**Individual Peer Evaluation Form**

Your name: Isabella Sturm

Write the name of your classmate you are preparing this review for in the designated column. Using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree) answer each question. If you aren’t able to answer the question based on what is posted in the discussion board, reach out to your classmate for more information via the discussion board. Total the numbers in each column. **Make sure to answer the questions on the 2nd page.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria | Peer Name:  Dominick Taylor |
| Has plan in place to complete course project. | 4 |
| Has found datasets/data sources to support project idea. | 4 |
| Has solidified project idea. | 4 |
| Has identified resources for project. | 3 |
| Topic is related to data science and demonstrates topics learned to date through program. | 4 |
| Risks and potential issues have been identified. | 1 |
| TOTALS | 20 |

Feedback on Individual’s project topic:

1. How clear is the classmates project topic? What questions does their topic make you consider?

The topic is very clear and the steps that will be taken are laid out thoroughly for being week 2 of the project.

1. What risks or issues should your classmate consider while working on their project?

With a goal of 80% accuracy, what steps will be taken if accuracy is too low? How will you reevaluate without introducing biases to fit the model?

1. Additional suggestions/comments that might be beneficial to your peer?

I think limiting the scope to just one type of insurance fraud and the results to just yes it’s fraud and no it’s not fraud is good for accomplishing the goals laid out in the document within the term.

Adapted from a peer evaluation form developed at Johns Hopkins University (October, 2006)