104-10333-10006 2025 RELEASE UNDER THE PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATION RECORDS ACT OF 1992 diff_lfk: record 104-10333-10006 Page 1 - (diff between 2025 and 2022)

Highlighted changes between 2025/104-10333-10006.pdf and 2022/104-10333-10006.pdf

CLASSIFIED FAX TRANSMISSION

ARRB

202-724-0088

To:

Barry Harrelson

Date:

March 31, 1997

Fax #:

703-613-3060

Pages:

17. including this cover sheet.

From:

Michelle Combs

Subject:

DS&T and DO Briefing Questions

COMMENTS:

Barry, 🥒

Attached are the final versions of the DS&T and DO briefing questions. There are no changes in the DS&T questions. Questions have been added to the DO list to reflect our conversation March 19 and 20. You, of course, already have our detailed explanations for the earlier DO questions. If you have any questions or if there are any problems, please give me a call. I should be in all day. See you tomorrow.

Michelle

12:05PM

13-00000

Questions for Follow-up DO Records Briefing April 1, 1997

- 1. At what point did the DDP begin to keep records of destroyed records? For example, in a Cold Warrior footnote, Tom Mangold reports that as any of Angleton's files were destroyed, "a complete record was carefully maintained about what had been done. (George) Kalaris signed off on every file and the DCI's office was notified." (p. 143) Where are these records?
- 2. Could an archival search be run on specific topics for retired records at the CIA's Record's Center? Perhaps an ARRB staffer could be present during the search to review the abstracts and to suggest other fields or topics to be searched?
- 3. Could a crypt search for records containing relevant crypts be run through cryptographic reference? How would queries need to be framed and stated?
- 4. Why is it so difficult to develop a list for all personnel (official, contract, administrative, or TDY) assigned to the post referenced in RIF 104-10065-10199 from 1959-1964?
- 5. We have been working to identify all technical and surveillance operations in and on the Cuban and Soviet consulates and embassies in Mexico City between September 1 and December 1, 1963. How can we frame a search query to determine if we have a comprehensive and complete list of all operations at that time?
- 6. How can a search query be framed to document all electronic take produced by the Mexico City Station in the immediate wake of the assassination (the first month) and during the period of Oswald's visit? How can we verify that what exists in the Sequestered Collection represents all records of the technical production that was available at the time of the assassination? If additional records or original production still exists, where would it be stored or filed? What searches could be conducted to retrieve any additional records? If such electronic take has been destroyed, do records exist of the destruction?
- 7. Could you describe the process by which operational funds are proposed, approved, received, and distributed (circa 1963). What funding and disbursement records exist? How are they organized? How should a request be formulated to institute a reasonable search of these records?

13-00000

- 8. We have seen DDP monthly operational reports which contain information on the allocation/distribution of operational funds. Are there other DDP records which would reflect how certain projects utilized their funding? How are these records organized? How should a search query be framed?
- 9. Various open sources have linked the CIA to various journalists and publishing companies during the 1960's. How should a query be framed to search for connections between the Agency and journalists or publishing companies?
- 10. Did the Agency have any relationship, projects, or contacts with publishing houses during the time of the assassination and in the aftermath of the Warren Commission? How would a search be formulated to determine whether or not the Agency had a relationship with any of the same publishing houses that were also publishing books about the assassination?
- 11. How would a query be framed to search for files on any office or person dealing with organized crime and Cuba? How should a request be formulated to institute a reasonable search on this topic?
- 12. Is there a comprehensive record or list detailing the identities of individuals on the Interagency Source Register? How are these records organized? How can a search query be framed to ascertain whether or not a particular individual appears in the Register.
- 13. How could a search query be framed to ascertain whether or not a particular individual was given access to classified information by DCD (then OO)? What kind of files are assembled in the process of clearing non-Agency contacts/sources for access to classified information?
- 14. ARRB's Request for Additional Information and Record No. CIA-13, dated November 1, 1996, contained the following questions:
 - A. What forms of backchannel communications were there between the Mexico City Station (including the COS), JMWAVE, or Headquarters during 1963? By "backchannel" we mean, inter alia, any administrative, senior staff, or restricted access communications, or any written or electronic communications other than regular cables or dispatches (of the type included in the JFK Collection).
 - B. Were there, in fact, any backchannel communications between the Mexico City Station (including the COS), JMWAVE, or Headquarters during the time periods 27 Sept 16 Oct 1963 and 22 Nov 30 Nov 1963?

13-00000

C. To the extent that there were any backchannel communications for the time periods 27 Sept - 16 Oct 1963 and 22 Nov - 30 Nov 1963 (other than those currently contained in the JFK Collection), please make such records available for review.

Why has it been so difficult to answer these questions?

- 15. ARRB's Request for Additional Information and Record No. CIA-14, dated December 23, 1996, documented our request for the annual or biannual Station reports for Mexico City Station for 1963 and 1964. Why has it been so difficult to provide these reports?
- 16. The attached Agency document released in 1996 contains the paragraph:
 - 11. A memorandum marked only for file, 16 March 1967, signed M.D. Stevens, says that J. Monroe SULLIVAN, #280207, was granted a covert security approval on 10 December 1962 so that he could be used in Project QKENCHANT. (Clay) Shaw has #402897-A.

What do these numbers mean? What does the "A" at the ends of Shaw's number signify? Do the files identified by these numbers still exist? Why was Sullivan granted a covert security approval?

