

Iván Mauricio Burbano Aldana

Perimeter Scholars International

Quantum Field Theory III

Homework 2: Maxwell, boundary

and the axial anomaly

I. Conformal Invariance of Maxwell's Action for $D=4$

a) In general dimension the Maxwell action is proportional to

$$S(g, A) = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g(x)|} F(A)^{\mu\nu}(x) F(A)_{\mu\nu}(x).$$

for $A \in \Omega^1(M)$ and

$$F(A)_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu A_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu A_\mu(x).$$

b) Under a conformal transformation $x \mapsto \tilde{x}$ we transform A as a one form $A \mapsto A'$ where

$$A'_\mu(\tilde{x}) := \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}(x) A_\nu(x)$$

and afterwards perform a Weyl transformation

$A' \mapsto \tilde{A}$, where

$$\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(\tilde{x}) = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \right|^w A'_{\mu}(\tilde{x}) = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \right|^w \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu}}(x) A_{\nu}(x)$$

for some weight w . Considering the dilation

$x \mapsto \tilde{x} = \lambda x$, we have

$$\tilde{A}'_{\mu}(\tilde{x}) = \lambda^{Dw} \frac{1}{\lambda} \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} A_{\nu}(x) = \lambda^{Dw-1} A_{\mu}(x).$$

We thus see that the Weyl weight w and the scaling dimension are related by

$$1 - Dw = \Delta,$$

i.e.

$$w = \frac{1 - \Delta}{D}.$$

The transformed field has a curvature

$$F(\tilde{A})_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\partial \tilde{A}_{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu}}(\tilde{x}) - \frac{\partial \tilde{A}_{\mu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\nu}}(\tilde{x}).$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{A}_{\nu}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu}}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\mu}}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \left(\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \right|^w \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial \tilde{x}^{\nu}}(x) A_{\beta}(x) \right)$$

$$F(\tilde{A})_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \lambda^{D_W} F(A)_{\mu\nu}(x) = \lambda^{D_W-2} F(A)_{\mu\nu}(x).$$

Therefore it has scaling dimension

$$2 - D_W = 2 - \frac{1-\Delta}{D} = 1 + \Delta.$$

Under an infinitesimal conformal transformation

$\tilde{x} = x + \xi$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right| = \left| \delta^\mu_\mu + \partial_\mu \xi^\mu(x) \right| = 1 + \partial_\mu \xi^\mu(x).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) &= (1 + w \partial_\alpha \xi^\alpha(x)) (\delta^\nu_\mu - \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(x)) A_\nu(x) \\ &= (1 + w \partial_\alpha \xi^\alpha(x)) (A_\mu(x) - \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(x) A_\nu(x)) \\ &= A_\mu(x) - \partial_\mu \xi^\nu(x) A_\nu(x) + w \partial_\alpha \xi^\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

In here we have used that $\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) = \delta^\mu_\nu - \partial_\nu \xi^\mu(x)$,

as is easily seen by noting that

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta^\mu_\nu - \partial_\nu \xi^\mu(x)) (\delta^\nu_\sigma + \partial_\sigma \xi^\nu(x)) &= \delta^\mu_\sigma - \cancel{\partial_\sigma \xi^\mu(x)} + \cancel{\partial_\sigma \xi^\mu(x)} \\ &= \delta^\mu_\sigma = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}{\partial x^\sigma}(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right|^{-w} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) A_\beta(x) +$$

$$\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right|^{-w} \frac{\partial^2 x^\beta}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu \partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) A_\beta(x) +$$

$$\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right|^{-w} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) \frac{\partial A_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}(x).$$

Noting that the second term is symmetric in $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$

while $F(\tilde{A})_{\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\tilde{A})_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right|^{-w} A_\beta(x) \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}(x) \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) - \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}(x) \right) \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right|^{-w} \frac{\partial A_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}(x) \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) - \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}(x) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{x}^\mu}(x) \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial \tilde{x}^\nu}(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right|^{-w} A_\beta(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right|^{-w} A_\alpha(x) \right) \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right|^{-w} F(A)_{\alpha\beta}(x). \end{aligned}$$

We thus see that $F(A)$ is not primary under conformal transformations. We can still find its scaling dimension by noting that for $\tilde{x} = \lambda x$, we have

