

CHAPTER 10

10.1 Matrix multiplication is distributive

$$[L]\{[U]\{X\} - \{D\}\} = [A]\{X\} - \{B\}$$

$$[L][U]\{X\} - [L]\{D\} = [A]\{X\} - \{B\}$$

Therefore, equating like terms,

$$[L][U]\{X\} = [A]\{X\}$$

$$[L]\{D\} = \{B\}$$

$$[L][U] = [A]$$

10.2 (a) The coefficient a_{21} is eliminated by multiplying row 1 by $f_{21} = 2/7$ and subtracting the result from row 2. a_{31} is eliminated by multiplying row 1 by $f_{31} = 1/7$ and subtracting the result from row 3. The factors f_{21} and f_{31} can be stored in a_{21} and a_{31} .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & -3 \\ 0.285714 & 4.428571 & -2.14286 \\ 0.142857 & -1.28571 & -5.57143 \end{bmatrix}$$

a_{32} is eliminated by multiplying row 2 by $f_{32} = -1.28571/4.428571 = -0.29032$ and subtracting the result from row 3. The factor f_{32} can be stored in a_{32} .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & -3 \\ 0.285714 & 4.428571 & -2.14286 \\ 0.142857 & -0.29032 & -6.19355 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the LU decomposition is

$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.285714 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.142857 & -0.29032 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad [U] = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 4.428571 & -2.14286 \\ 0 & 0 & -6.19355 \end{bmatrix}$$

These two matrices can be multiplied to yield the original system. For example, using MATLAB to perform the multiplication gives

```
>> L=[1 0 0;0.285714 1 0;0.142857 -0.29032 1];
>> U=[7 2 -3;0 4.428571 -2.14286;0 0 -6.19355];
>> L*U
ans =
    7.0000    2.0000   -3.0000
    2.0000    5.0000   -3.0000
    1.0000   -1.0000   -6.0000
```

(b) Forward substitution: $[L]\{D\} = \{B\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.285714 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.142857 & -0.29032 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -12 \\ -20 \\ -26 \end{Bmatrix}$$

Solving yields $d_1 = -12$, $d_2 = -16.5714$, and $d_3 = -29.0968$.

Back substitution:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 4.428571 & -2.14286 \\ 0 & 0 & -6.19355 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -12 \\ -16.5714 \\ -29.0968 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$x_3 = \frac{-29.0968}{-6.19355} = 4.697917$$

$$x_2 = \frac{-16.5714 - (-2.14286)(4.697917)}{4.428571} = -1.46875$$

$$x_1 = \frac{-12 - (-3)(4.697917) - 2(-1.46875)}{7} = 0.71875$$

(c) Forward substitution: $[L]\{D\} = \{B\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.285714 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.142857 & -0.29032 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 12 \\ 18 \\ -6 \end{Bmatrix}$$

Solving yields $d_1 = 12$, $d_2 = 14.57143$, and $d_3 = -3.48387$.

Back substitution:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 4.428571 & -2.14286 \\ 0 & 0 & -6.19355 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 12 \\ 14.57143 \\ -3.48387 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$x_3 = \frac{-3.48387}{-6.19355} = 0.5625$$

$$x_2 = \frac{14.57143 - (-2.14286)(0.5625)}{4.428571} = 3.5625$$

$$x_1 = \frac{12 - (-3)(0.5625) - 2(3.5625)}{7} = 0.9375$$

10.3 (a) The coefficient a_{21} is eliminated by multiplying row 1 by $f_{21} = 4$ and subtracting the result from row 2. a_{31} is eliminated by multiplying row 1 by $f_{31} = 12$ and subtracting the result from row 3. The factors f_{21} and f_{31} can be stored in a_{21} and a_{31} .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & -4 \\ 4 & -32 & 25 \\ 12 & -85 & 51 \end{bmatrix}$$

a_{32} is eliminated by multiplying row 2 by $f_{32} = -85/32 = 2.65625$ and subtracting the result from row 3. The factor f_{32} can be stored in a_{32} .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & -4 \\ 4 & -32 & 25 \\ 12 & 2.65625 & -15.40625 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the LU decomposition is

$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 2.65625 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad [U] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & -4 \\ 0 & -32 & 25 \\ 0 & 0 & -15.40625 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward substitution: $[L]\{D\} = \{B\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 2.65625 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -51 \\ 62 \\ 8 \end{Bmatrix}$$

Solving yields $d_1 = 11$, $d_2 = -40$, and $d_3 = -18.75$.

Back substitution:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & -4 \\ 0 & -32 & 25 \\ 0 & 0 & -15.40625 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 11 \\ -40 \\ -18.75 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$x_3 = \frac{-18.75}{-15.40625} = 1.217039$$

$$x_2 = \frac{-40 - 25(1.217039)}{-32} = 2.200811$$

$$x_1 = \frac{11 + 4(1.217039) - 7(2.200811)}{1} = 0.462475$$

(b) The first column of the inverse can be computed by using $[L]\{D\} = \{B\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 2.65625 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

This can be solved for $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 = -4$, and $d_3 = -1.375$. Then, we can implement back substitution

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & -4 \\ 0 & -32 & 25 \\ 0 & 0 & -15.40625 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 \\ -4 \\ -1.375 \end{Bmatrix}$$

to yield the first column of the inverse

$$\{X\} = \begin{Bmatrix} -0.006085 \\ 0.194726 \\ 0.089249 \end{Bmatrix}$$

For the second column use $\{B\}^T = \{0 \ 1 \ 0\}$ which gives $\{D\}^T = \{0 \ 1 \ -2.6525\}$. Back substitution then gives $\{X\}^T = \{-0.034483 \ 0.103448 \ 0.172414\}$.

