

# Field Theory:

## A Modern Primer

---

---

---

---

---

---



# Chapter 1

## Section 1.1

A. i) The action is invariant under the infinitesimal transformation  $\delta x = \varepsilon$ . Given that to  $O(\varepsilon^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} S[x + \delta x] &= \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \frac{1}{2} m \left( \frac{d(x + \delta x)}{dt} \right)^2 = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \frac{1}{2} m \left( \dot{x}^2 + 2\dot{x} \cdot \frac{d\delta x}{dt} \right) \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \frac{1}{2} m \left( \dot{x}^2 - 2\ddot{x}\delta x + 2 \frac{d}{dt} (\dot{x}\delta x) \right) \\ &= S[x] - \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \, m\ddot{x}\delta x + \left[ m\dot{x}\delta x \right]_{t_0}^{t_f}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $x$  satisfies Newton's second law, i.e.  $\ddot{x} = 0$ , we have

$$0 = \delta S = S[x + \delta x] - S[x] = \left[ m\dot{x}\delta x \right]_{t_0}^{t_f} = (m\dot{x}(t_f) - m\dot{x}(t_0)) \cdot \varepsilon.$$

Since this is true for any  $\varepsilon, t_0, t_f$  we conclude that momentum is conserved.

ii) From equation (1.1.8) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}(m\dot{x}) = m\ddot{x} = -\nabla V = \frac{V}{a} \sin\left(\frac{r}{a}\right) \frac{x}{r}$$

B) We have from equation (1.1.8)

$$\frac{d}{dt}(m\dot{x}) = -\nabla V$$

C) Consider an infinitesimal rotation  $\delta x^i = \omega^i_j x^j$ . Given that

$$r^2 = x^2 = (x + \delta x) \cdot (x + \delta x) = x^2 + 2 x^i \omega_{ij} x^j + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2_{ij})$$

and  $x^i x^j$  is symmetric, we have  $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ . Since  $r$  is

left invariant by this transformation,  $S$  is too. Therefore by

(1.1.6) we have

$$m\dot{x} \cdot \delta x = m\dot{x}^i \omega_{ij} x^j$$

is conserved by choosing a basis for the antisymmetric

matrices  $(L^{ab})_{ij} = \delta^a_i \delta^b_j - \delta^b_i \delta^a_j$  we obtain that

$$m(\dot{x}^a x^b - \dot{x}^b x^a)$$

is conserved. This corresponds to the conservation of angular

momentum. From now on let  $L^{ab} = \dot{x}^a x^b - \dot{x}^b x^a$

Now consider the infinitesimal change  $\delta x^i = \epsilon L^{ik}$  for some fixed

index  $k$ . The term  $\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2$  is left invariant since  $L^{ik}$  is constant

as we just showed. On the other hand, to order  $\epsilon^2$

$$r + \delta r = ((x^i + \delta x^i)(x_i + \delta x_i))^{1/2} = (r^2 + 2\epsilon x_i L^{ik})^{1/2} = r \left( 1 + \epsilon \frac{x_i L^{ik}}{r^2} \right) = r + \epsilon \frac{x_i L^{ik}}{r}$$

and therefore the potential changes to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{r + \delta r} &= \frac{a}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta r}{r} \right) = \frac{a}{r} - \frac{\epsilon a x_i L^{ik}}{r^3} \\ &= \frac{a}{r} - \frac{\epsilon a}{r^3} (\dot{x}^i x^k x_i - \dot{x}^k r^2) = \frac{a}{r} - \frac{\epsilon a}{r^3} \dot{x}^i x^k x_i + \frac{\epsilon a \dot{x}^k}{r} \\ &= \frac{a}{r} + \epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \left( -\frac{a x^k}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$S[x^i + \delta x^i] = S[x] + \epsilon a \left[ \frac{x^k}{r} \right]_{t_1}^{t_2}$$

However, since we have by equation (1.1.6)

$$S[x^i + \delta x^i] = S[x^i] + m \left[ \delta x_i \dot{x}^i \right]_{t_1}^{t_2},$$

we conclude that  $m x_i \delta x^i - \epsilon a \frac{x^k}{r}$  is a constant. We thus obtain

that the vector

$$m x_i L^{ik} - \frac{a x^k}{r} = m (x_i \dot{x}^i x^k - x_i \dot{x}^k x^i) - \frac{a x^k}{r}$$

is constant.