- 17. During the period 1959-1963, what was the purpose or goal of QKENCHANT?
- 18. Under what circumstances were non-agency employees given covert security clearances for the QKENCHANT project?
- 19. Did Clay Shaw receive a covert security approval for the QKENCHANT project? Why was one needed? Did Clay Shaw receive covert security clearances for any project other than QKENCHANT
- 20. What did Clay Shaw do within the QKENCHANT project?
- 21. Do records exist to account for the disposition of all files on Clay Shaw?
- 22. Did Howard Hunt have any involvement with the QKENCHANT project prior to 1964?
- 23. Did J. Monroe Sullivan have any involvement with the QKENCHANT project prior to 1964?

diff-jfk: record 104 96331-10006 12166-51- (diff between 2025 and 2022/104-10333-10006.pdf and 2022/104-10333-10006.pdf

SECRET

24. The DO telephone directories list an Operational Services/Defector Staff beginning in October 1959? What was the Defector Staff? Did it have an operational component?

25. In the period 1959-1964, were DO officers, selected to serve overseas under State

Department covery legally considered to be "members of the Foreign Service, appointed
by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate?"

SECRET

SECRET

..........

MARA SU. DATE 2/4/47

a relatively clean casy-to-read specimen of what we strainly here -

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM Release As Santized 1998 note: only redoction is QK (what come a Digraph) from QK Electrons
on page 4 here peropaghtil:

Enclosure 21 I've melules a port copy of pay 4 that contains the PK"

SUBJECT: Clay L. KHAW (201-813493)

- 1. Subject was born on 17 March 1913 in New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 2. Standard background information on Subject (parents, marital status, records of education and employment, etc.) is lacking because SHAW was a contact of the Domestic Contact Service.
- 3. Traces on Subject have been run in RID/Main Index, the index of the Office of Security and the Central Cover Staff. The first showed only a 1951 FBI interview with SHAW about a former employee of the New Orleans International Trade Mart. The second showed that OO/Contacts Division had requested a name trace; about 1949 and that the check of FBI records then conducted was negative. Central Cover Staff had no record.
- 4. Some of the OO-B reports based on SHAW's reporting are on hand. They are the following. (It is noted that the source description identifies the source by job title.)

a. 00-B 47958, 26 March 1952, concerns
a letter written to the public relations
director of the International Trade
Mart by one Dr. Jutta SCHALLER, a trade
consultant to the Ronn Government. The
letter is a follow-up of discussions held
in New Orleans during December 1951. The
letter said that Soviet production and
export trade were being advertised and

Document Number 492 - Y

for FOIA Review on

DEC 1977

- 672-

MAR 31 . 97

12:07PM

Mara Signatura Data 2/4/47

-SECKET

promoted by West German money. The publication Wer Liefert Was?, published in Leipzig, is now also being published in Hamburg, at a price much lower than competitive volumes.

- b. 00-B-9381, 27 December 1948. Information acquired 18 December 1948. SHAW (identified by job title) has agreed to lease to the CSR government 1,000 fact of space for a display of merchandise in New Orleans. The lease is for one year, starting 1 April 1949.
- c. 00-B-4933-49, 14 June 1949, information date late April and early May 1949. Results of a trip SHAW made in March through May 1949 in West Indies, Central America, and Northern South America.
- d. 00-B-4934-49, 14 June 1949, same general subject and background as above.
- e. 00-B-4935-49, 14 June 1949, as above.
- f. 00-E-35477, 29 June 1951. Results of a SHAW trip in 1951 in Central and South America and the Caribbean area.
- 5. On 9 May 1961 General C. P. Cabell, then DDCI, addressed the Foreign Policy Association of New Orleans. It is believed that he was introduced by Clay SHAW.
- 6. On 3 March 1967 the Washington Star reported that Garrison had arrested SHAW and had made public charges that SHAW, FERRIE, OSWALD, and others had plotted the assassination in the apartment of FERRIE at 3300 Louisiana Parkway, New Orleans. SHAW has said that he never saw OSWALD. He denies having used the name Clay BERTRAND. Jack S. MARTIN said that FERRIE had mentioned

MAR 31 '97

12:08PM

NELHABRD PER P.L. 108-886 (JFK ACT) NARA 50. DATE 2/4/47

SECTION

SHAW to him. J. Monroe SULLIVAN, executive director of the San Francisco World Trade Center, told the Associated Press that SHAW was in San Francisco and touring the Canter with him on the day of the assassination. SHAW, now retired, was formerly the managing director of the International Trade Mart in New Orleans. He is 54 years old. Ramsey Clark, U.S. Attorney General, said that the FBI had investigated SHAW late in 1963. "On the evidence that the FBI has, there was no connection found" with the assassination.

- 7. Memorandum No. 84-67, 3 March 1967, from Chief, New Orleans Office, to Director, Domestic Contact Service, re SKAW.
 - a. The first contact of the New Orleans office of the DCS with Clay SHAW occurred in December 1948. A name check request was returned "no info" on 23 March 1949. SHAW was contacted by Mr. Hunter Leeke of the New Orleans office seven times in 1949, twice in 1950, five times in 1951, nine times in 1952, once in 1953, twice in 1954, twice in 1955, and twice in 1956. SHAW is the source of eight 00-B reports submitted by the New Orleans office. At one time he had the "Y" number 145.1. The last contact took place on 25 May 1956 On 23 January 1967 Jack S. MARTIN phoned the New Orleans office, said that he was working on an important undercover deal for Garrison and Detective Louis IVON, and was calling at IVON's request. He was culling to ask the head of the CIA office to telephone IVON on his unlisted number. No such call was made. The writer, Lloyd A. Ray, asks that the General Counsel be informed and that he provide advice.
- 8. Undated and unsigned memorandum (probably produced by CI/R & A). The following additional information was provided by the New Orleans office of DCS.

since 25 May 1936 Mr. Husbansbedke had seen SNAW casually, by chance, in various downtown New Orleans restaurants, and greatings were exchanged. The last such canual encounter was just before SNAW's ratirement in 1965.

