

Iván Mauricio Burbano Aldana

Perimeter Scholar International

## Homework 2: Generalized Measurement

1.a) We have a density matrix

$$\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi/3} |0\rangle\langle 1| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\pi/3} |1\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle\langle 1|.$$

b) Recalling that

$$\sigma_x := |0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|,$$

$$\sigma_y := -i |0\rangle\langle 1| + i |1\rangle\langle 0|,$$

we have

$$a_x = \text{tr}(\rho \sigma_x) = \text{tr} \left( \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle\langle 1| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\pi/3} |1\rangle\langle 1| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi/3} |0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle\langle 0| \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{i\pi/3} + e^{-i\pi/3}) = \sqrt{2} \cos(\pi/3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$a_y = \text{tr}(\rho \sigma_y) = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\pi/3} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi/3}$$

$$= -\frac{2ii}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\pi/3) = +\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

$$a_z = \text{tr}(\rho \sigma_z) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0.$$

c) Consider the Stern-Gerlach set-up consists of subjecting the particles emitted from the source to a magnetic field along the  $z \in \{x, y, z\}$  direction. Depending on its spin, on this direction, a particle's trajectory is deviated to the  $+z$  or  $-z$  direction. The first case corresponds to the  $+1$  eigenvalue of  $\sigma_z$ , while the second to  $-1$ . If  $N_+$  is the number of particles deviated to the  $+z$  direction, and  $N_-$  the corresponding number for the  $-z$  direction, we have

$$a_i = \langle \sigma_i \rangle_{\rho} = \text{tr}(\rho \sigma_i) = \frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-}$$

d) Indeed, we have

$$E_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2} |1X1\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |1'X1'\rangle.$$

† Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \frac{1}{2} |0X0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left( (1-\varepsilon) |0X0\rangle + \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} |0X1\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} |1X0\rangle + \varepsilon |1X1\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (2-\varepsilon) |0X0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |1X1\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} |0X1\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} |1X0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (2-\varepsilon) |1X1\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |0X0\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} |0X1\rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} |1X0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

f) We have

$$P'(0) = \text{tr}(E_0 \rho) = \langle \psi | E_0 | \psi \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle \psi | \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} (2-\varepsilon) |0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} |1\rangle \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{e^{i\pi/3}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |1\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} |0\rangle \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (2-\varepsilon) + \frac{e^{i\pi/3}}{4} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} + \frac{e^{-i\pi/3}}{4} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4} \times = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}}{2} \cos(\pi/3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} (id_H + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1| + \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (-i) \right) |0\rangle\langle 1| + \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (i) \right) |1\rangle\langle 0| )$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi/3} |0\rangle\langle 1| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\pi/3} |1\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle\langle 1|$$

$$= \rho'$$

c) The probability of measuring a spin in the  $+z$  direction is  $\text{tr}(|0\rangle\langle 0| \rho)$  while that of the  $+z'$  direction is  $\text{tr}(|0'\rangle\langle 0'| \rho)$ . If we measured on the first with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  and the second with the same, the probability of obtaining a vector in the  $+$  direction is

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(|0\rangle\langle 0| \rho) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(|0'\rangle\langle 0'| \rho)$$

$$= \text{tr}(\mathbb{E}_0 \rho),$$

with  $\mathbb{E}_0 = \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |0'\rangle\langle 0'|$ . Similarly

One quickly checks

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 + E_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (2 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon) |0 \times 0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon + 2 - \varepsilon) |1 \times 1\rangle \\ &= |0 \times 0\rangle + |1 \times 1\rangle = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} p'(1) &= \text{tr}(E_1 \rho) = \text{tr}(\rho) - \text{tr}(E_0 \rho) = 1 - p'(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

g) We have

$$\alpha_z = 1 p'(0) - 1 p'(1) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}.$$

Thus, she would measure

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n = \rho' + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_z \sigma_z &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \right) |0 \times 0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\pi/3} |0 \times 1\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\pi/3} |1 \times 0\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \right) |1 \times 1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

h) Quite literally,

$$\rho_n - \rho' = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_z \sigma_z.$$

However, physically,

$$\text{tr}(\rho_n \sigma_z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \right) > \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \right) \\ = \text{tr}(\rho_n \sigma_z),$$

i.e. Alice would think that the orientation  $+z$

has a higher probability than  $-z$ .

i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\rho_n^2) &= \text{tr}(\rho'^2) + \frac{1}{4} a_z^2 \text{tr}(\sigma_z^2) + \frac{1}{2} a_z (\text{tr}(\rho' \sigma_z) + \text{tr}(\sigma_z \rho')) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} a_z^2 + a_z \langle \sigma_z \rangle_{\rho'} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) = 1 + \frac{1}{8} \varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) > 1, \end{aligned}$$

Something impossible. Thus Alice would realize  $\rho_n$  is not a density matrix.

