

## Lecture 14, 2/26/25

1) Luna slice theorem

Ref: [PV], Secs 6.1-6.7.

1) Luna slice theorem

1.0) Setup

The base field is  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The goal of this lecture is to answer the following:

Question: Let  $G$  be a reductive group acting on an affine variety  $X$ , and let  $x \in X$  be a point with closed  $G$ -orbit. We want to understand the structure of the action "near  $Gx$ " & the structure of  $X//G$  near  $\mathcal{O}(x)$ .

An important ingredient in the answer is the subgroup  $H := \text{Stab}_G(x)$ . This group is reductive for the following reason:  $Gx$  is closed in  $X$  hence is affine. And  $Gx = G/H$  as a variety.

The following will be proved in the next lecture (non-algebraically) independently of this one.

Fact: Let  $H \subset G$  be an algebraic subgroup. If  $G/H$  is affine, then  $H$  is reductive.

## 1.1) Homogeneous bundle

Here we will explain a framework for answering Question in Section 1. For this we will need a special case of the construction sketched in Sec 2.1 of Lec 13.

Let  $H$  be a reductive subgroup of  $G$  &  $S$  be a finite type affine scheme with an  $H$ -action. Consider the scheme  $G \times S$ . It comes with an action of  $G \times H$ :

$$(g, h) \cdot (g', s) := (gg'h^{-1}, hs).$$

We write  $G \times^H S := (G \times S) // H$ . The group  $G$  acts on  $G \times^H S$  b/c actions of  $G$  &  $H$  on  $G \times S$  commute. The morphism  $G \times S \rightarrow G$  induces  $p: G \times^H S = (G \times S) // H \rightarrow G // H = G/H$  s.t. the following is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times S & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & G \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ G \times^H S & \dashrightarrow p & \rightarrow G/H \end{array}$$

**Exercise:** 1) Show that  $p$  is  $G$ -equivariant

2) Identity  $p^{-1}(1_H) \cong S$  (hint:  $p^{-1}(1_H) \simeq [(p \circ \pi)^{-1}(1_H)] // H$ ).

In particular,  $\dim G \times^H S = \dim G/H + \dim S$ .

3) Establish an isomorphism  $(G \times^H S) // G \xrightarrow{\sim} S // H$  (hint:

compute  $\mathbb{C}[G \times S]^{G \times H}$  in 2 different ways).

Thx to 1) & 2),  $G \times^H S \rightarrow G/H$  can be viewed as a bundle over  $G/H$  w. fiber  $S$  over  $1H$ . This & 1) justify the name "homogeneous bundle" for  $G \times^H S$ . For more on these, see [PV], Sec 4.8.

Rem: One can view the construction of  $G \times^H S$  as an induction that starts from a variety w.  $H$ -action and produces a variety w. a  $G$ -action. Essentially any property of the  $G$ -action on  $G \times^H S$  can be recovered from the  $H$ -action on  $S$ , cf. 3) of Exercise.

## 1.2) Slice

Let's return to the setup of Sec 1.0. In particular,  $H = \text{Stab}_G(x)$ . We are going to compare  $X$  with  $G \times^H S$  for a suitable locally closed  $H$ -stable affine subvariety  $S \subset X$ , a "slice".

First, note that in this generality there's a  $G$ -equivariant morphism  $G \times^H S \rightarrow X$ : the action map  $G \times S \xrightarrow{\alpha} X$ ,  $(g, s) \mapsto gs$  is  $H$ -invariant & so uniquely descends to  $\alpha': G \times^H S \rightarrow X$ :  $H(g, s) \mapsto gs$ .

Now we need to explain how to choose  $S$ . It's going to be open in a closed  $H$ -stable subscheme  $\overline{S} \subset X$ . The construction of  $\overline{S}$  is in two steps:

I) Assume  $X = V$ , a rational  $G$ -representation. Since  $H$  is reductive, one can find an  $H$ -stable subspace  $N \subset V$  w.  $N \oplus g \cdot x = V$ . As an  $H$ -representation,  $N \cong V/(g/H)$ , a normal space to  $Gx \subset V$ . Knowing  $\mathfrak{h}$  recovers  $N$  completely (again, b/c the representation of  $H$  in  $V$  is completely reducible). Then we set  $N_x := x + N$  and take  $S := N_x$ .

II) In the general case, we can  $G$ -equivariantly embed  $X$  into some rational representation,  $V$  (Step 1 in Sec. 1.1.4 of Lec 11). Let  $N \subset V$  be as above. Then we set

$$\bar{S} := N_x \cap X \text{ (a scheme theoretic intersection).}$$

Lemma:

1) We have  $G \times^H \bar{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_V (G \times^H N_x)$ .

2) The morphism  $G \times^H \bar{S} \rightarrow X$  is etale at  $H \cdot x$ .

