diff-jfk: record 104-10326-10043 - Page 1 - (diff between 2025 and 2023)

Highlighted changes between 2025/104-10326-10043.pdf and 2023/104-10326-10043.pdf

	SECRET	
MHFNO: 96-5536596	SENSIND:	PAGE: 1
/ /	(SECRET)	FRP: , , , , , ,
		STAFF
MDSX, ODPD, FILE, C/EA, E	CO: C/ORMS, DC/EA, EA/LGL,	EADORECORD, LIMITO,
96 5536596 ASO	PAGE 001 TOT: 272220Z SEP 96	DIRECTOR 960961
SECRET STAFF TO: IMMEDIATE CANBERRA.	272220Z DIRECTOR	960961
FROM: LIMIT BA/PAMSI/A I	INFO EA/LGL, CHIEF/EA, DC/E	BA)
SLUGS: WNINTEL		
SUBJECT: AUSTRALIAN DEMA	ARCHE TO USG REGARDING US I	LEGISLATION ON
REF: NONE		
TEXT:		
1. ACTION REQUIRED: PLEASE FAX BY COB 2 OCT A COPY OF THE  1995 AUSTRALIAN DEMARCHE TO THE USG REGARDING LEGISLATION ON THE  DECLASSIFICATION OF DOCUMENTS. ADDITIONALLY, WE WOULD APPRECIATE  STATION'S RECOLLECTION OF LIAISON'S REACTION TO THE US LEGISLATION  AS WELL.		
*BY THE PRESIDENT TO DECLA *F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATIONS (AND FOUR CIA DOCUMENTS) WEVERLY THE EXISTENCE OF A THE REVIEW BOARD HAS E *RELATED TO THE SIFK COLLECT ORIGIN OR THE SENSITIVITY ATTENTION THAT THE BOARD AND THE POUR CIA DOCUMENT RELEASE. GIVEN THE OBVIOUS RELEASE THE FIRST TWO DOCUMENT PROVIDE SUMMARIES OF THES (AUSTRALIAN ORIGIN OR OF JOUR TWO SERVICES) THE ACTUMENT OUR LIAISON RELATIONSHIP REFERENCES TO AUSTRALIA.  * 3. IN OUR EFFORT TO THE MEMO INCLUDES A STRON AUSTRALIANS ON THE ISSUE	PRESENT A SOLID CASE TO T NG ARGUMENT THAT EVEN APPRO OF DECLASSIFICATION OF AN	ING TO THE <john> ALIAN DOCUMENTS ECLASSIFY WHICH ON RELATIONSHIP IFY DOCUMENTS COUNTRY OF S COME TO OUR EALIAN DOCUMENTS LATE FOR ISON WITH EA/LGL AND ING THEY NOT NTS ARE THE ARE WILLING TO MENTION OF THEIR NSHIP BETWEEN REFERENCE TO OUT ALL THE<jfk>BOARD, DACHING THE AUSTRALIAN</jfk></john>
DOCUMENT WILL STRAIN OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM. OUR ARGUMENT SECRET		

PAGE: 2

WILL ENUMERATE THE THE UNFAVORABLE REACTION THE AUSTRALIANS HAVE HAD TO RECENT DISCLOSURES AND AGENCY REQUESTS FOR PERMISSION TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION PASSED TO US BY THE AUSTRALIAN SERVICES. EXAMPLES WE INTEND TO CITE INCLUDE THE DUTY TO WARN ISSUE WHERE THE AUSTRALIANS WERE ADAMANT ABOUT NOT GIVING PERMISSION TO DISCLOSE THEIR INFORMATION BECAUSE OF SOURCE PROTECTION ISSUES THE ASIS CONCERN ABOUT THE BOOK PUBLISHED BY A FORMER AGENCY EMPLOYEE THAT ALLUDES TO A LIAISON RELATIONSHIP WITH THE AUSTRALIANS, THE RECENT DEMARCHE EXPRESSING CONCERN THAT A FORMER AGENCY OFFICER ALLEGEDLY DISCLOSED CLASSIFIED AUSTRALIAN INFORMATION (AN ALLEGATION THE DDCI ASSURED THE AUSTRALIANS WOULD) BE THOROUGHLY INVESTIGATED) AND THE DEMARCHE IN 1995 BY THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT RAISING CONCERNS ABOUT OUR U.S. DECLASSIFICATION LEGISLATION AND WHETHER THE LEGISLATION PROVIDES ADEQUATE GUARANTEES ABOUT NOT DISCLOSING INFORMATION PASSED TO US BY FOREIGN SERVICES WITHOUT EXPRESS AUTHORIZATION FROM SUCH FOREIGN SERVICES WE WILL ALSO MAKE REFERENCE TO A RECENT APPROACH BY THE AUSTRALIANS ASKING FOR OUR OKAY IN THEIR DECLASSIFYING SOME OF OUR DOCUMENTS, AND OUR STRONGLY-WORDED RESPONSE DENYING PERMISSION AND ARGUING THAT SUCH DISCLOSURE WOULD BE A BREACH OF TRUST.

