

diff-jfk; record 124-10185-10214 - Page 1 -(diff between 2025 and 2022)

Highlighted changes between 2025/124-10185-10214.pdf and 2022/docid:32989599.pdf

~~SECRET~~

DAVID L. BONZI, DELAWARE, CHAIRMAN
 WILLIAM S. COHEN, MAINE, VICE CHAIRMAN
 SAM HUNK, GEORGIA
 ERNEST F. HOWELL, SOUTH CAROLINA
 BILL SPARLTON, NEW JERSEY
 CONNIE MURRAY, NEW YORK
 DENNIS BODCONCIL, ARIZONA
 HOWARD M. METZENBAUM, OHIO
 JOHN H. GLENN, JR., OHIO

GEORGE MITCHELL, MAINE, EX OFFICIO
 ROBERT DOUL, KANSAS, EX OFFICIO

GEORGE J. TERNIT, STAFF DIRECTOR
 JAMES H. DYKSTRA, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR
 L. BRITT SHIDER, GENERAL COUNSEL
 KATHLEEN P. MUSHLER, CHIEF CLERK

JOHN HATCH, UTAH
 FRANK MURKOWSKI, ALASKA
 ARLEN SPECTER, PENNSYLVANIA
 JOHN D'AMATO, NEW YORK
 JOHN C. DANFORTH, MISSOURI

SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE

WASHINTON DC 20510-6475

90-07897
February 17, 1990
'90 FEB-20 9:22 PM

SSCI #90-505

United States Senate

File #

The Honorable James A. Baker, III
 Secretary of State
 Department of State
 Washington, D.C. 20520

Dear Secretary Baker,

62-116395

The Senate Intelligence Committee, as part of the annual budget authorization process, will hold a hearing on U.S. counterintelligence and security programs on May 10, 1990, in Room SH-219 of the Hart Senate Office Building. We request that you make available to testify at that hearing Mr. Ivan Selin, Undersecretary of State for Management. Other witnesses invited to testify are FBI Director William S. Sessions, Mr. Ted Price, the announced successor to Mr. Gardner Pathaway as CIA Associate Deputy Director for Operations and head of the CIA Counterintelligence Center; and Mr. Rich Haver, Special Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence.

We are asking all witnesses to be prepared to discuss with the Committee the results of the Administration's review of counterintelligence and security programs for the 1990s, whether recent changes in the threat and operating environment require modifying objectives in the 1990s, and the implications for NFIP and non-NFIP resource allocation, program direction, and possible legislation. The hearing will also consider issues identified in testimony from a group of prominent citizens scheduled for March 22, and we will be in touch with you further on those matters.

We would like Mr. Selin to address in his written testimony and be prepared to discuss the following questions:

(1) To what extent has political change in the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe affected their intelligence threat in the 1990s and ~~what measures are being taken for~~ ^{measures under the John F. Kennedy} ~~intelligence threat~~ ^{Intelligence Record Collection Act of 1992} ~~for CI operations and security~~ ^{intelligence threat} (2) To what extent do other traditional threats, such as the PRC and Cuba, require greater attention in the 1990s? (3) What are the emerging threats in the 1990s from third world and "friendly" intelligence services, as well as narcotics traffickers and terrorists? (4) What new challenges do technological advances and transfers to criteria countries and terrorist groups pose in the 1990s? (5) What are the consequences of these changing threats for embassy security priorities and protection of diplomatic, military, and intelligence secrets?