Proof:

1) Note that  $\bar{S} = X \cap N_x \Rightarrow G \times \bar{S}$  is the preimage of  $X$  in  $G \times N_x$   
 $\Leftrightarrow G \times \bar{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_V (G \times N_x)$ . We want to show that  
 $[X \times_V (G \times N_x)] // H \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_V ([G \times N_x] // H)$ . This follows from:

Exercise: Let  $\tilde{Y}, Y, X$  be finite type affine schemes w. morphisms  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  &  $X \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $H$  be a reductive group acting on  $\tilde{Y}$  s.t.

$\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is invariant. Then  $(X \times_Y \tilde{Y})//H \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_Y (\tilde{Y}//H)$

Hint: Use that  $C[\tilde{Y}]^H$  is naturally a direct summand in  $C[\tilde{Y}]$  to show that

$$(*) \quad C[X] \otimes_{C[Y]} C[\tilde{Y}]^H \longrightarrow (C[X] \otimes_{C[Y]} C[\tilde{Y}])^H$$

is injective &  $(C[X] \otimes_C C[\tilde{Y}])^H \twoheadrightarrow (C[X] \otimes_{C[Y]} C[\tilde{Y}])^H$  to show that  $(*)$  is surjective.

2): Since being etale is stable under base change, we reduce to showing that  $G \times^H N_x \rightarrow V$  is etale at  $H(1_x)$ .

$\dim G \times^H N_x = \dim G + \dim N - \dim H = \dim V$  so it's enough to show that  $d_{H(1_x)} d'$  is surjective. Thx to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times S & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \\ \downarrow & & \\ G \times^H S & \xrightarrow{d'} & X \end{array}$$

it suffices to check that  $d_{(1_x)} \alpha$  is surjective. The latter is because  $\text{im } d_{(1_x)} \alpha \supset T_x Gx + T_x N_x = g_x \cdot x + N_x = V$ .  $\square$

### 1.3) Excellent morphisms.

Let  $G$  be a reductive group and  $X, Y$  be finite type affine schemes. Let  $G$  act on  $X \& Y$  &  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -equivariant morphism. Let  $\varphi: Y//G \rightarrow X//G$  be the induced morphism of

quotients. The following definition is due to Luna. It describes properties of  $\underline{\alpha}: G^x S \rightarrow X$  we want to have:

**Definition:** We say that  $\varphi$  is **excellent** if

(a)  $\varphi: Y//G \rightarrow X//G$  is étale and

(b) The following is Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\ \pi_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_X \\ Y//G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X//G \end{array} \quad \text{quotient morphisms.}$$

**Properties of excellent morphisms:** Suppose  $\varphi$  is excellent. Then

1)  $\varphi$  is étale.

2) If  $y \in Y$ ,  $\varphi$  restricts to  $\pi_Y^{-1}(\pi_Y(y)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_X^{-1}(\pi_X(\varphi(y)))$ .

3) Let  $X_0 \subset X$  be a closed  $G$ -stable subscheme &  $Y_0 = X_0 \times_X Y$ .

If  $Y \rightarrow X$  is excellent, then so is  $Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ .

Proof:

1) & 2) are **exercises**. To prove 3) observe that  $Y_0 = X_0 \times_X Y$  &  $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{X//G} Y//G$  yields  $Y_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0 \times_{X//G} Y//G$ , which by Exercise in Sec. 1.2, implies  $Y_0//G \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0//G \times_{X//G} Y//G$ . So the morphism  $Y_0//G \rightarrow X_0//G$  is étale as a base change of  $Y//G \rightarrow X//G$ ;  $Y_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0 \times_{X//G} Y//G \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0 \times_{X_0//G} (X_0//G \times_{X//G} Y//G) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0 \times_{X_0//G} Y_0//G$  establishing the two conditions of an excellent morphism  $\square$

The following is the main technical result that will be proved in a separate note.

Main Lemma: Suppose  $X, Y$  are, in addition, smooth. Let  $y \in Y$  be s.t.  $G_y, G\varphi(y)$  are closed. Moreover, suppose:

I)  $\varphi$  is étale at  $y$ , and

II)  $\varphi: G_y \xrightarrow{\sim} G\varphi(y)$ .

Then  $\exists$  open affine  $(Y//G)^\circ \subset Y//G$  containing  $\pi_Y(y)$  s.t. the restriction  $\varphi: \pi_Y^{-1}((Y//G)^\circ) \rightarrow X$  is excellent.

#### 1.4) Étale slice theorem.

Definition: Let  $x \in X$  be a point with a closed  $G$ -orbit, and  $H := \text{Stab}_G(x)$ . By an étale slice at  $x$  we mean an  $H$ -stable locally closed affine subscheme  $S \subset X$  containing  $x$  s.t. the morphism  $G \times^H S \rightarrow X$  is excellent.

Thm (Luna): An étale slice at  $x$  exists.