9. On 7 Morch 1967 Prayda published an article called "Clay Shaw of the CIA" datelined Rons, 6 March 1967, written by V. YERMAKOV. The article charged that CIA made use of the services of Clay Shaw for CIA's Gwa inheracts in Italy. The Prayda piece notes that the DA in Now Origans is accusing SHAW of participation in the nessesination conspiracy. It says SKAN spent "seem years" in Poss an a World Trade Conter leader. It claims that the Conter was a cover for financing anti-Communict activity. CIA gave directives to SHAW. The World Trade Conter was a branch of "Posmiden" (sic), "which the newspapers in Switzerland openly accused of 'criminal operations'..." (Comments It, appears that all of the Prayda charges are untrue, except that there was a CIA-SHAW relationship. So for as is known, SHAW was never asked to use his relationship with the World Trade Center for clandestine purposes und, in fact, he has not been in Italy.)

10. Nemorandum From massage Co C/CI/R & Mg 8 March 1967 subject: Italiam Aprilots of the Clay Shaw Affair, The Couries fella Soun of 5 March 1967 has an akticle about 88AW, the acceptionation, and the World Trace Contertionanies no relevant information.

11. A monogandum marked only for file, 16 March 1967, signod that a stoyans, pays that J. Monroe SUMLIVAN, \$280207, was granted a covert occurity approval on 10 December 1962 so that he could be used in Project CNEMCHANT. SUAW has \$402097

12. Honorandum from Control Control 24 Harch 1967, Subject: "Trace Results on Persons Connected with Centro Hondiale Commerciale" (World Trade Center), filed

Carthern

MARA 512. DATE 2/4/47

Same page, better copy. QK reducted

SECULLY.

Since 25 May 1956 Mr. Hunter Leake had seen SHAW casually, by chance, in various downtown New Orleans restaurants, and greetings were exchanged. The last such casual encounter was just before SHAW's retirement in 1965.

- 9. On 7 March 1967 Pravda published an article called "Clay Shaw of the CIA" datalined Rome, 6 March 1967, written by V. YERMAKOV. The article charged that CIA made use of the services of Clay SHAW for CIA's own interests in Italy. The Pravda piece notes that the DA in New Orleans is accusing SHAW of participation in the assassination conspiracy. It says SHAW spent "some years" in Rome as a World Trade Canter leader. It claims that the Center was a cover for financing anti-Communist activity. CIA gave directives to SHAW. The World Trade Center was a branch of "Permidax" (sic), "which the newspapers in Switzerland openly accused of 'criminal operations'...." (Comment: It appears that all of the Pravda charges are untrue, except that there was a CIA-SHAW relationship. So far as is known, SHAW was navar asked to use his relationship with the World Trade Center for clandestine purposes and, in fact, he has not been in Italy.)
- 10. Memorandum from C/EUR/I to C/CI/R & A, 8 March 1967 subject: Italian Aspects of the Clay Shaw Affair. The Corrière della Sera of 5 March 1967 has an article about SHAW, the assassination, and the World Trade Center. Contains no relevant information.
- 11. A memorandum marked only for file, 16 Harch 1967, signed M.D. Stevens, says that J. Monroe SULLIVAN, \$280207, was granted a covert security approval on 10 December 1962 so that he could be used in Project [JENCHANT. SHAW has \$402897~A.
- 12. Memorandum from C/EUR/I to C/CI/R & A, 24 March 1967, Subject: "Trace Results on Persons Connected with Centro Mondiale Commercials" (World Trade Center), filed CI/R & A folder, Clay SHAW working papers.

-(, , ,

13-00000

MARA SU: DATE 2/4/47

OFOIL T

a. The 5 March 1967 issue of Il Messaggero links SHAW with the World Trade Center. Others linked to the center were traced, with results reported in this memorandum. No relationship to the Carrison investigation appears here. A report on "Permidex", a Swiss corporation with principal offices in Rome, is also in the file because the World Trade Center and Permidex are associated. Again, there is no information here which relates significantly to the case Garrison is trying to build in New Orleans.

13. The Washington Fost, Outlook, 2 April 1967, "Scaking Truth in New Orleans in the Middle of the Night", George Lardner, Jr.

- a. Garrison's men drove RUSSO to SHAW's French Quarter home. RUSSO posed as a Mutual of Omeha salesman. He knocked at door; SHAW answered. RUSSO said that he was Clem BERTRAND.
- b. SHAW is a 54-year old bachelor and socialite who pioneered the 33-story International Trade Mart in New Orleans. He was subpoened by Garrison's office on 1 March 1967. According to his friends, his questioners did not advise him of his rights. They told him they had evidence of a meeting with FERRIE and OSWALD. There was a fuss and SHAW was arrested and booked on conspiracy to murder JPK. That night Garrison's man raided SHAW's apartment and confiscated whips, chains, a black not cap, a cape and hood. RUSSO told reporters that he did not know Clay SHAW, but he identified the man arrested by Garrison as Clem BERTRAND.

Mr. Charge. No; I think in each ease it will depend so much on the situation with the particular person. If a person comes in and he is very agitated or something of that kind, it might dictate a totally different approach than a different kind of thing.

Mr. Dulles. Wouldn't it be useful though to give—I don't want to suggest what the Secretary of State should do in this, but in the light of this experience, would there not be some benefit possibly in giving people in the field the

result of the experience gained in this particular case?

