

Lecture 8.

- 1) Filtered Poisson deformations.
- 2) Singular symplectic varieties.
- 3) $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ is singular symplectic.

Ref: [Be].

- 1) Filtered Poisson deformations.

In Sec 2.1 of Lec 3 we have introduced the notion of a filtered quantization of a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded Poisson algebra A . Now we will introduce its classical counterpart.

Definition: Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded Poisson algebra w.
 $\deg \{,\cdot\} = -d$ (for $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). By its filtered Poisson deformation we mean a pair $(\mathfrak{A}^\circ, \iota)$, where

• \mathfrak{A}° is a Poisson algebra equipped with an algebra filtration $\mathfrak{A}^\circ = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{A}_{\leq i}^\circ$ s.t. $\{\mathfrak{A}_{\leq i}^\circ, \mathfrak{A}_{\leq j}^\circ\} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{\leq i+j-2}^\circ \quad \forall i, j$. Note that this gives rise to a $\deg -d \{,\cdot\}$ on $\text{gr } \mathfrak{A}^\circ$:

$$\{a + \mathfrak{A}_{\leq i-1}^\circ, b + \mathfrak{A}_{\leq j-1}^\circ\} := \{a, b\} + \mathfrak{A}_{\leq i+j-d-1}^\circ \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}_{\leq i}^\circ, b \in \mathfrak{A}_{\leq j}^\circ)$$

• $\iota: \text{gr } \mathfrak{A}^\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, iso of graded Poisson algebras.

Similarly to the notion of isomorphism of filtered quantizations (Sec 2.3 of Lec 4) we can talk about isomorphisms of filtered Poisson deformations.

Goal: Assume A is positively graded (meaning that $A_0 = \mathbb{C}$ & $A_i = 0$ for $i < 0$) & fin. generated. Classify filtered quantizations & filtered Poisson deformations of A (up to iso). We are mostly interested in $A = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{O}]$ for equiv. covers \tilde{O} of nilpotent orbits (see Thm in Sec 2 of Lec 7).

2) Singular symplectic varieties.

One should not expect this problem to have a reasonable answers unless one imposes additional restrictions on A . Here is one restriction that can be imposed.

Definition 1 ([Be]): Let X be a normal & irreducible Poisson variety (i.e. \mathcal{O}_X is equipped with a Poisson bracket). We say that X has symplectic singularities (is singular symplectic or just symplectic) if conditions (1) & (2) below hold:

(1) The Poisson structure on X^{reg} (the smooth locus) is non-degenerate. Let ω^{reg} denote the corresponding symplectic form.

(2) There's a resolution of singularities $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ (Y is smooth, π is proper & birational) s.t.

$\pi^* \omega^{\text{reg}} \in \Gamma(\pi^{-1}(X^{\text{reg}}), \Omega_Y^2)$ extends to Y , i.e. is the restriction of some $\tilde{\omega} \in \Gamma(Y, \Omega_Y^2)$ ($\tilde{\omega}$ is automatically unique).

Remarks: 1) As Beauville checked in Sec 1.2 of [Be], condition (2) is equivalent to the stronger condition: the conclusion of (2) holds for all resolutions.

2) $\tilde{\omega}$ is closed but we don't require $\tilde{\omega}$ to be non-degenerate (\Leftrightarrow symplectic). If it is, then we say that $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a symplectic resolution of singularities.

Definition 2: Let A be fin. gen'd positively graded Poisson algebra. If $X := \text{Spec}(A)$ is singular symplectic, then we say that X is a conical symplectic singularity.

2) $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$ is singular symplectic

Let G be a s/simple group. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ be a G -equivariant cover of a nilpotent orbit in \mathfrak{g} . In Sec 2 of Lec 7 we've seen that $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$ is fin. gen'd positively graded Poisson algebra. We'll see that $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}])$ is singular symplectic (and hence a conical symplectic singularity). Here we handle the case when $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$, the general case will be covered in the next lecture.

Lemma 1: $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$ satisfies (1) \nvdash cover $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ of an adjoint orbit.

Proof: In the proof of the lemma in Sec. 2.1 of Lec 7, we've seen that $\text{codim}_X X \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \geq 2$. Hence $\text{codim}_{X^{\text{reg}}} X^{\text{reg}} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \geq 2$. Let $P \in \Gamma(X^{\text{reg}}, \Lambda^2 T_{X^{\text{reg}}})$ be the Poisson bivector. $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is symplectic, so P_x is non-degenerate $\forall x \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$.

