

## 1. WITT VECTORS

Recall the following result.

**Lemma 1.0.1.** Let  $\Phi(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ . There exists a unique sequence  $(\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of polynomials

$$\Phi_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_0, \dots, Y_n]$$

such that

$$\Phi(W_n(\underline{X}), W_n(\underline{Y})) = W_n(\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_n).$$

**Definition 1.0.2.** The polynomials

$$S_n, P_n \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, \dots, X_n, Y_0, \dots, Y_n]$$

are obtained by applying the previous lemma with  $\Phi = X + Y$  and  $\Phi = XY$ . In other words, they are defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(\underline{X}) + W_n(\underline{Y}) &= W_n(S_0, \dots, S_n) \\ W_n(\underline{X}) \cdot W_n(\underline{Y}) &= W_n(P_0, \dots, P_n) \end{aligned}$$

We have a similar definition for scalar multiplication. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . The polynomials

$$M(\lambda)_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X_0, \dots, X_n]$$

are obtained with  $\Phi(X) = \lambda X$ , i.e. inductively defined by

$$\lambda W_n(\underline{X}) = W_n(M(\lambda)_0, \dots, M(\lambda)_n).$$

**Example 1.0.3.** Explicit computations.

- (1)  $S_0 = X_0 + Y_0$ ,  $P_0 = X_0 Y_0$ , and  $M(\lambda)_0 = \lambda X_0$ .
- (2)  $S_1$  is determined via

$$(X_0^p + pX_1) + (Y_0^p + pY_1) = S_0^p + pS_1 = (X_0 + Y_0)^p + pS_1.$$

Hence

$$S_1 = X_1 + Y_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} X_0^i Y_0^{p-i}.$$

- (3)  $P_1$  is determined via

$$(X_0^p + pX_1)(Y_0^p + pY_1) = P_0^p + pP_1 = (X_0 Y_0)^p + pP_1.$$

Hence

$$P_1 = pX_1 Y_1 + X_1 Y_0^p + Y_1 X_0^p.$$

## 2. PRISMS

All the rings are  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebras. The Jacobson radical of a ring  $R$  is denoted by  $\text{grad}(A)$ .

**Definition 2.0.1.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal. The localization of  $A$  along  $V(I)$  is  $S^{-1}A$  where

$$S = A \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)} \mathfrak{p} = \overline{1 + I}.$$

Here, the last equality requires the axiom of choice, where  $\overline{1 + I}$  denotes the saturation of the multiplicative set  $1 + I$ , i.e.  $x \in \overline{1 + I}$  if and only if there exists  $y \in A$  such that  $xy \in 1 + I$ .

**Lemma 2.0.2.** Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal.

- (1)  $IS^{-1}A$  is contained in  $\text{grad}(S^{-1}A)$ .

*Proof.* Proof of (1). We need to prove that every maximal ideal of  $S^{-1}A$  contains  $IS^{-1}A$ . A prime ideal of  $S^{-1}A$  corresponds to a prime ideal of  $A$  not intersecting with  $S$ , i.e. a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  contained in  $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)} \mathfrak{p}$ . For such a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{p} + I \subset \bigcup_{I \subset \mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{q}.$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{p} + I$  is a proper ideal, and thus is contained in some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . □

**Lemma 2.0.3.** Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a flat ring map. The following are equivalent.

- (1)  $A \rightarrow B$  is faithfully flat.
- (2)  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is surjective.
- (3) The image of  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  contains every closed point.

**Lemma 2.0.4.** Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a flat ring map. Then it satisfies going down. In other words, if  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}' \subset R$  and  $\mathfrak{q}' \subset S$  are primes with  $\mathfrak{q}'$  mapping to  $\mathfrak{p}'$ , then there exists a prime  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{q}'$  mapping to  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Lemma 2.0.5.** Let  $A$  be a  $\delta$ -ring. Let  $d \in A$ . Suppose  $(d, p) \subset \text{jrad}(A)$ . Then  $d$  is distinguished if and only if  $p \in (d, \phi(d))$ .

**Lemma 2.0.6.** Let  $A$  be a ring. Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal. Let  $A'$  be the localization of  $A$  along  $V(I)$ . Then the image of  $\text{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  contains  $V(I)$ .