Mr. Chares. Well, the general approach, and other matters related to it, are touched on in orientation courses for consular officers and so on. I think as I look on Consul Snyder's actions, that he behaved very much like a responsible Fureign Service officer.

That happened long before I was in the Department, so I can say that without any involvement. But it seemed to me that he did just what he should have done, despite the unfortunate aftermath. And it shows to me, at least, that the training and orientation that these people are getting is right, is serviceable, and they are able to handle these situations as they come in.

Mr. Durage. I realise that you ought not to prescribe hard and fast rules, that there is a broad range of discretion that should be exercised here. But I just raise the question as to whether a good deal of experience hasn't been gained.

in this case in that very field.

Mr. CHARRA Well, it may very well be that more attention to that particular aspect should be given in the orientation courses and so on. Those things tend to reflect what is hot at the moment you know, and if you haven't had trouble with something for a pretty long time, it tends maybe not to get mentioned.

Representative Form If Oswald had persisted that day, October 81, in demanding the form that is a prerequisite under your definition for renunciation, would Snyder have been required to give it to him and permit him to sign it?

Mr. CHAYES. I think if it had been in ordinary office hours when the consulate was open for business, and if Snyder was satisfied that he was competent, that Oswald was competent, he would have to give him the form, yes, sir.

Representative Forn. Does Snyder. have the authority to make a determina-

tion of competency?

Mr. Unares. No; he doesn't have the authority to make a determination of competence, and I suppose it is possible at some point to get the issue tried in court. But I think a consular officer would probably be acting within his discretion if he saw sombody who was drunk or raving or something and just said, "Well, I am not going to give you this until I am sure that your action is your act." After all, when the consul accepts the oath, he is certifying that it is the act of the person in a meaningful sense, and so if he thought that the person was incompetent, I think he would have discretion not to give the oath. But I put that far aside because in the particular case here, Mr. Snyder made it perfectly clear that he had no reason to doubt that Oswald was fully competent.

And so if Oswald had been there at a time when the office was open, or had returned at a time when the office was open, and had persisted in his demand, I think Snyder would have been under an obligation to give him the form.

Representative Fund. The only technical reason or basis upon which finyder could have denied Oswald the right that day was the fact that it was on a Saturday, a non-working-hour period of the Embassy.

Mr. CHAYES. Yes; I think he had every right to try to dissuade him, or persuade him not to act or persuade him to think it over and come back the next day. But if after all of that Oswald still had said "But I want to do it now" and if the office was open for business, then I think he would have had to do it.

Mr. Dours. I think it might be useful if it has not been done to introduce at this point as an exhibit the form of oath of remunciation. Here is the formalized oath and I think it would be well to have this in our records unless it is already in our records.

Representative Form. I agree.

Mr. Countair. No; it isn't. Could we say it will be marked as Commission Exhibit No. 955 and place this sticker on that page, photostat it and then just and it hash?

(Commission Exhibit No. 955 was marked for identification and received in evidence.)

Representative Fuez. When Oswald came back on November 8, I believe, which was a regular working day—

Mr. Courseau, Mr. Commissioner, he did not some back on November 2. He merely wrote a letter.

Mr. CHAYER. Wrote a letter. He never came back.

Representative Fund. Are all of the employees, Mr. Snyder, Mr. McVicker, and the others who had any firsthand contact with the Oswald case in this area, were they State Department employees?

Mr. Charms. Yes, sir; these two men who were the only ones who did see him directly, I think the secretary, their secretary also saw him, but had nothing to do with him except as a receptionist. These two men were Foreign Service officers and are now Foreign Service officers.

Representative Fosti In the strictest term.

Mr. CHAYES. Yes, sir; members of the Foreign Service, appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate.

Representative Foun, Could you tell us in a bit more detail the process that you followed or the procedure that you carried out when you first got into the Oswald case.

You mentioned yesterday you got a call or you were directed by I believe the Secretary of State or by somebody in higher authority to take certain steps. Will you tell us who called you, what you did in the first 8 or 4 days?

Mr. CHAYES. It was the evening of the day, perhaps about 5 o'clock on the day of the assassination. It may have been somewhat earlier, because I think I remember I went home for an hour and then came back to carry out this assignment. Mr. Ball, once it because known that Oswald had some history as a defector—— George William.

Mr. Duriss. Ball is the Under Secretary of State.

Mr. Chares. He was then the Acting Secretary because the Secretary of State as you recall was on a plane over the Pacific. So he was the Acting Secretary. But even if he had been the Under Secretary he is my client.

Representative Forn. He still had some authority.

Mr. CHAYES. Yes; he directed me to gather together the files in the Department on Oswald, and to prepare a report to be available for him the first thing in the marning covering as best-we could within that time span the contacts that Oswald had with the Department.

We got the passport file. We got the security office file. We got the special consular services file which covered Mrs. Ogwald's vice and the repatriation loan. I think those three files were the ones that we had. It may have been there was a smaller fourth file, but I think those three were the ones.

Representative Foun. What would that smaller fourth file be?

Mr. Chaves. I can't remember. It was duplicates if it was anything. Oh, that is right, we had a visa file and an SCS file so those were the four. The SCS file, that is Special Consular Services in the office, in the Bureau of Security and Consular Affairs.

Representative Form You got this order on or about 5 o'clock the 22d of November?

Mr. OHAYES, The 22d; yes, str.

Bepresentative Fond. And you issued orders to have these files brought in or did you go and get them yourself?

Mr. CHATES. No.

Representative Fusi. Or what happened?