For the third column use $\{B\}^T = \{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ which gives $\{D\}^T = \{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$. Back substitution then gives $\{X\}^T = \{0.095335 \ -0.05071 \ -0.06491\}$.

Therefore, the matrix inverse is

$$[A]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.006085 & -0.034483 & 0.095335 \\ 0.194726 & 0.103448 & -0.050710 \\ 0.089249 & 0.172414 & -0.064909 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can verify that this is correct by multiplying $[A][A]^{-1}$ to yield the identity matrix. For example, using MATLAB,

```
>> A=[1 7 -4;4 -4 9;12 -1 3];
>> AI=inv(A)
AI =
    -0.0061    -0.0345     0.0953
     0.1947     0.1034    -0.0507
     0.0892     0.1724    -0.0649
>> A*AI
ans =
     1.0000         0         0
    -0.0000     1.0000     0.0000
         0         0     1.0000
```

10.4 As the system is set up, we must first pivot by switching the first and third rows of $[A]$. Note that we must make the same switch for the right-hand-side vector $\{B\}$

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 1 & -2 \\ -3 & -1 & 7 \\ 2 & -6 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \{B\} = \begin{Bmatrix} -20 \\ -34 \\ -38 \end{Bmatrix}$$

The coefficient a_{21} is eliminated by multiplying row 1 by $f_{21} = -3/-8 = 0.375$ and subtracting the result from row 2. a_{31} is eliminated by multiplying row 1 by $f_{31} = 2/(-8) = -0.25$ and subtracting the result from row 3. The factors f_{21} and f_{31} can be stored in a_{21} and a_{31} .

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 1 & -2 \\ 0.375 & -1.375 & 7.75 \\ -0.25 & -5.75 & -1.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next, we pivot by switching rows 2 and 3. Again, we must also make the same switch for the right-hand-side vector $\{B\}$

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 1 & -2 \\ -0.25 & -5.75 & -1.5 \\ 0.375 & -1.375 & 7.75 \end{bmatrix} \quad \{B\} = \begin{Bmatrix} -20 \\ -38 \\ -34 \end{Bmatrix}$$

a_{32} is eliminated by multiplying row 2 by $f_{32} = -1.375/(-5.75) = 0.23913$ and subtracting the result from row 3. The factor f_{32} can be stored in a_{32} .

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 1 & -2 \\ -0.25 & -5.75 & -1.5 \\ 0.375 & 0.23913 & 8.108696 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the LU decomposition is

$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.25 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.375 & 0.23913 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad [U] = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & -5.75 & -1.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 8.108696 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward substitution: $[L]\{D\} = \{B\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.25 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.375 & 0.23913 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -20 \\ -38 \\ -34 \end{Bmatrix}$$

Solving yields $d_1 = -20$, $d_2 = -43$, and $d_3 = -16.2174$.

Back substitution:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -8 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & -5.75 & -1.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 8.108696 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -20 \\ -43 \\ -16.2174 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$x_3 = \frac{-16.2174}{8.108696} = -2$$

$$x_2 = \frac{-43 + 1.5(-2)}{-5.75} = 8$$

$$x_1 = \frac{-20 + 2(-2) - 8}{-8} = 4$$

10.5 The flop counts for LU decomposition can be determined in a similar fashion as was done for Gauss elimination. The major difference is that the elimination is only implemented for the left-hand side coefficients. Thus, for every iteration of the inner loop, there are n multiplications/divisions and $n - 1$ addition/subtractions. The computations can be summarized as

Outer Loop k	Inner Loop i	Addition/Subtraction flops	Multiplication/Division flops
1	$2, n$	$(n-1)(n-1)$	$(n-1)n$
2	$3, n$	$(n-2)(n-2)$	$(n-2)(n-1)$
\vdots	\vdots		
\vdots	\vdots		
\vdots	\vdots		
k	$k+1, n$	$(n-k)(n-k)$	$(n-k)(n+1-k)$
\vdots	\vdots		
\vdots	\vdots		
\vdots	\vdots		
$n-1$	n, n	$(1)(1)$	$(1)(2)$

Therefore, the total addition/subtraction flops for elimination can be computed as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)(n-k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} [n^2 - 2nk + k^2]$$

Applying some of the relationships from Eq. (8.14) yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} [n^2 - 2nk + k^2] = \frac{n^3}{3} - \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{6}$$

A similar analysis for the multiplication/division flops yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)(n+1-k) = \frac{n^3}{3} - \frac{n}{3}$$

Summing these results gives

$$\frac{2n^3}{3} - \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{6}$$

For forward substitution, the numbers of multiplications and subtractions are the same and equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = \frac{(n-1)n}{2} = \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$$

Back substitution is the same as for Gauss elimination: $n^2/2 - n/2$ subtractions and $n^2/2 + n/2$ multiplications/divisions. The entire number of flops can be summarized as

	Mult/Div	Add/Subtr	Total
Forward elimination	$\frac{n^3}{3} - \frac{n}{3}$	$\frac{n^3}{3} - \frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{6}$	$\frac{2n^3}{3} - \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{6}$
Forward substitution	$\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$	$\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$	$n^2 - n$
Back substitution	$\frac{n^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$	$\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$	n^2
Total	$\frac{n^3}{3} + n^2 - \frac{n}{3}$	$\frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{5n}{6}$	$\frac{2n^3}{3} + \frac{3n^2}{2} - \frac{7n}{6}$

Thus, the total number of flops is identical to that obtained with standard Gauss elimination.