**Lemma 2.0.7.** Let  $A$  be a  $\delta$ -ring. Let  $I \subset A$  be a locally principal ideal. Assume that  $(p, I) \subset \text{jrad}(A)$ . The following are equivalent.

- (1)  $p \in I^p + \phi(I)A$ .
- (2)  $p \in I + \phi(I)A$ .
- (3) There exists a faithfully flat map  $A \rightarrow A'$  of  $\delta$ -rings that is an ind Zariski localization such that  $IA'$  is generated by a distinguished element  $d$  and  $d, p \in \text{jrad}(A')$ .

*Proof.* Proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). We have  $I^p \subset I$ .

Proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in A$  be elements generating the unit ideal of  $A$  such that every  $IA[1/g_i]$  is principal. Define

$$A' = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i,$$

where  $A_i$  is the Zariski localization of  $A[1/g_i]$  along  $V(p, I)$ . So

$$(p, I)A_i \subset \text{jrad}(A_i).$$

Then

$$(p, I)A' \subset \text{jrad}(A').$$

The map  $A \rightarrow A'$  is flat because

- (1) The localizations  $A \rightarrow A[1/g_i] \rightarrow A_i$  are flat.
- (2) Product of flat  $A$ -algebras is flat.

Next we show that  $A \rightarrow A'$  is faithfully flat. It suffices to prove that the map  $\text{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is surjective, which follows from

- (1) The image of  $\text{Spec}(A') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is stable under generalization (i.e. going down) by flatness.
- (2) The image contains  $V(p, I) \subset \text{Spec}(A)$ , as

$$\text{Spec}(A') \simeq \sqcup_{i=1}^n \text{Spec}(A_i) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A),$$

and the image of  $\text{Spec}(A_i) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  contains  $V(p, I) \cap \text{Spec}(A[1/g_i])$ .

- (3)  $(p, I) \subset \text{jrad}(A)$ , i.e.  $V(p, I)$  contains all the closed points of  $\text{Spec}(A)$ .

By construction, we have  $IA' = (d)$  for some  $d \in A'$ . It remains to prove

- (1)  $A'$  admits a unique  $\delta$ - $A$ -algebra structure.
- (2) The element  $d$  is distinguished.

For the second assertion, we need to show

$$p \in (d, \phi(d)),$$

which follows from  $p \in I + \phi(I)A$  and that the associated Frobenius  $\phi : A \rightarrow A$  is functorial in  $A$ . For the first assertion, we use the general result on localizations of  $\delta$ -rings.

Proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). The goal is to check  $p = 0$  in the quotient

$$A/(I^p + \phi(I)A).$$

As  $A \rightarrow A'$  is faithfully flat, the base change

$$A/(I^p + \phi(I)A) \rightarrow A'/(d^p, \phi(d))$$

is also faithfully flat, and thus injective. So it suffices to check  $p = 0$  in  $A'/(d^p, \phi(d))$ . Recall that  $\phi(d) = d^p + p\delta(d)$ , and that  $d$  is distinguished means  $\phi(d)$  is a unit. Hence the result is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.0.8.** Let  $A$  be a  $\delta$ -ring. Let  $S \subset A$  be a multiplicative subset with  $\phi(S) \subset S$ . Then the localization  $S^{-1}A$  admits a unique  $\delta$ -structure compatible with  $A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$ .

**Lemma 2.0.9.** Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a faithfully flat ring map. Then it is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $r \in \ker(A \rightarrow B)$ . The sequence

$$rA \rightarrow A \rightarrow A$$

is exact since

$$0 = rB \rightarrow B \rightarrow B$$

is exact. Hence  $rA = 0$ , and thus  $r = 0$ . □

**Definition 2.0.10.** A prism is a pair  $(A, I)$  where  $A$  is a  $\delta$ -ring and  $I \subset A$  is an ideal defining a Cartier divisor on  $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$  (i.e.  $I$  is an invertible  $A$ -module) such that  $A$  is derived  $(p, I)$ -complete, and  $p \in I + \phi(I)A$ .

**Remark 2.0.11.** The ring  $A$  is derived  $(p, I)$ -complete implies that  $(p, I) \subset \mathrm{jrad}(A)$ , and hence also  $\phi(I) \subset \mathrm{jrad}(A)$ .