The final constant of motion is obtained by letting  $\delta x = \epsilon \dot{x}$ .

Then the kinetic energy changes by

$$\frac{1}{2} m (\dot{x}_i + \epsilon \ddot{x}_i) (\dot{x}_i + \epsilon \ddot{x}_i) = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}_i^2 + m \epsilon \dot{x}_i \ddot{x}_i = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}_i^2 + \epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}_i^2 \right)$$

The potential changes by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{r + \delta r} &= \frac{a}{((x_i + \delta x_i)(x_i + \delta x_i))^{3/2}} = \frac{a}{(r^2 + 2x_i \delta x_i)^{3/2}} = \frac{a}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{x_i \delta x_i}{r^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{a}{r} - \epsilon \frac{a \dot{x}_i \ddot{x}_i}{r^3} = \frac{a}{r} + \epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{a}{r} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Much like before we have

$$S[x] + \epsilon \left[ m \dot{x}_i \ddot{x}_i \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} = S[x] + m \left[ \dot{x}_i \delta x_i \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} = S[x + \delta x] = S[x] + \epsilon \left[ \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}_i^2 + \frac{a}{r} \right]_{t_1}^{t_2}$$

We thus conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}_i^2 - \frac{a}{r}$$

is a constant of motion.

Observation: The solution given for the Runge-Lenz vector was

inspired on Gorni, G. & Zampieri, G. "Revisiting Nother's Theorem on constants of motion".

D) Consider an infinitesimal variation  $\delta x = \varepsilon \dot{x}$ . Then as we saw in the previous problem

$$\frac{1}{2} m \left( \frac{d(x + \delta x)}{dt} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2 + \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2 \right).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, x + \delta x) &= V(t, x) + \partial_i V(t, x) \delta x^i = V(t, x) + \varepsilon \partial_i V(t, x) \dot{x}^i \\ &= V(t, x) + \varepsilon \left( \frac{dV(t, x)}{dt} - \frac{\partial V(t, x)}{\partial t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the change in the action is

$$\varepsilon \left[ m \dot{x}^2 \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} = m \left[ \dot{x} \delta x \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} = \delta S = \varepsilon \left[ \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2 \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} - \varepsilon \left[ V \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} + \varepsilon \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}.$$

We conclude that if  $E = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2 + V$

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}.$$

In particular, if  $V$  is time independent the  $E$  is conserved.

## Section 1.2.

A) Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be Lorentz transformations. Then

$$g = (L_1 L_1^{-1})^T g (L_1 L_1^{-1}) = (L_1^{-1})^T L_1^T g L_1 L_1^{-1} = (L_1^{-1})^T g L_1^{-1}$$

and

$$(L_1 L_2)^T g (L_1 L_2) = L_2^T L_1^T g L_1 L_2 = L_2^T g L_2 = g,$$

showing that the set of Lorentz transformations is closed under multiplication and taking inverses. It is therefore a subgroup of the  $4 \times 4$  matrices.

B) Let  $L'$  be a Lorentz transformation. In the frame to which  $L'$  transforms,  $L$  becomes  $(L')^{-1} L L'$ . We have

$$\det((L')^{-1} L L') = (\cancel{\det L'})^{-1} \det L \cancel{\det L'} = \det L$$

showing that  $\det L$  is Lorentz invariant. Moreover,

$$((L')^{-1} L L')^\mu_\nu = ((L')^{-1})^\mu_\alpha L^\alpha_\beta L'^\beta_\nu.$$

where  $((L')^{-1})^\mu_\alpha L'^\alpha_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu$