

Space Physics

Practical 2B

Data Analysis

Revision 1

Ivan Šinkarenko
Anuraj Rajendraprakash

June 18, 2012

Referee: Gabriella Stenberg

Contents

1	Time Series Data	4
1.1	Question 1	4
1.2	Question 2	4
1.3	Question 3	5
1.4	Question 4	5
1.5	Question 5	6
1.6	Question 6	6
1.7	Question 7	9
1.8	Question 8	9
2	Particle Measurements	11
2.1	Question 1	11
2.2	Question 2	11
2.3	Question 3	12
2.4	Question 4	13
2.5	Question 5	14
2.6	Question 6	14

List of Figures

1	Cluster Quicklook 6-hours overview.	4
2	EFW Measurement Data	5
3	FGM Measurement Data	5
4	Staff Instrument Measurement Data	6
5	PSD of Electric Field.	7
6	PSD of Magnetic Field.	7
7	PSD of Electric Field Nk=200	8
8	PSD of Electric Field Nk=50	8
9	PSD of Magnetic Field Nk=200	8
10	PSD of Magnetic Field Nk=50	8
11	PSD of Electric Field for frequency 0 to 2 Hz	8
12	PSD of Magnetic Field for frequency 0 to 2 Hz	8
13	PSD of Electric Field for frequency 0 to 225 Hz with N=256	9
14	PSD of Magnetic Field for frequency 0 to 225 Hz with N=256	9
15	Spectrogram of Electric Field with high frequency resolution	9
16	Spectrogram of Electric Field with high time resolution	9
17	Spectrogram of Magnetic Field with high frequency resolution	10
18	Spectrogram of Magnetic Field with high time resolution	10
19	Hodogram of Electric Field.	10
20	Hodogram of Magnetic Field	10
21	Position of the instrument in Orbit 1069	11
22	Position of the instrument in Orbit 1070	11
23	Spectrogram of the 1069 Orbit	12
24	Spectrogram of the 1070 Orbit	12
25	Energy Spectra of the 1069 Orbit	13
26	Energy Spectra of the 1070 Orbit	13
27	Velocity Spectra of the 1069 Orbit	14
28	Velocity Spectra of the 1070 Orbit	15
29	Maxwellian Curve fit for data from Orbit 1069	15

30	Maxwellian Curve fit for data from Orbit 1070	16
----	---	----

1 Time Series Data

1.1 Question 1

Where in the magnetosphere are the observations made? Motivate your answer!

The measurement taken on 2002-03-02 at 03:29-03:30 is taken at the time when the satellite was crossing the border of magnetopause.




Figure 1: Cluster Quicklook 6-hours overview.

1.2 Question 2

Plot the time series (all components) from the three instruments. Can you identify any waves? What type of waves are we looking at: Electrostatic or electromagnetic? Is there a need to correct the data somehow? If so, why and how do you do that?

Electromagnetic between 20 and 30 s. Electrostatic between 55 and 60 s. x component is facing towards the sun. It should fluctuate around zero, like the y-component does it. It happens because of the photon emission, which is captured by the probes. There is an oscillation with constant frequency and a DC shift in the x component of the electric field. These effects are common in a double probe measurement on board a spinning spacecraft. The constant oscillation seen in Figure 2 is due to the spin of the spacecraft, this oscillation has half the rotation period of the spacecraft, which is approximately 2 seconds for the given data. For using the data properly these effects have to be removed from the data. The DC shift can be removed

by taking the average of the data and then subtracting it. For removing the oscillations from the data signal processing can be used to remove oscillation at that particular frequency.




Figure 2: EFW Measurement Data




Figure 3: FGM Measurement Data

1.3 Question 3

Estimate the frequency of the waves by looking at the times series only. Describe how you do. What is your result?

Roughly estimated wave period is about 0.01 s (zoomed in and estimated between 2 peaks). Frequency = $1/0.01 = 100$ Hz.

1.4 Question 4

Compute the fundamental frequencies (electron and proton gyrofrequencies and the electron plasma frequency) in the plasma. The background magnetic field you have in the data. The density can be found from the overview data. However, the ion density is usually underestimated. Therefore, it is a good idea to compare with the high frequency emissions obtained by the WHISPER instrument. In the WHISPER data you can identify the electron plasma frequency directly, as a thin horizontal line visible most of the time. What density does the WHISPER signal correspond to? Compare the wave frequency with the fundamental frequencies. What are your conclusions?

From overview data, ion density is 1.5 cm^3 . The minimum and maximum Electron gyrofrequency are 0.82 kHz and 0.88 kHz respectively while the minimum and maximum Proton gyrofrequency is 0.45091 Hz and 0.48342 Hz respectively. The electron Plasma frequency is 10.9 kHz considering a density of 1.5 cm^3 . The




Figure 4: Staff Instrument Measurement Data

estimated plasma frequency from WHISPER is 16 kHz. This was used to calculate the electron density and a value of $n = 3.1746 \text{ cm}^3$ was obtained.

From the above results it can be concluded that only the electrons cause the wave because the wave frequency is much bigger than the proton gyrofrequency. The protons are too slow to have any effect on the appearance of this wave.

1.5 Question 5

Compute the PSD of the electric and magnetic wave fields for the entire time period. If you want you can use the Matlab-function `PSDvsFREQ()`. Compare with your results obtained in 2 and 3. Also, investigate the how the error changes when you sum over fewer records. If you want you can use the `errorbar()` function to visualize this. Which are your conclusions?

The Figures 5 and 6 show the PSD of electric and magnetic field respectively. The peaks are around 90-100 Hz. Which corresponds to our rough estimation in question 3. The Figures 8 and 10 show the PSD of electric and magnetic fields when fewer records have been used along with the error bars. It can be seen the the error is increases when less records are used. The parameters used are $NK=200$; $Kstart=1$; $Kshift=100$; $N=1024$; $NG=N$; $GW=NG/4$;

1.6 Question 6

Look at (=make PSDs for) two different frequency ranges: 0-225 Hz and 0-2 Hz. You should aim at good statistics so the frequency resolution should be different in the two plots. The frequency resolution is given by $f = 1/Nt$, where t is the time between two samples and N is the record length used in the Fourier transform. For each of the plots: provide all the information about the PSD you present: The length of the record, the




Figure 5: PSD of Electric Field with N=1024.




Figure 6: PSD of Magnetic Field with N=1024.




Figure 7: PSD of Electric Field Nk=200




Figure 8: PSD of Electric Field Nk=50




Figure 9: PSD of Magnetic Field Nk=200




Figure 10: PSD of Magnetic Field Nk=50

shift between records and the total number of records used.

The Figures 11 and 12 show the PSD of electric and magnetic field for 0 to 2 Hz frequency. The errors are more easily visible in this PSD.




Figure 11: PSD of Electric Field for frequency 0 to 2 Hz




Figure 12: PSD of Magnetic Field for frequency 0 to 2 Hz




Figure 13: PSD of Electric Field for frequency 0 to 225 Hz with N=256




Figure 14: PSD of Magnetic Field for frequency 0 to 225 Hz with N=256

1.7 Question 7

Plot spectrograms (=PSD versus time and frequency) of both the electric and magnetic fields. You can do this by using the Matlab function `means()` and ignoring the wave vector output. Try different resolutions in time and frequency. What are your conclusions?

The Figures 15 and 16 show the spectrogram of electric field with high frequency and high time resolution respectively. The Figures 17 and 18 show the spectrogram of the magnetic field with high frequency and high time resolution respectively. The time when the wave appears is clearly visible, because of the power increase. The parameters used for high frequency resolution are $N_g = 4096$, $Kshift = 2048$, No. of records for fft average = 5. The parameters used for high time resolution are $N_g = 64$, $Kshift = 32$, No. of records for fft average = 2.




Figure 15: Spectrogram of Electric Field with high frequency resolution




Figure 16: Spectrogram of Electric Field with high time resolution

1.8 Question 8

Now we can produce a so-called hodogram, which shows how the wave field vector moves in the plane perpendicular to B_0 . If the field vector moves in the same direction as a positive ion would gyrate then the wave is left-hand polarized. If the vector rotates in the opposite direction the wave is righthand polarized. Plot the magnetic field vector in this plane and determine the polarization of the waves. Sometimes it is easier to see the rotation if you normalize the length of the vectors to 1. Do the same with the electric field wave vector.




Figure 17: Spectrogram of Magnetic Field with high frequency resolution




Figure 18: Spectrogram of Magnetic Field with high time resolution

The Figures 19 and 20 show the hodogram for the electric and magnetic field respectively. The electric field shows no particular polarization but hodogram of magnetic field seems to moving in counter-clock wise direction and hence the magnetic field is right hand polarized.




Figure 19: Hodogram of Electric Field.




Figure 20: Hodogram of Magnetic Field

2 Particle Measurements

For this part of the practical we have been provided the particle data from the SWIM instrument onboard the Chandrayaan-1 spacecraft. Chandrayaan-1 orbits the moon at an altitude of 100 km. The position of the spacecraft at different times is shown in the Figures 21 and 22, which also show the position of the moon with respect to Earth.[2]




Figure 21: Position of the instrument in Orbit 1069




Figure 22: Position of the instrument in Orbit 1070

2.1 Question 1

Plot spectrograms, that is, the number of counts versus time and energy, for the two orbits. The different energy levels you find in a comment line in the data files. Once every orbit SWIM looks at the solar wind. Identify when this happens in the two orbits.

