CONTINUATION OF DISPACH

SECRET/RYBAT

CELA-35584

- f. "A further development which may be of interest is that in early January 1962 (YYNNE-ELLIS) received a further letter from his Russian pen friend giving him a fairly pressing invitation to visit him a (YNNE-ELLIS) who was not aware of AELADLE's defection, wrote to AELADLE telling him that he had now received the invitation, and inviting him to coffee at his house!
- 2. We thought that the arrival of this letter (it was dated 25.12.01) so shortly after AELADLE's defection, of and after a long gap in the correspondence between WYNNE-ELLIS) and his pen friend, might indicate that the R.I.S. suspected that WYNNE-ELLIS had had a hand in AELADLE's defection, of WYNNE-ELLIS was never aware that AELADLE was an R.I.S. officer.
- 3. of As you know from an earlier conversation about this case (WYNNE-EILIS) is a most unsatisfactory character to deal with, and we believe that the R.I.S. have found him equally uncatisfactory; We have no reason to suppose that the has double-crossed us since he was turned) but we would not exclude this as a possibility or at least would not exclude the possibility that he might have considered this.
 - 4. "Our questions on the WYNNE-ELLIS document supplied by AELADLE are as follows:
 - a. "What is the manuscript word at the top of the first page, and what is its significance?
 - b. "The two typed words, one immediately below the manuscript word on page 1 and the other to the left, are not legible on our copy.
 - c. "Is there any significance in the date 11th Movember being in inverted commas, thus "11"?
 - d. "We are puzzled by what at first sight appeared to be a date, 22.YII.1961, in the heading immediately above the text on page 1. In fact the Roman numerals 'XII' are the cyrillic letter XII, but we do not understand their meaning. Can AELADLE explain this?
 - e. "What is the name in which the document is signed, and does AELADLE know the true identity of this person?
 - f. d"We are a little surprised at the cyrillic transliteration of (YNNE-ELLIS') name. We should have expected the KOB to have a system which would enable them to get back to the correct English spelling. Admittedly (WINNE-ELLIS's name is an awkward one to deal with.
 - g. "We should have expected that AELADIE would have sent a trace request to his Headquarters shortly after the first meeting with WYRNE-ELLIS in July 1961. Yet the reply was apparently sent only on 11th November. Can AELADLE comment on this?
 - 5. "We do not wish to suggest by these comments that we suspect that this document might be a forgery, but we would be grateful for your confirmation that you do not consider this a possibility."