Math 357 / Spring 2024 (C. Marx) - Midterm Exam (**take-home**)

Isaac Viviano

I affirm that I have adhered to the Honor Code in this exam. Isaac Viviano

1 Problems

Problem 1: Rate of convergence in Fejér's theorem

(a)

Proposition 1.1. If $f \in C_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ is α -Hölder continuous, then for every fixed $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, we have the bound

$$||F_n \star f - f||_{\infty} \le C\delta^{\alpha} + \frac{||f||_{\infty}}{2n\delta^2} , \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (1)

Proof. Fix $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ be α -Hölder continuous. Pick C > 0 such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le C|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|(F_n \star f)(t) - f(t)| = \left| \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} F_n(s) f(t-s) \ ds - f(t) \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} F_n(s) \ ds}_{=1, F_n \text{ is a PAI}} \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} F_n(s) [f(t-s) - f(t)] \ ds \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{(-\delta, \delta)} F_n(s) [f(t-s) - f(t)] \ ds \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] - (-\delta, \delta)} F_n(s) [f(t-s) - f(t)] \ ds \right|$$

$$:= I_1 + I_2$$

We use the α -Hölder condition to estimate I_1 . For all $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $|t - s - t| = |s| < \delta$, so $|s|^{\alpha} < \delta^{\alpha}$ by the monotonicity of log:

$$|s| < \delta$$

$$\iff \log |s| < \log \delta$$

$$\iff \alpha \log |s| < \alpha \log \delta$$

$$\iff \log |s|^{\alpha} < \log \delta^{\alpha}$$

$$\iff |s|^{\alpha} < \delta^{\alpha}$$

Therefore,

$$I_1 \le \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |F_n(s)| \cdot \underbrace{|f(t-s) - f(s)|}_{\le C \cdot \delta^{\alpha}} ds \tag{2}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \delta^{\alpha} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |F_n(s)| \ ds \tag{3}$$

$$\leq C\delta^{\alpha} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \underbrace{|F_n(s)|}_{=F_n(s)} ds \tag{4}$$

$$\leq C\delta^{\alpha} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} F_n(s) \ ds \tag{5}$$

$$=C\delta^{\alpha} \tag{6}$$

For (5), we use that F_n is positive and real. For (6), we use that F_n is a periodic approximate identity (PAI-1 from Proposition 3.5.1 of [CM]). For I_2 ,

$$I_{2} \leq \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]-(-\delta,\delta)} |F_{n}(s)| \cdot |[f(t-s)-f(t)]| ds$$

$$\leq \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]-(-\delta,\delta)} |F_{n}(s)| \cdot \underbrace{\left(|f(t-s)|+|f(t)|\right)}_{\leq ||f||_{\infty}} ds \quad (\triangle \text{ inequality})$$

$$\leq 2||f||_{\infty} \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]-(-\delta,\delta)} \underbrace{\frac{|F_{n}(s)|}{|F_{n}(s)|}}_{=F_{n}(s)} ds$$

$$= 2||f||_{\infty} \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]-(-\delta,\delta)} \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{\underbrace{\left(\sin(\pi ns)\right)}_{\leq 1}^{2}}^{2} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sin(\pi s)}}_{\geq 2s}^{2}}^{2} ds$$

$$\leq 2||f||_{\infty} \int_{\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]-(-\delta,\delta)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{4ns^{2}}}_{\text{even}} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{||f||_{\infty}}{n} \int_{\left(\delta,\frac{1}{2}\right]} \frac{1}{s^{2}} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{||f||_{\infty}}{n\delta^{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta\right) \quad (\text{ML estimate})$$

$$< \frac{||f||_{\infty}}{2n\delta^{2}}$$

Since the bounds on I_1 and I_2 have no dependence on t, we have shown the intended bound:

$$||F_n \star f - f||_{\infty} \le C\delta^{\alpha} + \frac{||f||_{\infty}}{2n\delta^2}$$

(b)

Proposition 1.2. For every α -Hölder continuous function $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, the rate of convergence for approximating f by Fejér polynomials $F_n \star f$ is of the form

$$||F_n \star f - f||_{\infty} \le C_f \cdot n^{-\gamma} , \qquad (7)$$

where the constants $C_f > 0$ and $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha) > 0$ are given by

$$C_f := C + 2||f||_{\infty} \tag{8}$$

$$\gamma := \frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha} \tag{9}$$

