alpha^\perp$ , an important exercise is that  $s_\alpha(v) = v - \frac{2(\alpha, v)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha$ .

Let  $\Delta \subset V \setminus \{0\}$  be a finite subset.

Definition: We say that  $\Delta$  is a root system if:

- $\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Delta,$
- $s_\alpha(\Delta) = \Delta \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta.$
- $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Delta) = V.$

Exercise:  $\Delta = -\Delta$ .

Examples:

1) Type  $A_n$ :  $V = \mathbb{R}_0^{n+1}$  w. scalar product restricted from the standard product on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$  be the tautological basis in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Then  $\Delta = \{e_i - e_j \mid i \neq j\}$  is a root system.

2) Type  $B_n$ :  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is still the tautological basis).

Then  $\Delta = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \pm e_i \mid (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$  is a root system.

3) Type  $C_n$ :  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\Delta = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \pm 2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is a root system.

4) Type  $D_n$ :  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\Delta = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$  is a root system.

5) Type  $E_8$ . Consider the following subgroup in  $\mathbb{R}^8$ :

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^8 x_i e_i \mid \begin{array}{l} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^8 x_i \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ &} \\ \cdot x_i \in \mathbb{Z} \forall i \text{ or } x_i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \forall i \end{array} \right\}.$$

The point is that this subgroup is an "even" & "uni-modular" lattice, where "even" means that  $(\gamma, \delta) \in 2\mathbb{Z} \forall \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$  & "unimodular" means that the determinant of the Gram matrix of any basis in  $\Gamma$  is  $\pm 1$ .

For  $\Delta$  we take the subset of length 2 elements in  $\Gamma$ . To check that this is a root system

1.2) Weyl group.

From a root system  $\Delta$  we can recover a reflection group:

take the group  $W$  generated by  $s_\alpha$ ,  $w \in \Delta$ . To check that it's finite is left as an exercise. The group  $W$  is known as the **Weyl group** associated w.  $\Delta$ .

Examples: 1) Root systems of types  $A_n, B_n, D_n$  give rise to the reflection groups of those types. The root system of type  $C_n$  gives the Weyl group of type  $B_n$ .

2) For two different reflections  $s_\alpha, s_\beta \in W$  we have

$(s_\alpha s_\beta)^m = e$  for  $m=2, 3, 4$  or  $6$ , this is left as an **exercise**, use the condition that  $\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This shows that reflection groups of types  $H_3, H_4, I_2(m)$  for  $m \neq 2, 3, 4, 6$  cannot appear as Weyl groups. On the other hands all other irreducible reflection groups arise as Weyl groups: one can explicitly construct the root systems corresponding to  $E_6, E_7, E_8$  (the latter has been treated above),  $F_4$  &  $G_2$  a.k.a.  $I_2(6)$ .

An important remark is that, since  $W$  preserves  $\Delta$ , it also preserves  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta)$ , which is a "lattice" in  $V$ : a finitely

generated abelian group that spans  $V$ . Conversely, one can show that a reflection group that preserves a lattice must be the Weyl group of some root system.

### 1.3) Dynkin diagrams & classification.

One can classify root systems using so called Dynkin diagrams. In order to explain the classification result we need to give two definitions.

Definition: We say that a root system  $\Delta$  is:

- irreducible if  $\Delta$  cannot be split as the union of two

non-empty subsets  $\Delta_1 \sqcup \Delta_2$  w.  $\Delta_2$  being orthogonal to  $\Delta_1$ ,

- reduced if  $\alpha \in \Delta \Rightarrow 2\alpha \notin \Delta$ .

The classification of root systems reduces to that of irreducible root systems. The meaning of reduced systems is more subtle: the initial reason why one restricts to reduced root systems is that every root system arising

from a semisimple Lie algebra must be reduced.

Now let  $\Delta$  be an irreducible reduced root system.

Choose a chamber, say  $C$ , for the Weyl group  $W$ . By the system of simple roots,  $\Pi (= \Pi_C)$ , associated to  $C$ , we mean the collection of roots  $\alpha$  satisfying the following conditions:

- The pairing  $w.\alpha$  is  $\geq 0$  on  $C$ .
- $\alpha^\perp$  is one of the walls of  $C$ .

We extract a kind of graph from  $\Pi$ . The vertices correspond to simple roots. The number of edges (non-oriented) between  $\alpha$  &  $\beta$  is  $\frac{4(\alpha, \beta)^2}{(\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta)}$ . In addition, if  $(\alpha, \alpha) > (\beta, \beta)$ , then we put the decoration  $>$  in the direction from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ .

Example: Here are examples of simple root systems for root systems of types  $A, B, C, D$ :

- $A_n$ :  $e_i - e_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

- $B_n$ :  $e_i - e_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $e_n$ .

•  $C_n$ :  $e_i - e_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $2e_n$ .

•  $D_n$ :  $e_i - e_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $e_{n-1} + e_n$ .

**Exercise:** Show that the Dynkin diagrams are as follows:

$A_n$ :  $\bullet - \circ - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ - \bullet$

$B_n$ :  $\bullet - \circ - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ \rightleftharpoons \bullet$

$C_n$ :  $\bullet - \circ - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ \leftarrow \bullet$

$D_n$ :  $\bullet - \circ - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ \swarrow \bullet$

**Theorem:** 1) An irreducible reduced root system is uniquely recovered from its Dynkin diagram.

2) The following Dynkin diagrams occur:

$A_n$ :  $\bullet - \circ - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ - \bullet$ ,  $n \geq 1$

$B_n$ :  $\bullet - \circ - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ \rightleftharpoons \bullet$ ,  $n \geq 2$

$C_n$ :  $\bullet - \circ - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ \leftarrow \bullet$ ,  $n \geq 3$

$D_n$ :  $\bullet - \circ - \bullet - \circ - \dots - \circ \swarrow \bullet$ ,  $n \geq 4$

$E_6$ : •—• —! —•—•

$E_7$ : •—• —• —! —•—•

$E_8$ : •—• —• —• —! —•—•

$F_4$ : •—• ≈•—•

$G_2$ : • ≈•