

CONFIDENTIAL

deletions were made to protect **our proposed operational use of Subject as outlined in Paragraph 5,** the name of an Agency employee and internal organizational data. ^{a cryptonym.}

c. Memorandum dated 30 March 1962--I recommend that further information be released to the requester, as noted in Tab B2, and the remainder withheld on the basis of Title 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(1) and (b)(3). The deletions were made to protect the names of Agency employees, and internal organizational data.

d. 3 March 1950 memorandum for the FBI--originally denied in toto under Title 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(5). I recommend a sanitized version be passed to Subject. The deletions were made to protect the privacy of other individuals, the names and initials of Agency employees, internal organizational data, and a file number. The excised portions are exempt under Title 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(6). (See Tab B3)

e. 29 September 1954 memorandum for the Army--originally denied in toto under Title 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(5). I recommend a sanitized version be passed to Subject. The deletions were made to protect the privacy of other individuals, the names and initials of Agency employees, internal organizational data. The excised portions are exempt under Title 5 U.S.C. 552 (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(6). (See Tab B4)

f. Attached as Tab C is one Department of the Army Name Trace Request which is not considered a "record" per 32 CFR 1900.3 (g)(4). Please refer this document to the Army for their action. Also, advise the Army we cannot produce a more legible copy of this document.

4. The records on Subject exist in microfilm form only--in two instances (Tabs B3 and B4) it was impossible to provide a legible copy. Therefore, in these instances a new copy has been typed and is attached to the original copy in both versions (full text and sanitized). Please make a note of this to requester.