62-116395-1739

~~SECRET~~~~NOFORN~~

Serial Scope:

715742444444

Memorandum

SECRET

309

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE
WILLIAM J. COHEN, CHAIR, VICE CHAIRMAN
SAM NUNN, GEORGIA
GEVETT F. HOLLOWAY, SOUTH CAROLINA
JILL BRADLEY, NEW JERSEY
ALAN CRAMPTON, CALIFORNIA
DENNIS DECONCINI, ARIZONA
HOWARD M. METZNER, OHIO
JOHN D. SCAFFORD, COLORADO

SPRK HATCH, UTAH
FRANK MARSHALL, ALASKA
ALAN SPECTER, PENNSYLVANIA
JOHN WEAVER, VIRGINIA
ALFONSE M. DIAMANDO, NEW YORK
JOHN C. BARTON, MISSOURI

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-8478

Date 3/30/92

To : *Sen. Davis*
GEORGE & TERRY STAFF DIRECTOR
JAMES H. DYNISTR, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR
L. SHOT SINGER, GENERAL COUNSEL
DANIEL R. CLINE

From : *Senate Congressional Affairs Office (CAO)*

February 7, 1990

SSCI #90-50

Subject: DOCUMENT REVIEW FOR SENATE SELECT
DIRECTOR
FEDERAL COMMITTEE ON POW/MIA AFFAIRS
J. Edgar Hoover Building

Dept Dir _____
Dir Adm _____
Adm Inv _____
Asst. Dir. _____
Adm. Servs. _____
Dir. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Intell. _____
Intell. Lab. _____
Legal Coun. _____
Tech. Servs. _____
Training _____
Gen. Affs. Off. _____
Off. of Secy. _____
Off. Liaison &
Int. Affs. _____
Off. of Rel. Affs. _____
Telephone No. _____
Director's Office _____

PURPOSE: We request that LCD deliver to CAO for transmittal to the Office of Senate Security certain classified and unclassified POW/MIA documents received from the Department of State (DOS), which are currently being processed by LCD, CDRU, for dissemination to the Select Committee, as part of the annual budget authorization process, will hold a hearing on U.S. counterintelligence and security programs on May 10, 1990, in

DETAILS: The above referenced FBI documents were received from the DOS by letter dated 3/6/92 and forwarded to LCD for review prior to any dissemination of same to the Senate Select Committee on POW/MIA Affairs. DOS has requested these documents be turned over to the Committee for their review/use. LCD, CDRU, has advised CAO that none of the documents under review are classified, and has requested classification, if any, be removed, surrounding transmission of classified FBI documents to the Senate.

We are asking all witnesses to be prepared to discuss with the Committee the results of the Administration's review of counterintelligence and security programs for the 1990s, whether recent changes in the threat and operating environment require modifying objectives in the 1990s, and the implications for NRP and non-NRP resource allocation, program direction, and possible legislation. The hearing will also consider issues identified in testimony at a group of public hearings critical scheduled for March 20, and we will be in touch with you concerning those hearings.

RECOMMENDATION: That upon completion of review of the above documents, LCD deliver same to CAO for transmittal to the Senate Security Office.

- 1 - Mr. McFarland
1 - Mr. Dohogne
1 - Mr. Collingswood
3 - Mr. Hooker
1 - What actions to deter leaks? (4) To what extent has political change in the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe diminished their intelligence threat in the 1990s and the requirements for CI
1 - What threats do other traditional threats, such as the PRC and PFB: suba(7) require greater attention in 1990s? (5) What are the emerging threats in the 1990s from Russia and "friendly" intelligence services, as well as narcotics traffickers and

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/16/2009 BY SP/RS/DP/BS

100-116345-1739
M02-16
SECRET

185-16214

RECEIVED

APR 1 8 24 AM '92

GEORGE MITCHELL, MAINE, EX OFFICIO
JOHN M. HANNAH, MASSACHUSETTS
JOSEPH R. KELLY, NEW JERSEY
JOHN L. LEWIS, CALIFORNIA
JOHN W. MANN, PENNSYLVANIA
JOHN M. MITTERER, OHIO
JOHN P. O'LEARY, CONNECTICUT

GEORGE A. TENET, STAFF DIRECTOR
JAMES H. BYRNE, IMMEDIATE STAFF DIRECTOR
L. BARRY SINGER, GENERAL COUNSEL
CATHERINE F. McNEIL, CHIEF CLERK