10.6 First, we compute the LU decomposition. The coefficient a_{21} is eliminated by multiplying row 1 by $f_{21} = -3/10 = -0.3$ and subtracting the result from row 2. a_{31} is eliminated by multiplying row 1 by $f_{31} = 1/10 = 0.1$ and subtracting the result from row 3. The factors f_{21} and f_{31} can be stored in a_{21} and a_{31} .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 2 & -1 \\ -0.3 & -5.4 & 1.7 \\ 0.1 & 0.8 & 5.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

a_{32} is eliminated by multiplying row 2 by $f_{32} = 0.8/(-5.4) = -0.148148$ and subtracting the result from row 3. The factor f_{32} can be stored in a_{32} .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 2 & -1 \\ -0.3 & -5.4 & 1.7 \\ 0.1 & -0.148148 & 5.351852 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the LU decomposition is

$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.1 & -0.148148 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad [U] = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -5.4 & 1.7 \\ 0 & 0 & 5.351852 \end{bmatrix}$$

The first column of the inverse can be computed by using $[L]\{D\} = \{B\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.1 & -0.148148 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

This can be solved for $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 = 0.3$, and $d_3 = -0.055556$. Then, we can implement back substitution

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -5.4 & 1.7 \\ 0 & 0 & 5.351852 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 \\ 0.3 \\ -0.055556 \end{Bmatrix}$$

to yield the first column of the inverse

$$\{X\} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0.110727 \\ -0.058824 \\ -0.0103806 \end{Bmatrix}$$

For the second column use $\{B\}^T = \{0 \ 1 \ 0\}$ which gives $\{D\}^T = \{0 \ 1 \ 0.148148\}$. Back substitution then gives $\{X\}^T = \{0.038062 \ -0.176471 \ 0.027682\}$.

For the third column use $\{B\}^T = \{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$ which gives $\{D\}^T = \{0 \ 0 \ 1\}$. Back substitution then gives $\{X\}^T = \{0.00692 \ 0.058824 \ 0.186851\}$.

Therefore, the matrix inverse is

$$[A]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.110727 & 0.038062 & 0.006920 \\ -0.058824 & -0.176471 & 0.058824 \\ -0.010381 & 0.027682 & 0.186851 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can verify that this is correct by multiplying $[A][A]^{-1}$ to yield the identity matrix. For example, using MATLAB,

```
>> A=[10 2 -1;-3 -6 2;1 1 5];
>> AI=[0.110727 0.038062 0.006920;
-0.058824 -0.176471 0.058824;
-0.010381 0.027682 0.186851];
>> A*AI
ans =
    1.0000    -0.0000    -0.0000
    0.0000     1.0000    -0.0000
   -0.0000     0.0000     1.0000
```

10.7 Equation 10.17 yields

$$l_{11} = 2 \quad l_{21} = -1 \quad l_{31} = 3$$

Equation 10.18 gives

$$u_{12} = \frac{a_{12}}{l_{11}} = -2.5 \quad u_{13} = \frac{a_{13}}{l_{11}} = 0.5$$

Equation 10.19 gives

$$l_{22} = a_{22} - l_{21}u_{12} = 0.5 \quad l_{32} = a_{32} - l_{31}u_{12} = 3.5$$

Equation 10.20 gives

$$u_{23} = \frac{a_{23} - l_{21}u_{13}}{l_{22}} = -1$$

Equation 10.21 gives

$$l_{33} = a_{33} - l_{31}u_{13} - l_{32}u_{23} = 4$$

Therefore, the LU decomposition is

$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 3 & 3.5 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad [U] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

These two matrices can be multiplied to yield the original system. For example, using MATLAB to perform the multiplication gives

```
>> L=[2 0 0;-1 0.5 0;3 3.5 4];
>> U=[1 -2.5 0.5;0 1 -1;0 0 1];
>> L*U
ans =
     2     -5     1
    -1      3    -1
     3     -4      2
```

10.8 (a) Using MATLAB, the matrix inverse can be computed as

```
>> A=[15 -3 -1;-3 18 -6;-4 -1 12];
>> AI=inv(A)
AI =
    0.0725    0.0128    0.0124
    0.0207    0.0608    0.0321
    0.0259    0.0093    0.0902
```

(b)

```
>> b=[3300;1200;2400];
>> c=AI*b
c =
   284.5596
   218.4456
   313.0570
```

$$(c) \Delta W_3 = \frac{\Delta c_1}{a_{13}^{-1}} = \frac{10}{0.012435} = 804.1667$$

$$(d) \Delta c_3 = a_{31}^{-1}\Delta W_1 + a_{32}^{-1}\Delta W_2 = 0.025907(-700) + 0.009326(-350) = -21.399$$

