diff-jfk: record 104-10330-10118 - Page 1 - (diff between 2025 and 2023)

Highlighted changes between 2025/104-10330-10118.pdf and 2023/104-10330-10118.pdf - fresh pages only

13 May 1998

MEMORANDUM FOR:

**Executive Director** 

Assassination Records Review Board

FROM:

J. Barry Harrelson JFK Project Officer

SUBJECT:

CIA Proposal on Alias Documentation and Accommodation Addresses in Assassination

Records

## I. (U) Background and Recommendation

- (C) Alias documentation includes materials carried on the person of any CIA
  officer or foreign asset traveling and conducting business under a fictitious identity.
  Aliases are widely used by CIA officers working under both official and non-official cover.
  They are also used by those on TDY status traveling to or from Headquarters as well as between field stations.
- (S) This package contains five kinds of information relating to cover methods currently in use in connection with aliases:
- Foreign government forgeries (the Mexican and Panamanian passports used by David Morales under various aliases);
- US federal and state documents (social security cards, drivers licenses, and birth certificates);
- C) Business documents used with the prior agreement of the company, organization, or association they represent;
- D) Forged business documents;
- E) Accommodation addresses ("residences of record" for anyone working under alias).
- 3. (S) Many of the specific forms of alias documentation represented in this package are identical to those used today by Agency officers and assets using aliases and operating under both official and non-official cover. Moreover, certain aspects of their use in support of non-official cover cause CIA particular concern in the event of disclosure:

#### SECRET

- Use of non-official cover is expanding rapidly in response to changes in CIA targets following the collapse of the USSR.
- The use by CIA of non-official cover and the details of how it is employed are less well known than our use of official cover.
- Some of our most uniquely valuable human intelligence comes from this method.
- Non-official cover affords its user no diplomatic immunity. Since some of CIA's most
  hostile targets necessitate the use of non-official cover, our officers and assets working
  against these targets run the risk of being arrested, imprisoned, or worse.
- 4. (S) The likelihood of damage from disclosure of specific information on alias documentation and accommodation addresses runs the gamut from "certain" to "unpredictable." Based on past evidence, public release of references to the forged Mexican and Panamanian passports would be virtually certain to draw a reaction from those governments that would harm US diplomatic relations and deny CIA its use of a currently valuable tool. The probability of litigation from disclosure of business documents is also quite high.
- The damage from release of 25-30 year-old addresses and specifics on seemingly
  innocuous pocket litter is less predictable. Nonetheless, it has broad implications for
  both the current use of non-official cover and for the safety of individuals associated
  with these methods in the past, and therefore CIA can not afford to ignore it. To
  manage the risk, if denied the option of postponing the release, would require an
  extremely time consuming review of each piece of information on a case-by-case basis.
- 5. (U) None of the information being considered for release adds significantly to the historical record on the Kennedy assassination. The minimal added value from its release is small in comparison to the damage, both certain and potential, that would result. Since the cost-benefit ratio is so skewed, we recommend that release of specific information on alias documentation and accommodation addresses be postponed and that generic substitute language be used in its place.

# II. (U) Discussion of Potential Damage

- 6. (C) Disclosure of information relating to the five categories discussed in paragraph 2 above can reasonably be expected to cause the following types of damage:
- Damage to diplomatic relations with Mexico and Panama;
- b) Litigation from private sector firms;
- Potential damage to a growing intelligence collection method;

SECRET

#### SECRET

- d) Potential physical danger to CIA officers and assets;
- e) Potential threats to CIA's agreements with other US government entities.
- Category by category, the specific kinds of damage most likely to result from disclosure of the five types of information outlined in section 2 above are:

(The Mexican and Panamanian passports carried by David Morales.)

- a) (S) <u>Damage to US diplomatic relations with Mexico and Panama</u> would result from release of information on Morales's passport documentation. In the past, CIA's production and use of various countries' passports, birth certificates, and other official documents have been done both with and without specific agreement from those countries. With respect to the documents in this package reflecting our use of Mexican passports, the latter was undoubtedly the case. Moreover, CIA still continues to provide its assets and officers with forged Mexican passports without the agreement of the Mexican government.
- The Mexican government has always been extremely sensitive to issues of national sovereignty and would almost certainly express official public resentment at CIA's usurping of its unique authority by producing false passports and birth certificates of Mexican citizens.
- (S) There are concrete examples of past incidents involving disclosure which have resulted in strong censure and the threat of diplomatic action. In the aftermath of the Iranian takeover of the US Embassy in Tehran in 1978, among the papers the Iranians discovered were forged Belgian and West German identification documents including passports. Upon reading this in the Iranian media, Belgian intelligence officials summoned our people and threatened that if all such documents were not destroyed immediately and the practice ended, there would be exceptionally dire consequences in the spheres of both diplomatic relations and intelligence cooperation.
- Besides the insult to its sovereignty, at least one additional strong factor in the Belgian government's acrimonious representation was the desire to avoid any association with CIA, however spurious, of Belgian citizens living, working, or traveling abroad.
- (S) The Germans also registered their ire in strong terms, noting that in using German passports outside the Warsaw Pact theater we had exceeded our negotiated right to reproduce their documentation for use by our officers. During this demarche, similar threats were made to end the liaison relationship with their intelligence service.

SECRET

#### SECRET

As a result of such flaps, CIA has little recourse but to accede to direct demands that
we cease using a given country's documentation, which narrows our range of options
for providing officers with operational aliases.

### ⇒B) Federal and state documents

(Social security cards, FAA documentation, driver's licenses, birth certificates)

- e) (C) Potential threat to CIA's agreements with other US government entities. At present, sixteen states assist CIA in providing documentation for alias identities, including drivers licenses. There is an unwritten understanding with each that CIA will do all it can to protect the confidentiality of this agreement. Although the immediate damage from release of this would certainly be less severe than the harm done to US diplomatic relations from the release of information on passport forgery, these arrangements could be terminated if they receive too much publicity and state officials or politicians were publicly embarrassed.
- Termination of any of such agreements would curtail CIA's options for providing its officers with solid cover.

### ⇒C) and D) Business documents

(either forged or produced with prior negotiated agreement)
(Rotary club, record and book club membership, AAA, specific bank cards, travel club, Continental Insurance Co.)

- b) Litigation from private sector firms;
- c) Potential damage to a growing intelligence collection method; and
- d) Potential physical danger to CIA officers and assets.
- b) (C) <u>Litigation from private sector firms</u>. The same probability of litigation applies to both forged documents and those produced with the permission of certain companies. Many of these firms conduct business abroad in a variety of political environments that range from friendly to hostile. For reasons relating to loss of revenue and physical threats to their employees and other assets, they cannot afford to have even the public hint of an association with CIA, let alone official disclosure of this fact.
- The likelihood of litigation from such disclosure would be high. CIA's Office of General Counsel has on file a number of letters from private sector firms setting forth their right to take legal action in the event of the disclosure of their relationship with CIA.
- In the past, some firms have taken legal action against CIA for disclosure of an association that adversely affected their business.

SECRET