SECRET

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6478

February 7, 1990

SSCI #90-506

The Honorable William H. Webster
Director of Central Intelligence
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Judge Webster:

The Senate Intelligence Committee, as part of the annual budget authorization process, will hold a hearing on U.S. counterintelligence and security programs on May 10, 1990, in Room SH-219 of the Hart Senate Office Building. We request that you make available to testify at that hearing Mr. Ted Price, the announced successor to Mr. Gardner Hathaway as Associate Deputy Director for Operations and head of the CIA Counterintelligence Center. Other witnesses invited to testify are FBI Director William S. Sessions, Undersecretary of State Ivan Selin, and Mr. Rich Haver, Special Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence.

We are asking all witnesses to be prepared to discuss with the Committee the results of the Administration's review of counterintelligence and security programs for the 1990s, whether recent changes in the threat and operating environment require modifying objectives in the 1990s, and the implications for NFIP and non-NFIP resource allocation, program direction, and possible legislation. The hearing will also consider issues identified in testimony from a group of prominent citizens scheduled for March 22, and we will be in touch with you further on those matters.

We would like Mr. Price to address in his written testimony and be prepared to discuss the following questions: (1) To what extent has political change in the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe affected their intelligence threat in the 1990s and the requirements for CI operations and security measures against that threat? (2) To what extent do other traditional threats, such as the PRC and Cuba, require greater attention in the 1990s? (3) What are the emerging threats in the 1990s from third world and "friendly" intelligence services, as well as narcotics traffickers and terrorists? (4) What new challenges do technological advances and transfers to criteria countries and terrorist groups pose in the 1990s? (5) What are the consequences of these changing threats for operations and security at CIA stations, embassy security priorities, and protection of military, diplomatic, and intelligence secrets? (6) Are US computer and communications security efforts

62-11636-1739

SECRET

GAFFNEY, SOUTH CAROLINA
EMMETT F. GILMORE, NEW JERSEY
FRANKLIN, NEW JERSEY
ALAN CRANTON, CALIFORNIA
BENNIE DICOMBE, ARIZONA
HOWARD M. HESTER, OHIO
JOHN H. GLENN, OHIO

ORRIN HATCH, UTAH
FRANK MURKOWSKI, ALASKA
ARLEN SPECTER, PENNSYLVANIA
JOHN WEAVER, VIRGINIA
ALFRED M. SYMATO, NEW YORK
JOHN C. DANFORTH, MISSOURI

GEORGE MITCHELL, MAINE, EX OFFICIO
ROBERT DOLE, KANSAS, EX OFFICIO

CLASS: CONFIDENTIAL
SND'D BY: GEORGE J. TEEET, STAFF DIRECTOR
SND'D TO: ERIC H. DIKSTRA, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR
SND'D BY: EL BRITTE ENDER, GENERAL COUNSEL
SND'D TO: KATHLEEN P. MURKIE, CHIEF CLERK

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Intelligence Community Staff
Washington, DC 20510-6475

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

SD FEB 13 AM 11:29

February 7, 1990

ICS 0843-90
30 March 1990

The Honorable Dick Cheney
Secretary of Defense
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301

MEMORANDUM FOR Distribution

FROM: The Senate Intelligence Committee, at part of the annual budget authorization process, will hold a hearing on U.S. counterintelligence and security programs on May 10, 1990, in Room SH-219 of the Hart Senate Office Building. We request that you make available to testify at that hearing Mr. Richard Haaver, Special Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence. Other witnesses invited to testify at this hearing are FBI Director William S. Sessions, Mr. Brian Selin, Undersecretary of State for Management, and Mr. Ted Price, the attached. ~~the attached~~ ~~for information and action are copies of Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) letters of request to principals scheduled to testify before the SSCI on 10 May 1990.~~ ~~the attached~~ ~~for your concurrence is also recommended~~ ~~the attached~~ ~~for further optimum coherence in all's intended testimony~~ ~~counterintelligence and security programs for the 1990s, whether recent changes in the threat and operating environment, and modified objectives in the 1990s, and the implications for budget and personnel resource allocation, program direction, and possible legislation. The hearing will also consider issues identified in the testimony from a group of prominent citizens scheduled for March 22, and we will be in touch with you further on those matters.~~

2. As reflected in the attachments, it is clear that many of the specific questions asked of individual respondents also have relevance to the missions and interests of other agencies providing testimony. It would seem mutually beneficial therefore to be collectively alert, in advance, to the general direction of intended individual positions.