Similarly, we find that under such a transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(\tilde{A})_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) &= (\delta^\alpha_\mu - \partial_\mu \xi^\alpha(x)) (\delta^\beta_\nu - \partial_\nu \xi^\beta(x)) (w \partial_\alpha \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x) A_\beta(x) - w \partial_\beta \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x) A_\alpha(x) \\
 &\quad + (1 + w \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x)) F(A)_{\alpha\beta}(x)) \\
 &= w \partial_\mu \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x) A_\nu(x) - w \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x) A_\mu(x) + w \partial_\sigma \xi^\sigma(x) F(A)_{\mu\nu}(x) \\
 &\quad + F(A)_{\mu\nu}(x) - F(A)_{\mu\beta}(x) \partial_\nu \xi^\beta(x) - F(A)_{\alpha\nu}(x) \partial_\mu \xi^\alpha(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

c) I found this problem easier to solve using finite conformal transformations. In these the Killing equation reduces to the transformation behaviour of the metric under the change of coordinates $g \mapsto g'$, namely

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(x) \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu}(x) \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\beta}{\partial x^\nu}(x) =: g'_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) = \Omega(x)^{-2} g_{\mu\nu}(x).$$

However, it is done ~~Weyl~~ after a Weyl transformation,

$$g_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu},$$

the metric is left invariant. Thus, for a Weyl transformation followed by a conformal transformation

of coordinates we have that the action gets transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^D \tilde{x} \sqrt{|g(x)|} F(\tilde{A})^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) F(\tilde{A})_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \\ &= \int d^D x \sqrt{|g(x)|} \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}(x) \right| g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} F(\tilde{A})_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{x}) F(\tilde{A})_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{x}) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if we consider the dilation $\tilde{x} = \lambda x$, we have

$$\int d^D x \sqrt{|g(x)|} \lambda^D g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} \lambda^{-2(\Delta+1)} F(A)_{\alpha\beta}(x) F(A)_{\mu\nu}(x).$$

We thus obtain that the action is symmetric under these transformations if

$$0 = D - 2(\Delta + 1),$$

i.e. if

$$\Delta = \frac{D}{2} - 1 = \frac{D-2}{2}.$$

We then have for $D=4$, $\Delta=1$, i.e. $w=0$.

Then under Weyl transformations both A and $F(A)$ are invariant. In other words,

under full conformal transformations A transforms as a 1-form and the general covariance of the action guarantees that it is left invariant

2. A space with a boundary

a) From translation invariance, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$ we must have $\langle \Theta(x) \rangle = \langle \Theta(0) \rangle$. Moreover, it

Θ has scaling dimension $\Delta \neq 0$, under a scaling $\tilde{x} = \lambda x$ we have the transformation

$\Theta \mapsto \tilde{\Theta}$ where $\tilde{\Theta}(\tilde{x}) = \lambda^{-\Delta} \Theta(x)$. Thus, invariance under such requires

$$\lambda^{-\Delta} \langle \Theta(0) \rangle = \lambda^{-\Delta} \langle \Theta(x) \rangle = \langle \tilde{\Theta}(\tilde{x}) \rangle = \langle \Theta(\tilde{x}) \rangle = \langle \Theta(0) \rangle,$$

i.e., we must have

$$\langle \Theta(x) \rangle = \langle \Theta(0) \rangle = 0.$$

b) We can't translate in the negative D axis anymore. Thus, the symmetry generated by P_D is broken.

Similarly, any rotation which doesn't leave the D -axis invariant is not a symmetry anymore. Therefore, the generators

$$M_{iD}, \quad i = 1, \dots, D-1$$

correspond to broken symmetries. Finally, (after debating with Jonas) we can study the special conformal transformations. Under such a transformation with parameter b^μ , we have

$$\tilde{x}^D = \frac{x^D - b^D x^2}{1 - 2b \cdot x + b^2 x^2}$$

In particular, if b^D is sufficiently big,

$$1 - 2b \cdot x + b^2 x^2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x^D - b^D x^2 < 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \tilde{x}^D < 0.$$

We conclude that K_D corresponds to a broken symmetry. Now, take $b^j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$ for some $i \neq D$. Then

$$\tilde{x}^i = \frac{x^i}{1 - 2b^i x^i + (b^i)^2 x^2}.$$

Consider the polynomial $f(b^i) = 1 - 2b^i x^i + (b^i)^2 x^2$. We will have $x^D < 0$ if $f(b^i) < 0$. Since $x^2 > 0$, there exists such a b^i if and only if the minimum value of f is negative. Such a minimum happens at the c s.t.

$$0 = f'(c) = -2x^i + 2cx^2,$$

i.e. at $c = x^i / x^2$. Thus, the minimum value is

$$f(c) = 1 - 2 \frac{(x^i)^2}{x^2} + \frac{(x^i)^2}{x^2} = 1 - \frac{(x^i)^2}{x^2} \geq 1 - \frac{(x^i)^2}{(x^i)^2} = 0.$$

We conclude that the rest of the special conformal symmetries remain conserved.