Mr. CHAYES. I issued orders to have them brought in. I called—I am trying to think how we got them. [Tupning to Mr. Einrich.] Did you go down and get them? Mr. Einrich and Mr. Alowenfeld, another of my people, we worked through the night on this, the three of us all together and it may be that the two of them went down to get them. I don't think we just called over the telephone and saked them to be brought up.

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. CHANES. It is my recollection that one of these two gentlemen, either

3/14/97 Barry my reaction in that soleral quelois are much too broad e.g. 5,6,9,10,12. Red to be going For no 2, we should not agree to having en ARRB staffer present: For no. ?; They should Spelain the purpose of The question.

13-00000 ווווא בא ישר בשיבורווי

FAX TRANSMISSION

ARRB

202-724-0088

To:

Barry Harrelson

Date:

March 14, 1997

Fax #:

703-613-3060

Pages:

3, including this cover sheet.

From:

Michelle Combs

Subject:

DO Briefing Questions

COMMENTS:

We may add additional questions but this should give you an idea of the topics. Have a good weekend.

Sohneld your reactions to these questions? Has Grunn crossed the line?

Return

Bang

DRAFT

Types of Questions for Follow-up DO Records Briefing March 17, 1997

- 1. At what point did the DDP begin to keep records of destroyed records? For example, in a Cold Warrior footnote, Tom Mangold reports that as any of Angleton's files were destroyed, "a complete record was carefully maintained about what had been done. (George) Kalaris signed off on every file and the DCI's office was notified."

 (p. 143) Where are these records?
- 2. Could an archival search be run on specific topics for retired records at the CIA's Record's Center? Perhaps an ARRB staffer could be present during the search to review the abstracts and to suggest other fields or topics to be searched?
- 3. Could a crypt search for records containing relevant crypts be run through cryptographic reference? How would queries need to be framed and stated?
- 4. Why is it so difficult to develop a list for all personnel (official, contract, administrative, or TDY) assigned to the post referenced in RIF 104-10065-10199?
- 5. We have been working to identify all technical and surveillance operations in and on the Cuban and Soviet consulates and embassies in Mexico City between September 1 and December 1, 1963. How can we frame a search query to determine if we have a comprehensive and complete list of all operations at that time?
- 6. How can a search query be framed to document all electronic take produced by the Mexico City Station in the immediate wake of the assassination (the fist month) and during the period of Oswald's visit? How can we verify that what exists in the Sequestered Collection represents all records of the technical production that was available at the time of the assassination? If additional records or original production still exists, where would it be stored or filed? What searches could be conducted to retrieve any additional records? If such electronic take has been destroyed, do records exist of the destruction?
- 7. Could you describe the process by which operational funds are proposed, approved, received, and distributed (circa 1963). What funding and disbursement records exist? How are they organized? How should a request be formulated to institute a reasonable search of these records?

DRAFT

- 8. We have seen DDP monthly operational reports which contain information on the allocation/distribution of operational funds. Are there other DDP records which would reflect how certain projects utilized their funding? How are these records organized? How should a search query be framed?
- 9. Various open sources have linked the CIA to various journalists and publishing companies during the 1960's. How should a query be framed to search for connections between the Agency and journalists or publishing companies?
- 10. Did the Agency have any relationship, projects, or contacts with publishing houses during the time of the assassination and in the aftermath of the Warren Commission? How would a search be formulated to determine whether or not the Agency had a relationship with any of the same publishing houses that were also publishing books about the assassination?
- 11. How would a query be framed to search for files on any office or person dealing with organized crime and Cuba? How should a request be formulated to institute a reasonable search on this topic?
- 12. Is there a comprehensive record or list detailing the identities of individuals on the Interagency Source Register? How are these records organized? How can a search query be framed to ascertain whether or not a particular individual appears in the Register.
- 13. How could a search query be framed to ascertain whether or not a particular individual was given access to classified information by DCD (then OO)? What kind of files are assembled in the process of clearing non-Agency contacts/sources for access to classified information?

DRAFT



Assassination Records Review Board 600 E Street NW • 2nd Floor • Washington, DC 20530 (202) 724-0088 • Fax: (202) 724-0457

SECRET

MEMORANDUM

March 18, 1997

TO:

John Pereira and Barry Harrelson

FROM:

Jeremy Gunn

SUBJECT:

ARRB Questions Submitted for DO Briefing

I would like to address some of the concerns that you expressed at our meeting yesterday, where we briefly discussed the Review Board's Proposed Questions for the March 17 briefing regarding DO records. We understand that you have some concerns about the scope of the topics raised. We very much appreciate your recognition of the importance that we attach to the ARRB's independence and we again wish to emphasize the fact that we fully understand your proper concern for the protection of information that is unrelated to the Kennedy assassination.

I would like to emphasize that the questions that we sent to you were not intended as in depth research queries, but rather as topical talking points for discussion. We prepared the questions, at your request, in order to give the persons conducting the briefing an understanding of the types of issues in which we were interested. They represent issues that we wish to explore in our quest for additional information and records to facilitate the completion of the ARRB's responsibilities under the JFK Act.

We appreciate your acknowledgment that the ARRB is not necessarily obligated to justify to CIA the questions it deems relevant to the assassination. Nevertheless, in order to facilitate and coordinate our efforts, we are very willing to offer the following additional explication of those questions that we understand may have been considered by the Agency to be excessively broad.

SECRET

06:53PM

SECRET

Question #5:

We have been working to identify all technical and surveillance operations in and on the Cuban and Soviet consulates and embassies in Mexico City between September 1 and December 1, 1963. How can we frame a search query to determine if we have a comprehensive and complete list of all operations at that time?