3. As an aid to further promotion of improved Community coordination, CCISCOMO proposes the following:

(1) What are the results of his review of DoD counter-intelligence which you stated was in early and high priority by the written testimony on May 10, 1990? No specific date has been set for this meeting. Addressees from the Departments of State and Defense as well as those from the CIA and FBI are requested to provide input to CCISCOMO by 29 April 1990 so that timely distribution can be made prior to the date of final written testimony as well as to the SSCI that threat? (3) To what extent do other traditional threats, such as terrorism and espionage, remain the primary concern in the 1990s? (4) What are the emerging threats in the 1990s? Its purpose is to provide a forum for discussion of themes and content of proposed replies to SSCI questions. The meeting is scheduled for 1000, 11 April 1990 at the CCISCOMO offices, Room 1005, 1820 North St. Myer Drive, Rosslyn, Virginia. (5) What are the critical countries and terrorist groups pose in the 1990s? (6) What are the consequences of these changing threats for

REGRADE UNCLASSIFIED WHEN
SEPARATED FROM SECRET ATTACHMENTS

SECRET

SECRET
EXCERPT
EXCERPT

See Doc Cont. Nr.

90/5166
X52444

RFSU/CONS 10/9 WT Sy 8

RECEIVED 10/10/89

SECRET

Memorandum to Director, FBI 10/5/89

RECEIVED

APR 3 12 48 PM '89

OFFICE OF
CONGRESSIONAL AFFAIRS

12. ISSUE: The Committee believes the FBI does not share all information contained in its files with other members of the intelligence community when asked and that a centralized file would ensure full information is available to all intelligence agencies.

QUESTION: What are the advantages and disadvantages of a single database for CIA, FBI, and DOD?

RECOMMENDATIONS: 1. That the INTD, ASD, CID, and RMD prepare responses for the questions identified above and submit those questions to the Congressional Affairs Office by close of business April 5, 1989 in the format currently in use classified as appropriate with a heading for issue, question, summary response, details, and approval signed by the appropriate Assistant Director or Deputy Assistant Director and date signed.

APPROVED: *[Signature]*

Director	Adm. Servs.	Off. of Cong.
Exec. AD-Adm.	Crim. Inv.	& Public Affs.
Exec. AD-Inv.	Ident.	Off. of Lia.
Exec. AD-LES	Inspection	& Int'l. Affs.
	Intell.	Rec. Mgmt.
	Laboratory	Tech. Servs.
	Legal Coun.	Training

2. That the Congressional Affairs Office coordinate the preparation of briefing materials and prepare the Director's opening statement and briefing book.

APPROVED: *[Signature]*

Director	Adm. Servs.	Off. of Cong.
Exec. AD-Adm.	Crim. Inv.	& Public Affs.
Exec. AD-Inv.	Ident.	Off. of Lia.
Exec. AD-LES	Inspection	& Int'l. Affs.
	Intell.	Rec. Mgmt.
	Laboratory	Tech. Servs.
	Legal Coun.	Training

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET/SENSITIVE~~

United States Senate

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: Coordination of SSCI Testimony - 10 May 1990

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6475

#88-3613

DAVID L. BOREN, OKLAHOMA, CHAIRMAN
 WILLIAM S. COHEN, MASS., VICE CHAIRMAN
 LLOYD BENTZEL, TEXAS
 SAM BURG, GEORGIA
 ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, SOUTH CAROLINA
 BILL BRACKLEY, NEW JERSEY
 ALAN GRANTSON, CALIFORNIA
 DENNIS DI CONCILIO, ARIZONA
 HOWARD M. METZNER, NEW YORK
 ROBERT C. BYRD, WEST VIRGINIA, EX OFFICIO
 ROBERT DOLE, KANSAS, EX OFFICIO

