
This is a dummy test file in which I do the testing for the citation tool. I’ll mix a bunch of images and comments and literature references. For example, according to [LR: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2024.07.004] which is a single citation! Next on, there is an image right here.



Now, there will be stuff I comment in here. Now I will have multiple citations in one [LR: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.07.014; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07754-w]. This concludes this end file. I’ll also include one bad doi right here [LR:https://doi.org/1baddoi0.1038/s41586-024-07754-w]. This is another bad doi here [LR: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2016.06.006.] but it also exists as a paper! The good version of this doi is here [LR: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2016.06.006] – can you spot the difference? To test good stuff, I’ll have one doi with spaces to tolerate errors in copying and pasting [LR: 10.1038/ncomms7684]. And I’ll also repeat one doi because sometimes you quote one paper multiple times to [LR: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2024.07.004 ] but this time with spaces!

Furthermore, let’s test it when we have one author [LR: https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-9-227] and when we have two authors[LR: https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0442]! To make it snappier, let’s combine a bad doi and good doi [LR: https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-9-227; doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2016.06.006]