2.a) The projectors are  $P_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$  and  $P_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$ .

b) If the outcome is  $m=0$ , the resulting state is

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{P_0 |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\text{Prob}(0)}} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle}{\sqrt{1/2}} = |0\rangle.$$

Similarly, after the outcome  $m=1$ , the state is

$$|\psi_1\rangle = |1\rangle.$$

c) Indeed,

$$P_0 P_0 = 10 \times \cancel{01} \times 01 = 10 \times 01,$$

$$P_1 P_1 = 11 \times \cancel{11} \times 11 = 11 \times 11.$$

d) Indeed

$$P_{\text{prob}} | \psi_m \rangle (m) = \langle \psi_m | P_m | \psi_m \rangle = \langle m | P_m | m \rangle = \langle \cancel{m} | \cancel{m} \times \cancel{m} | \cancel{m} \rangle = 1.$$

e) Because such a projective measurement has the

i) wrong update rule: Whatever the outcome of the measurement is, the post-measurement state is a state with no particles

f) We need to expand the Hilbert space to a three-dimensional vector space spanned by the orthonormal basis  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |vac\rangle\}$ . Then,

we let

$$M_0 = |vac\rangle \langle 0|$$

$$M_1 = |vac\rangle \langle 1|$$

$$M_{vac} = |vac\rangle \langle vac|$$

and  $E_i = M_i^\dagger M_i$ . Then the measurement  $\{E_0, E_1, E_{vac}\}$

has  $\text{Prob}(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\text{Prob}(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\text{Prob}(vac) = 0$  but

independent of the outcome, the resulting state is

$$\frac{M_i |\psi\rangle \langle\psi| M_i^\dagger}{\text{Prob}(i)} = \frac{|\text{vac}\rangle \langle i| \cancel{|\psi\rangle \langle\psi|} \langle i| \text{vac}\rangle}{\langle i| \cancel{|\psi\rangle \langle\psi|} \langle i|} = |\text{vac}\rangle \langle \text{vac}|.$$

(there is the problem that  $\text{Prob}(\text{vac})=0$ , but... bah!).

3. a) We have

$$\text{Prob}_{|\psi_L\rangle}(1) = \langle\psi_L|E_1|\psi_L\rangle = 0,$$

$$\text{Prob}_{|\psi_2\rangle}(2) = \langle\psi_2|E_2|\psi_2\rangle = 0.$$

Thus, an outcome 1 yields that the state was  $|\psi_2\rangle$ , while 2 yields  $|\psi_L\rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } \text{Prob}_{|\psi_L\rangle}(2) &= 1 - \langle\psi_L|E_2|\psi_L\rangle \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{4} |\langle -|\psi_L\rangle|^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{7}{8} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Prob}_{|\psi_2\rangle}(1) = 1 - \frac{1}{4} |\langle 1|\psi_2\rangle|^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{7}{8}.$$

Thus, outcome 3 gives no information of whether the state was on  $|\psi_L\rangle$  or  $|\psi_2\rangle$ .



c) We wish to obtain  $|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $U: \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  unitary and

a PVM  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ , such that for any density

matrix  $\rho: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

$$\text{tr}(\rho E_i) = \text{tr}(U \rho \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| U^\dagger P_i).$$

By the linearity of the equation above on  $\rho$ , it is clear that it is enough to demand it only for pure states  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , where it reduces to

$$\langle\psi|E_i|\psi\rangle = \text{tr}(U|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| U^\dagger P_i).$$

Moreover, let  $(|\Phi_I\rangle)_{I \in \{1, \dots, 4\}}$  be an ON basis

adapted to  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ . This means that for

every  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , there is a  $\mathcal{I}_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, 4\}$  s.t.

$$P_i = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_i} |\Phi_I\rangle\langle\Phi_I|.$$

Of course, by noting that none of the elements of the POVM are trivial and counting dimensions, one sees that out of  $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3$ , two are singletons while the other contains two elements. In terms of this basis we have

$$\langle\psi|E_i|\psi\rangle = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_i} \langle\Phi_I|U|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| U^\dagger |\Phi_I\rangle.$$