GEORGE TONET, STAFF DIRECTOR

JAMES H. DUTRISH, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

KATHRYN P. MCNAUL, STAFF ATTACHE

4. Request addressees provide telephonic notification to CCISCMO
 indicating approval of the proposals outlined in paragraph 3 above as well as
 the identity of attendee(s). A response to Mr. Maldo Webb at 351-2001
 (STU III) or secure 34176 by 5 April 1990 would be greatly appreciated.

Honorable William Sessions
 Director
 Federal Bureau of Investigation
 Washington, D.C. 20535

R. S. Beyea
 Richard S. Beyea, Jr.

Attachments:
 Dear Director Sessions:
 8/5

The Senate Select Committee on Intelligence is conducting a comprehensive review of U.S. counterintelligence and security programs and capabilities. Included in this review will be Executive branch efforts to stem the flow of sensitive technology and equipment to foreign governments.

Recent press reporting has alleged that such transfers have been made by the military offices of Egypt and Israel. Accordingly, I request that the FBI brief the Committee staff on any unauthorized transfer of technology and equipment from the United States by the Egyptians and by the Israelis. For the latter, we are particularly interested in any role played in these transfers by the Israeli Defense Procurement Mission and Trade Center in New York.

Sincerely,

David L. Boren
 David L. Boren
 Chairman

William S. Cohen
 William S. Cohen
 Vice Chairman

~~SECRET/SENSITIVE~~

2
 SECRET
 USA-116295-1729

UNCLASSIFIED

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Coordination of SSCI Testimony—10 May 1990

~~SECRET~~

Exec AD Adm _____
 Exec AD Inv _____
 Exec AD LES _____
 Asst.Dir.:
 Adm.Servs. _____
 Crim.Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inap. _____
 Intell. _____
 Lab. _____
 Legal Couns. _____
 Off.Cong &
 Public Affs. _____
 OLLA _____
 Rec. Mgmt. _____
 Tech. Servs. _____
 Training _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director's Sec'y _____

Distribution of ICS 0843-90 (w/atts)

1 - Mr. Rich Haver, OoD
 1 - Amb Ivan Selin, State
 1 - Mr. William Sessions, FBI
 1 - Mr. Hugh Price, CIA

Date 4/20/88

Subject : SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE (SSCI)
 REQUEST TO DISCUSS THE OFFICE OF LIAISON AND
 INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS (OLIA) AND THE
 COUNTERINTELLIGENCE SITUATION IN WEST BERLIN

This communication is classified SECRET.

Reference memorandum captioned as above dated 4/14/88.

PURPOSE:

To set forth results of briefing of John Eliff, Professional Staff, SSCI, conducted by Office of Congressional Public Affairs Supervisory Special Agent John S. Hooks, Jr., Unit Chief Roland O. L'Allier, Jr., Intelligence Division, and OLLA Deputy Chief Martin V. Hale on the issue of Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) representation in Berlin.

RECOMMENDATION:

None, for information only.

APPROVED:	Adm. Servs. _____	Off. of Cong. & Public Affs. _____
	Crim. Inv. _____	Off. of Lia. _____
	Ident. _____	& Intell. Affs. _____
Director _____	Inspection _____	MW
Exec. AD-Adm. _____	Intell. _____	Rec. Mgmt. _____
Exec. AD-Inv. _____	Laboratory _____	Tech. Servs. _____
Exec. AD-LES _____	Legal Coun. _____	Training _____

(CONTINUED - OVER)

- 1 - S. M. McWeeney
 1 - J. H. Geer
 Attn: J. E. Tomlinson
 1 - J. K. O'Brien
 Attn: J. S. Hooks, Jr.
 1 - S. A. Pimentel
 1 - T. E. Crosson
 1 - M. V. Hale

MVH: P.M. (7)

62-116395-1727

5 MAY 27 1988
 62-116395-1739

SECRET
 Classified by: 3642
 Declassify on: QADR

62-116395-1739

UNCLASSIFIED

ENCLOSURE

18 DEC 22 1988

DALE MCKEEAN, GEORGIA
ROBERT A. MCNAUL, SOUTH DAKOTA
JOHN MCGINNIS, NEW JERSEY
PAUL CHAMBERS, CALIFORNIA
ROBERT COGSTRELL, ARIZONA
HAROLD M. COOPER, IOWA, OHIO
WILLIAM H. GLECK, JR., OREGON

JOHN KATZ, UTAH
THOMAS KAVANAGH, ALASKA
ALLEN SPICER, PENNSYLVANIA
JOHN SUMNER, VIRGINIA
ALPHONSE M. TIGHE, NEW YORK
JOHN S. VANHORN, MISSOURI

GEORGE WILCOX, MASS. EX 09200
RAYMOND OGLE, KANSAS, EX 09200

RONALD J. VEST, STAFF DIRECTOR
JAMES H. D'ESTRELL, MANUFACTORY STAFF DIRECTOR
L. ANNET CARTER, SENIOR COUNSEL
KATHLEEN R. MURPHY, CHIEF CLERK

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-4275

20 FEB 13 AM 11:29

February 7, 1990

SECRET

SSCI #90-508

The Honorable Dick Cheney
Secretary of Defense

Memorandum from M. V. Hale to Mr. S. M. McWeeney
The Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI)
Washington, D.C. 20510
Request to Discuss the Office of Liaison and
International Affairs (OLIA) and the
Intelligence Situation in West Berlin

The Senate Intelligence Committee, as part of the annual budget authorization process, will hold a hearing on U.S. counterintelligence and security programs on May 10, 1990, in Room SH-219 of the East Senate Office Building. We request that you make available to testify at that hearing Mr. Rich Haven, Special Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence with other witnesses invited to testify at this hearing. SSCI Director William See Sessions, Mr. Ivan Selin, Undersecretary of State for Management and Mr. Ted Price, the announced successor to Associate Director Mathew Mathews, and CIA Associate Deputy Director for Operations and head of the CIA Counterintelligence Center.

We are asking all witnesses to be prepared to discuss with the Committee the results of the Administration's review of counterintelligence and security programs for the 1990s, whether recent changes have altered the threat environment require modifying objectives in the 1990s, and the implications for MIIP and non-MIIP resource allocation, program direction, and possible legislation. The hearing will also consider issues identified in the testimony from a group of prominent citizens scheduled for March 22, and we will keep them posted on the other issues.

We would like Mr. Havert to address in his written testimony and be prepared to discuss the following questions:

(1) What are the results of his review of DoD counterintelligence which you stated was "an early and high priority" in your letter of May 31, 1989? (2) To what extent has political change in the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe diminished their intelligence threat in the 1990s and the requirements for CI operations and security measures against that threat? (3) To what extent do other traditional threats, such as the PRC and Cuba, require greater attention in the 1990s? (4) What are the emerging threats in the 1990s from third world and "friendly" intelligence services, as well as narcotics traffickers and terrorists? (5) What new challenges do technological advances and transfers to criteria countries and terrorist groups pose in the 1990s? (6) What are the consequences of these changing threats for

90/51066

SECRET

X52444

Vol-116395-7739
CONFIDENTIAL

The Honorable Dick Cheney
February 7, 1990
Page two

~~SECRET~~

DoD counterintelligence needs and protection of military, diplomatic, and intelligence secrets in the 1990s? (7) How will ~~NSC and DIA security programs cope with increased Soviet~~
~~foreign visitors, commercial counterintelligence inspectors (SCI), and US-Soviet~~
~~commercial contacts?~~ (8) Are US computer and communications security efforts adequate for the 1990s? (9) How serious is the CI impact of counterpolygraph techniques and NSA's loss of access to certain targets? (10) What national policy initiatives are required for the 1990s, i.e., personnel security standards, actions to deter leaks? (11) Is a national countermeasures budget needed? ~~What should be done to improve multigency organizations such as OFK, the SEO and JSPO?~~
~~guidelines under which the U.S. military~~
~~authorities of an occupying power in West Berlin, conducts~~
~~technical surveillance and mail openings.~~ Mr. Eliff stated that,
~~as an occupying power, the U.S. military, much like the FBI~~
~~Ministry, is the entity which collects telephone conversations~~
~~in advance and to make informal opening remarks of about~~
~~5-10 minutes. Agency representatives should contact~~
~~Committee staff members Mary Stastevan or John Eliff at~~
~~224-1700 regarding this heading of a U.S. national or whenever~~
~~there is mention made of a U.S. national in a piece of~~
~~correspondence, that U.S. national's name is not disseminated to~~
~~any other U.S. intelligence agency. This lack of dissemination~~
~~is as a result of a lawsuit in the early 1970s against the U.S.~~
~~Army which, at that time, had in fact been monitoring the~~
~~activities of U.S. nationals. As a consequence of this lawsuit,~~
~~very stringent guidelines were written~~~~David C. Sorenson~~
~~for the U.S. military to use the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court to~~
~~monitor Americans including stringent guidelines for minimizing~~
~~overhears of U.S. nationals when monitoring U.S. nationals.~~

Dick Eliff
The net results of these restrictions is that, according to Mr. Eliff, 15 years worth of overearns of U.S. nationals as well as the like potential collection of names of U.S. persons via mail openings has been lost.

Mr. Eliff advised it was his belief these minimization restrictions were too stringent and should be amended to allow for dissemination. He however stated that he thought the issue should be brought up after the upcoming elections in that he did not believe a "lame duck" administration would be interested in undertaking the issue.

(CONTINUED - OVER)

~~SECRET~~

-3-

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

DAVID L. BAKER, CHAIRMAN
WILLIAM S. SCHOLZ, VICE CHAIRMAN
• KATHRYN D. GREGORY, GEORGIA
EMMETT F. BOYD, NORTH CAROLINA
BILL BRADLEY, NEW JERSEY
ALAN CHAKIN, CALIFORNIA
STENNER D'AMBORO, ARIZONA
JOHN H. METZLER, OHIO
JOHNSON GALTIER, JR., INDIANA

JOHN MASON, UTAH
FRANK MURKOWSKI, ALASKA
ARTHUR SPECTER, MASSACHUSETTS
JOHN THOMAS, VIRGINIA
ROBERT W. BYRD, WEST VIRGINIA
JOHN C. DANFORTH, MISSOURI

GEORGE HATFIELD, ARKANSAS, DELEGATE
ROBERT GOLE, KANSAS, DELEGATE

*GEORGE J. TWEED, STAFF DIRECTOR
JAMES H. PASTRICK, DEPUTY STAFF DIRECTOR
L. ROBERT SPENCE, LEGAL COUNSEL
KATHLEEN P. RUMMEL, STAFF CLERK

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6476

~~SECRET~~

9007897
February 1990
'90 FEB-20 9:22 PM

SSCI #90-505

Memorandum from M. V. Hale to Mr. S. M. McWeeney
Re: Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI)
The Honorable James A. Baker, III, Chairman and
Secretary of State and the
Department of State Situation in West Berlin
Washington, D.C. 20520

DETAILS CONTINUED:
Dear Secretary Baker:

