

Iván Mauricio Burbano Aldana

Perimeter Scholars International

Homework 1: Equivalence principle at

work: charge in a lab.

1.a) We have

$$U^\mu(\tau) = (\cosh(g\tau), \sinh(g\tau), 0, 0)^\mu$$

and

$$\frac{dU^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau} = g (\sinh(g\tau), \cosh(g\tau), 0, 0)^\mu = g (U^1(\tau), U^0(\tau), 0, 0)^\mu$$

This solves the EOM,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dU^\mu(\tau)}{d\tau} &= \kappa F^{\mu\nu} U_\nu(\tau) = \kappa (F^{01} U_1(\tau), F^{10} U_0(\tau), 0, 0)^\mu \\ &= \kappa (E U_1^1, E U_0^0, 0, 0)^\mu \end{aligned}$$

if $g = \kappa E$. Moreover, the proper time is

$$\int_0^{\tau} d\tau \sqrt{U^0(\tau)^2 - U^1(\tau)^2} = \int_0^{\tau} d\tau \sqrt{\cosh(g\tau)^2 - \sinh(g\tau)^2}$$

$$= \int_0^{\tau} dz = \tau,$$

showing that τ is the proper time.

b) We have

$$a^\mu a_\mu = g^2 (-\sinh(g\tau)^2 + \cosh(g\tau)^2) = g^2.$$

c) Exploring with the code we see that

small four-acceleration correspond to very flat curves.

As g increases the curvature

becomes bigger and the particle comes closer

to the origin.

d) The parametrization in (7) satisfies

$$x^2 - t^2 = \left(\frac{1}{g} + X\right)^2 \geq 0.$$

Thus $x^2 \geq t^2$, i.e. $|x| \geq |t|$. Thus, the

Rindler coordinates only cover this region,

Known as the Rindler wedge. This is confirmed in the plots on the notebook. By writing X curve as

$$X(t, x) = \left(\frac{1}{g} + X \right) (\sinh(gT), \cosh(gT)),$$

it is clear that the constant T curves correspond to straight lines through the origin with a slope $\coth(gT)$.

This slope quickly stabilizes as T grows to ∞ . On the other hand, the lines

at constant X , trace out the trajectories of uniformly accelerated particles explored in c). This is seen by the replacement

$$\frac{1}{g} \rightarrow \frac{1}{g'}, \quad T \rightarrow t' := \frac{gT}{g'} = gT \left(\frac{1}{g} + X \right),$$

s.t. $(t, x) = \frac{1}{g'} (\sinh(g' t'), \cosh(g' t'))$

The boundary of the Rindler wedge is the space where $|t| = |x|$, which is the light cone.

c) If $x^\mu = (t, x, \rho, \varphi)^\mu$ and $x'^\mu = (T, X, \rho, \varphi)^\mu$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\sigma\rho} \frac{\partial x^\sigma}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\rho}{\partial x'^\nu} = - \frac{\partial t}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial t}{\partial x'^\nu} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x'^\nu} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x'^\nu} \\ &\quad + \rho^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x'^\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{00} &= - \left(\frac{1}{g} + x \right)^2 g^2 \cosh(gT)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{g} + x \right)^2 g^2 \sinh(gT)^2 \\ &= - \left(\frac{1}{g} + x \right)^2 g^2 = - (1 + gX)^2, \end{aligned}$$

($g = a^\mu a_\mu > 0$)

$$g'_{11} = - \sinh(gT)^2 + \cosh(gT)^2 = 1,$$

$$g'_{22} = 1, \quad g'_{33} = 1,$$

$$g'_{01} = - \left(\frac{1}{g} + X \right) g \cosh(gT) \sinh(gT) + \left(\frac{1}{g} + X \right) g \sinh(gT) \cosh(gT) \\ = 0.$$

The other mixed elements vanish since none of the components of (t, x, p, φ) that depend on g or φ depend simultaneously with another coordinate in (T, X, p, φ) . Thus

$$ds^2 = -(1 + gX)^2 dT^2 + dX^2 + dp^2 + p^2 d\varphi^2.$$

2. a) In the Front End we showed that

$$\varphi(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{e}{|\vec{r} - \vec{x}(t_R)| - \vec{v}(t_R) \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{x}(t_R))},$$

where t_R is the time for which $t = t_R + |\vec{r} - \vec{x}(t_R)|$, \vec{x} is the trajectory of the particle, and e its charge. One can show also that

$$\vec{A}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{e \vec{v}(t_R)}{\|\vec{r} - \vec{x}(t_R)\| - \vec{v}(t_R) \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{x}(t_R))}$$

Let us consider a trajectory y^μ

the particle as a function of an arbitrary parameter τ . This is any trajectory for which

$$\vec{y}(\tau) := \vec{x}(y^0(\tau)).$$

$\xrightarrow{\quad} y^0 \text{ monotonically increasing}$

Define $R^\mu(\tau) := z^\mu - y^\mu(\tau)$ for some fixed z^μ .

