

Notes and Errata

Max Fleischer
Yijia Liu

January 28, 2021

All notations below follow from [1], which we used to implement our code. Throughout the document, we assume that the modular unit used is $[N] - N[1]$, for any prime N . Note that for $N > 3$, we can remove all occurrences of a factor of 12 in the algorithms.

1 Basis

We show that $\{[\infty] - [\frac{i}{N}], i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$ form a $\Gamma_0(N)$ -basis.

Proof: We can show this by a descent argument. Given $[\infty] - [\frac{a}{Nc}]$ where we can assume that $c > 0$ and $c \neq 1$, consider the integer $0 < d' < Nc$ such that $ad' \equiv 1 \pmod{Nc}$. Let $d = d' - Nc$, $b = \frac{ad-1}{Nc}$ and $x = \max\{N - \lceil d/c \rceil, 1\}$. Then

$$[\infty] - [\frac{a}{Nc}] = [\infty] - \left[\frac{ax + Nb}{Ncx + Nd} \right] - \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ Nc & d \end{pmatrix} \left([\infty] - [\frac{x}{N}] \right).$$

We check that $|cx + d| < |c|$, and by repeating this process we can write $[\infty] - [\frac{a}{Nc}]$ in terms of the basis elements.

2 Errata in paper

There is a sign error in Equation (43) of [1] and the next equation. It should read $d\bar{\mu}_{\gamma m_i}(u)$.

3 Discussion of $\sum n_d \neq 0$

For $[N] - N[1]$ in our program, the assumption that $\sum n_d \neq 0$ is no longer valid.

3.1 Proposition 3.1

The following proposition remains valid:

$$\mathcal{F}_k(U) = \int_U x_p^{k-1} d\mathcal{F}_1(x).$$

Proof: The equivalence at Equation (18) and Equation (19) in [1] yields

$$\mathcal{F}_k(U) \equiv - \sum_{d|N} \left(n_d a^{k-1} \left[\frac{da}{ep^n} \right] + \frac{n_d}{2} a^{k-1} \right) \equiv \frac{N-1}{2} a^{k-1} + a^{k-1} \mathcal{F}(U) \pmod{p^{n-\epsilon} \mathbf{Z}_p}.$$

Thus for $U = a + ep^n Z$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k(U) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=0}^{p^m-1} \mathcal{F}_k(a + ep^n \cdot t + ep^{m+n} \cdot Z)^k \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=0}^{p^m-1} \left(\frac{N-1}{2} (a + ep^n \cdot t)^{k-1} + a^{k-1} \mathcal{F}(a + ep^n \cdot t + ep^{m+n} \cdot Z) \right) \\ &\quad (\text{expanding } (a + ep^n \cdot t)^{k-1} \text{ gives for fixed } c_i, \sum_{t=0}^{p^m-1} (a + ep^n \cdot t)^{k-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_i \sum_{t=0}^{p^m-1} t^i \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty) \\ &= \int_U x_p^{k-1} d\mathcal{F}_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Proposition 3.2

Following Proposition 3.1, we can deduce that the formulas for Proposition 3.2 are still correct when $\sum n_d \neq 0$.

3.3 Modification to ord_p formula

From Equation (4.1) of [2], we add $\frac{N-1}{4}$ to the formula at Step 1 of Algorithm 4.3 in [1].

4 Binary Quadratic Forms Representatives

We can calculate the representatives based on [3], such that Equation (12) of [1] is satisfied.

5 Speeding up the computations in Algorithm 4.3

The formula for Step 1 and Step 2 run in $O(c)$ time, which can be large. We can make use of the basis representation of $[\infty] - [\frac{a}{Nc}]$ to significantly speed up these steps.

- Step 1: While using the basis representation to compute ord_p , we must take note of sign changes. i.e. $[\infty] - [\frac{-x}{Ny}]$ gives a different ord_p as compared to $[\infty] - [\frac{x}{-Ny}]$. This is due to the introduction of an error term (see Section 3.3).
- Step 2: We can proceed in the same fashion as Step 3.

See the comments in the code at [4] for more details of the implementation.

References

- [1] Samit Dasgupta, Computations of Elliptic Units for Real Quadratic Fields, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*. 59 (3):553-74, 2007.
- [2] Don Zagier, A Kronecker limit formula for real quadratic fields, *Mathematische Annalen*. 213 (2):153-184, 1975.
- [3] Henri Darmon, *Heegner points, Heegner cycles, and congruences*, Elliptic curves and related topics, Vol. 4, 2007.
- [4] <https://github.com/liuyj8526/Computation-of-Elliptic-Units>.