Proof. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2}n^{-\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}$ and apply the bound (1) from (a).

$$||F_n \star f - f||_{\infty} \le C\delta^{\alpha} + \frac{||f||_{\infty}}{2n\delta^2}$$

$$= \frac{C}{\underbrace{2^{\alpha}}_{\geq 1} n^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha}}} + \frac{||f||_{\infty}}{2n\left(\frac{1}{2}n^{-\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}\right)^2}$$

$$\le \frac{C}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha}}} + \frac{2||f||_{\infty}}{n^{1-\frac{2}{2+\alpha}}}$$

$$\le \frac{C}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha}}} + \frac{2||f||_{\infty}}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha}}}$$

$$= \frac{C+2||f||_{\infty}}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha}}}$$

$$= \frac{C}{n^{\gamma}}$$

$$:= \frac{C_f}{n^{\gamma}}$$

Problem 2 - Queen Dido's problem:

(a)

Lemma 1.1. For every function $f \in C_1^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ which satisfies

$$\widehat{f}_0 = \int_0^1 f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = 0 ,$$

one has the equality

$$\int_0^1 |f(t)|^2 dt \le \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 |f'(t)|^2 dt . \tag{10}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^1_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ satisfy

$$\hat{f}_0 = \int_0^1 f(t) \ dt = 0$$

Note that the Fourier differentiation mantra (Theorem 5.3 of [CM]) states:

$$\hat{f}_n = \frac{\hat{f}'_n}{2\pi i n}$$
, for all $|n| \in \mathbb{N}$

Using Plancherel's Identity (Theorem 6.9 of [CM]) for f and f', we see

$$\int_{0}^{1} |f(t)|^{2} dt = ||f||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}_{n}|^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\hat{f}_{-n}|^{2} + \hat{f}_{0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\hat{f}_{n}|$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\hat{f}'_{-n}}{2\pi i n} \right|^{2} + 0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\hat{f}'_{n}}{2\pi i n} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\hat{f}'_{-n}}{n} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \left| \hat{f}'_{0} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \hat{f}'_{n} \right|^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \hat{f}'_{-n} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \left| \hat{f}'_{0} \right|^{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \hat{f}'_{n} \right|^{2} \quad \text{(comparison test)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \hat{f}'_{n} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} ||f'||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} |f'(t)|^{2} dt$$

where the comparison test refers to (Theorem 2.7.4 and Theorem 2.3.4 of Abott)

(b)

Lemma 1.2. for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, one has

$$|\alpha\beta| \le \frac{1}{2} \left(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 \right) . \tag{11}$$

Proof.

$$|\alpha\beta| = |\alpha| \cdot |\beta| = \sqrt{|\alpha|^2 \cdot |\beta|^2}$$

So, the claim of Lemma 1.2 reduces to showing that in general, the geometric mean of two non-negative real numbers is bound by their arithmetic mean:

$$\sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2} \tag{12}$$

Consider the squares:

$$0 \le \left(\frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{a^2}{4} - \frac{ab}{2} + \frac{b^2}{4}$$

$$\iff ab \le \frac{a^2}{4} + \frac{ab}{2} + \frac{b^2}{4} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(a+b)\right)^2$$

showing (11) for all non-negative real numbers.

(c)

Theorem. For all closed, simple C^1 -curves $\gamma = (x, y)$ with fixed perimeter L = 1 and parametrized by component functions which satisfy $x, y \in C^1_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$, one has the inequality

$$A(\gamma) \le \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^1 \left(|x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2 \right) dt = \frac{1}{4\pi} . \tag{13}$$

Proof.

$$A(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 x(t)y'(t) - x'(t)y(t) dt$$
 (14)

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |x(t)y'(t)| + |x'(t)y(t)| \ dt \tag{15}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\sqrt{2\pi}x(t) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} y'(t)| + |\sqrt{2\pi}x'(t) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} y(t)| dt$$
 (16)