Then, if $U^\mu = (z^\mu)'$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R^\mu(\tau) U_\mu(\tau) &= R^\mu(\tau) \frac{dy_\mu(\tau)}{dz^0} \frac{dz^0}{d\tau} \\ &= - \left(z^0 - y^0(\tau) - (\vec{z} - \vec{y}(\tau)) \cdot \vec{v}(y^0(\tau)) \right) \cdot \frac{dy^0(\tau)}{d\tau} \end{aligned}$$

At the τ , for which $z^0 = y^0(\tau) + \|\vec{z} - \vec{y}(\tau)\|$,

$$R^\mu(\tau) U_\mu(\tau) = - \left(\|\vec{z} - \vec{y}(\tau)\| - \vec{v}(y^0(\tau)) \cdot (\vec{z} - \vec{y}(\tau)) \right) \frac{dy^0(\tau)}{d\tau}$$

On the other hand

$$U^0(\tau) = \frac{dy^0(\tau)}{dz^0}, \quad \vec{U}(\tau) = \vec{v}(y^0(\tau)) \frac{dy^0(\tau)}{d\tau}.$$

Then, at this retarded time

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^\mu(\bar{z}) &= - \frac{Q u^\mu(\tau)}{R^\nu(\tau) u_\nu(\tau)} \\
 &= \frac{Q (1, \vec{v}(y^0(\tau)))}{\|\bar{z} - \vec{y}(\tau)\| - (\bar{z} - \vec{y}(\tau)) \cdot \vec{v}(y^0(\tau))} = (\varphi(\bar{z}, \bar{z}^0), \vec{A}(\bar{z}, \bar{z}^0))
 \end{aligned}$$

setting $Q=e$ and realizing $t_R = y^0(\tau)$.

b) We already showed

$$u^\mu(\tau) = g(-x_a, t_a, 0, 0).$$

Thus

$$A^\mu_{\text{ret}} = u_\mu(\tau) = \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\nu(\tau) = g(-x_a, t_a, 0, 0)_\mu.$$

We then conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_\mu &\equiv \left(\frac{Q u_\mu}{R^\nu u_\nu} \right)_{t = t(\tau) + \|\bar{z} - \vec{x}(\tau)\|} \\
 &= - \frac{Q g(-x_a, t_a, 0, 0)_\mu}{(t - t_a(\tau))g(-x_a) + (\bar{z} - \vec{x}_a(\tau))g(t_a, 0, 0)} \\
 &= \frac{Q(-x_a, t_a, 0, 0)_\mu}{t x_a - \cancel{t_a x_a} = x t_a + \cancel{x_a t_a}} = \frac{Q}{\xi} (-x_a, t_a, 0, 0)_\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

c) We have by squaring the retarded time condition and (8)

$$t^2 - 2tt_Q + \cancel{t_Q^2} = \rho^2 + x^2 - 2x\sqrt{L^2 + t_Q^2} + L^2 + \cancel{t_Q^2}, \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4x^2(L^2 + t_Q^2) &= (\delta + 2tt_Q)^2 \\ &= \delta^2 + 4t^2t_Q^2 + 4t\delta t_Q. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have the quadratic equation

$$4(x^2 - t^2)t_Q^2 - 4t\delta t_Q + 4x^2L^2 - \delta^2 = 0,$$

whose two solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} t_Q &= \frac{\cancel{4t\delta} \pm \sqrt{\cancel{4t^2\delta^2} - \cancel{4x^2L^2} (4x^2L^2 - \delta^2)}}{2\cancel{4(x^2 - t^2)}} \\ &= \frac{t\delta \pm \sqrt{\cancel{t^2\delta^2} - 4x^4L^2 + x^2\delta^2 + 4x^2t^2L^2 - \cancel{t^2\delta^2}}}{2(x^2 - t^2)} \\ &= \frac{t\delta \pm 2|x| \sqrt{t^2L^2 + \delta^2/4 - x^2L^2}}{2(x^2 - t^2)} \\ &= \frac{t\delta \pm 2|x| \sqrt{t^2L^2 - x^2L^2 + (\delta^2 + x^2 + L^2 - t^2)^2/4}}{2(x^2 - t^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{t\delta \pm 2|x| \sqrt{t^2 L^2 - x^2 L^2 + (L^2 + t^2 - p^2 - x^2 - 2L^2)^2/4}}{2(x^2 - t^2)}$$

$$= \frac{t\delta \pm 2|x| \sqrt{t^2 L^2 - x^2 L^2 + (L^2 + t^2 - p^2 - x^2)^2/4}}{2(x^2 - t^2)}$$