10.9 MATLAB can be used to generate the LU decomposition


```
>> A=[3 -2 1;2 6 -4;-1 -2 5];
>> b=[-10 44 -26]';
>> [L,U]=lu(A)
```

```
L =
    1.0000         0         0
    0.6667    1.0000         0
   -0.3333   -0.3636    1.0000
U =
    3.0000   -2.0000    1.0000
         0    7.3333   -4.6667
         0         0    3.6364
```

Therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ 0.6667 & 1 & \\ -0.3333 & -0.3636 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & 1 \\ 7.3333 & -4.6667 & 3.6364 \end{bmatrix}$$

The forward substitution can be implemented as

```
>> d=L\b
d =
   -10.0000
    50.6667
   -10.9091
```

Back substitution yields the final solution

```
>> x=U\d
x =
    1.0000
    5.0000
   -3.0000
```

We can verify this result using left division

```
>> x=A\b
x =
    1.0000
    5.0000
   -3.0000
```

Thus, $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 5$, and $x_3 = -3$

10.10 (a) Multiply first row by $f_{21} = 3/8 = 0.375$ and subtract the result from the second row to give

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 6.25 & 1.625 \\ 2 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiply first row by $f_{31} = 2/8 = 0.25$ and subtract the result from the third row to give

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 6.25 & 1.625 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 8.75 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiply second row by $f_{32} = 2.5/6.25 = 0.4$ and subtract the result from the third row to give

$$[U] = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 6.25 & 1.625 \\ 0 & 0 & 8.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

As indicated, this is the U matrix. The L matrix is simply constructed from the f 's as

$$[L] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.375 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0.4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Merely multiply $[L][U]$ to yield the original matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.375 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0.4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 6.25 & 1.625 \\ 0 & 0 & 8.1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 7 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) The determinant is equal to the product of the diagonal elements of $[U]$:

$$D = 8 \times 6.25 \times 8.1 = 405$$

(c) Solution with MATLAB:

```
>> A=[8 2 1;3 7 2;2 3 9];
>> [L,U]=lu(A)
L =
    1.0000         0         0
    0.3750    1.0000         0
    0.2500    0.4000    1.0000
U =
    8.0000    2.0000    1.0000
         0    6.2500    1.6250
         0         0    8.1000
>> L*U
ans =
     8     2     1
     3     7     2
     2     3     9
>> det(A)
ans =
    405
```

10.11 (a) The determinant is equal to the product of the diagonal elements of $[U]$:

$$D = 3 \times 7.3333 \times 3.6364 = 80$$

(b) Forward substitution:

```
>> L=[1 0 0;0.6667 1 0;-0.3333 -0.3636 1];
```

PROPRIETARY MATERIAL. © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this Manual may be displayed, reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, without the prior written permission of the publisher, or used beyond the limited distribution to teachers and educators permitted by McGraw-Hill for their individual course preparation. If you are a student using this Manual, you are using it without permission.

```
>> U=[3 -2 1;0 -7.3333 -4.6667;0 0 3.6364];
>> b=[-10 44 -26]';
>> d=L\b
d =
-10.0000
 50.6670
-10.9105
```

Back substitution:

```
>> x=U\d
x =
-5.6664
-4.9998
-3.0004
```

10.12 First we can scale the matrix to yield

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8 & -0.2 & 1 \\ 1 & -0.11111 & -0.33333 \\ 1 & -0.06667 & 0.4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Frobenius norm:

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{3.967901} = 1.991959$$

In order to compute the column-sum and row-sum norms, we can determine the sums of the absolute values of each of the columns and rows:

			row sums
			↓
-0.8	-0.2	1	2
1	-0.11111	-0.33333	1.44444
1	-0.06667	0.4	1.46667
2.8	0.37778	1.73333	←column sums

Therefore, $\|A\|_1 = 2.8$ and $\|A\|_\infty = 2$.

10.13 For the system from Prob. 10.3, we can scale the matrix to yield

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} 0.142857 & 1 & -0.57143 \\ 0.44444 & -0.44444 & 1 \\ 1 & -0.08333 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}$$

Frobenius norm:

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{3.811445} = 1.952292$$

In order to compute the row-sum norm, we can determine the sum of the absolute values of each of the rows:

	row sums
	↓

0.142857	1	-0.57143	1.71429
0.44444	-0.44444	1	1.88889
1	-0.083333	0.25	1.33333

Therefore, $\|A\|_{\infty} = 1.88889$

For the system from Prob. 10.4, we can scale the matrix to yield

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3333 & 1 & 0.16667 \\ -0.42857 & -0.14286 & 1 \\ 1 & -0.125 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}$$

Frobenius norm:

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{3.421096} = 1.84962$$

In order to compute the row-sum norm, we can determine the sum of the absolute values of each of the rows:

			row sums
			↓
-0.33333	1	0.166667	1.5
-0.42857	-0.14286	1	1.571429
1	-0.125	0.25	1.375

Therefore, $\|A\|_{\infty} = 1.571429$.

