

Research Plan for Multi-Agent Path Finding with Matching using A* with OD and ID

Ivar de Bruin

April 20, 2021

Title:	Multi-Agent Path Finding with Matching using A* with OD and ID
Author:	Ivar de Bruin
Responsible Professor:	Mathijs de Weerd
Other Supervisor:	Jesse Mulderij
Peer group members:	Robbin Baauw, Jonathan Dönszelmann, Jaap de Jong, Thom van der Woude

Contents

1	Background of the research	1
2	Research Question	2
3	Method	2
4	Planning of the research project	2

1 Background of the research

The Dutch Railways (NS) is tasked with maintaining trains during the night. The trains are routed to shunting yards where they can be cleaned and receive maintenance. Here there is an NP-hard problem called the Train Unit Shunting and Servicing (TUSS) problem. One of the main questions in this problems is with regards to the capacity of these shunting yards. To try and establish an upper bound to this capacity we try to find a relaxation to the problem.

The NP-hard Multi-Agent Path Finding (MAPF) describes multiple agents on a graph, moving from a start node to a goal node while avoiding collisions. In this problem we try to minimize the sum of individual costs (SIC). To make this problem into a relaxation of the TUSS problem we also need to introduce matching, as there is no exact assignment per train for a destination but rather per class or type of train.

Description of current state of the research field to be added later

2 Research Question

The main question that will be answered in this paper is: How can the MAPF algorithm A* with ID and OD be used to solve a relaxation of the TUSS problem when it is expanded with matching. We can then look at the following sub-questions:

- Which matching algorithm performs best when combined with A* with OD and ID
- How does this combined algorithm perform compared to other MAPF algorithms expanded with matching?
- Under which conditions should this algorithm be used?
- Under which conditions should this algorithm not be used?

Will be expanded and improved later this week

3 Method

To complete this research the following things need to be accomplished:

- Implement A* with ID and OD to be extended with matching
- Extend this basic version with a matching method to solve MAPF-M
- Use created benchmarks to analyse the performance of the algorithm compared to other versions and other algorithms
- Use this analysis to improve performance and enhancements of the algorithm

These benchmarks will be run on a website maintained by one of my peers (Jonathan Dönszelmann). This will mean all algorithms are tested under the same conditions allowing for a fair comparison. All algorithms will also be developed in Python to further aid the fairness of this comparison.

4 Planning of the research project

Here I will explain what I plan to spent each week on, I will also include a table of the deadlines and other things from the university as a clear overview of deadlines.

Week 1: Orientation

The first week will be spent on orientation. What is the current state of the field? How does A* ID OD really work. How can I make it? etc.

During this week I will also orientate myself on the different deadlines and lectures we have. For example how to prepare for each one.

For this orientation I will also be reading quite a few papers starting with Standley's paper on A* with ID and OD [1], Stern et al. paper on Multi-Agent pathfinding[2] and Mulderij et al. paper on the TUSS problem[3]. As well as any papers that follow from that that seem relevant.

This orientation should then finally allow me to make the final version of this research plan at the end of the week.

Week 2: Developing a basic version of A*+ID+OD

This week will be spent on creating a basic MAPF solver in A*+ID+OD. This will make me more familiar with the algorithm as well as give me something to extend. This week there is also the research plan presentation that needs to be prepared.

Week 3: Research matching

This week I will finish the algorithm if I did not finish it last week. After creating a MAPF solver I will need to research how to introduce matching to it. For this I will look at some papers but as matching with MAPF is not a research area with a lot of publications quite a bit of this research will be done through experimentation. Once I have an idea of what to do I will start extending the basic algorithm with matching.

Week 4: Matching and preparing midterm

This week I will continue with the addition of matching to the algorithm. I will also start preparing for the midterm presentation on Wednesday of week 5

Week 5: Midterm presentation

This week is the midterm presentation. Besides this I will start on the paper as well as perhaps start comparing the benchmarks with my peers.

Week 6: Improve performance

This week will be about improving performance and running further comparisons with my peers. I will also continue using the lectures from university to continue working on the first draft of my paper.

Week 7: Start final rounds of improvement and finish draft v1

This week I will figure out what can still be improved in the algorithm as well as finish the draft of my paper.

Week 8: Draft reviews

This week I will need to review others papers as well as improve my own. I will also be running most of the final experiments so version 2 of the paper draft can contain this data.

Week 9: Draft version 2

This week draft version 2 needs to be finished. This week I will also finish the work on the code and the experiments.

Week 10: Work on final paper and poster

This week the paper should be finished and the poster mostly finished.

Week 11: Presentation

This week the poster will be handed in and the final presentation be prepared and given.

Planning overview

Legend	Deadline		Meeting		Lecture	
	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	End of week
Q4 W1 (19-4)	First week plan	Responsible methods lecture	Information literacy	Supervisor meeting		Research plan
Q4 W2 (26-4)				Research plan presentation	Responsible research lecture	
Q4 W3 (3-5)				Supervisor meeting	Session ACS	
Q4 W4 (10-5)	Session responsible research			Supervisor meeting		
Q4 W5 (17-5)	Session ACS		Midterm presentation	Supervisor meeting, midterm feedback		
Q4 W6 (24-5)				Supervisor meeting, reflection discussion	Session ACS	
Q4 W7 (31-5)				Supervisor meeting		
Q4 W8 (7-6)	Paper draft v1			Supervisor meeting	Peer-review draft v1	
Q4 W9 (14-6)			Paper draft v2	Supervisor meeting		
Q4 W10 (21-6)				Supervisor meeting		Submit final paper
Q4 W11 (28-6)	Session ACS	Submit final poster		Poster presentation		

Figure 1: Draft planning overview

References

- [1] T. Standley, “Finding optimal solutions to cooperative pathfinding problems,” in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 24, 2010.
- [2] R. Stern, N. Sturtevant, A. Felner, S. Koenig, H. Ma, T. Walker, J. Li, D. Atzmon, L. Cohen, T. K. S. Kumar, E. Boyarski, and R. Bartak, *Multi-agent pathfinding: Definitions, variants, and benchmarks*, 2019. arXiv: 1906.08291 [cs.AI].
- [3] J. Mulderij, B. Huisman, D. TÅ¶nissen, K. van der Linden, and M. de Weerd, *Train unit shunting and servicing: A real-life application of multi-agent path finding*, 2020. arXiv: 2006.10422 [cs.MA].