$$= \frac{+ \cancel{4}L^4/4 - \cancel{4}L^2(L^2 + t^2 - p^2 - x^2)/4}{2(x^2 - t^2)}$$

$$= \frac{t\delta \pm 2|x| \sqrt{(L^2 + t^2 - p^2 - x^2)^2/4 + L^2(\cancel{x^2 - x^2} + \cancel{4L^2 - 4L^2} + p^2 x^2)}}{2(x^2 - t^2)}$$

$$= \frac{t\delta \pm 2|x|5}{2(x^2 - t^2)}$$

If $x > 0$, we take the $(-)$ solution to

ensure we obtain the retarded instead of the

advanced time. Thus

$$t_Q = \frac{t\delta - 2x5}{2(x^2 - t^2)}.$$

Repeating for x_Q , we have

$$x_Q^2 - 2txx_Q + x^2 = (t-t_Q)^2 - \rho^2$$

$$= t^2 - 2tt_Q + t_Q^2 - \rho^2$$

$$= t^2 - 2t\sqrt{x_Q^2 - L^2} + x_Q^2 - L^2 - \rho^2$$

$$4t^2(x_Q^2 - L^2) = (t^2 - x^2 - L^2 - \rho^2 + 2txx_Q)^2$$

$$= (-\delta + 2txx_Q)^2 = 4x^2x_Q^2 + \delta^2 - 4x\delta x_Q$$

$$4(t^2 - x^2)x_Q^2 + 4x\delta x_Q - 4t^2L^2 - \delta^2 = 0$$

$$x_Q = \frac{x\delta \pm \sqrt{16x^2\delta^2 - 16(t^2 - x^2)(4t^2L^2 - \delta^2)}}{2(t^2 - x^2)}$$

$$= \frac{x\delta \pm \xi t}{2(x^2 - t^2)}$$

noticing that the square root is obtained by

the replacement $x \leftrightarrow t$ on the previous one,

a replacement under which ξ is invariant.

Once again, we take the $(-)$ soln

$$x_Q = \frac{x\delta - \xi t}{2(x^2 - t^2)}$$

d) Clearly $F_{\rho\phi} = 0$ since $A_\rho = A_\phi = 0$.

The full field strength is calculated in the mathematica notebook. It describes an electric field in the X - ρ plane and a magnetic field in the φ direction

3. a) Using mathematica, one finds

$$A'_\mu = \left(-\Phi, -\frac{Q}{L+X}, 0, 0 \right)_\mu$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \frac{gQ(2L^2 + 2LX + X^2 + \rho^2)}{\sqrt{(X^2 + \rho^2)((2L+X)^2 + \rho^2)}} \\ &= \frac{Q}{r} \frac{(2/g + 2X + gr^2)}{\sqrt{4/g^2 + 4X/g + r^2}} \\ &= \frac{Q}{r} \frac{1 + gX + g^2r^2/2}{\sqrt{1 + gX + g^2r^2/4}} \end{aligned}$$

b) Consider the gauge function

$$\Lambda = Q \ln(X+L).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda'_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda &= \left((-\Phi, \frac{-Q}{X+L}, 0, 0) + (0, \frac{Q}{X+L}, 0, 0) \right)_\mu \\ &= (-\Phi, 0, 0, 0)_\mu \end{aligned}$$

c) We have

$$\psi = \frac{\Phi}{1+gX} = \frac{Q}{r} \frac{1+gX + g^2 r^2/2}{\sqrt{1+gX + g^2 r^2/4}} \frac{1}{1+gX}$$

Using $(1+x)^n = 1+nx + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)x^2 + O(x^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \frac{Q}{r} (1+gX + g^2 r^2/2) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} (gX + g^2 r^2/4) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{8} (gX + g^2 r^2/4)^2 \right) \times \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 - gX + g^2 X^2) + O(g^3)$$

$$= \frac{Q}{r} \left(1 + g X \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} - 1 \right) \right)$$

$$+ g^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{r^2}{4} + \frac{3}{8} X^2 + \cancel{X^2} - \frac{1}{2} \cancel{X^2} - \cancel{X^2} + \frac{1}{2} \cancel{X^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(g^3)$$

$$= \frac{Q}{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} X g + \frac{3}{8} (r^2 + X^2) g^2 + \mathcal{O}(g^3) \right).$$

These were verified in the Mathematica file by explicit computation.

d) For small g we see the equipotentials expected from the usual Coulomb potential. As g increases, we see the potential lagging behind. In

e) the bottom of every graph we see the

Rindler horizon, corresponding to the singularity at

$X = -\frac{1}{g}$. The equipotentials seem to flatten

horizontally near the horizon. This is a coordinate artifact however, since the horizon is at ∞ .

c) Checked in Mathematica.