10.14 In order to compute the column-sum norm, we can determine the sum of the absolute values of each of the columns. Therefore, $\|A\|_1 = 4$. The matrix inverse can then be computed. For example, using MATLAB,

```
>> A=[0.125 0.25 0.5 1;
0.015625 0.625 0.25 1;
0.00463 0.02777 0.16667 1;
0.001953 0.015625 0.125 1]
>> AI=inv(A)
```

```
AI =
10.2329    -2.2339   -85.3872    77.3883
-0.1008     1.7674    -4.3949     2.7283
-0.6280    -0.3716    30.7645   -29.7649
0.0601     0.0232    -3.6101     4.5268
```

The row-sum norm can then be computed by determining the sum of the absolute values of each of the rows. The result is $\|A^{-1}\|_1 = 124.1568$. Therefore, the condition number can be computed as

$$\text{Cond}[A] = 4(124.1568) = 496.6271$$

This corresponds to $\log_{10}(496.6271) = 2.696$ suspect digits.

10.15 (a) In order to compute the row-sum norm, we can determine the sum of the absolute values of each of the rows:

					row sums
					↓
1	4	9	16	25	55
4	9	16	25	36	90
9	16	25	36	49	135
16	25	36	49	64	190
25	36	49	64	81	255

Therefore, $\|A\|_{\infty} = 255$. The matrix inverse can then be computed. For example, using MATLAB,

```
>> A=[1 4 9 16 25;
4 9 16 25 36;
9 16 25 36 49;
16 25 36 49 64;
25 36 49 64 81];
>> AI=inv(A)
Warning: Matrix is close to singular or badly scaled.
Results may be inaccurate. RCOND = 9.944077e-019.
AI =
1.0e+015 *
-0.2800    0.6573   -0.2919   -0.2681    0.1827
 0.5211   -1.3275    0.8562    0.1859   -0.2357
 0.1168    0.0389   -0.8173    1.0508   -0.3892
-0.6767    1.2756    0.2335   -1.5870    0.7546
 0.3189   -0.6443    0.0195    0.6184   -0.3124
```

Notice that MATLAB alerts us that the matrix is ill-conditioned. This is also strongly suggested by the fact that the elements are so large.

The row-sum norm can then be computed by determining the sum of the absolute values of each of the rows. The result is $\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} = 4.5274 \times 10^{15}$. Therefore, the condition number can be computed as

$$\text{Cond}[A] = 255(4.5274 \times 10^{15}) = 1.1545 \times 10^{18}$$

This corresponds to $\log_{10}(1.1545 \times 10^{18}) = 18.06$ suspect digits. Thus, the suspect digits are more than the number of significant digits for the double precision representation used in MATLAB (15-16 digits). Consequently, we can conclude that this matrix is highly ill-conditioned.

It should be noted that if you used Excel for this computation you would have arrived at a slightly different result of $\text{Cond}[A] = 1.263 \times 10^{18}$.

(b) First, the matrix is scaled. For example, using MATLAB,

```
>> A=[1/25 4/25 9/25 16/25 25/25;
4/36 9/36 16/36 25/26 36/36;
9/49 16/49 25/49 36/49 49/49;
16/64 25/64 36/64 49/64 64/64;
25/81 36/81 49/81 64/81 81/81]
A =
0.0400    0.1600    0.3600    0.6400    1.0000
0.1111    0.2500    0.4444    0.9615    1.0000
0.1837    0.3265    0.5102    0.7347    1.0000
0.2500    0.3906    0.5625    0.7656    1.0000
0.3086    0.4444    0.6049    0.7901    1.0000
```

The row-sum norm can be computed as 3.1481. Next, we can invert the matrix,

```
>> AI=inv(A)
Warning: Matrix is close to singular or badly scaled.
Results may be inaccurate. RCOND = 2.230462e-018.
```

```
AI =
1.0e+016 *
-0.0730         0         0.8581    -1.4945     0.7093
 0.1946    -0.0000    -2.2884     3.9852    -1.8914
-0.1459         0         1.7163    -2.9889     1.4186
-0.0000     0.0000    -0.0000    -0.0000     0.0000
 0.0243    -0.0000    -0.2860     0.4982    -0.2364
```

The row-sum norm of the inverse can be computed as 8.3596×10^{16} . The condition number can then be computed as

$$\text{Cond}[A] = 3.1481(8.3596 \times 10^{16}) = 2.6317 \times 10^{17}$$

This corresponds to $\log_{10}(2.6317 \times 10^{17}) = 17.42$ suspect digits. Thus, as with (a), the suspect digits are more than the number of significant digits for the double precision representation used in MATLAB (15-16 digits). Consequently, we again can conclude that this matrix is highly ill-conditioned.

It should be noted that if you used Excel for this computation you would have arrived at a slightly different result of $\text{Cond}[A] = 1.3742 \times 10^{17}$.

10.16 In order to compute the row-sum norm of the normalized 4×4 Hilbert matrix, we can determine the sum of the absolute values of each of the rows:

					row sums ↓
1	0.500000	0.333333	0.250000		2.08333
1	0.666667	0.500000	0.400000		2.56667
1	0.750000	0.600000	0.500000		2.85000
1	0.800000	0.666667	0.571429		3.03810

Therefore, $\|A\|_{\infty} = 3.03810$. The matrix inverse can then be computed and the row sums calculated as

					row sums ↓
16	-60	80	-35		191
-120	600	-900	420		2040
240	-1350	2160	-1050		4800
-140	840	-1400	700		3080

The result is $\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} = 4800$. Therefore, the condition number can be computed as

$$\text{Cond}[A] = 3.03810(4,800) = 14,582.9$$

This corresponds to $\log_{10}(14,582.9) = 4.16$ suspect digits.