Proof (modulo Main Lemma)

Thx to property 3) in Sec 1.3 & 1) of Lemma in Sec 1.2 we can reduce to the case when  $X = V$ ,  $\bar{S} = N_x$  (the details of this reduction are left as an exercise). The conditions of Main Lemma

are satisfied: to see that  $G \times^H N_x$  is smooth notice that it is a bundle over smooth  $G/H$  with smooth fibers,  $N_x$ , see Exercise in Sec. 1.1. For  $S$  we take the preimage of  $(G \times^H \bar{S})^o // G$   
 $\subset (G \times^H \bar{S}) // G = \bar{S} // H$  under  $\bar{S} \rightarrow \bar{S} // H$ .  $\square$

**Corollary:** Suppose  $G$  acts on  $X$  freely. Then  $\pi: X \rightarrow X // G$  is a locally trivial (in etale topology)  $G$ -bundle.

**Proof:** exercise.

### 1.5) Case of smooth $X$

Suppose, in addition, that  $X$  is smooth. Then  $S$  is smooth: this is because both  $G \times S \xrightarrow{\pi_H} G \times^H S \xrightarrow{\alpha'} X$  are smooth morphisms:  $\alpha'$  is etale by Luna's thm &  $\pi_H$  is smooth by Corollary, both in Sec 1.4.

Let  $m \subset \mathbb{C}[S]$  be the max'l ideal of  $x$ . Set  $U := T_x S = (m/m^2)^*$ . The projection  $m \rightarrow U^*$  is  $H$ -equivariant and, by complete reducibility admits an  $H$ -equivariant section  $U^* \rightarrow m$  yielding an  $H$ -equivariant morphism  $S \xrightarrow{\varphi} U$  w.  $x \mapsto 0$ . By the construction  $\varphi$  is etale at  $x$ . So we can find an open affine  $(U // H)^o \subset U // H$  containing 0 and replace  $S$  with  $(U // H)^o \times_{S // H} S$  to achieve that  $\varphi$  is excellent. We get the following commutative diag-

ram, where the squares are Cartesian and horizontal arrows are etale.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G \times^H U & \xleftarrow{\quad} & G \times^H S & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U//H & \xleftarrow{\quad} & S//H & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X//G \end{array}$$

This reduces the study of  $X$  near  $G_x$  to the study of the linear action of  $H$  on  $U$ . Note that  $U = T_x S \simeq T_x X / T_x G_x$ .

**Remark:** In particular we see that etale locally near  $\mathcal{P}(x)$   $X//G$  behaves like  $U//H$ .

This observation gives an inductive tool to investigate good properties of quotients for linear actions. Let  $X = V$  be a vector space. If  $V//G$  is smooth, then  $U//H$  is smooth as well. Details are left as an **exercise**.

## 1.6) Some corollaries.

**Lemma 1:** Let  $X$  be an affine variety equipped with an action of a reductive group  $G$ . Let  $x \in X$  be a point w/ a closed  $G$ -orbit. Let  $H = \text{Stab}_G(x)$ . Then  $\exists$  a  $G$ -stable open subset  $X^\circ \subset X$  containing  $x$  s.t.  $\forall y \in X^\circ$  the stabilizer of  $y$  is conjugate in  $G$  to a subgroup of  $H$ .

Proof: Let  $S$  be étale slice. Take  $X^\circ$  to be the image of  $G \times^H S$  in  $X$ . This is open b/c the morphism  $q: G \times^H S \rightarrow X$  is étale. Note that  $G \times^H S \xrightarrow{\sim} S//H \times_{X//G} X$ . For  $(g, x) \in S//H \times_{X//G} X$ , the stabilizer in  $G$  coincides w.  $\text{Stab}_G(x)$ . On the other hand, the preimage of  $x$  under the epimorphism  $G \times^H S \xrightarrow{P} G/H$  every stabilizer in  $G \times^H S$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $H$ . So every stabilizer in  $X^\circ$ , the image of the projection  $S//H \times_{X//G} X \rightarrow X$ , is conjugate to a subgroup of  $H$ .  $\square$

Lemma 2: Let  $G$  be a reductive group acting on a smooth affine variety  $X$ . Then the fixed point locus  $X^G$  is smooth.

Proof:

Let  $x \in X^G$ . Apply the construction from Sec. 1.6. We get an étale morphism  $(X//G)^\circ \rightarrow V//G$  for some open  $(X//G)^\circ$  containing  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  & a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}^{-1}((X//G)^\circ) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X//G)^\circ \times_{V//G} V$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}^{-1}((X//G)^\circ)^G \xrightarrow{\sim} (X//G)^\circ \times_{V//G} V^G$ . Of course  $V^G$  is a vector subspace, hence is smooth. Since  $(X//G)^\circ \rightarrow V//G$  is étale,  $\mathcal{O}^{-1}((X//G)^\circ)^G$  is also smooth finishing the proof.  $\square$

**Exercise:** For  $x \in X^G$ , we have  $T_x(X^G) = (T_x X)^G$ .

Rem: If  $G$  is not reductive, the claim may fail: consider the

action of  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by  $\alpha \cdot (x, y, z) := (x, y, z + \alpha f(x, y))$ , where  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  is a polynomial. The fixed point locus is

$$\{(x, y) \mid f(x, y) = 0\} \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$