It is easy to see that dilations also remain conserved since $\tilde{x}^D = \lambda x^D \geq 0$ if $\lambda > 0$.

c) From the remaining translation symmetries we have

$$\langle \Theta(x) \rangle = f(x^D)$$

for some $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. From scaling symmetry

we further obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^{-\Delta} f(x^D) &= \lambda^{-\Delta} \langle \theta(x) \rangle = \langle \tilde{\theta}(\tilde{x}) \rangle = \langle \theta(\tilde{x}) \rangle \\ &= f(\tilde{x}^D) = f(\lambda x^D).\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating wrt λ we obtain

$$x^D f'(\lambda x^D) = -\Delta \lambda^{-\Delta-1} f(x^D).$$

Choosing $\lambda = 1$, we have

$$(\log \circ f)'(x^D) = \frac{f'(x^D)}{f(x^D)} = -\Delta \frac{1}{x^D} = (-\Delta \log)'(x^D).$$

Therefore, there is a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\log(f(x^D)) = -\Delta \log(x^D) + c$$

$$= \log((x^D)^{-\Delta}) + c$$

$$= \log(e^c (x^D)^{-\Delta}).$$

We conclude $f(x^D) = f(1) (x^D)^{-\Delta}$. This is known as Euler's homogeneous function theorem.

It is clear that Jonas and I have discussed

(11)

d) The only symmetry that survives is the rotational symmetry. Thus, since for all x there is

a rotation that maps into $(\|x\|, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then

$$\langle \theta(x) \rangle = \langle \theta(\|x\|, 0, \dots, 0) \rangle = f(\|x\|).$$

3. Axial Anomaly

a) Thanks to Jonas, I figured the trick. The idea is that, thanks to Poincaré and Bose symmetry, the answer can only depend on $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $p_{\mu\nu}$ in a manner symmetric under the exchange $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$. Thus

$$\langle j_{\mu}^{\nu}(p) j_{\nu}^{\nu}(-p) \rangle = \eta_{\mu\nu} F(p^2) + p_{\mu} p_{\nu} G(p^2).$$

From conservation $\partial^{\mu} j_{\mu}^{\nu} = 0$, which in momentum space

is $p^{\mu} j_{\mu}^{\nu}(p) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p^{\mu} \langle j_{\mu}^{\nu}(p) j_{\nu}^{\nu}(-p) \rangle = p_{\nu} F(p^2) + p^2 p_{\nu} G(p^2) \\ &= p_{\nu} (F(p^2) + p^2 G(p^2)). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$C(p^2) = -\frac{1}{p^2} F(p^2).$$

i.e.

$$\langle j_\mu^\nu(p) j_\nu^\nu(-p) \rangle = \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right) F(p^2).$$

Finally, notice that, the kinetic term of the action for a Fermionic field in general dimension is

$$S(\psi) = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} i \bar{\psi} \gamma^a e_a{}^\mu D_\mu \psi$$

For the theory to be a CFT, it has to be invariant under scale Weyl transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &\longmapsto \lambda^{-\Delta} \psi \\ g &\longmapsto \lambda^2 g, \quad e_a{}^\mu \longmapsto \lambda e_a{}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

under which

$$\begin{aligned} S(\psi) &\longmapsto \int d^D x \lambda^D \sqrt{|g|} i \lambda^{-\Delta} \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \lambda^{-1} e_a{}^\mu D_\mu (\lambda^{-\Delta} \psi) \\ &= \lambda^{D-2\Delta-1} S(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\Delta = \frac{D-1}{2}.$$

We conclude that the scaling dimension of $j_\mu^V = \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi$ is

$$2\Delta = D-1 = 1$$

in $D=2$. Now,

$$j_\mu^V(p) = \int d^2x e^{ip \cdot x} j_\mu^V(x)$$

then has scaling dimension -1 . We conclude that

$\langle j_\mu^V(p) j_\nu^V(-p) \rangle$ has dimension -2 . On a closer look,

note that translation symmetry guarantees

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_\mu^V(p) j_\nu^V(p') \rangle &= \int d^3x d^3y e^{ip \cdot x} e^{ip' \cdot y} \langle j_\mu^V(x) j_\nu^V(y) \rangle \\ &= \int d^3x d^3y e^{ip \cdot x} e^{ip' \cdot y} \langle j_\mu^V(x-y) j_\nu^V(0) \rangle \\ &= \int d^3x d^3y e^{ip \cdot (x+y)} e^{ip' \cdot y} \langle j_\mu^V(x) j_\nu^V(0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int d^3x d^3y e^{ip \cdot x} e^{i(p+p') \cdot y} \langle j_\mu^\nu(x) j_\nu^\nu(0) \rangle$$

$$= (2\pi)^D \delta(p+p') \int d^3x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle j_\mu^\nu(x) j_\nu^\nu(0) \rangle = (2\pi)^D \delta(p+p') f(p^2).$$