10.17 The matrix to be evaluated can be computed by substituting the x values into the Vandermonde matrix to give

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 49 & 7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can then scale the matrix by dividing each row by its maximum element,

$$[A] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.25 & 0.0625 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 1 & 0.142857 & 0.020408 \end{bmatrix}$$

In order to compute the row-sum norm, we can determine the sum of the absolute values of each of the rows:

			row sums
			↓
1	0.25	0.0625	1.3125
1	0.5	0.25	1.75
1	0.142857	0.020408	1.163265

Therefore, $\|A\|_{\infty} = 1.75$. The matrix inverse can then be computed and the row sums calculated as

			row sums
			↓
-2.66667	0.4	3.266667	6.333333
24	-4.4	-19.6	48
-37.3333	11.2	26.13333	74.66667

The result is $\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} = 74.66667$. Therefore, the condition number can be computed as

$$\text{Cond}[A] = 1.75(74.66667) = 130.6667$$

This result can be checked with MATLAB,

```
>> A=[16/16 4/16 1/16;
4/4 2/4 1/4;
49/49 7/49 1/49]
>> cond(A,inf)
ans =
    130.6667
```

(b) MATLAB can be used to compute the spectral and Frobenius condition numbers,

```
>> A=[16/16 4/16 1/16;
4/4 2/4 1/4;
49/49 7/49 1/49]
>> cond(A,2)
ans =
    102.7443
>> cond(A,'fro')
ans =
    104.2971
```

[Note: If you did not scale the original matrix, the results are: $\text{Cond}[A]_{\infty} = 323$, $\text{Cond}[A]_2 = 216.1294$, and $\text{Cond}[A]_e = 217.4843$]

10.18 Here is a VBA program that implements *LU* decomposition. It is set up to solve Example 10.1.

```
Option Explicit

Sub LUDTest()
    Dim n As Integer, er As Integer, i As Integer, j As Integer
    Dim a(3, 3) As Double, b(3) As Double, x(3) As Double
    Dim tol As Double
    n = 3
    a(1, 1) = 3: a(1, 2) = -0.1: a(1, 3) = -0.2
    a(2, 1) = 0.1: a(2, 2) = 7: a(2, 3) = -0.3
    a(3, 1) = 0.3: a(3, 2) = -0.2: a(3, 3) = 10
    b(1) = 7.85: b(2) = -19.3: b(3) = 71.4
    tol = 0.000001
    Call LUD(a, b, n, x, tol, er)
    'output results to worksheet
    Sheets("Sheet1").Select
    Range("a3").Select
    For i = 1 To n
        ActiveCell.Value = x(i)
        ActiveCell.Offset(1, 0).Select
    Next i
    Range("a3").Select

End Sub

Sub LUD(a, b, n, x, tol, er)
    Dim i As Integer, j As Integer
    Dim o(3) As Double, s(3) As Double
    Call Decompose(a, n, tol, o, s, er)
    If er = 0 Then
        Call Substitute(a, o, n, b, x)
    Else
        MsgBox "ill-conditioned system"
    End If
End Sub

Sub Decompose(a, n, tol, o, s, er)
    Dim i As Integer, j As Integer, k As Integer
    Dim factor As Double
    For i = 1 To n
        o(i) = i
        s(i) = Abs(a(i, 1))
        For j = 2 To n
            If Abs(a(i, j)) > s(i) Then s(i) = Abs(a(i, j))
        Next j
    Next i
    For k = 1 To n - 1
        Call Pivot(a, o, s, n, k)
        If Abs(a(o(k), k) / s(o(k))) < tol Then
            er = -1
            Exit For
        End If
        For i = k + 1 To n
            factor = a(o(i), k) / a(o(k), k)
            a(o(i), k) = factor
            For j = k + 1 To n
```



```

        a(o(i), j) = a(o(i), j) - factor * a(o(k), j)
    Next j
Next i
Next k
If (Abs(a(o(k), k) / s(o(k))) < tol) Then er = -1
End Sub

Sub Pivot(a, o, s, n, k)
Dim ii As Integer, p As Integer
Dim big As Double, dummy As Double
p = k
big = Abs(a(o(k), k) / s(o(k)))
For ii = k + 1 To n
    dummy = Abs(a(o(ii), k) / s(o(ii)))
    If dummy > big Then
        big = dummy
        p = ii
    End If
Next ii
dummy = o(p)
o(p) = o(k)
o(k) = dummy
End Sub

Sub Substitute(a, o, n, b, x)
Dim k As Integer, i As Integer, j As Integer
Dim sum As Double, factor As Double
For k = 1 To n - 1
    For i = k + 1 To n
        factor = a(o(i), k)
        b(o(i)) = b(o(i)) - factor * b(o(k))
    Next i
Next k
x(n) = b(o(n)) / a(o(n), n)
For i = n - 1 To 1 Step -1
    sum = 0
    For j = i + 1 To n
        sum = sum + a(o(i), j) * x(j)
    Next j
    x(i) = (b(o(i)) - sum) / a(o(i), i)
Next i
End Sub

```

10.19 Here is a VBA program that uses *LU* decomposition to determine the matrix inverse. It is set up to solve Example 10.3.