- IN ORDER TO BOLSTER OUR POSITION WITH THE REQUEST STATION OBTAIN A HARD COPY OF THE AUSTRALIAN DEMARCHE ON THE DECLASSIFICATION LEGISLATION THAT CAN BE ATTACHED TO THE MEMO. WE BELIEVE THAT SUCH HARD COPY EVIDENCE FROM THE AUSTRALIANS WILL BOLSTER OUR ARGUMENT SIGNIFICANTLY. WE ARE UNABLE TO LOCATE THE ACTUAL TEXT OF THIS DEMARCHE IN HQS.
- 5. THE BOTTOM LINE HERE IS THAT WE DO NOT WANT EVEN TO APPROACH THE AUSTRALIANS ABOUT DECLASSIFYING THE AFOREMENTIONED DOCUMENTS. WE HOPE OUR MEMO CONVINCES THE BOARD THAT, IN LIEU OF RELEASING THE DOCUMENTS, SUMMARIES THAT OMIT ALL REFERENCE AUSTRALIA WILL SUFFICE.
- FYI: OUR FALLBACK POSITION IF THE BOARD TURNS DOWN THE CONCRPT OF SUMMARIES, IS TO MAKE CLEAR THAT WE CANNOT RELEASE THE AUSTRALIAN DOCUMENT, EVEN IN REDACTED FORM, WITHOUT AUSTRALIAN PERMISSION; FURTHER, THAT IF WE SEEK AND ARE DENIED PERMISSION AND THE BOARD NONETHELESS PROCEEDS WITH THE DECLASSIFICATION, "T WILL HAVE A TREMENDOUS NEGATIVE IMPACT ON OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH THE AUSTRALIAN SERVICES IN SUM, OUR GOING-IN POSITION IS WE WANT TO AVOID RAISING THE SPECTER WITH THE AUSSIES THAT THE AGENCY MAY HAVE TROUBLE PROTECTING THEIR SECRETS. IF THE BOARD TURNS DOWN OUR INITIAL PROPOSAL AND INSISTS WE SEEK AUSTRALIAN PERMISSION TO DECLASSIFY, WE WILL DO SO. IN SUCH AN EVENT, OUR LEGAL PEOPLE BELIEVE A STRONGLY-WORDED DOCUMENT FROM THE AUSTRALIANS REFUSING PERMISSION WOULD PROBABLY PERSUADE THE BOARD NOT TO RELEASE THE AUSTRALIAN DOCUMENT. WE WILL KEEP YOU ADVISED ON HOW THIS IS PROGRESSING AND WOULD APPRECIATE ANY FURTHER GRIST FOR THE MILL AS WE CRAFT OUR MEMO TO THE BOARD AS WELL AS THE TEXT OF THE AUSTRALIAN DEMARCHE ON DECLASSIFICATION LEGISLATION.

7. FILE: 005-002-004. CL BY: 715410, CL REASON: DECL\_ON: X1, DRV FROM: HUM 2---84.

CABLETYPE: GECO STAFF 1.0.2.

RELNO: DORELS0100525051

ORIG: EA/PAMSI/A (HERGENR, 39998); COORD: EA/LGL (PATTERSON);

REL: C/EA/PAMSI (HOPTIAK) .

13-00000

PAGE: END OF MESSAGE