2022 RELEASE UNDER THE PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATION RECORDS ACT OF 1992

diff-jfk: record 104-10250-10199 - Page (a)diff between 2025 and 2022)

Highlighted changes between 2025/104-10250-10199.pdf and 2022/104-10250-10199.pdf - fresh pages only

: Denuty Chief, ESD

22 June 1954:

: Chief, Special Referral Branch

SUBJECT: HIDALGO, Balmes, Jr.

£ 65077

## 225

The Subject is a covert employee of this Agency now in the United States for four weeks training and being considered for wie by Staff C/ICB in a highly sensitive assignment. Immigration sutherities may submit questions through this Agency which will te arswered by the Subject, but requests to have the Subject testify in open court hearings must be refused.

## DETAILS

- Reference is made to the letter received by the Alien Affairs Staff from the Immigration and Maturalization Service and reference to your request of 15 June 1956 to ascertain the details of the Subject's status with this Agency and prepare a reply to IANS.
- 2. On 17 June 1955 Special Agent Chester R. HOATSCT of this office interviewed Mr. Robert D/HIGRIM, Acting Chief, MM/FT, Room 1128 "J" Building, and 186 (John BOWNET) Chief, WM/Panama, Room 220h Tuarters "J", xhl56, regarding the Innigration request. The following information was obtained during this interview.
  - The Subject is still a covert employee of this Agency, is now in the United States (New York City) on home leave, and is scheduled to report to Washington, D.C., to consence four weeks of covert trainingon 6 July 1954.
  - b. The Subject is presently under consideration by Staff C/JCB for an assignment with a highly sensitive project under the supervision of Staff C/ICB. Under this proposed assignment any publicity or notoriety that the Subject would receive from testifying publicly on questions of Communist associations of which he may have knowledge would ultimately preclude his fature use with the Staff C project and would probably jeopardize his future as a covert employee of this Agency.
  - c. If the Staff Cassian ortunes not unterialize, the Subject will return to Falbor Fanans Canal Some on approxinately 15 August 195h as a case officer, and this position would also be jeogardized by undue publicity.

- standpoint only there would be no objection to a controlled interview with the Subject of the interview could be afforded the Subject while he is still in Yew York City. This, however, would have to be coordinated with Staff C/SCP for notsible objections that they might raise in view of their proposed use of the Subject. If Sports reducetted that he be informed of any action taker in this matter and any public testingly or open court testingly would have to depend or the seriousness of the case being premared by the Immigration authorities.
- h. Any contact with the Subject during his period of covert training from 6 July to approximately 15 August 195h would have to slack to coordinated with In (Feter HOLH) Covert Training Officer, DTA, whose office is located in Building T-31.
- FURNE) Staff T/ICB, 1613 "I" Building, x608, to discuss the Subject's future position and also the request received from Funds.

  The following factors were obtained from Mr. (BURNE):
  - a. The Eubject's use in the proposed Staff C project is still in the planning stage, but the assignment that the Subject is being considered for is a highly sensitive one and any further publicity which the Subject may receive would ultimately discualify him for consideration on this project.
  - b. Any approval for IMNS contact of the Subject would have to be determined after carefully considering the importance of the IMNS case for which the Subject's testinony may be required. IMPREE indicated that the Subject could still be considered as an employee of IM Division regardless of whether or not the proposed Staff CATCH assignment materializes and any action in the request received from IMNS should be closely coordinated with both Staff C and IM.
- 6. Mr. PENITIGION, Alien Affairs Staff, SO, contacted William A. HOGAN, Special Assistant to the Commissioner of Immigration and Vaturalization on 18 June 195h requesting further information regarding their memorandum of 9 June 195h on the Subject. Mr. HOGAN explained that IMMS has a list of possible informants which contains the Subject's name. The people on this list are being contacted for use on a continuing basis wher specific cases arise which might fall in their particular area of knowledge. At the present time, IMMS has no specific case forwhich they want to contact the Subject, but they just want to know if he would be available in the future for use as an informant regarding various Communist activities of which the Subject has personal knowledge.

- 7. After his conversation with Wr. HOSTE, Ir. PERSTRETON indicated that a refusal of the IEEE request would not be considered out of order, but recommend-discoperating to the entent that I IF could subsit specific questions to this Agency which could be presented to the Subject and the ensure forwarded to IEEE. The refusal of their original request should be breed on the sensitivity of the Subject's position which could be jeopardiced by any union publicate and also on the fact that the Subject a was frequently and it would be difficult to locate him will a present account contact was reinfaired.
- The James SPRINGL, Dien Affeirs Staff/SC, stated on 18 dans 1951 that Ar. HORAN, Special Issistant to the Commissioner of Famigration and Materalization, has indicated in a conversation with ir. SPROVEL on 8 June 1956 that IRMS had been able to locate the Subject's location and affiliation with this Agency through the Subject's father who told the inspector that the Subject was analyzed by this Agency in Lalboa, Panaga Canal Zone) which appears to be a security flap on the part of the Subject's father.
- in the form BOTTET Chief, NH/Fanama, was recontacted on 16 for 1 15 and informed of the nature of the 1 16 revest and also informed of the apparent security flap on the part of the Subject's father. What the passes of this further information, it was suggested to in. BONTET that the JANS authorities be requested to submit any exestions through this agency which would, in turn, be presented to the Subject and his answers be returned to JANS. In. BONTET agreed to the proposal of having the JANS people contact the Subject through this Agency and also indicated that the reported security breach on the part of the Subject's father would be taken into consideration and an attempt would be made to determine the extent of the Subject's relatives or acquaintances know of the Subject's employment by this Agency. Mr. Faul FURKED Staff C/ICB, also agreed to the proposed procedure and requested that any and all action taken be coordinated with MH and Staff C.

## VILLUM

It is recommended that the attached memorandum to MANS and to the Chief, MH Division, with a carbon copy to Staff C/ICE, be forwarded and that no further action betaken pending a report from Mr. 03(BITTET) regarding the seriousness of the security flap from an operational standpoint.

Charles U. Hane

Attachments

] - Letter to IAMS

1 - Letter to WH with cc to Staff C/JCB

HOATSON/man 6/21/55