Until very recently, we had seen no evidence that CIA had microphone surveillance of the Cuban compound. However, Dispatch HMMW 12454 (no IDEN number attached) found in Box 23, Folder 9 of the Sequestered Collection, makes reference to LICOOLs (concealed microphones inside the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City). Though the dispatch reports that the last LICOOL ceased functioning in 1961--before the period in question—the prior existence of this capability and the fact that the dispatch discusses the possibility of reactivation prompts the question of whether all technical and surveillance operations against the Cuban and Soviet Consulates have been identified to the ARRB. In addition, the dispatch refers to MKTRAP, a telephone hot mike operation which was re-actuated from a basehouse in November 1963. The specific hot mike telephone PBIMPULSE appears to have been in operation during 1963. Are there records from this operation? Are there any other operations that may have picked up Oswald's visit?

Question # 6:

How can a search query be framed to document all electronic take produced by the Mexico City Station in the immediate wake of the assassination (the first month) and during the period of Oswald's visit? How can we verify that what exists in the Sequestered Collection represents all records of technical production that were available at the time of the assassination? If additional records or original production still exists, where would it be stored or filed? What searches could be conducted to retrieve any additional records? If such electronic take has been destroyed, do records exist of the destruction?

This question is a follow up to question #5. If MKTRAP was in operation from September 1-December 1, 1963, where are the records or transcripts describing the take? Was the take ever retained or reviewed? Do any original tapes or transcripts still exist? Where might these records be found? Were any other technical operations in progress? The various project files for the technical operations known to exist during this period are spotty and incomplete. How can the ARRB know if it has reviewed records of all the technical operation take for the relevant time period?

SECRET

Question #9:

Various open sources have linked the CIA to various journalists and publishing companies during the 1960's. How could a query be framed to search for connections between the Agency and journalists or publishing companies?

The two 1967 Ramparts articles mention connections between the Agency and journalists worldwide. The primary focus of this question is on any connection between the Agency and any journalist who might have covered the assassination domestically. Additionally, we would be interested in any publishing company involved in publishing books about the assassination. HSCA staff notes (RIF 180-10142-10087) summarize several files dealing with contacts between the Agency, publishing houses, and authors. For example, the file identified as QKOPERA/Liaison ZRBLESS outlines a memo by Gunnar W. Beckman CA/PROP on the status of negotiations between Praeger Publishing and Milovan Djilas. ZRBLESS is identified as a subproject of WUBONBON. Further, the staff notes mention a project involving "Praeger and publication" [sic], a separate project involving "the Freedom Fund and distribution" [sic], and a third project to provide news service to the foreign press through Continental Press. Each of these projects appears to have been in operation in 1963-64.

Question # 10:

Did the Agency have any relationship, projects or contacts with publishing houses during the time of the assassination and in the aftermath of the Warren Commission? How would a search be formulated to determine whether or not the Agency had a relationship with any of the same publishing houses that were also publishing books about the assassination?

This question is a follow up to question #9. One record that is already in the collection (RIF 180-10142-10087) summarizes the Project File of WUPUNDIT and notes two 'one time only' operations in 1963—WURAISIN and WUTRANSIT which were responsible for publishing two books each. In addition, WUSENDER is reported to be a 'one time only' operation responsible for three books in 1964. If these books have domestic implications or are on subjects related to the assassination, they would be relevant documents.

We hope that this additional explication may help you and the DO understand our approach to the issues raised in our questions. Should any of our requests impose on you or your staff a burden that we may not have anticipated — or which would entail work of dubious value or benefit — please advise us so that we can work with you to modify or amend the requests accordingly.

SECRET

Please also remember that, when we request accesses to these materials, we are not designating them "assassination records" and we are not, at this time, requesting that copies of documents be given to the Review Board.

We appreciate your continuing cooperation and helpfulness.

Sincerely yours,

T. Jeremy Gunn General Counsel and Associate Director

for Research and Analysis

	Sequestered Coll	Edien Box 23, Fola
- DISPATCH	CIANTICATION K.A.P.O'K S.E.C.R.F.T	MARKED FOR INDEXING
COS, Mexico City		MG UNDERING REQUIRED
INFO	,	ONLY QUALIFIED DESE
740M	······································	CAN JUDGE INDEXING
Chief, MI Division	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MICHOFILM
PERUMEN LIFEAT/LIE	RODE. Confusion in Uso	of Cryptopymu
l. The Statio operations against Head, warters elemen information produce operations itself. (b) LIERODE (c) MKT meaning of these cr 2. The purpose a review of the tec since its inception records which lack the operation, (2) reporting this activesponsible for mon suggest a few admin confusion mentioned 3. The initial introduced into the LIFEAT VI baselus and does not pertain speaking the initial LIERODE in February eight (8) LICCOL attarget. The LICCOL Busehouse ABLE and It o as the LIERODE become to have been leave 13884. dated 15	n's use of certain cryp PBRUMEN TPELIDE in Mexi ts responsible for sour d, and for supporting to The cryptonyms in ques RAP (d) LICOOL/11 and (yptonyms is being forwa e of this dispatch is the considerable detail conto urge a more precise with which would benefit itering and supporting istrative adjustments win this dispatch. I effort against the ta target using LIFEAT as one. This is mentioned to the intent of this is fort begins with the 1959, as indicated in the most producting a were monitored from a lessehouse BAKER, and the	ptonyms identifying technical ico has caused confusion in cing and dissemination of the entire complex of tion are (a) LIFEAT (e) PBIMPULSE. The original orded under separate cover. The submit for Station comment or against PDRUMEN TPELIDE (e) to complete Headquarters cerning the intricacies of use of cryptonyms in the edquarters personned those operations and (3) to hich would dispel the present only to complete the record discussion. Properly e activation of LIFEAT/ mod 12358. Subsequently 1960-1961 against the same mobile LP (not LIFIRE), a latter came to be referred to our records the last LICOOL our records the last LICOOL
Attachment - u/s/c	•	
Distribution		
0 & 1 - COS, No	zico City W/att	77.
OSS REFERENCE TO	CS COPY OISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	50-6-3.2/C
	HNW 12454	1 April 1964
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CLASSIFICATION	NOS FILE NUMBER
	SECRET	50-6-32/6
	office.	- TYPIST ENT:
1 - RI	WH/3/Mexico/L Sanchez	rg 5940
l - Chrono ' l - TSD/ASB	OFFICE SYMBOL DAIL	OFFICER'S NAME
1 - TSD/Photo	VH/FI 717264	Whyha-2
	TSD/ASB 6 Cosilay	SALPS
	rsp/Photo 3 afril 64	J. W. Blen
	CON PROCES TO THE CAN	
		HIFASAL.
	Office SYMBOL DATE	all working the seal ?
	C/WHD 181	J. C. King
DEM 53 MES SERVICUS SOLTION	(≪1	DICDATCU