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \left(|\sqrt{2\pi}x(t)|^2 + \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} y'(t) \right|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(|\sqrt{2\pi}y(t)| + \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x'(t) \right| \right) dt \tag{17}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2\pi \int_0^1 |x(t)|^2 dt + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 |y'(t)|^2 + |x'(t)|^2 dt + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2\pi \int_0^1 |y(t)|^2 dt$$
 (18)

$$\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 |x'(t)|^2 dt + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 |x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2 dt + \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 |y'(t)|^2 dt \quad (19)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^1 |x'(t)|^2 + |y'(t)|^2 dt \tag{20}$$

$$=\frac{1}{4\pi}\tag{21}$$

(17) follows from Lemma 1.2 and (19) uses Lemma 1.1.

Problem 3 - Minimal Period and the Fourier Coefficients

Proposition 1.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_T(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$. If 0 < T' < T is another period of f, then

$$\hat{f}_n = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for which } n \frac{T'}{T} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$
 (22)

Proof. Let 0 < T' < T be another period of f and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n \frac{T'}{T} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $e^{2\pi i k} = 1$ precisely when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, $e^{2\pi i n \frac{T'}{T}} \neq 1$. We have

$$\hat{f}_n = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(t) \ e^{-2\pi i n \frac{t}{T}} \ dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \int_{T'}^{T+T'} \underbrace{f(s-T')}_{=f(s)} \ e^{-2\pi i n \frac{s-T'}{T}} \ ds \quad \text{(change variables } t = s - T'\text{)}$$

$$= e^{2\pi i n \frac{T'}{T}} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(s) \ e^{-2\pi i n \frac{s}{T}} \ ds$$

$$= e^{2\pi i n \frac{T'}{T}} \hat{f}_n$$

Thus, we have

$$\hat{f}_n(e^{2\pi i n \frac{T'}{T}} - 1) = 0$$

Since $e^{2\pi i n \frac{T'}{T}} \neq 1$, $\hat{f}_n = 0$.

Proposition 1.4. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{C}_T(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})$. If (22) holds for some positive T' < T, then T' is another period of f.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_T(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$. Suppose

$$\hat{f}_n = 0$$
, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for which $n \frac{T'}{T} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

for some T' > 0. Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$g(t) = f(t + T')$$
, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

Note that $g \in \mathcal{C}_T(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$. Compute

$$\hat{g}_{n} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} g(t) e^{-2\pi i n \frac{t}{T}} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} f(t + T') e^{-2\pi i n \frac{t}{T}} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \int_{T'}^{T+T'} f(s) e^{-2\pi i n \frac{s-T'}{T}} ds \quad \text{(change variables } s = t + T'\text{)}$$

$$= e^{2\pi i n \frac{T'}{T}} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} f(s) d^{-2\pi i n \frac{s}{T}} ds$$

$$= e^{2\pi i n \frac{T'}{T}} \hat{f}_{n}$$

Consider two cases for n. If $n_{\overline{T}}^{\underline{T}'} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $e^{2\pi i n_{\overline{T}}^{\underline{T}'}} = 1$, so

$$\hat{g}_n = \hat{f}_n$$

Otherwise the hypothesis that f satisfies (22) gives,

$$g_n = e^{2\pi i n \frac{T'}{T}} \hat{f}_n = e^{2\pi i n \frac{T'}{T}} \cdot 0 = 0 = \hat{f}_n$$

Thus,

$$\hat{f}_n = \hat{g}_n$$
, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

and $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_T(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, so the uniqueness property (Theorem 3.5 of [CM]) gives f = g. In particular,

$$f(t) = g(t) = f(t + T')$$
, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

showing that f is T'-periodic.

Problem 4 - Rotationally Invariant Functions

(a)

Proposition 1.5. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be a fixed irrational number. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ satisfies

$$f(x+\alpha) = f(x)$$
, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, (23)

then f is a constant function.

Proof. Fix an irrational $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ be α -rotationally invariant.