Moreover, by the linearity of the requirement on

on the PVM, it is clear that satisfying it for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  is sufficient. Indeed, in this case, if  $P_3 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^2} - P_1 - P_2$ , then the requirement is fulfilled for  $i=3$ . As a final general comment,

by redefining  $P_i$  as  $U^\dagger P_i U$ , it is clear we may assume  $U = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ . In summary, we want to

find a ON basis  $(|\Phi_I\rangle)_{I \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}}$ , disjoint subsets  $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2 \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  s.t.  $\mathcal{I}_3 := \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus (\mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{I}_2) \neq \emptyset$ , and a vector  $|\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$  s.t.

$$\langle \psi | E_i | \psi \rangle = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_i} \langle \Phi_I | (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|) | \Phi_I \rangle$$

for all  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Here is where we have to be creative (guess). Let

$|\Phi_1\rangle = |1\rangle \otimes |+\rangle$  so that

$$\langle \Phi_1 | (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|) | \Phi_1 \rangle = |\langle 1 | \psi \rangle|^2 |\langle + | \phi \rangle|^2.$$

Similarly, let  $|\Phi_2\rangle = |- \rangle \otimes |0\rangle$  so that

$$\langle \Phi_2 | (|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \otimes |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|) | \Phi_2 \rangle = |\langle - | \psi \rangle|^2 |\langle 0 | \phi \rangle|^2.$$

We can make these coincide with

$$\langle \psi | E_+ | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{4} |\langle + | \psi \rangle|^2,$$

and

$$\langle \psi | E_- | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{4} |\langle - | \psi \rangle|^2$$

respectively, by ensuring that  $|\langle + | \phi \rangle|^2 = |\langle 0 | \phi \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ .

Recall also

$$\langle + | \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\langle 0 | \phi \rangle + \langle 1 | \phi \rangle)$$

Demanding  $\langle 0 | \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $|\phi\rangle$  takes the form

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle + e^{i\theta} b |1\rangle$$

with  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Normalization (which we forgot to list above) requires

$$1 = \langle \phi | \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{4} + b^2,$$

i.e.  $b = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ . Thus

$$\langle + | \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} + e^{i\theta} b \right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} = |\langle + | \phi \rangle|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + e^{i\theta} b \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} + e^{-i\theta} b \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} + e^{i\theta} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} + e^{-i\theta} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(\theta) \right)$$

We thus want  $\cos(\theta) = 0$ . For this we choose

$$\theta = \pi/2.$$

Finally, we have

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle + i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} |1\rangle,$$

$$P_1 = |\bar{\Phi}_1 \times \Phi_1| = (|1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle)(\langle 1| \otimes \langle 1|) = |1 \times 1| \otimes |1 \times 1|,$$

$$P_2 = |\bar{\Phi}_2 \times \Phi_2| = (|1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle)(\langle 1| \otimes \langle 0|) = |1 \times 1| \otimes |0 \times 0|,$$

$$P_3 = id_{\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2} - P_1 - P_2,$$

$$U = id_{\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2}.$$

We finally check that indeed

$$\text{tr}(U |\psi \times \psi| \otimes |\phi \times \phi| U^\dagger P_1) = \langle \Phi_1 | (|\psi \times \psi| \otimes |\phi \times \phi|) | \Phi_1 \rangle$$

$$= |\langle 1 | \psi \rangle|^2 |\langle 1 | \phi \rangle|^2 = \text{tr}(|\psi \times \psi| |1 \times 1|) \left| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} + i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} \right|^2$$

$$= \text{tr}(|\psi \times \psi| |1 \times 1|) \left( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{8} \right) = \text{tr}(|\psi \times \psi| E_1),$$

$$\text{tr}(U |\psi \times \psi| \otimes |\phi \times \phi| U^\dagger P_2) = \text{tr}(|\psi \times \psi| |1 \times 1|) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \text{tr}(|\psi \times \psi| E_2),$$

$$\text{tr}(U |\psi \times \psi| \otimes |\phi \times \phi| U^\dagger P_3) = \text{tr}(U |\psi \times \psi| \otimes |\phi \times \phi| U^\dagger) - \text{tr}(|\psi \times \psi| E_1) - \text{tr}(|\psi \times \psi| E_2)$$

$$= \text{tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|E_3)$$

for all  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . Moreover it is easy to see

that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are mutually orthogonal projections,

making  $(P_1, P_2, P_3 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2} - P_1 - P_2)$  a PVM, as well.