CONTINUATION OF KAPOK

MAPOK

DISPATCH

SECRET

HINW 12454

4. At that time a different technique was planned. The following briefly outlines the development of the operations which the Station habitually refers to as "METRAP":

- 5 February 1962: Request for operation received at MKCHARITY by Harvey C. MULFORD.
 - 8 Feb.-War, 1962: Design and construction of MKTRAP.
 - 9 March 1962 : MKTRAP delivered to LIFEAT CO for placement in target area.
 - 10 March 1962 : MKTRAP installed by LIFEAT assets and initial LP tests performed from LIFEAT VI basehouse with negative results.
 - 14 March 1962 : LP tests conducted with revised series line connections with positive results.
 - 6-13 April 1962: Design and construction of LP equipment.
 - 16 April 1962 : Installaction of LP equipment in LIFAT VI basehouse.

MKTRAP, although moved from room to room, remained in place within the target area, though inactive most of the time. During November 1963 MKTRAP was re-actuated from a second LIFPAT basehouse known as Basehouse CHARLIE.

- 5. The photo surveillance of PRRUKEN TPELIDE was put into effect, using basehouse BAKER (LIKRODE Rase) at the same time that MCTRAP was actuated. This photo coverage, the bisehouse, PBRUKEN TPELIDE and the activity for which the cryptonym was first authorized have all been identified as LIKRODE which has created considerable confusion here at Headquarters.
- 6. According to scanty Headquarter's records the following operations have utilized these bases against the target:

a. PRIMPULSE

LIFEAT VI

b. LICOOLS

Basehouses ABLE and BAKER

e. LIFEAT/LIERODE

· LIFEAT VI

d. "MXTRAP"

LIFEAT VI, Basehouse BAKER, Busehouse CHARLIE

e. PHOTO

Basehouse BAXER

Headquarters has the impression that the principal factors that contributed to the suspension of MATRAP activity from LIYEAT VI, were the physical deterioration of the house itself and the divorce of the basehouse keepers. The current LIYEAT Project Outline indicates that LIYEUD 14 and LIYEUD 17 were obtaining a divorce and were terminated LIYEUD 14 and LIYEUD 17 were obtaining a divorce and were terminated as of 30 September 1962. Headquarters assumes they were the occupants of LIYEAT VI, yet the project outline does not show that the base was closed. LIYEAT VI was listed on page 20 of the outline as an active unit. On the other hand, page 15 of the outline states that LIYEUD 22 and LIYEUD 23 are occupying the "LIERODE photo basehouse", but that they have never been involved in LIYEAT work. This indicates that LIYEAT/LIXBOUS and the photo work against the PBRUMEN target are now being conducted from two different basehouses. The project outline also indicates that while it had been planned to have this base serve

•			
	. GLASSIFICATION		PAGE NO.
FORM 53ct USE PREVIOUS EDITION.	KAPOK	CONTINUED	2

CONTINUATION OF

D:STATCH

KAPOK. SECRET

CLASSITI- STICK

UIGPATEN SYMBEL AND NUMBLA HUMMY 12454

"LIFEAT/KNTRAP", technical difficulties made this impossible, therefore these two agents were not being included under LIFEAT Project. When MKTRAP was re-actuated in November 1963 and as stated above, the Station utilized another LIFEAT basehouse, also called basehouse CHARLIE, situated approximately six miles from target. Please confirm the accuracy of the foregoing and supplement it by informing Meadquarters the basehouses and keepers involved and the operations they are currently monitoring.

- 7. Herdquarters requests Station's comments on the following suggestions:
 - a. Photographic coverage. That a separate project be prepared to Include LIFEUD 22 and 23. The project should cover their salarias, basehouse rent, and equipment expenses, an arrangement similar to LITABBY and LIDOGTROT. This activity, identified with a new cryptonym would eliminate further confusion when discussing photographic coverage of PBRUMEN TPELIDS.
 - b. LICOOL. Headquarters is not aware of any LICOCLS presently operative. If any are contemplated, CSI-52-6, 27 February 1958, requires special Headquarters approval prior to any action taken. If successful, a decision can be made at that time whether a new project is necessary or whether it can adequately be govered under LIFEAT, depending on the assets being utilized in the operation.
 - c. LIERODE. The original intent of this cryptonym is discussed in the separate cover attachment and the activity has been assigned file number 50-6-32/6. If the operation utilizes LIFEAT assets, it is suggested that it remain under LIFEAT Project and be identified as LIFEAT/LIERODE in all correspondence. Please inform Mandquarters of the assets presently used for LYFEAT/LIERODE coverage.
 - d. MKTRAP. KURIOT would prefer the Station not use this cryptonym in reporting this particular type of coverage. It is suggested that a new cryptonym, in the LI series, be utilized to reference this activity. The present cryptonym refers to a technique which hasprogressed from the developmental into the operational stage and should more appropriately be identified with a regular Station cryptonym. If this operation is being worked by LIFEAT assets, then it might be identified with a dual cryptonym LIFEAT/LI. A separate file number, within the LIFEAT series, can be assigned to cover "MKTRAP" if the Station requests it, but it does not seem necessary since other LIFEAT targets do not have separate file numbers either.
 - e. Consolidated Project. If the Station prefers, all technical operations LIERODE, MKTRAP, LICOOL, PHOTO directed against PBRUMEN TPELIDE can be funded and administered under one project.