Option Explicit

```

Sub LUInverseTest()
Dim n As Integer, er As Integer, i As Integer, j As Integer
Dim a(3, 3) As Double, b(3) As Double, x(3) As Double
Dim tol As Double, ai(3, 3) As Double
n = 3
a(1, 1) = 3: a(1, 2) = -0.1: a(1, 3) = -0.2
a(2, 1) = 0.1: a(2, 2) = 7: a(2, 3) = -0.3
a(3, 1) = 0.3: a(3, 2) = -0.2: a(3, 3) = 10
tol = 0.000001
Call LUDminv(a, b, n, x, tol, er, ai)
If er = 0 Then
    Range("a1").Select
    For i = 1 To n

```

```

    For j = 1 To n
        ActiveCell.Value = ai(i, j)
        ActiveCell.Offset(0, 1).Select
    Next j
    ActiveCell.Offset(1, -n).Select
Next i
Range("a1").Select
Else
    MsgBox "ill-conditioned system"
End If
End Sub

Sub LUdminv(a, b, n, x, tol, er, ai)
Dim i As Integer, j As Integer
Dim o(3) As Double, s(3) As Double
Call Decompose(a, n, tol, o, s, er)
If er = 0 Then
    For i = 1 To n
        For j = 1 To n
            If i = j Then
                b(j) = 1
            Else
                b(j) = 0
            End If
        Next j
        Call Substitute(a, o, n, b, x)
        For j = 1 To n
            ai(j, i) = x(j)
        Next j
    Next i
End If
End Sub

Sub Decompose(a, n, tol, o, s, er)
Dim i As Integer, j As Integer, k As Integer
Dim factor As Double
For i = 1 To n
    o(i) = i
    s(i) = Abs(a(i, 1))
    For j = 2 To n
        If Abs(a(i, j)) > s(i) Then s(i) = Abs(a(i, j))
    Next j
Next i
For k = 1 To n - 1
    Call Pivot(a, o, s, n, k)
    If Abs(a(o(k), k) / s(o(k))) < tol Then
        er = -1
        Exit For
    End If
    For i = k + 1 To n
        factor = a(o(i), k) / a(o(k), k)
        a(o(i), k) = factor
        For j = k + 1 To n
            a(o(i), j) = a(o(i), j) - factor * a(o(k), j)
        Next j
    Next i
Next k
If (Abs(a(o(k), k) / s(o(k))) < tol) Then er = -1
End Sub

Sub Pivot(a, o, s, n, k)
Dim ii As Integer, p As Integer

```

```

Dim big As Double, dummy As Double
p = k
big = Abs(a(o(k), k) / s(o(k)))
For ii = k + 1 To n
    dummy = Abs(a(o(ii), k) / s(o(ii)))
    If dummy > big Then
        big = dummy
        p = ii
    End If
Next ii
dummy = o(p)
o(p) = o(k)
o(k) = dummy
End Sub

Sub Substitute(a, o, n, b, x)
Dim k As Integer, i As Integer, j As Integer
Dim sum As Double, factor As Double
For k = 1 To n - 1
    For i = k + 1 To n
        factor = a(o(i), k)
        b(o(i)) = b(o(i)) - factor * b(o(k))
    Next i
Next k
x(n) = b(o(n)) / a(o(n), n)
For i = n - 1 To 1 Step -1
    sum = 0
    For j = i + 1 To n
        sum = sum + a(o(i), j) * x(j)
    Next j
    x(i) = (b(o(i)) - sum) / a(o(i), i)
Next i
End Sub

```

10.20 The problem can be set up as

$$2\Delta x_1 + 5\Delta x_2 + \Delta x_3 = -5 - (-3) = -2$$

$$6\Delta x_1 + 2\Delta x_2 + \Delta x_3 = 12 - 14 = -2$$

$$\Delta x_1 + 2\Delta x_2 + \Delta x_3 = 3 - 4 = -1$$

which can be solved for $\Delta x_1 = 0.25$, $\Delta x_2 = -0.41667$, and $\Delta x_3 = -0.41667$. These can be used to yield the corrected results

$$x_1 = 2 + 0.25 = 2.25$$

$$x_2 = -3 - 0.41667 = -3.41667$$

$$x_3 = 8 - 0.41667 = 7.58333$$

These results are exact.

10.21

$$\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \Rightarrow -4a + 2b = 3 \quad (1)$$

$$\vec{A} \cdot \vec{C} = 0 \Rightarrow 2a - 3c = -6 \quad (2)$$

$$\vec{B} \cdot \vec{C} = 2 \Rightarrow 3b + c = 10 \quad (3)$$

Solve the three equations using Matlab:

```
>> A=[-4 2 0; 2 0 -3; 0 3 1]
b=[3; -6; 10]
x=inv(A)*b

x = 0.525
    2.550
    2.350
```

Therefore, $a = 0.525$, $b = 2.550$, and $c = 2.350$.