Let $n = \frac{1}{2} \dim \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. For $x \in X^{\text{reg}}$, the bivector P_x is degenerate $\Leftrightarrow \Lambda^n P_x = 0$. But $\Lambda^n P \in \Gamma(X^{\text{reg}}, \Lambda^{2n} T_{X^{\text{reg}}})$ is a line bundle. The zero locus of a section of a line bundle has pure codim 1. Thus to $\text{codim}_{X^{\text{reg}}} X^{\text{reg}} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \geq 2$, the zero locus of $\Lambda^n P$ is empty. \square

In the rest of the section we'll check (2) for $X =$

$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$ by explicitly constructing a resolution of X .

Let (e, h, f) be \mathfrak{sl}_n -triple w. $e \in \mathcal{O}$.

Recall the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i$, $\mathfrak{g}_i = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = ix\}$.

Consider $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$. Note that:

- $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$ consists of nilpotent elements.

We have $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie}(G_0)$, where $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}_q(h)$, a Levi subgroup.

Then $P := G_0 \ltimes \exp(\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1})$, an algebraic subgroup of \mathfrak{g} , connected b/c so is G_0 .

- $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}$, hence preserved by $G_{\geq 0}$.

Set $Y := G \times^P \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}$. By definition, this is the quotient of $G \times \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}$ by the action of P given by $p \cdot (g, x) = (gp^{-1}, p \cdot x)$ (see Sec 4.8 in [PV] for the construction). The P -orbit of (g, x) - a point in Y - will be denoted by $[g, x]$. The map $[g, x] \mapsto gP$ realizes Y as a G -equivariant vector bundle over G/P . Furthermore the action map $G \times \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, $(g, x) \mapsto gx$, is P -invariant, hence gives a well-defined map

$$g_P: Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, [g, x] \mapsto gx, \quad (1)$$

Here is our main result.

Thm: (1) π is a projective morphism.

(2) $\text{im } \pi = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

(3) $\pi: Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is a resolution of singularities.

(4) it factors through $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}]$.

(5, Panyushhev) Let ω_{KK} be the Kirillov-Kostant form on \mathcal{O} . Then $\pi^* \omega_{KK}$ extends to Y (this checks condition (2) of Def'n 1 in Sec 2).

Proof of Theorem

(1): The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is **parabolic** (meaning that it contains a Borel subalgebra), so $P \subset G$ is a parabolic subgroup, and hence G/P is projective (a parabolic flag variety for G)

See [OV], Exer. 20-27 for Sec 4.2.

Now note that we have factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times^P \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{g} \\ \text{induced by } \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \downarrow \\ G \times^P \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{[g, x] \mapsto gx} & \end{array}$$

Further we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G \times g & \xrightarrow{\sim} & G \times g & & \\
 \downarrow (g,x) \mapsto [g,x] & \nearrow P\text{-invariant!} & \downarrow (g,x) \mapsto (gP, x) & & \\
 G \times^P g & \xrightarrow{\sim} & G/P \times g & & \\
 \downarrow [g,x] \mapsto gx & \searrow g & \downarrow (gp,x) \mapsto x & &
 \end{array}$$

Since G/P is projective, the ↘ arrow is projective. So is the ↘ arrow. This proves (1).

(2): We claim that P_e is an open orbit in $\mathcal{O}_{\geq 2}$. It's enough to show $T_e P_e = \mathcal{O}_{\geq 2}$. But $T_e P_e = [\beta, e] = [e, \mathcal{O}_{\geq 0}] = [\text{rep. th. of } \mathfrak{sl}_2] = \mathcal{O}_{\geq 2}$.

Since $\text{im } \pi = G\mathcal{O}_{\geq 2}$, we see that $\mathcal{O} = Ge$ is dense in $\text{im } \pi$. Since π is projective, $\text{im } \pi$ is closed, giving $\text{im } \pi = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

(3) We claim that $\pi: G \times^P P_e \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}$. We have $G \times^P P_e \simeq G/Z_p(e)$ so it's enough to show $Z_p(e) = Z_G(e)$.