Now, $\delta(p+p')$ has dimensions -2 . We conclude that,

after removing the divergent $\delta(p-p)=0$, then

$$\langle j_\mu^\nu(p) j_\nu^\nu(-p) \rangle = \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right) F(p^2)$$

has dimension 0. This implies that $F(p^2)$ is a constant by the Euler homogeneous function theorem.

We conclude

$$\langle j_\mu^\nu(p) j_\nu^\nu(-p) \rangle = \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right) c.$$

b) Note that

$$\gamma^0 \gamma^5 = \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 = \gamma^1 = \epsilon^{01\mu} \gamma_\mu$$

$$\gamma^1 \gamma^5 = \gamma^1 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 = -\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^1 = -\gamma^0 = \epsilon^{1\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu.$$

We conclude that $\gamma^\mu \gamma^5 = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu$. Therefore

the axial and vector current are connected by

$$j_\mu^A = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\nu, \nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu \psi = \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 \psi$$

To be completed...

c) We have

$$\begin{aligned} p^\mu \langle j_\mu^A(p) j_\nu^V(-p) \rangle &= p^\mu \varepsilon_\mu^\sigma \langle j_\sigma^V(p) j_\nu^V(-p) \rangle \\ &= p^\mu \varepsilon_\mu^\sigma \left(\eta_{\sigma\nu} + \frac{p_\sigma p_\nu}{p^2} \right) c = \left(p^\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} + \cancel{p^\sigma \varepsilon_\sigma^\mu \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2}} \right) c \\ &= c p^\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, its Fourier transform indicates that

$$\langle \partial^\mu j_\mu^A(x) j_\nu^V(0) \rangle = c \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \delta(x).$$

d) We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial^\mu j_\mu^A(0) \rangle_{A,\mu} &= \langle \partial_\mu j^{A,\mu}(0) e^{\int d^2x A^\nu(x) j_\nu^V(x)} \rangle \\ &= \cancel{\langle \partial_\mu j^{A,\mu}(0) \rangle} + \int d^2x A^\nu(x) \langle \partial_\mu j^{A,\mu}(0) j_\nu^V(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int d^2 x \, A^\nu(x) \langle \partial_\mu j^{A,\mu}(x) j_\nu^V(0) \rangle = c \int d^2 x \, A^\nu(x) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \partial^\mu \delta(x) \\
&= -c \int d^2 x \, \partial^\mu A^\nu(x) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \delta(x) = -c \partial^\mu A^\nu(x) \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \\
&= -c \left(\partial^0 A^1(x) - \partial^1 A^0(x) \right) = -c F^{01}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Continuation of 3b)

We have Dirac's equation

$$i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m \psi = 0$$

and its conjugate

$$i \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu + m \bar{\psi} = 0.$$

Moreover, note that

$$\gamma^5 \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -\gamma^1,$$

$$\gamma^5 \gamma^1 = \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = \gamma^0,$$

i.e. $\gamma^\mu \gamma^5 = \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu$. Then

$$\partial_\mu j^{A,\mu} = \partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi) = \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \partial_\mu \psi$$

$$= i m \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi - \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi = i m \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi + i m \bar{\psi} \gamma^3 \psi = 2 i m \bar{\psi} \gamma^3 \psi = 0$$

if $m = 0$. Note that this is enforced by scaling

invariance. Indeed, as we already saw, the

Kinetic part of the action has scaling dimension 0

if $\Delta = \frac{D-1}{2}$. However, under a Weyl scale

transformation, the massive part of the action scales like

$$\int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} m \bar{\psi} \psi \longrightarrow \int d^D x \lambda^D \sqrt{|g|} m \lambda^{-2\Delta} \bar{\psi} \psi,$$

i.e. has scaling dimension $D - 2\Delta = D - D + 1 = 1 \neq 0$.

We must thus have $m=0$ to retain scale invariance