As an aside, Mr. Eliff indicated that Allan Kornblum, Office of Intelligence Policy and Review, had held hearings on U.S. budget authorizations process. We will hold hearings on U.S. counterintelligence and security programs on May 10, 1990, in Room SR-219 of the Hart Senate Office Building. We request that you make available to testify at that hearing Mr. Ivan Berlin, Undersecretary of State for Management. Other counterintelligence venue in that city indicated to him that the many double agent cases run by the U.S. Military were run for operational reasons with no strategic view of Gardner Hathaway as CIA Associate Deputy Director for Operations and head of the CIA Counterintelligence Center; turned Mr. Rich Harvey, Special Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence. He believed the FBI should have input at the outset of these double agent cases insofar as the double agent technique was a fundamental counterintelligence tool which demanded consulting with the principal counterintelligence service, the FBI, at the inception of counterintelligence and security programs for the 1990s. We are asking all witnesses to be prepared to discuss whether recent changes in the threat and operating environment require modifying objectives in the 1990s, and the implications for NFIP and non-NFIP resource allocation, program direction, and possible legislation. The hearing will also consider issues identified in testimony from a group of prominent citizens scheduled for March 22, and we will be in touch with you further on those matters.

Mr. Eliff concluded by reiterating his support for the FBI's presence in West Berlin, by indicating that he would be willing, were we to ask, to indicate his support of this position to the USG, would like Mitta Selin to address in his written testimony and be prepared to discuss the following questions:

- (1) To what extent has political change in the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe affected their intelligence threat in the 1990s and the requirements for CI operations and security measures against that threat?
- (2) To what extent do other traditional threats, such as the PRC and Cuba, require greater attention in the 1990s?
- (3) What are the emerging threats in the 1990s from third world and "friendly" intelligence services, as well as narcotics traffickers and terrorists?
- (4) What new challenges do technological advances and transfers to criteria countries and terrorist groups pose in the 1990s?
- (5) What are the consequences of these changing threats for embassy security priorities and protection of diplomatic, military, and intelligence secrets?

~~SECRET~~
~~SECRET~~

62-116395-1739

~~SECRET~~

The Honorable James A. Baker
February 7, 1990
Page two

(6) How will the State Department assist CI and security programs to cope with increased Soviet emigres and visitors, arms control inspectors, and US-Soviet commercial contacts?

(7) Are US computer and communications security efforts adequate for the 1990s? What national policy initiatives are required for the 1990s, i.e., personnel security standards, actions to deter leaks? (8) Is a national countermeasures budget needed? (9) What should be done to improve the multagency organizations such as ORIN, SSO and JSPO in the 1990s? (10) Are organizational changes in State Department security, counterintelligence, construction, and foreign missions programs needed for the 1990s?

JFK (1)(B)

GIVEN A TOUR AND BRIEFING AT THE [REDACTED] FACILITY ACCORDING TO [REDACTED]

BY THE SUPERVISOR, AND COMMENTARY SHOULD BE GUARDED. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD TARGETS BE IDENTIFIED, AND NO DISCUSSION SHOULD ENSE WITH REGARD TO COVERAGE OF OR PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

WITH COVERAGE OF NON-HOSTILE TARGETS.

Sincerely,

David J. Lown

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FBI AND [REDACTED] SHOULD BE CHARACTERIZED AS "EXCELLENT." SSCI STAFFER REQUESTS THAT DAVID J. LOWN BE EXTENDED TO [REDACTED] NEW YORK CIA FOREIGN RESOURCE DIVISION (FRD) REPRESENTATIVE TO ATTEND THIS PORTION OF THE DISCUSSIONS. THIS REQUEST HAS BEEN DENIED, AND SSCI STAFFERS HAVE BEEN ASKED TO CONTACT CIA [REDACTED] INDEPENDENTLY.

Joe

William S. Cohen
Chairman

JFK (1)(B)

2) UNDERCOVER OPERATIONS AND PROPOSED LEGISLATION BEFORE CONGRESS. SSCI STAFFERS HAVE EXPRESSED INTEREST IN KNOWING VIEWS OF FIELD ON UNDERCOVER OPERATIONS; PROBLEMS, VALUE, ETC.? INTD SUGGESTS DISCUSSION BE CONFINED TO DESCRIBING "SHOPRITE"

~~SECRET~~

F00146