The spectrograms for the orbits 1069 and 1070 is shown in Figure 23 and 24 respectively. When SWIM looks at the solar wind the number of solar wind particles that hit the instrument increases significantly which is observable as the red portion in Figures 23 and 24. For orbit 1069, it occurs approximately between 100th and 350th observation time bin which corresponds to UTC 05:29 to UTC 06:02 hours. For orbit 1070, it occurs approximately between 25th and 250th observation time bin which corresponds to UTC 07:22 to UTC 08:12 hours.

2.2 Question 2

Protons are the main ions in the solar wind, but can you identify any other components? Motivate your answer!

In Figure 23 we observe two counts in two color areas one red and one light blue that correspond to different energy levels this suggest that there are two different components. The larger coloured area corresponds to protons because they are the main constituent of solar wind. The other energy colour could be because of Helium ions. Helium has a higher energy because all the solar wind particles move with the same velocity and helium has four times the mass of a proton and twice its charge so Helion ions have more energy.




Figure 23: Spectrogram of the 1069 Orbit




Figure 24: Spectrogram of the 1070 Orbit

2.3 Question 3

Make energy spectra, this is, plot observed counts versus energy for a selected time interval around the solar wind observation.

The energy spectra for the time when the SWIM instrument looks at the solar wind for the orbit 1069 and orbit 1070 is shown in Figure 25 and 26 respectively




Figure 25: Energy Spectra of the 1069 Orbit




Figure 26: Energy Spectra of the 1070 Orbit

2.4 Question 4

Determine the solar wind proton velocity and temperature by first transforming the data from energy to velocity space and then fitting a Maxwellian distribution. What temperatures and velocities do you get? Are there differences between the orbits? If so, why?

For the orbit 1070 the proton velocity is 389.4 Km/s and temperature is $T = 1.645 \times 10^5 \text{ K}$ and for the orbit

1069 the proton velocity is 410.1 Km/s and the temperature is $T = 4.944 \times 10^4 K$. The differences between the two orbits are relatively small and could be because of the variable nature of the solar wind.

2.5 Question 5

How do you determine the velocity of other components in the solar wind? What results do you get?

The SWIM instrument measures the $\frac{E}{q}$ value and this value will be different based on the analyzed element and will depend entirely on the mass and charge. The other component of solar wind is ionized Helium, which has four times the amount of mass as a proton. So to calculate the velocity we need to know the energy value. The $\frac{E}{q}$ is estimated from Figure 23 as 1550 eV. The $mass = 4 * m_p$ and the charge for an ionized Helium is $q = 2 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$. To calculate the energy you just take the $\frac{E}{q}$ and multiply it with the charge. You could then determine the velocity through the kinetic energy formula $E = \frac{mv^2}{2}$. This gives us a velocity for the Helium around 385.321 km/s




Figure 27: Velocity Spectra of the 1069 Orbit

2.6 Question 6

Compare your results with the observations made by one of the spacecrafts ACE or WIND: http://www.srl.caltech.edu/ACE/ASC/level2/lvl2DATA_SWEPAM.html or ftp://space.mit.edu/pub/plasma/wind/kp_files/. Note that the ACE/WIND measurements are made far upstream of the spacecraft so you have to compensate for this when you compare.

Data was retrieved for the same date and hour as the swim instrument and the proton velocity according to this data is 377.2 Km/s and the temperature is 39,327 K which is near to what we observed in SWIM instrument on Chandrayaan-1. WIND is not at the same position as Chandrayaan-1 so we need to take the distance between WIND and Chandrayaan-1's position while making comparison between the data from both. The approximate distance is 1.5×10^6 km. The solar winds velocity is approximately 400 km/s and




Figure 28: Velocity Spectra of the 1070 Orbit




Figure 29: Maxwellian Curve fit for data from Orbit 1069

this means that it takes the solar wind about one hour to travel from WIND to Chandrayaan-1. So in order to compare the data between the two we have to take Wind data one hour before the data in Chandrayaan-1.




Figure 30: Maxwellian Curve fit for data from Orbit 1070

References

- [1] ACE SWEPAM Level 2 Data. *Measurements from the satellite ACE*. http://www.srl.caltech.edu/ACE/ASC/level2/lv12DATA_SWEPAM.html.
- [2] Stenberg G. (2012). *Practical 2B. Data Analysis*. Luleå University of Technology, Kiruna, Sweden.