10.22

$$(\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ a & b & c \\ -2 & 1 & -4 \end{vmatrix} = (-4b - c)\vec{i} - (-4a + 2c)\vec{j} + (a + 2b)\vec{k}$$

$$(\vec{A} \times \vec{C}) = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ a & b & c \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = (2b - 3c)\vec{i} - (2a - c)\vec{j} + (3a - b)\vec{k}$$

$$(\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) + (\vec{A} \times \vec{C}) = (-2b - 4c)\vec{i} - (-2a + c)\vec{j} + (4a + b)\vec{k}$$

Therefore,

$$(-2b - 4c)\vec{i} + (2a - c)\vec{j} + (4a + b)\vec{k} = (5a + 6)\vec{i} + (3b - 2)\vec{j} + (-4c + 1)\vec{k}$$

We get the following set of equations \Rightarrow

$$-2b - 4c = 5a + 6 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -5a - 2b - 4c = 6 \quad (1)$$

$$2a - c = 3b - 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2a - 3b - c = -2 \quad (2)$$

$$4a + b = -4c + 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 4a + b + 4c = 1 \quad (3)$$

In Matlab:

```
>> A=[-5 -2 -4 ; 2 -3 -1 ; 4 1 4];
>> B=[6 ; -2 ; 1];
>> x = A\B
x =
   -3.6522
   -3.3478
    4.7391
```

$$a = -3.6522, b = -3.3478, c = 4.7391$$

10.23

$$(I) \quad f(0) = 1 \Rightarrow a(0) + b = 1 \Rightarrow b = 1$$

$$f(2) = 1 \Rightarrow c(2) + d = 1 \Rightarrow 2c + d = 1$$

(II) If f is continuous, then at $x = 1$

$$ax + b = cx + d \Rightarrow a(1) + b = c(1) + d \Rightarrow a + b - c - d = 0$$

$$(III) \quad a + b = 4$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

These can be solved using MATLAB

```
>> A=[0 1 0 0;0 0 2 1;1 1 -1 -1;1 1 0 0];
>> B=[1;1;0;4];
>> A\B
ans =
     3
     1
    -3
     7
```

Thus, $a = 3$, $b = 1$, $c = -3$, and $d = 7$.

10.24 MATLAB provides a handy way to solve this problem.

(a)

```
>> a=hilb(3)
a =
    1.0000    0.5000    0.3333
    0.5000    0.3333    0.2500
    0.3333    0.2500    0.2000
```

```
>> x=[1 1 1]
x =
     1
     1
     1
```

```
>> b=a*x
b =
    1.8333
    1.0833
    0.7833
```

```
>> format long e
>> x=a\b
```

```
x =
    9.999999999999992e-001
    1.0000000000000006e+000
    9.999999999999939e-001
```

(b)

```
>> a=hilb(7);
>> x=ones(7,1);
>> b=a*x;
>> x=a\b
```

```
x =
    9.999999999927329e-001
    1.000000000289139e+000
    9.999999972198158e-001
    1.000000010794369e+000
```

```

9.999999802287199e-001
1.000000017073336e+000
9.999999943967310e-001
(c)
>> a=hilb(10);
>> x=ones(10,1);
>> b=a*x;
>> x=a\b

x =
9.999999993518614e-001
1.000000053255573e+000
9.99989124259656e-001
1.000009539399602e+000
9.999558816980565e-001
1.000118062679701e+000
9.998108238105067e-001
1.000179021813331e+000
9.999077593295230e-001
1.000019946488826e+000

```

10.25 The five simultaneous equations can be set up as

$$\begin{aligned}
 1.6 \times 10^9 p_1 + 8 \times 10^6 p_2 + 4 \times 10^4 p_3 + 200 p_4 + p_5 &= 0.746 \\
 3.90625 \times 10^9 p_1 + 1.5625 \times 10^7 p_2 + 6.25 \times 10^4 p_3 + 250 p_4 + p_5 &= 0.675 \\
 8.1 \times 10^9 p_1 + 2.7 \times 10^7 p_2 + 9 \times 10^4 p_3 + 300 p_4 + p_5 &= 0.616 \\
 2.56 \times 10^{10} p_1 + 6.4 \times 10^7 p_2 + 16 \times 10^4 p_3 + 400 p_4 + p_5 &= 0.525 \\
 6.25 \times 10^{10} p_1 + 1.25 \times 10^8 p_2 + 25 \times 10^4 p_3 + 500 p_4 + p_5 &= 0.457
 \end{aligned}$$

MATLAB can then be used to solve for the coefficients,

```

>> format short g
>> A=[200^4 200^3 200^2 200 1
250^4 250^3 250^2 250 1
300^4 300^3 300^2 300 1
400^4 400^3 400^2 400 1
500^4 500^3 500^2 500 1]

A =
1.6e+009      8e+006      40000      200      1
3.9063e+009  1.5625e+007      62500      250      1
8.1e+009      2.7e+007      90000      300      1
2.56e+010      6.4e+007      1.6e+005      400      1
6.25e+010      1.25e+008      2.5e+005      500      1

>> b=[0.746;0.675;0.616;0.525;0.457];
>> format long g
>> p=A\b

p =
1.333333333333201e-012
-4.533333333333155e-009
5.296666666666581e-006
-0.00317366666666649
1.202999999999999

>> cond(A)
ans =
11711898982423.4

```

Thus, because the condition number is so high, the system seems to be ill-conditioned. This implies that this might not be a very reliable method for fitting polynomials. Because this is generally true for higher-order polynomials, other approaches are commonly employed as will be described subsequently in Chap. 18.