First, note $\mathcal{Z}_G(e) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\geq 0} = \beta \Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_p(e) = \mathcal{Z}_G(e) \cap \beta = \mathcal{Z}_G(e)$.

Then, by Sec 1 of Lec 6, $Z_G(e) = Z_G(e, h, f) \times Z_+$. The subgroup Z_+ is connected, so $\mathcal{Z}_p(e) = \mathcal{Z}_G(e) \Rightarrow Z_+ \subset Z_p(e)$. And

$Z_G(e, h, f) \stackrel{\text{in fact}}{\subset} Z_G(e) \cap Z_G(h) = Z_G(e) \cap G_0 \subset Z_G(e) \cap P = Z_p(e)$.

So $Z_G(e) = Z_P(e)$, and π is birational. Combining this with (1), we see that π is a resolution of singularities.

(4): Note that X is the normalization of \bar{D} . Now we use the following: A dominant morphism $Y \rightarrow X'$, where Y is normal factors through the normalization of X'

(5) Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$. We can identify $T_{(1,\alpha)} Y$ with $\mathfrak{g}_{<0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ via $(x,y) \mapsto x_{y,(1,\alpha)} + y$, where y is viewed as a tangent vector to the fiber. Here x_y is the image of $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ under the homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect}(Y)$ induced by the G -action.

Exercise 1: Check that the map $\mathfrak{g}_{<0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>2} \rightarrow T_{(1,\alpha)} Y$ is an iso.
 Hint: since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{<0} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, the map $\mathfrak{g}_{<0} \rightarrow T(G/P)$, $x \mapsto x_{G/P,1}$, is an iso, then use SES $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{>2} \longrightarrow T_{(1,\alpha)}(G \times^P \mathfrak{g}_{>2}) \rightarrow T_{(1,\alpha)}(G/P) \rightarrow 0$.

For $\alpha \in P_e$, we want to compute $d\pi_{(1,\alpha)}^* \omega_{KK,2}$.

Claim: for $(x,y), (u,v) \in \mathfrak{g}_{<0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$, we have:

$$d\pi_{(1,\alpha)}^* \omega_{KK,\alpha}((x,y), (u,v)) = [x, u] + (x, v) - (y, u) \quad (*)$$

Let's explain why we need the claim. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}$, define $\tilde{\omega}_{(1,\alpha)} \in \Lambda^2 T_{(1,\alpha)}^* Y$ as $(*)$ - this makes sense even if $\alpha \notin P_e$.

Exercise 2: $\exists!$ G -invariant 2-form $\tilde{\omega}$ on Y whose value at $(1,\alpha)$ is $\tilde{\omega}_{(1,\alpha)}$. It extends $\pi^* \omega_{KK}$ proving (5).

Proof of Claim:

Recall that $\omega_{KK,\alpha}([\xi, \alpha], [\eta, \alpha]) = (\alpha, [\xi, \eta])$, $\forall \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_>0$. We have $d\pi_{(1,\alpha)}(x, y) = [x, \alpha] + y$. Note that $\exists y' \in \mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ w. $y = [y', \alpha]$. Indeed, $\alpha = pe$ for $p \in P$. The subspaces $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ are P -stable. We have $p^{-1}y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2} \subset \text{im } [e, \cdot]$, so $\exists y'' \in \mathfrak{g}_{>0}$ w. $p^{-1}y = [y'', e]$. Set $y' := py''$. Let $[\xi, \alpha] = [x, \alpha] + y$: we can find $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{<0}$, $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}$ e.g. b/c $\text{im } d\pi_{(1,\alpha)} = T_\alpha \mathbb{O} = [\mathfrak{g}, \alpha]$, so that we can take $\xi = x + y'$. Let $[\eta, \alpha] = [u, \alpha] + v$, $u \in \mathfrak{g}_{<0}$, $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}$. Then $d\pi_{(1,\alpha)}^* \omega_{KK,\alpha}((x, y), (u, v)) = (\alpha, [\xi, \eta]) = (\xi, [\eta, \alpha]) = (x + y', [u, \alpha] + v) = ((y', v) = 0 \text{ b/c } y' \in \mathfrak{g}_{>0}, v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 2}) = (x, [u, \alpha]) + (x, v) + (y', [u, \alpha]) = [(y', [u, \alpha])] = -([y', \alpha], u) = -(y, u) = (\alpha, [x, u]) + (x, v) - (y, u)$. \square