

# Commutative Algebraic Groups

1

Lemma Let  $S \subseteq \text{Mat}_{n,n}$  be a set of pairwise commuting matrices. Then:

①  $\exists x \in \text{GL}_n$  such that  $x S x^{-1}$  consists of upper triangular matrices.

② If all matrices in  $S$  are semisimple, then  $\exists x \in \text{GL}_n$  such that  $x S x^{-1}$  consists of diagonal matrices.

Proof (sketch of ①) By induction on  $n$ . Base step:  $n=1$  ✓

Induction step:

Case I:  $S \subseteq \{\lambda \cdot \text{id}_n : \lambda \in k\}$

Then all matrices in  $S$  are already diagonal.

Case II:  $S \not\subseteq \{\lambda \cdot \text{id}_n : \lambda \in k\}$

Then  $\exists s \in S$  and  $\lambda \in k$  such that

$$0 < \dim(\{v \in k^n : (s-\lambda)v = 0\}) < n$$

Let  $W = \{v \in V : (s-\lambda)v = 0\} \subseteq V$ . Pick a basis of  $W$  and extend it to  $V$ .

In this basis,

[2]

$$S \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & & & \\ \hline & * & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

since  $W$  is stable under any  $\det S$ . Apply induction to the endomorphisms of  $W$  and  $V/W$  induced by  $S$ .

$$\rightsquigarrow xSx^{-1} \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & * & & * \\ \hline 0 & * & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

D

**Def** Let  $G$  be a group. The commutator group of  $G$  is

$$[G, G] := \langle xyx^{-1}y^{-1} : x, y \in G \rangle$$

Note that  $[G, G] \trianglelefteq G$  and  $G/[G, G]$  is commutative.

Also,  $G$  is commutative iff  $[G, G] = \{e\}$ .

**Lemma** Let  $U_n = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & & \\ \hline 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subseteq GL_n$ . Set  $U_n^{[k]} = U_n$

and  $U_n^{[k+1]} = [U_n^{[k]}, U_n^{[k]}]$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Then

$$U_n^{[n]} = \{1\}$$

prof (sketch) Argue that

$$U_n^{(k)} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}^k \right\}$$

[3]

□

Theorem Let  $G$  be a commutative linear algebraic group.

① The sets  $G_s$  and  $G_u$  of semisimple and unipotent elements are closed subgroups of  $G$ .

② The product map

$$\mu: G_s \times G_u \longrightarrow G$$

is an isomorphism of algebraic groups.

prof. The set  $G_u$  is closed in any linear algebraic group (exercise 2.4.10 (2)). To show that  $G_u$  is a subgroup, let  $g, h \in G_u$  and pick  $m > 0$  so that ~~such that~~.

$$(g-1)^m = 0 = (h-1)^m. \text{ Then use binomial expansion}$$

(together with the fact that  $g$  and  $h$  commute) to show

$$\text{that } (gh-1)^{2m} = 0$$

Hint: write  $gh-1 = (g-1)h + h-1$

Next we turn our attention to  $G_s$ . We may assume that  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ , for some  $n$ . [4]

If  $g, h \in G_s$ , then they are commuting diagonalizable elements, hence simultaneously diagonalizable. Hence  $gh \in G_s$ .

This  $G_s$  is a subgroup, and it remains to show that  $G_s$  is closed. Since all elements in  $G_s$  are simultaneously diagonalizable (see lemma from the start of the lecture),  $\exists$  basis  $\{v_i\}$

of  $V$  and group homomorphisms  $\phi_i: G_s \rightarrow k^\times = G_m$

s.t.

$$g \cdot v_i = \phi_i(g) v_i \quad \forall i, \forall g \in G_s.$$

In the basis  $\{v_i\}$ , we have that

$$G_s = G \cap D_n.$$

Indeed,  $G_s \subseteq G \cap D_n$  by construction. On the other hand,  $C \cap D_n \subseteq G_s$  since  $D_n$  consists of semisimple elements (see 2.4.9).

Hence  $G_s$  is closed in  $G$ . ①□

Claim ② follows since  $G_s \cap C_u = \{1\}$ . ②□

Proposition, Let  $G$  be a connected linear algebraic group of

dimension 1. Then:

①  $G$  is commutative

② Either  $G = G_s$  or  $G = G_u$ .

"All students are enjoined in the strongest possible terms  
to eschew proofs by contradiction!"

- Royden, Real Analysis, 3rd edition.

prof ① Suppose that  $G$  is not commutative and  $\dim G \leq 1$ .

We show that  $\dim G = 0$ .

Since  $G$  is not commutative,  $\exists g \in G$  whose conjugacy class

$$C_g = \{xgx^{-1} : x \in G\}$$

is not equal to  $\{e\}$ . Since  $C_g$  is closed and irreducible,

and  $C_g \neq \{e\}$ , dimension considerations imply that  $\overline{C_g} = G$ .

[6]

Then  $G \setminus \mathcal{C}_g$  is finite, say

$$G = \mathcal{C}_g \sqcup \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$$

Embed  $G$  into  $GL_n$  for some  $n$ , and let

$$\chi: G \hookrightarrow GL_n \longrightarrow k[T]_{\text{degree } \leq n} = \text{Span}_k \{1, T, \dots, T^n\}$$

$$y \longmapsto \det(T - y)$$

be the map taking  $y \in G$  to its characteristic polynomial.

Then

$$\text{Image}(\chi) = \{\det(T - y) : y \in G\}$$

$$= \{\det(T - y) : y \in \mathcal{C}_g \sqcup \{\det(T - g_i)\}_{i=1}^m\}$$

$$= \{\det(T - g)\} \sqcup \{\det(T - g_i)\} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \{\det(T - g_m)\}$$

Since the image of  $\chi$  is finite, and since  $G$  is connected,

we have that

$$\det(T - y) = \det(T - 1) = (T - 1)^n \quad \forall y \in G.$$

Thus,  $G = G_n$ . Changing the basis if necessary, we have

that  $G \subseteq U_n$ .

Recall that  $\{ \dots [U_n, U_1] \dots \} = 0$  eventually. ⑦

As a consequence,  $[C, G]$  must be proper in  $G$ . Since it is also connected, we have that  $[C, G] = \{e\}$ .

Then:

$$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \amalg \mathcal{E}_g = \{g_i z_i^m\} \amalg g(g^{-1} \mathcal{E}_g)$$

$$= \{g_i z_i\} \amalg g \{g^{-1} x g^{-1} : x \in G\} \subseteq \{g_i z_i\} \cup_g [C, G]$$

$$= \{g_i z_i\} \amalg g \cdot \{e\} = \{g_1, g_1 z_1, g_2, \dots, g_m z_m\}$$

So  $G$  is finite  $\Rightarrow \dim G = 0$ . ① D

Claim ② is clear from the previous theorem. D

Theorem Let  $G$  be a connected linear algebraic group of dimension 1.

Then  $G$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{G}_a$  or  $\mathbb{G}_m$ .

We know from above that  $G$  is either semisimple or unipotent. In the next lecture we will show that if  $G$  is semisimple, then it is isomorphic to the multiplicative group. We will not cover the proof that if  $G$  is unipotent, then it is isomorphic to the additive group. See sections 3.3 and 3.4 in Springer.