OLIVER G. GALBOND

56.-6-32/1

CS COTT

CLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION

CONTINUES

CON

ATTACKENT TO HUNW 12454

CRYPTONYM A - Used to identify Station's unilateral telephone tape.

CRYPTONYN B - Assigned on 21 February 1959, to identify the Station's unilateral telephone tap of the PERUYEN TPELIDE in Mexico City. (See MEXI 3382, February 21, 1959)

CRYPTONYM C - Identifies the technical nomenclature of a hot mike telephone A/S installation. Details of the system are contained in HMMA 20307.

CRYPTONYM D - Concerled microphones.

CRIPTONYN E - Hot mike telephone.

56-6-32/6

V09-16-96ger

JFK RECORDS

Cross Reference: None

Status in System: AGENCY : HSCA RECORD NUMBER : 180-10142-10087 RECORD SERIES: CIA SEGREGATED COLLECTION AGENCY FILE NUMBER: 23-06-03 Other Agency Equity: None

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : HECA

FROM : CIA TO : TITLE : THE WARREN COMMISSION AND MEXICO

DATE : 00/00/78 PAGES : 62

DOCUMENT TYPE : REPORT SUBJECT(S) : MEXICO, WC, CIA, FILES, CLASSIFICATION : UNCLASSIFIED

RESTRICTIONS : 3

CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN PART DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 08/07/95

COMMENTS : Box 12

Date in: 01/26/96

T T F F F F F F F

MAR 18 '97 06:57PM

MAR_18 '97

L

3

4/3/78 HARDWAY

Summan of [QKOPERA/LIA SEA? ÉRBLESS ! Bile 4/1/61-8/28/64

The Company conserved is Process Publishing.]

2. O meno by [Ruman W. Beckman "CA/PROP" dated 8/21/a1 deals to the publication and roughly problems of [Milo van Dilos)

Cublind memor dated 2/11/64
deals a the status of
magatiations with ["Drogson"]
author of ["Strong and the
book but is open to the
possibility of doing another
wolume He has introduced
the author of the memor to
two other Culous who were
witing books: Justo Carrillo
Henandez and Enesto
Betancout.

4. [Susan Wathins songs. ZRBLESS]was subjerojest of [WUBONBON.]

٠,

minum in Statute in Collection

01 11 1 T

4/3/78 HARDWAY

Summangof["WI Jandy] Development and Plans" file.

"Dubriect + Project File Request and Field Northication of action dated 6/26/63 describes the project as "a Developmental and Jasset Opportunitur project hased in the U.S. > Sult-projects are to lie targeted abroad."

2. Project action form dated 8/28/63 right ly Karamessins approves a \$65,000 budget for [WUTARY] for the fiscal year 1963 (July) to 1964 (June).

Memor dated 3/17/44 to DDP from Fracy Barnes requests that [MARONS] budget be uncreased to \$80,000. The requested uncrease is needed to a cover an unanticipated developmental charge in connection with an individual doing works

*

2

business adurance anoug; (b) cover the production costs of propaganda material Tarosted at forean visitors to [the Morald States] HUNT SUMMARY

files reviewed: 4/7/78 [ECPOENT-WURABBIT] Correspondence folder 7, Box2,

2 [WERABBIT] Termination Folder 1, Box 1

3 MPS Project file [WUPUNOIT!]

opp molivoled in ¿41.

Etsiscismi Fundistruc (consuit)
SZAMANIS SZAVICZ INSTITUTE
A PRAZZE SPANISH ARCILIATE
CONTENNIAL PRESS, INC.

There were 2'one time only"

aperations in 1963 (WURAISIN)

and [WU TRANSIT] which were

responsible for publishing

There was one 'one time only"
operation in 1964 f WUSENDER?
which was responsible loss
bridged bord wusendered
publishing three brooks. There
is was proposal for (WUSENDER)
that was evidently not

SECRET

som talt noitango eno aos rimarily a development togang was a such tagiona alima Massagan and or took of mount reas through continue Reserve to 1963 The denil togicard tuendalerelo aspend etangent is show Eunds for

4. MPS Project Filz [WUBONBON]

- "± 3 G

PART I OF 3.

6. [WUBONBON] Accounting & Folder 24

7 (MBONBON) Operations FY 64.

Contains two memos for the Records by Hunt re 8/8/63 meetings

- 8 [WUPUNDIT] Support folder 27
- 9 [WUPUNDIT (WUBONBON)] fold Er 26
- 10 [U.U. BONBON] Development + Plans F19
- 11 [WUBONBON] Politons Papers FZO
- 12 [WUPUNDIT] CIA Book Publishing
- 13 [WUBONBON] FY 65 Support
 - 14 WUPUNDIT / WUBONBON] SU PROST F 23+22