

# Chapter 1

## Exercises: Chapter One

### Exercise 1.1

As suggested in the hint, we begin by differentiating the constraints with respect to the velocities

$$0 = \frac{\partial \phi_m(q, p(q, \dot{q}))}{\partial \dot{q}^n} = \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial p_{n'}}(q, p(q, \dot{q})) \frac{\partial p_{n'}}{\partial \dot{q}^n} = \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial p_{n'}}(q, p(q, \dot{q})) \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \dot{q}^n \partial \dot{q}^{n'}}. \quad (1.1)$$

These shows that indeed the vectors considered are null vectors for the Hessian matrix. Now, taking the derivative with respect to the positions,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial \phi_m(q, p(q, \dot{q}))}{\partial q^n} = \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial q^n}(q, p(q, \dot{q})) + \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial p_{n'}}(q, p(q, \dot{q})) \frac{\partial p_{n'}}{\partial q^n} \\ &= \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial q^n}(q, p(q, \dot{q})) + \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial p_{n'}}(q, p(q, \dot{q})) \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial q^n \partial \dot{q}^{n'}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

### Exercise 1.2

(a) Well, consider the function  $\Pi_i(q^n, \dot{q}^n) := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}$ . The constraint surface is then determined by  $p_i = \Pi_i(q^n, \dot{q}^n)$ . However, since the transformation  $\dot{q}^n \mapsto \dot{q}^{m'}, p_\alpha$  is invertible, we can rewrite  $\Pi_i(q^n, \dot{q}^n) = P_i(q^n, \dot{q}^{m'}, p_\alpha)$ . Since the transformation  $\dot{q}^n \mapsto \dot{q}^{m'}, p_\alpha$  is precisely constructed from the relation  $p_i = \Pi_i(q^n, \dot{q}^n)$ , the equations  $\Pi_i(q^n, \dot{q}^n) = P_i(q^n, \dot{q}^{m'}, p_\alpha)$ , for  $i = \alpha$  reduce to trivial identities. On the other hand, the equations  $p_{m'} = \Pi_{m'}(q^n, \dot{q}^n) = P_{m'}(q^n, \dot{q}^{m'}, p_\alpha)$  are expected to not be trivial. As a consequence,  $P_{m'}$  cannot depend on the  $\dot{q}^{m'}$ . If this wasn't the case, one could use  $p_{m'} = P_{m'}(q^n, \dot{q}^{m'}, p_\alpha)$  to express some of the  $\dot{q}^{m'}$  in function of  $q^n$ ,  $p_\alpha$  and  $p_{m'}$ , meaning that the rank of the Hessian was bigger than  $N - M'$ .

(b) We have

$$\left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{q}^{m'}} \right)_{q^n, p_\alpha} = P_{m'} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^{m'}} = P_{m'} - \Pi_{m'} = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

(c) We have

$$\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha}\right)_{q^n} = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha}\right)_{q^n, \dot{q}^{m'}} = \cancel{p_\beta \frac{\partial \dot{q}^\beta}{\partial p^\alpha}} + \dot{q}^\alpha + \dot{q}^{m'} \frac{\partial P_{m'}}{\partial p^\alpha} - \cancel{\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^\beta} \frac{\partial \dot{q}^\beta}{\partial p^\alpha}}. \quad (1.4)$$

(d) Under such variations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta \int (p_\alpha \dot{q}^\alpha + P_{m'} \dot{q}^{m'} - H) \\ &= \int \left( \delta p_\alpha \dot{q}^\alpha + p_\alpha \frac{\partial \dot{q}^\alpha}{\partial q^n} \delta q^n + p_\alpha \frac{\partial \dot{q}^\alpha}{\partial p_\beta} \delta p_\beta + \frac{\partial P_{m'}}{\partial q^n} \delta q^n \dot{q}^{m'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial P_{m'}}{\partial p_\beta} \delta p_\beta \dot{q}^{m'} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^n} \delta q^n - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^\alpha} \delta p^\alpha \right) \\ &= \int \left( \delta p_\alpha \left( \cancel{\dot{q}^\alpha} + p_\beta \frac{\partial \dot{q}^\beta}{\partial p_\alpha} + \frac{\partial P_{m'}}{\partial p_\alpha} \dot{q}^{m'} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\alpha} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \delta q^n \left( p_\alpha \frac{\partial \dot{q}^\alpha}{\partial q^n} + \frac{\partial P_{m'}}{\partial q^n} \dot{q}^{m'} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^n} \right) \right) \\ &= \int \left( \delta p_\alpha \left( p_\beta \frac{\partial \dot{q}^\beta}{\partial p_\alpha} \right) + \delta q^n \left( p_\alpha \frac{\partial \dot{q}^\alpha}{\partial q^n} + \frac{\partial P_{m'}}{\partial q^n} \dot{q}^{m'} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^n} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Thanks to Qmechanic for the post <https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/59936/primary-constraints-for-constrained-hamiltonian-systems/59953#59953>, which is very much what inspired the above solution.