Let $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $g(t) := f(t + \alpha)$. By (23), we have

$$\hat{f}_n = \hat{g}_n$$
, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\hat{f}_n = \hat{g}_n$$

$$= \int_0^1 f(t+\alpha)e^{-2\pi int} dt$$

$$= \int_\alpha^{1+\alpha} f(s)e^{-2\pi in(s-\alpha)} ds \quad \text{(change variables } s = t+\alpha\text{)}$$

$$= e^{2\pi in\alpha} \int_0^1 f(s)e^{-2\pi ins} ds$$

$$= e^{2\pi in\alpha} \hat{f}_n$$

So,

$$\hat{f}_n(e^{2\pi i n\alpha} - 1) = 0$$
, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (24)

The irrationality of α implies that $n\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ if $n \neq 0$. Note that $e^{2\pi ik} = 1$ precisely when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $e^{2\pi in\alpha} \neq 0$ for all $n \neq 0$. Then, (24) implies that $\hat{f}_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \neq 0$. We now use the uniqueness property to show f is constant. Let $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $h(t) = c \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Compute

$$\hat{h}_0 = \int_0^1 c \, dt = c$$

$$\hat{h}_n = \int_0^1 c e^{-2\pi i n t} \, dt = c \delta_{-n,0} = 0, \text{ for all } n \neq 0$$

By choosing $c = \hat{f}_0$, we see that

$$\hat{f}_n = \hat{h}_n$$
, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Since $f, h \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}), f = h$ is constant (Theorem 3.5 of [CM]).

(b)

Proposition 1.6. For each rational $\alpha \neq 0$, there exists a continuous function $f \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ which satisfies 23 but is not constant.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \neq 0$. Define f to be

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} t - \frac{n}{q} & t \in \left[\frac{n}{q}, \frac{n}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}\right), \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{n+1}{q} - t & t \in \left[\frac{n}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}, \frac{n+1}{q}\right), \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, if $t \in \left[\frac{n}{q}, \frac{n}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}\right)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$t + \alpha = t + \frac{p}{q} \in \left[\frac{n+p}{q}, \frac{n+p}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}\right)$$

so,

$$f(t + \alpha) = t + \frac{p}{q} - \frac{n+p}{q}$$
$$= t - \frac{n}{q}$$
$$= f(t)$$

Otherwise $t \in \left[\frac{n}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}, \frac{n+1}{q}\right]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$t + \alpha = t + \frac{p}{q} \in \left[\frac{n+p}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}, \frac{n+p+1}{q}\right)$$

so,

$$f(t+\alpha) = \frac{n+p+1}{q} - t - \frac{p}{q}$$
$$= \frac{n+1}{q} - t$$
$$= f(t)$$

This shows that f is α -rotationally invariant.

For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, if $t \in \left[\frac{n}{q}, \frac{n}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}\right)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$t+1 = t + \frac{q}{q} \in \left[\frac{n+q}{q}, \frac{n+q}{q} + \frac{1}{2q} \right)$$

so,

$$f(t+1) = t + \frac{q}{q} - \frac{n+q}{q}$$
$$= t - \frac{n}{q}$$
$$= f(t)$$

Otherwise $t \in \left[\frac{n}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}, \frac{n+1}{q}\right)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$t+1 = t + \frac{q}{q} \in \left[\frac{n+q}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}, \frac{n+q+1}{q} \right)$$

so,

$$f(t+1) = \frac{n+q+1}{q} - t - \frac{q}{q}$$
$$= \frac{n+1}{q} - t$$
$$= f(t)$$

This shows that f is 1-periodic.

To verify that f is continuous, we consider the endpoints of each of its pieces.

At $t = \frac{n}{q}$: The left limit of f at t:

$$f(t-) = t - \frac{n}{q} = 0$$

The right limit and value of f at t:

$$f(t+) = \frac{n-1+1}{q} - t = \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{q} = 0$$

At $t = \frac{n}{q} + \frac{1}{2q}$: The left limit of f at t:

$$f(t-) = t - \frac{n}{q} = \frac{n}{q} + \frac{1}{2q} - \frac{n}{q} = \frac{1}{2q}$$

The right limit and value of f at t:

$$f(t+) = \frac{n+1}{q} - \frac{n}{q} - \frac{1}{2q} = \frac{1}{2q}$$

Therefore, f is continuous. Since f attains values 0 and $\frac{1}{2q}$, it is not constant.